1. (a) Linearity of transformations in homogeneous spacetime

Given two inertial frames K and K', let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ be the transforms from the unprimed coordinates (t, x, y, z) to the primed coordinates (t', x', y', z'), i.e.,

$$t' = \mathcal{T}(t, x, y, z) \tag{1}$$

$$x' = \mathcal{X}(t, x, y, z) \tag{2}$$

$$y' = \mathcal{Y}(t, x, y, z) \tag{3}$$

$$z' = \mathcal{Z}(t, x, y, z) \tag{4}$$

When we say spacetime is homogeneous, what we mean is that there is no special location or instant in spacetime (a.k.a., translational invariance). Let's consider two events measured in K with the same location but Δt apart in time, (t_0, \mathbf{x}_0) and $(t_0 + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}_0)$. The difference of their time measurements in K' should not depend on the K-frame starting time t_0 , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}(t_0 + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}_0) - \mathcal{T}(t_0, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{T}(\Delta t, \mathbf{x}_0) - \mathcal{T}(0, \mathbf{x}_0)$$
(5)

where on the RHS, we set the starting point $t_0 = 0$ due to translational invariance.

If \mathbf{x}_0 is fixed, (5) can be written more explicitly as a functional equation for the single-argument function $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t)$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t_0 + \Delta t) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t_0) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\Delta t) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(0) \qquad \forall t_0, \Delta t \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (6)

If we define $f_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t) \equiv \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(0)$, then (6) is equivalent to the Cauchy's functional equation

$$f_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t_0 + \Delta t) = f_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t_0) + f_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\Delta t) \qquad \forall t_0, \Delta t \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (7)

which, under very weak assumptions (e.g., f_{x_0} is continuous), can be proved to have general solution

$$f_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t) = \alpha_{\mathbf{x}_0} t \tag{8}$$

This restricts the form of $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ to

$$\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t) = \alpha_{\mathbf{x}_0} t + \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(0) \tag{9}$$

But can the slope α really have a location dependence? To see this, let's consider two events described by K-frame coordinates (t_0, \mathbf{x}_0) and (t_0, \mathbf{y}_0) . The difference of their time measurements in K' is thus

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(t_0) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}_0}(t_0) = \left(\alpha_{\mathbf{x}_0} - \alpha_{\mathbf{y}_0}\right) t_0 + \left[\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(0) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}_0}(0)\right]$$
(10)

With translational invariance, this difference in time measurements in K' should not depend on the K-frame starting time t_0 , which implies

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \alpha_{\mathbf{v}_0} \qquad \forall \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$$
 (11)

i.e., the slope α is independent of location, thus it can only be a function of the relative motion \mathbf{v} between the two frames.

In summary, from (9), the most general form of the transformation \mathcal{T} is then

$$\mathcal{T}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \alpha(\mathbf{v}) t + \mathcal{T}(0, \mathbf{x})$$
(12)

It is clear that the above arguments are applicable to any combinations of of $\{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}\} \times \{\Delta t, \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z\}$ – as long as we assume homogeneity along each of the four spacetime dimensions (plus weak assumptions on the continuity of the transform functions) – we can conclude that the most general form of the transformations must be linear

$$\mathcal{T}(t, x, y, z) = a_0 t + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{X}(t, x, y, z) = b_0 t + b_1 x + b_2 y + b_3 z \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}(t, x, y, z) = c_0 t + c_1 x + c_2 y + c_3 z \tag{15}$$

$$\mathscr{Z}(t, x, y, z) = d_0 t + d_1 x + d_2 y + d_3 z \tag{16}$$

where all the coefficients can only take dependency on the relative motion \mathbf{v} between the two frames.

(b) Implications of isotropic spacetime

If K' is moving with relative velocity $\mathbf{v} = v\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ as seen from K, we want to use the homogeneity and isotropy of spacetime to simplify the coefficients in (13)-(16). Since \mathbf{v} is along the x direction, in frame K, all points with the same t and x coordinates are indistinguishable due to homogeneity. Consequently, their contributions to (13) and (14) must also be indistinguishable, requiring

$$a_2 = a_3 = 0 b_2 = b_3 = 0 (17)$$

Now consider two events in K frame (t_0, x_0, y_0, z_0) and $(t_0 + \Delta t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$. By (15), the difference of their y' measurements in K' is $c_0 \Delta t$. Because of isotropy, there is no preferred positive or negative direction in the y' axis in frame K', hence there is no reason for $c_0 \Delta t$ to be either positive or negative, we must have

$$c_0 = 0 \tag{18}$$

The same argument with Δt replaced by Δx gives

$$c_1 = 0 \tag{19}$$

From the indistinguishability of (t_0, x_0, y_0, z_0) and $(t_0, x_0, y_0, z_0 + \Delta z)$ in K, we can also conclude in (15) that

