

1. Prob 11.11

Define

$$f(\lambda) \equiv e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} e^{-\lambda L} \quad (1)$$

Since

$$e^{\lambda X} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda X)^n}{n!} \implies \frac{d(e^{\lambda X})}{d\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\lambda^{n-1} X^n}{n!} = X \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda X)^k}{k!} = X e^{\lambda X} = e^{\lambda X} X \quad (2)$$

we have

$$f'(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} (L + \delta L) e^{-\lambda L} - e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} L e^{-\lambda L} = e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} \delta L e^{-\lambda L} \quad (3)$$

$$f''(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} (L + \delta L) \delta L e^{-\lambda L} - e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} \delta L L e^{-\lambda L} \approx e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} [L, \delta L] e^{-\lambda L} \quad \text{1st order in } \delta L \quad (4)$$

If we denote

$$[L^{(k+1)}, \delta L] \equiv [L, [L^{(k)}, \delta L]] \quad \text{where} \quad [L^{(0)}, \delta L] = \delta L \quad (5)$$

(3) and (4) show that for $k = 1, 2$, it is true that

$$\frac{d^k f}{d\lambda^k} = e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} [L^{(k-1)}, \delta L] e^{-\lambda L} \quad (6)$$

Then obviously for $k + 1$, up to first order in δL ,

$$\frac{d^{k+1} f}{d\lambda^{k+1}} = e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} (L + \delta L) [L^{(k-1)}, \delta L] e^{-\lambda L} - e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} [L^{(k-1)}, \delta L] L e^{-\lambda L} \approx e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} [L^{(k)}, \delta L] e^{-\lambda L} \quad (7)$$

so (6) is true for all $k \geq 1$. Thus at first order in δL , expanding (1) into a Taylor series around 0 gives

$$f(\lambda) \approx e^{\lambda(L+\delta L)} e^{-\lambda L} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \left. \frac{d^n f}{d\lambda^n} \right|_{\lambda=0} = I + \lambda \delta L + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} [L, \delta L] + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} [L, [L, \delta L]] + \dots \quad (8)$$

Taking $\lambda = 1$ gives

$$e^{L+\delta L} e^{-L} \approx I + \delta L + \frac{1}{2!} [L, \delta L] + \frac{1}{3!} [L, [L, \delta L]] + \dots \quad (9)$$

Of course for physics problems, we sweep the important convergence issues under the rug.

2. Prob 11.12

(a) Let

$$\beta' \equiv |\boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}| \quad (10)$$

be a function of $\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Then

$$\left. \nabla \beta' \right|_{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}=0} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\beta} \quad (11)$$

To the first order of $\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}$,

$$\tanh^{-1} \beta' \approx \tanh^{-1} \beta + \left[\left(\frac{1}{1-\beta'^2} \right) \nabla \beta' \right]_{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}=0} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta} = \tanh^{-1} \beta + \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta^2} \right) \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\beta} \quad (12)$$

$$\beta' \approx \beta + \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\beta} \quad (13)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
L + \delta L &= -\frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}) \cdot \mathbf{K} \tanh^{-1} \beta'}{\beta'} \\
&\approx -\frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}) \cdot \mathbf{K} \left[\tanh^{-1} \beta + \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\beta} \right]}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\beta^2} \right) \\
&\approx \underbrace{-\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{K} \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta}}_L - \frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \mathbf{K} \cdot \underbrace{\left[\delta \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\beta^2} \right]}_{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp} - \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \mathbf{K} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\beta^2}}_{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\parallel}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Therefore we can identify

$$\delta L = -\gamma^2 \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\parallel \cdot \mathbf{K} - \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{K} \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \tag{15}$$

(b) C_1 and C_2 can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 = [L, \delta L] &= \left[-\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{K} \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta}, -\gamma^2 \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\parallel \cdot \mathbf{K} - \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{K} \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right] \\
&= \frac{\gamma^2 \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} [\beta_i K_i, \delta \beta_{\parallel j} K_j] + \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^2 [\beta_i K_i, \delta \beta_{\perp j} K_j] \\
&= \frac{\gamma^2 \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \beta_i \delta \beta_{\parallel j} [K_i, K_j] + \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^2 \beta_i \delta \beta_{\perp j} [K_i, K_j] \\
&= \frac{\gamma^2 \tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \underbrace{\beta_i \delta \beta_{\parallel j} (-\epsilon_{ijk} S_k)}_{-(\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\parallel) \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0} + \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^2 \underbrace{\beta_i \delta \beta_{\perp j} (-\epsilon_{ijk} S_k)}_{-(\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \cdot \mathbf{S}} \\
&= -\left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^2 (\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \cdot \mathbf{S}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2 = [L, C_1] &= \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^3 [\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{K}, (\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp) \cdot \mathbf{S}] \\
&= \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^3 \beta_i \epsilon_{lmk} \beta_l \delta \beta_{\perp m} [K_i, S_k] \\
&= \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^3 \beta_i \epsilon_{lmk} \beta_l \delta \beta_{\perp m} (-\epsilon_{kin} K_n) \\
&= \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^3 (\delta_{im} \delta_{ln} - \delta_{il} \delta_{mn}) \beta_i \beta_l \delta \beta_{\perp m} K_n \\
&= \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \beta}{\beta} \right)^3 \left[\overbrace{(\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_\perp)}^0 (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{K}) - \beta^2 \delta \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{K} \right] \\
&= (\tanh^{-1} \beta)^2 \delta L_\perp
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (16), we already see that $[L, \delta L_\parallel] = 0$ and $[L, \delta L_\perp] = C_1$, then it is easy to obtain

$$C_3 = [L, C_2] = (\tanh^{-1} \beta)^2 [L, \delta L_\perp] = (\tanh^{-1} \beta)^2 C_1 \tag{18}$$

$$C_4 = [L, C_3] = (\tanh^{-1} \beta)^2 [L, C_1] = (\tanh^{-1} \beta)^4 \delta L_\perp \tag{19}$$

(c) Using the results from problem 11.11, to the first order in δL , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= I + \delta L_{\parallel} + \delta L_{\perp} + \frac{C_1}{2!} + \frac{C_2}{3!} + \frac{C_3}{4!} + \frac{C_4}{5!} + \dots \\
&= I - \gamma^2 \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{K} - \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{K}}{\beta} \left[\tanh^{-1} \beta + \frac{(\tanh^{-1} \beta)^3}{3!} + \frac{(\tanh^{-1} \beta)^5}{5!} + \dots \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \cdot \mathbf{S}}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{(\tanh^{-1} \beta)^2}{2!} + \frac{(\tanh^{-1} \beta)^4}{4!} + \dots \right] \\
&= I - \gamma^2 \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{K} - \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{K}}{\beta} \underbrace{\sinh(\tanh^{-1} \beta)}_{\gamma \beta} - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \cdot \mathbf{S}}{\beta^2} \underbrace{[\cosh(\tanh^{-1} \beta) - 1]}_{\gamma - 1} \\
&= I - \gamma^2 \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{K} - \gamma \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{K} - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\perp}) \cdot \mathbf{S}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$