Homework #1 Solutions

CS 344: Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms (Fall 2022)

Problem 1

For each of the following functions, state whether f(n) = O(g(n)) or $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$, or if both are true, then write $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$. No proofs required for this problem.

(1)
$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = n^4 - 7n$ and $g(n) = n^3 + 10n^2$.

(2)
$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = (\sqrt{n})^3$ and $g(n) = n^2 - (\sqrt{n})^3$.

(3)
$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = n \log^3(n)$ and $g(n) = n^{\log_2(5)}$.

(4)
$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = 2^{\log_2(n)}$ and $g(n) = n$.

(5)
$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = n/\log^2(n)$ and $g(n) = \log^6(n)$.

(6)
$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = 4^n$ and $g(n) = 5^n$.

(7)
$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = \log_3(n)$ and $g(n) = \log_5(n)$.

(8)
$$f(n) = O(g(n)) \quad \text{when } f(n) = n^3 \text{ and } g(n) = 2^n.$$

(9)
$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 when $f(n) = \log^2(n)$ and $g(n) = \sqrt{n}$.

(10)
$$f(n) = O(g(n)) \quad \text{when } f(n) = n \log(n) \text{ and } g(n) = n^2.$$

Problem 2

(1) Prove by induction that $\sum_{i=0}^{k} i2^i = (k-1)2^{k+1} + 2$.

Base case: When k is 0, the following is true: $0 \cdot 2^0 = (0-1)2^{0+1} + 2$.

Inductive hypothesis: $\sum_{i=0}^{k'} i 2^i = (k'-1)2^{k'+1} + 2$ for all $0 \le k' < k$.

We want to prove: $\sum_{i=0}^{k} i2^i = (k-1)2^{k+1} + 2$.

Proof / **Inductive Step**:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} i2^{i} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i2^{i}\right) + k2^{k}$$

$$= (k-2)2^{k} + 2 + k2^{k} \qquad \text{(Inductive hypothesis on } \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i2^{i}\right)\text{)}$$

$$= (k-2+k)2^{k} + 2$$

$$= (2k-2)2^{k} + 2$$

$$= 2(k-1)2^{k} + 2$$

$$= (k-1)2^{k+1} + 2.$$

(2) Prove that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(i) = \Theta(n \log(n))$.

First, we show an upper bound for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(i)$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(n)$$

$$= n \log(n)$$

$$= O(n \log(n)).$$
 (If $x \le y$ and $y = O(f)$, then $x = O(f)$)

Second, we show a lower bound for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(i)$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(i) \ge \sum_{i=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n} \log(i)$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n} \log(n/2) \qquad (i \ge n/2)$$

$$\ge (n/2 - 1) \log(n/2)$$

$$= \Omega(n \log(n)). \qquad (\text{If } x \ge y \text{ and } y = \Omega(f), \text{ then } x = \Omega(f))$$

It thus follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(i) = \Theta(n \log(n))$.

(3) What is $\sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} 8^i$ equal to in Θ -notation? (No formal proof necessary, just a brief explanation.)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} 8^i &= \frac{8^{\log_2(n)+1}-1}{7} \quad \text{(Geometric sum formula for radius larger than 1)} \\ &= \frac{8^{\log_2(n)} \cdot 8 - 1}{7} \\ &= \frac{n^{\log_2(8)} \cdot 8 - 1}{7} \\ &= \frac{8n^3 - 1}{7} \\ &= \Theta(n^3). \end{split}$$

Problem 3

(1) Simplify $64^{\log_{16}(n)}$; that is, write it as n to the power of some number.

$$64^{\log_{16}(n)} = n^{\log_{16}(64)} \qquad (x^{\log_b(y)} = y^{\log_b(x)})$$

$$= n^{6\log_{16}(2)}$$

$$= n^{3/2}.$$

(2) Simplify $5^{\log_7(n)}$ – in particular write it as n to the power of some number.

$$5^{\log_7(n)} = n^{\log_7(5)}$$
 $(x^{\log_b(y)} = y^{\log_b(x)})$
= $n^{0.82708...}$

(3) Prove that for any constants $c, c', \log_c(n) = \theta(\log_{c'}(n))$.

By the change-of-base formula,

$$\log_c(n) = \frac{\log_{c'}(n)}{\log_{c'}(c)},$$

and after rearranging, we see that

$$\frac{\log_c(n)}{\log_{c'}(n)} = \frac{1}{\log_{c'}(c)}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log_c(n)}{\log_{c'}(n)} = \frac{1}{\log_{c'}(c)}$$

and hence, since the right hand side is a constant, $\log_c(n) = \Theta(\log_{c'}(n))$ using the definition for Θ -notation.

Problem 4

Consider the following problem:

- Input: An array A with n distinct (non-equal) elements
- Output: numbers x and y in A that minimize |x-y|, where |x-y| denotes absolute-value(x-y). (If there are multiple closest pairs, you only have to return one of them.)

Write pseudocode for an algorithm for the above problem whose running time is $o(n^2)$. Note that this is little-o; in words, your running time must be *better* than $O(n^2)$. So an algorithm with running time $O(n^2)$ will receive very few points.

At a high level, the algorithm first sorts the input array and then finds the two adjacent elements with the smallest difference. The following pseudocode provides a more detailed description.

```
CLOSESTPAIR (A[0..n-1]):
Sort A in increasing order
x \leftarrow A[0]
y \leftarrow A[1]
for i = 2 to n - 1:
if A[i] - A[i-1] < y - x
x \leftarrow A[i-1]
y \leftarrow A[i]
return (x, y)
```

Using an $O(n \log(n))$ time sorting algorithm such as MERGESORT, the CLOSESTPAIR problem takes $O(n \log(n) + n)$ time, which is $o(n^2)$.