$$c_3 = 0 \tag{20}$$

Similar argument applied to (16) gives

$$d_0 = d_1 = d_2 = 0 (21)$$

At this point, (13)-(16) are simplified to

$$t' = a_0 t + a_1 x (22)$$

$$x' = b_0 t + b_1 x (23)$$

$$y' = c_2 y \tag{24}$$

$$z' = d_3 z \tag{25}$$

By reversing the roles of K and K', we can also conclude that the scaling factor c_2 and d_3 must satisfy $|c_2| = |d_3| = 1$. Since as $v \to 0$, \mathscr{Y} , \mathscr{Z} will become identity transforms, we must set $c_2 = d_3 = 1$, further simplifying (22)-(25) to

$$t' = a_0 t + a_1 x \tag{26}$$

$$x' = b_0 t + b_1 x (27)$$

$$y' = y \tag{28}$$

$$z' = z \tag{29}$$

To determine these remaining coefficients (which are functions of ν), let's consider the origin point of frame K' of which x' = 0, but as seen from K, $x = \nu t$. Thus (27) requires for all t that

$$0 = b_0 t + b_1 v t \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad b_0 = -v b_1 \tag{30}$$

consequently, (27) is turned into

$$x' = b_1(v)(x - vt) (31)$$

We now prove that $b_1(v)$ is an even function of v. To see this, consider the event E_1 described by $x = x_0$, t = 0 in K. Then in K', this event has $x' = b_1(v)x_0$. Let K'' be a frame like K' except it moves with velocity -v relative to K. Consider the event E_2 described by $x = -x_0$, t = 0 in K, (31) implies that $x'' = b_1(-v)(-x_0)$. With isotropy of spacetime, the two situations described above are symmetric – i.e. the distance of E_1 's location to the origin of K', as measured in K', should be the same as the distance of E_2 's location to the origin of K'' as measured in K'', except at the opposite direction of the x-axis. This implies x' = -x'' or $b_1(v) = b_1(-v)$ which allows us to write

$$x' = f(v^2)(x - vt) \tag{32}$$

To determine a_0 , a_1 , we can rewrite (26) equivalently as

$$t' = a_0(v) \underbrace{t - v \widetilde{a}_1(v)}_{a_1(v)} x \tag{33}$$

We can prove that both $a_0(v)$ and $\tilde{a}_1(v)$ are even functions of v, as follows:

- Consider an event described by x=0, $t=t_0$ in K. The time measurement of this event in K' is $t'=a_0(\nu)t_0$, and for K'' it is $t''=a_0(-\nu)t_0$. Isotropy requires these two time measurements to be identical, therefore $a_0(v) = a_0(-v).$
- Similarly, consider event E_1 described by $x = x_0, t = 0$ in K, then its time measurement in K' is t' = $-\nu \tilde{a}_1(\nu) x_0$. For event E_2 described by $x = -x_0$, t = 0 in K, its time measurement in K'' is $t'' = +\nu \tilde{a}_1(-\nu)(-x_0)$. Again, these two situations are isotropic, hence t' = t'', or $a_1(v) = a_1(-v)$.

We can now write (33) as

$$t' = g(v^2)t - vh(v^2)x \tag{34}$$

In summary, from the homogeneity and isotropy of spacetime, for the given setup of K and K', the most general form of transformations between the two frames is

$$x' = f(v^{2})(x - vt) t' = g(v^{2})t - vh(v^{2})x y' = y z' = z (35)$$

$$x = f(v^{2})(x' + vt') t = g(v^{2})t' + vh(v^{2})x' y = y' z = z' (36)$$

$$x = f(v^2)(x' + vt') \qquad t = g(v^2)t' + vh(v^2)x' \qquad y = y' \qquad z = z' \qquad (36)$$

2. Plugging (36) into (35) gives

$$x' = f(fx' + vft') - vf(gt' + vhx') = (f^2 - v^2fh)x' + vf(f - g)t'$$
(37)

Since x' and t' are independent, we must have

$$f = g f^2 - v^2 f h = 1 (38)$$

3. Let the position of this physical entity at t' = 0 be at the origin of K', then

$$x' = u't' \tag{39}$$

Plugging (35) into the above gives the $x \sim t$ relation in K (recall f = g):

$$f(x-vt) = u'(gt-vhx) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{x}{t} = \frac{u'+v}{1+u'vh/f} \tag{40}$$

If by postulate 2', there is a universal limiting speed C, then the combined velocity of u' = C and v must also be C, i.e.,

$$C = \frac{C + \nu}{1 + C\nu h/f} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad h = \frac{f}{C^2} \tag{41}$$

By (38), we recover the Lorentz transformation

$$f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{C^2}}}\tag{42}$$