1. For air, let's count only the dominating gases: Nitrogen and Oxygen (80% and 20% by volume respectively). The effective number of electrons per molecule is  $Z_{\rm air} \approx 0.8 \cdot 14 + 0.2 \cdot 16 = 14.4$ . The number of molecules per cubic centimeter at NTP is given by the ideal gas law:

$$N_{\text{mol}}^{\text{air}} = \frac{P}{kT} = \frac{1.01 \times 10^5 \text{N/m}^2}{1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{J/K} \cdot 300 \text{K}} = 2.44 \times 10^{19} / \text{cm}^3$$
 (1)

giving the number of electrons per cubic centimeter

$$N_e^{\text{air}} = 14.4 \times 2.44 \times 10^{19} / \text{cm}^3 = 3.51 \times 10^{20} / \text{cm}^3$$
 (2)

For other single-atom metal elements, the number of molecules (atoms) and electrons per cubic centimeter are given by

$$N_{\text{mol}}^{\text{metal}} = \frac{\rho N_A}{M} \qquad N_{\text{e}}^{\text{metal}} = \frac{Z \rho N_A}{M}$$
 (3)

where  $N_A \approx 6.022 \times 10^{23}$  atoms/mol is the Avogadro number, M is the molar mass of the element,  $\rho$  is the density of the element, and Z is the atomic number.

Element	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	M (g/mol)	Z	$N_{\rm e} / {\rm cm}^3$
Al	2.70	26.98	13	$7.83 \times 10^{23}$
Cu	8.96	63.55	29	$2.46 \times 10^{24}$
Pb	11.34	207.2	82	$2.70 \times 10^{24}$

With these plugged into (13.14), we have the following values for the energy loss per centimeter (or energy loss per  $g/cm^2$ ) for incident proton and mu meson

## (a) Incident proton

Kinetic Energy (MeV)	dE/dx (MeV/cm)				
Kilictic Elicity (Wev)	Air Al		Cu	Pb	
10	$5.92 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.22 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.15 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.66 \times 10^{-2}$	
100	$9.85 \times 10^{-8}$	$2.01 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.25 \times 10^{-3}$	
1000	$3.06 \times 10^{-8}$	$6.24 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.99 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.09 \times 10^{-3}$	

Energy loss in units of MeV/cm for incident proton

Kinetic Energy (MeV)	dE/ρdx (MeV·cm²/g)				
Rinetic Energy (Wev)	Air	Al	Cu	Pb	
10	34.46	35.19	29.46	22.03	
100	5.73	5.82	5.16	4.31	
1000	1.78	1.80	1.65	1.44	

Energy loss in units of MeV·cm<sup>2</sup>/g for incident proton

## (b) Incident mu meson

Kinetic Energy (MeV)	dE/dx (MeV/cm)				
idilette Ellergy (WeV)	Air	Al	Cu	Pb	
10	$1.08 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.20 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.36 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.53 \times 10^{-3}$	
100	$3.14 \times 10^{-8}$	$6.40 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.09 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.11 \times 10^{-3}$	
1000	$3.22 \times 10^{-8}$	$6.54 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.29 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.21 \times 10^{-3}$	

Energy loss in units of MeV/cm for incident mu meson

Kinetic Energy (MeV)	$dE/\rho dx$ (MeV·cm <sup>2</sup> /g)				
Killette Ellergy (Wev)	Air	Al	Cu	Pb	
10	6.26	6.35	5.62	4.68	
100	1.83	1.85	1.69	1.47	
1000	1.87	1.89	1.77	1.61	

Energy loss in units of MeV·cm<sup>2</sup>/g for incident mu meson

2. Since  $\rho = NAm_p$ , with  $m_p$  being the mass of the proton or neutron, we can rewrite (13.14) as

$$\begin{split} \frac{dE}{\rho dx} &= 4\pi \frac{NZ}{\rho} \frac{z^2 e^4}{mc^2 \beta^2} \left( \ln B_q - \beta^2 \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{2Z}{A} \right) z^2 \cdot \left( 2\pi \frac{e^4}{mc^2 m_p} \right) \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left( \ln B_q - \beta^2 \right) \end{split} \tag{4}$$

With

$$\frac{e^2}{1 \text{ cm}} \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-13} \text{MeV}$$
  $mc^2 \approx 0.511 \text{MeV}$   $m_p = 1.67 \times 10^{-24} \text{g}$  (5)

we have

$$2\pi \frac{e^4}{mc^2 m_p} \approx 0.15 \text{MeV} \cdot \text{cm}^2/\text{g}$$
 (6)

leaving the only dependence of  $dE/\rho dx$  on the material in  $\ln B_q$  (together with a crude approximation  $2Z/A \approx 1$ , which is somewhat bad approximation for copper or lead), where

$$B_q = \frac{2\gamma^2 \beta^2 mc^2}{Z\hbar |\omega|} \tag{7}$$

This explains the tiny difference (within a factor of 2, mainly due to 2Z/A) between  $dE/\rho dx$  in different materials.