

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a vector, whose matrix representation is

$$\mathbf{x} \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{x}^{\otimes l} \equiv \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

be the tensor product of  $l$  copies of vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . The matrix representation of  $\mathbf{x}^{\otimes l}$  is a column vector of dimension  $3^l$ :

$$\mathbf{x}^{\otimes l} \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} xx \cdots xx \\ xx \cdots xy \\ xx \cdots xz \\ xx \cdots yx \\ \cdots \\ zz \cdots zz \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

If we define

$$\mathbf{M}^l = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}^{\otimes l} d^3x \quad (4)$$

we see that the Cartesian multipole moment  $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(l)}$  is just a component of the tensor  $\mathbf{M}^l$  after full symmetrization. So the  $SO(3)$  reducibility theory will apply.

Now the number of unique symmetrizations of rank- $l$  tensor is the same as the number of unique ways to arrange ordered triple  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  such that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = l$ . It's straightforward to verify that this is exactly

$$M(l) = \frac{(l+1)(l+2)}{2} \quad (5)$$

For an arbitrary  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = l$ , consider the inner product (which indicates close relationship to the definition of  $q_{lm}$ )

$$\int Y_{l'm}^*(\theta, \phi) \frac{x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma}{r^l} d^3x \quad (6)$$

On the surface, it seems reasonable to expect this inner product to vanish unless  $l = l'$  since  $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma / r^l$  is a linear combination of order- $l$  spherical harmonics. But the "trace" operation can yield lower order spherical harmonics. For example, with  $l = 3$

$$\frac{x^2z + y^2z + z^3}{r^3} = \frac{z}{r} \quad (7)$$

therefore

$$\int Y_{l'm}^*(\theta, \phi) \left( \frac{x^2z + y^2z + z^3}{r^3} \right) d^3x = \int Y_{l'm}^*(\theta, \phi) \frac{z}{r} d^3x \quad (8)$$

actually vanishes except for  $l' = 1$ , as opposed to  $l' = 3$ .

In fact, let's count among the total  $M(l) = (l+1)(l+2)/2$  components  $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma / r^l$ , how many can participate in the "trace" operation.

This is easy to do, since for any  $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$  satisfying  $\alpha' + \beta' + \gamma' = l-2$  will produce a trace

$$\frac{x^{\alpha'+2} y^{\beta'} z^{\gamma'} + x^{\alpha'} y^{\beta'+2} z^{\gamma'} + x^{\alpha'} y^{\beta'} z^{\gamma'+2}}{r^l} = \frac{x^{\alpha'} y^{\beta'} z^{\gamma'}}{r^{l-2}} \quad (9)$$

which means out of the  $M(l) = (l+1)(l+2)/2$  components,  $M(l-2)$  of them can participate in the trace operation, leaving

$$q(l) = M(l) - M(l-2) = \frac{(l+1)(l+2) - l(l-1)}{2} = 2l + 1 \quad (10)$$

"traceless" components. These components can produce non-zero inner product with  $Y_{l'm}(\theta, \phi)$  only when  $l' = l$ , hence belong to the  $(2l + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible subspace of  $SO(3)$ . Per form (6), they are linear combinations of  $q_{lm}$ .

This operation doesn't end at one round. Among the  $M(l-2)$  components participating the first round of trace,  $M(l-4)$  of them can participate one more round. Thus those which cannot participate this second round of trace are in number

$$q(l-2) = M(l-2) - M(l-4) = 2l-3 \quad (11)$$

which belong to the  $(2l-3)$ -dimensional irreducible subspace of  $SO(3)$  and are linear combinations of  $q_{l-2,m}$ , so on and so forth.

In general, we can decompose  $M(l)$  as the sum

$$\begin{aligned} M(l) &= q(l) + M(l-2) \\ &= q(l) + q(l-2) + M(l-4) \\ &= q(l) + q(l-2) + q(l-4) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which is easy to prove. We have also listed the first few orders in the table below:

$l$	$q(l) = 2l + 1$	$M(l) = (l+1)(l+2)/2$
0	1	1
1	3	3
2	5	6
3	7	10
4	9	15
5	11	21
6	13	28

Let's briefly comment on the irreducibility of spherical multipole moments  $q_{lm}$ :

$$q_{lm} = \int Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi) r^l \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x \quad (13)$$

Under rotation, any  $Y_{lm}$ s will transform into linear combinations of  $Y_{lm}$ s with the same  $l$  only. We are not going to give the rigorous proof here, but instead, we draw analogy from the theory of angular momentum in quantum mechanics.

Since the total angular momentum operator  $\mathbf{L}^2$  commutes with rotation operator  $R$ , then for  $|lm\rangle$  a simultaneous eigenstate of  $\mathbf{L}^2$  and  $L_z$ , the rotation will leave its total angular momentum invariant:

$$\mathbf{L}^2(R|lm\rangle) = R(\mathbf{L}^2|lm\rangle) = l(l+1)\hbar^2(R|lm\rangle) \quad (14)$$

This means the rotated state  $R|lm\rangle$  is necessarily a linear combination of  $|lm'\rangle$ s. In other words, the states  $\{|lm\rangle\}$  form a complete orthonormal basis of the  $(2l+1)$ -dimensional irreducible subspace of  $SO(3)$ .

Recall  $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$  is just the position representation of  $|lm\rangle$ , the "invariant eigenvalue" relation (14) in this representation is given by the correspondence

$$\mathbf{L}^2 \leftrightarrow -\hbar^2 \nabla^2 \quad (15)$$

and the Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = -l(l+1)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (16)$$