

Recall that the goal of our all-orders calculation is to derive an expression which systematically accounts for logarithmic contributions at every order in α_s and with which, if one has sufficient patience and mathematical skill, one could compute the heavy hemisphere mass to arbitrary accuracy in the $\rho \sim z_{\text{cut}} \ll 1$ limit. In this chapter, we will see how this is done.

As we have repeated several times by now,¹ QCD is a scale-invariant theory. However, when we compute something like the groomed heavy hemisphere mass distribution, we impose scale onto the system (such as the hemisphere mass or the groomer energy cut). In QCD, whenever multiple scales appear, say Λ_h and Λ_ℓ , high-order corrections to observables appear with the form [?]

$$\alpha_s^n \log^n \frac{\Lambda_h}{\Lambda_\ell}. \quad (1)$$

These logarithms can grow quite large if the scales are far apart, which enhances the size of these contributions [?]. Moreover, since these contributions occur at every order in α_s , they can be difficult to take care of. This leaves us in a quandary — how do we handle large corrections which are, for all intents and purposes, out of computational reach?

The key to an all-orders calculation of the distribution is to keep track of these logarithmic corrections in a systematic way, move them around, and put them back together into a scale-invariant function. This is the process of **resummation**, which we are about to undertake.

0.1 Resummation

The first step towards resummation in SCET is to derive a factorization formula [?], as we have already done in Eq. ???. Splitting the cross section into terms, each of which depends only on a single scale, enables resummation of each term [?].

It will be helpful to work in Laplace space, which for a function $f(t)$ is achieved by the transformation [?]

$$\mathcal{L}\{f\}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{-st} dt. \quad (2)$$

For notational simplicity, instead of writing $\mathcal{L}\{f\}(s)$ everywhere, we will simply write $f(s)$. That is, whenever a function is written in terms of Laplace variables, we will assume that it is the Laplace-transformed function, and vice versa.

Under Laplace transformation, convolution becomes multiplication, so under the transformation $\rho \rightarrow \nu$, the factorization formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\nu_1 d\nu_2} &= 2H(Q^2) \times R(\nu_1, \nu_2, z_{\text{cut}}) \times S_R(\nu_1 - z_{\text{cut}}) \times J_q(\nu_1) \times J_g(\nu_1, z_{\text{cut}}) \\ &\quad \times J_{\bar{q}}(\nu_2) \times S_C(\sqrt{\nu_2 z_{\text{cut}}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

¹Because it bears repeating!

The multiplicative factorization in Laplace space allows us to resum each term individually, without worrying about cross-talk between terms [?]. Before moving on, with this calculation, let us study how our resummation strategy will work.

0.1.1 Strategy

Let us consider the simple example of an observable

$$\sigma = F_1 F_2. \quad (4)$$

Suppose we are working in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions and have introduced a mass scale μ to compensate for dimensionality changes. We call μ the **renormalization scale**. Since μ is an arbitrary scale introduced to regulate the calculation, the physical quantity σ must be independent of μ at the end of the day:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu} = 0. \quad (5)$$

In 4 dimensions, this would be true of F_1 and F_2 as well. But in our dimensional regularization scheme, away from 4 dimensions, these functions *do* have μ -dependence. Their departure from μ -independence is described by a quantity called the **anomalous dimension**, so-called because the behavior away from 4 dimensions is anomalous in this sense. If F_1 has an anomalous dimension γ_1 and F_2 has an anomalous dimension γ_2 , then it is a general fact of quantum field theory that [?]

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \log \mu} = \gamma_1 F_1 \quad \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \log \mu} = \gamma_2 F_2. \quad (6)$$

In fact, many authors (such as the author of Ref. [?]) *define* the anomalous dimension this way. Now notice that this yields, by the product rule,

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \log \mu} = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) F_1 F_2. \quad (7)$$

In order to enforce Eq. 5, we demand that

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 0. \quad (8)$$

This provides constraints on our calculations as well as a strong consistency check — if we have calculated F_1 and F_2 and find that Eq. 8 is unsatisfied, then we know a mistake has been made. On the other hand, it means that if we compute the anomalous dimension of F_1 , then we do not need to compute the anomalous dimension of F_2 .

Equations 5 and 6 are known as **renormalization group equations**.² The overall strategy to resum large logarithms in the cross section σ is to solve the renormalization group equation for each function F_1 and F_2 . As long as σ factorizes as claimed and Eq. 8 is satisfied, then this results in an all-orders calculation of σ .

