1. For air, let's count only the dominating gases: Nitrogen and Oxygen (80% and 20% by volume respectively). The effective number of electrons per molecule is $Z_{\rm air} \approx 0.8 \cdot 14 + 0.2 \cdot 16 = 14.4$. The number of molecules per cubit centimeter at NTP is given by the ideal gas law:

$$N_{\text{mol}}^{\text{air}} = \frac{P}{kT} = \frac{1.01 \times 10^5 \text{N/m}^2}{1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{J/K} \cdot 300 \text{K}} = 2.44 \times 10^{19} / \text{cm}^3$$
 (1)

giving the number of electrons per cubic centimeter

$$N_e^{\text{air}} = 14.4 \times 2.44 \times 10^{19} / \text{cm}^3 = 3.51 \times 10^{20} / \text{cm}^3$$
 (2)

For other single-atom metal elements, the number of molecules (atoms) and electrons per cubic centimeter are given by

$$N_{\rm mol}^{\rm metal} = \frac{\rho N_A}{M} \qquad \qquad N_{\rm e}^{\rm metal} = \frac{Z\rho N_A}{M} \tag{3}$$

where $N_A \approx 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ atoms/mol is the Avogadro number, M is the molar mass of the element, ρ is the density of the element, and Z is the atomic number.

| Element | ρ (g/cm ³) | M (g/mol) | Z | $N_{\rm e} / {\rm cm}^3$ |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|----|--------------------------|
| Al | 2.70 | 26.98 | 13 | 7.83×10^{23} |
| Cu | 8.96 | 63.55 | 29 | 2.46×10^{24} |
| Pb | 11.34 | 207.2 | 82 | 2.70×10^{24} |

With these plugged into (13.14), we have the following values for the energy loss per centimeter (or energy loss per g/cm^2) for incident proton and mu meson

(a) Incident proton

| Kinetic Energy (MeV) | dE/dx (MeV/cm) | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Riffette Effergy (WeV) | Air Al Cu | | Cu | Pb | |
| 10 | 5.92×10^{-7} | 1.22×10^{-3} | 7.15×10^{-3} | 1.66×10^{-2} | |
| 100 | 9.85×10^{-8} | 2.01×10^{-4} | 1.25×10^{-3} | 3.25×10^{-3} | |
| 1000 | 3.06×10^{-8} | 6.24×10^{-5} | 3.99×10^{-4} | 1.09×10^{-3} | |

Energy loss in units of MeV/cm for incident proton

| Kinetic Energy (MeV) | dE/ρdx (MeV·cm²/g) | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Killetic Ellergy (Wev) | Air | Al | Cu | Pb | |
| 10 | 34.46 | 35.19 | 29.46 | 22.03 | |
| 100 | 5.73 | 5.82 | 5.16 | 4.31 | |
| 1000 | 1.78 | 1.80 | 1.65 | 1.44 | |

Energy loss in units of MeV·cm²/g for incident proton

(b) Incident mu meson

| Kinetic Energy (MeV) | dE/dx (MeV/cm) | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| idilette Ellergy (WeV) | Air | Al | Cu | Pb | |
| 10 | 1.08×10^{-7} | 2.20×10^{-4} | 1.36×10^{-3} | 3.53×10^{-3} | |
| 100 | 3.14×10^{-8} | 6.40×10^{-5} | 4.09×10^{-4} | 1.11×10^{-3} | |
| 1000 | 3.22×10^{-8} | 6.54×10^{-5} | 4.29×10^{-4} | 1.21×10^{-3} | |

Energy loss in units of MeV/cm for incident mu meson

| Kinetic Energy (MeV) | $dE/\rho dx$ (MeV·cm ² /g) | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
| Killette Ellergy (Wev) | Air | Al | Cu | Pb | |
| 10 | 6.26 | 6.35 | 5.62 | 4.68 | |
| 100 | 1.83 | 1.85 | 1.69 | 1.47 | |
| 1000 | 1.87 | 1.89 | 1.77 | 1.61 | |

Energy loss in units of MeV·cm²/g for incident mu meson

2. Since $\rho = NAm_p$, with m_p being the mass of the proton or neutron, we can rewrite (13.14) as

$$\begin{split} \frac{dE}{\rho dx} &= 4\pi \frac{NZ}{\rho} \frac{z^2 e^4}{mc^2 \beta^2} \left(\ln B_q - \beta^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2Z}{A} \right) z^2 \cdot \left(2\pi \frac{e^4}{mc^2 m_p} \right) \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(\ln B_q - \beta^2 \right) \end{split} \tag{4}$$

With

$$\frac{e^2}{1 \text{ cm}} \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-13} \text{MeV}$$
 $mc^2 \approx 0.511 \text{MeV}$ $m_p = 1.67 \times 10^{-24} \text{g}$ (5)

we have

$$2\pi \frac{e^4}{mc^2 m_p} \approx 0.15 \text{MeV} \cdot \text{cm}^2/\text{g}$$
 (6)

leaving the only dependence of $dE/\rho dx$ on the material in $\ln B_q$ (together with a crude approximation $2Z/A \approx 1$, which is somewhat bad approximation for copper or lead), where

$$B_q = \frac{2\gamma^2 \beta^2 mc^2}{Z\hbar |\omega|} \tag{7}$$

This explains the tiny difference (within a factor of 2, mainly due to 2Z/A) between $dE/\rho dx$ in different materials.