Problem 5

Consider the following problem:

- INPUT: An array A[0...n-1], where each A[i] is either a 0 or a 1. Also, you are guaranteed that the length n of the array A is a multiple of 5.
- OUTPUT: Index $k \le 4n/5$ such the subarray A[k], A[k+1], ..., A[k+n/5-1] contains as many 1s as possible. If there exist multiple indices k that achieve this maximum, you only have to return one of them.

For example, say that A = 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0. Note that n = 15 and n/5 = 3, so you are looking for the subarray of length 3 with the most number of 1s. The correct output is k = 6 because the subarray A[6], A[7], A[8] = 1, 0, 1 contains the maximum possible number of 1s among all subarrays of length 3.

The Problem: Write pseudocode for an algorithm that solves the above problem in O(n) time.

HINT: Say that you already figured out the number of 1s in subarray A[i]...A[i+n/5-1] for some i. How can you use this information to very quickly figure out the number of 1s in the next subarray A[i+1]...A[i+n/5]?

Naively, one may compute $A[i] + A[i+1] + \cdots + A[i+n/5-1]$ for all $i \leq 4n/5$. This, however, will take $\Omega(n^2)$ time in total.

Let $c = A[i] + A[i+1] + \cdots + A[i+n/5-1]$. Notice that if we know c, we can find $A[i+1] + A[i+2] + \cdots + A[i+n/5]$ without recomputing $A[i+1] + A[i+2] + \cdots + A[i+n/5-1]$. Just subtract A[i] from c and add A[i+n/5], which is done with only a constant amount of computation.

The initialization of c takes O(n) time. Each computation in the loop takes O(1) time, and the loop runs O(n) times. The total running time is thus O(n).

Problem 6

Consider the following input problem

- INPUT: a 2-dimensional array A[0...n-1][0...n-1] with n rows and n columns. Note that you can use A[i][j] to refer to the element in row i and column j, and that you can access any particular A[i][j] in constant time. Each entry A[i][j] is either 0 or 1.
- OUTPUT: find an index i such that for all $j \neq i$ it is the case that A[i,j] = 1 and A[j,i] = 0. If no such index exists, return "no solution".

Interpretation in words: The problem might seem more intuitive if you think of at as follows. Say that you have n people $p_0, ..., p_{n-1}$ and think of A[i][j] as representing who follows whom on twitter: A[i][j] = 1 means that p_i follows p_j and A[i][j] = 0 means that p_i does not follow p_j . Note that it is possible that A[i][j] = 0 but that A[j][i] = 1. Your goal is to find the person p_i such that they follow everyone but no one follows them. If no such person exists you return "no solution".

(1) Say that A[i][j] = 1. From this piece of information alone, which index do you know is definitely NOT the final answer.

j is definitely not the final answer. Using the analogy of people following people, we are looking for someone who is not followed, but here p_j is followed by p_i .

(2) Say that A[i][j] = 0. From this piece of information alone, which index do you know is definitely NOT the final answer.

i is definitely not the final answer. Using the analogy of people following people, we are looking for someone who follows everyone, but here p_i does not follow p_j .

(3) Write pseudocode that solves the above problem in O(n) time. Note that the runtime should be O(n), not $O(n^2)$.

Running Time. The while loop iterates O(n) times since each iteration increments r, which can happen only O(n) many times before the loop terminates. The final checks for NoSolution takes O(n) time each. The total running time is thus O(n).

Correctness. Observe first that there can be at most one lurker; if p_i is a lurker, then all p_j for $j \neq i$ are followed by p_i and, by virtue of being followed, p_j is not a lurker.

FINDLURKER stores a candidate lurker c. The loop maintains the following two invariants:

- (i) c < r.
- (ii) Every p_j where j < r and $j \neq c$ is not a lurker.

Invariant (i) can immediately be seen to hold. How is Invariant (ii) maintained? At each iteration of the loop, if A[r][c] = 0, we know by Part 2 that r is not a lurker and thus setting $r \leftarrow r + 1$ maintains Invariant (ii). If, on the other hand, A[r][c] = 1, we know by Part 1 that c is not a lurker. Since also c < r, setting $c \leftarrow r$ and $r \leftarrow r + 1$ maintains Invariant (ii).

Once the loop terminates, r = n and so by both the uniqueness of the lurker and Invariants (i,ii), c is the only possible lurker. FINDLURKER then checks if c is indeed the lurker, and returns a result accordingly.

Problem 7 (Extra Credit)

Consider the algorithm Foo(n). What is the running time in Θ notation? For this problem, you must briefly justify your answer. By briefly justify, I mean that you need to write

enough that a knowledgeable reader would understand why your answer is correct.

Foo(n)

```
• For i=1 to n -x=n. -\text{ While } (x\geq 2) *x\leftarrow \sqrt{x} *\text{ Do placeholder stuff that takes } O(1) \text{ time.}
```

NOTE: for this problem, you can assume that \sqrt{x} can always be computed in O(1) time.

The running time of Foo is $\Theta(n \log(\log(n)))$.

To see this "intuitively", observe that the binary representation of n is $\Theta(\log(n))$ bits long. Each square-root operation halves the number of bits. After logarithmically many steps (in the length of the thing initially being halved), the number of bits becomes $\Theta(1)$. Each iteration thus runs for $\Theta(\log(\log(n)))$ steps. The running time follows from there being n iterations.