²The renormalization group refers to the symmetry of physical quantities under changes in the techniques used to calculate them. Technically, we are working with the **continuum renormalization group**, which formalizes the independence of observable quantities on μ in dimensional regularization. [?]

Thus, we have a general two-step strategy for resumming a function F (such as F_1 or F_2 in the example above):

1. Compute the anomalous dimension γ of F . This is done by computing F to some desired order in α_s and pulling out the appropriate terms.
2. Solve the renormalization group equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \log \mu} = \gamma F = \left(\Gamma_F(\alpha_s) \log \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_1^2} + \gamma_F(\alpha_s) \right) F. \quad (9)$$

These steps are explained in more detail below.

0.1.2 Anomalous dimension

In order to solve the renormalization group equation, we need to know more about the anomalous dimensions. In general, for a function F , the anomalous dimension γ can be written as [?]

$$\gamma = \Gamma_F(\alpha_s) \log \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_1^2} + \gamma_F(\alpha_s). \quad (10)$$

Here, μ_1 is the remainder of the scale appearing with μ in the logarithm. We call $\Gamma_F(\alpha_s)$ the **cusp anomalous dimension**, and $\gamma_F(\alpha_s)$ is the **non-cusp anomalous dimension**. The cusp anomalous dimension is universal up to normalization and can be written as a series in α_s :

$$\Gamma_F(\alpha_s) = d_F \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) = d_F \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^{n+1}. \quad (11)$$

The coefficients Γ_n can be pulled from the literature. The relevant terms for our purposes are [?]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= 4 \\ \Gamma_1 &= 4C_A \left(\frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) - \frac{80}{9} T_R n_f, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $C_A = 3$ and $T_R = 1/2$ are color factors from QCD and n_f is the number of quarks with energy less than that being considered.

The real work comes from computing the non-cusp anomalous dimension

$$\gamma_F(\alpha_s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^{n+1}. \quad (13)$$

An example calculation will be performed in Sec. 0.2. This entails computing the function of interest to the desired accuracy, then peeling off the non-logarithmic contributions to the anomalous dimension. The anomalous dimension can be determined either through inspection, by simply taking the coefficient of $2/\epsilon$, or by computing the appropriate derivative per the renormalization group equation.

0.1.3 Solving the renormalization group equation

To solve the renormalization group equation, Eq. 9, it is convenient to rewrite the differential equation not in terms of μ , but in terms of α_s . This is done through the QCD β -function, which describes how α_s depends on the energy scale μ [?]:

$$d \log \mu = \frac{d\mu}{\mu} = \frac{d\alpha_s}{\beta(\alpha_s)}. \quad (14)$$

This is a manifestation of the running of the coupling α_s . The β -function is another quantity well-known in the literature as a series in α_s :

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = -2\alpha_s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^{n+1} \quad (15)$$

with [?]

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}T_R n_f \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{34}{3}C_A^2 - 4T_R n_f \left(C_F + \frac{5}{3}C_A \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $C_F = 4/3$ is another QCD color factor. The general solution to the renormalization group equation is then [?]

$$F(\mu) = F(\mu_0) \exp \left[2 \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha) \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')} + \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \gamma_F(\alpha) + \log \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu^2} \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha) \right]. \quad (17)$$

Here, μ_0 is some reference energy scale of our choosing. One way to think about resummation is that it allows us to compute the function F at any scale μ , given the value at a particular scale μ_0 . Moreover, the only place where large logarithms could now live is in $F(\mu_0)$ — it turns out that the logarithms will contain factors of μ_0 , so we can cleverly pick μ_0 in order to eliminate them.

To see that Eq. 17 solves the renormalization group equation 9, we can simply differentiate it with respect to μ . To do so, first notice that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha) \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')} = \frac{\partial \alpha_s(\mu)}{\partial \mu} \frac{\Gamma_F(\alpha_s(\mu))}{\beta(\alpha_s(\mu))} \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)}. \quad (18)$$

By the definition of the β -function in Eq. 14,

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_s(\mu)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\beta(\alpha_s(\mu))}{\mu} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} = \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} = \log \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}. \quad (20)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha) \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')} = \frac{\Gamma_F(\alpha_s(\mu))}{\mu} \log \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}. \quad (21)$$

The second and third integrals of Eq. 17 are similar but more straightforward:

$$\int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \gamma_F(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma_F(\alpha_s(\mu))}{\mu}, \quad \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma_F(\alpha_s(\mu))}{\mu}. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, differentiating Eq. 17 with respect to μ yields, via the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \frac{\partial F(\mu)}{\partial \mu} &= F(\mu) \left[\log \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \Gamma_F(\alpha_s) + \gamma_F(\alpha_s) + \log \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu_1^2} \Gamma_F(\alpha_s) \right] \\ &= F(\mu) \left[\Gamma_F(\alpha_s) \log \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_1^2} + \gamma_F(\alpha_s) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where we have assigned $\alpha_s = \alpha_s(\mu)$. This is exactly the renormalization group equation, so the solution works.

