## EMBO Course in Population Genomics, practicals on Coalescent Theory

## Question 1. Group 1

The coalescence times  $T_i$  in the standard coalescent process are independent and exponentially distributed as

$$f_{T_i}(t) = \binom{i}{2} e^{-\binom{i}{2}t}$$

when time is measured appropriately.

- (a) What is the distribution of  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$ ?
- (b) Write down the average and variance of waiting times  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$ . Also, write down the value of  $E[T_2^2]$ ,  $E[T_3^2]$ , and  $E[T_4^2]$ .
- (c) If one infers that  $T_3 > T_4 > T_2$  upon looking at a gene genealogy for a sample of size 4, would this be consistent with the standard coalescent process? Justify your answer.
- (d) Does  $\binom{i}{2}$  in the expression given above have some meaning in standard coalescent process (Kingman's coalescent)? If yes, what is it?

## Question 2. Group 2

 $T_{MRCA}$  is the time to the most recent common ancestor of the entire sample and  $T_{total}$  is the total length of all the branches in the genealogy.

- (a) Draw a coalescent tree with sample size n=4 and indicate on the tree what  $T_{MRCA}$  and  $T_{total}$  correspond to.
- (b) Find  $E[T_{MRCA}]$  and  $E[T_{total}]$  for a sample of size n. Write down all the steps involved.
- (c) Calculate the value of  $E[T_{MRCA}]$  as n goes to infinity.
- (d) Write down the  $E[T_{MRCA}]$  and  $E[T_{total}]$  when sample size is 4. Also, compute  $\frac{E[T_{MRCA}]}{E[T_2]}$  (definition of  $T_2$  is given in the previous question).
- (e) Can  $\frac{E[T_{MRCA}]}{E[T_{total}]} > 1$  be true ? Justify your answer.

Question 3. Group 3 Consider the data below, where n=10 haploid sequences were simulated. Each line represents an individual and each column a segregating site S. The ancestral allele is denoted by "0" and the derived allele is denoted by "1." We observe a total of S=20 segregating sites.

- (a) What is the frequency of the **derived** allele in each segregating site?
- (b) Compute the SFS for the data above, using the allele frequencies you just computed.

The Figure below shows two Site Frequency Spectra (SFS). The red distribution is the expected SFS for a given value of  $\theta$  for a population of constant size, not subdivided (geographically or otherwise), and where mutations have no effect in fitness. Mutation rate per base pair is constant and loci are not linked. The blue distribution is the observed SFS for a population simulated under neutrality using ms (Hudson 1990).




Figure 1: Site frequency spectra for two scenarios: a simulated dataset, and the expected SFS for a population with constant population size.

- (c) For the red curve (expectation with constant  $N_e$ ), we see 800 singletons. What is the expected number of doubletons?
- (d) We can see that the SFS for the simulations (blue) departs from the expectation (red). Besides some statistical noise, what is the most striking difference between these two distributions? Please use maximum two sentences to answer this question.
- (e) Given that the same value of  $\theta$  was used to generate both distributions, can you think of an explanation for the observed differences? Please use maximum three sentences to answer this question.

Question 4. **Group 4** Write a small program to simulate allele frequencies through time under a Wright-Fisher model for the simplest case, e.g. neutral alleles, constant (haploid) population size. Plot the trajectories. Try out several parameters and make observations. For instance: what happens when the population size increases or decreases?

```
# WFsim.r simple simulations of the Wright-Fisher model.
# Code by Andy Clark (2018, EMBO course).

# Hints:
# Initialize popsize (N), number of samples (nsamp), number of
# generations (ngen) and starting frequency (startfreq) and
# keep track of the current allele frequency (pcur)
# Remember that Wright-Fisher is simply recurrent binomial sampling o
# over generations
```