Next-to-leading-logarithmic accuracy

In a standard perturbative expansion of a physical quantity

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \lambda^n, \quad (24)$$

we might talk about approximating a solution by keeping only, say, the leading-order (LO) term f_0 . If we need higher precision,³ we can take higher-order terms in the series, moving to next-to-leading order (NLO), then next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO), and so on.

In the context of a perturbative resummation calculation such as the one at hand, it does not make sense to discuss the order of a result. This is because the exponent of the solution to the renormalization group equation, Eq. 17, is itself a series in α_s . To achieve a more accurate result, we want to expand the *exponent* to a fixed order, which means that the quantity itself is *logarithmically* accurate with respect to this expansion. A leading-order exponential expansion yields an overall result accurate to the leading logarithm (LL) of the exponent. Moving to higher accuracy then takes us to the next-to-leading-logarithm (NLL), and so on.

Whereas LL accuracy gives only the vaguest hints about the distribution of an observable, NLL accuracy is the first order which makes meaningful predictions about the shape of the distribution. For this reason, we will explore the process of developing an NLL calculation.

To do this, we have to decide how accurately to calculate each piece of Eq. 17. Let

$$K(\mu, \mu_0) \equiv 2 \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha) \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')} + \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \gamma_F(\alpha) \quad (25)$$

³Or are feeling particularly fancy

and

$$\omega(\mu, \mu_0) \equiv \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \Gamma_F(\alpha). \quad (26)$$

To achieve NLL accuracy, we want to determine $K(\mu, \mu_0)$ to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$, while we want $\omega(\mu, \mu_0)$ to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ [?]. It turns out that we need $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s)$ to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ and $\beta(\alpha_s)$ to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$, while we only need the non-cusp anomalous dimension $\gamma_F(\alpha_s)$ to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$. If we take

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) = \Gamma_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} + \Gamma_1 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \quad (27)$$

$$\gamma_F(\alpha_s) = \gamma_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \quad (28)$$

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = -2\beta_0 \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi} - 2\beta_1 \frac{\alpha_s^3}{(4\pi)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4) \quad (29)$$

and let

$$r \equiv \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}, \quad (30)$$

then the first term in the exponent becomes

$$K(\mu, \mu_0) = \frac{d_F \Gamma_0}{2\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)} \left(\log r + \frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) + \log r \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0} \right) + \frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_0} \log^2 r \right] - \frac{\gamma_0}{2\beta_0} \log r + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s). \quad (31)$$

while the second is

$$\omega(\mu, \mu_0) = \frac{d_F \Gamma_0}{2\beta_0} \left[\log \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{4\pi} (r - 1) \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2). \quad (32)$$

Recall that $\Gamma_F(\alpha_s) = d_F \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s)$ for some normalization d_F .

With these expansions in place, we can re-write Eq. 17 in *Laplace space* as

$$\boxed{F(\mu) = F(\mu_0) e^{K(\mu, \mu_0)} \left(\frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu_1^2} \right)^{\omega(\mu, \mu_0)}}. \quad (33)$$

Everything is now defined in terms of known constants and the anomalous dimension except for $F(\mu_0)$. This quantity is determined by calculating F at some fixed order and then evaluating at the scale μ_0 .⁴ **TODO: explicate the subtle difference between the resummed result and the fixed-order result. Explain why we needed to go through the trouble of resummation in the first place]**

⁴ $F(\mu_0)$ is simply a boundary value for the renormalization group equation.

0.1.4 Full resummed result

The above process can be completed for every term in the factorization formula, Eq. 3. Letting μ_F be the fixed scale at which function F is evaluated and $\mu_{1,F}$ be the natural scale appearing logarithmically in F , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\nu_1 d\nu_2} = 2 \exp & \left[K_H(\mu, \mu_H) + K_R(\mu, \mu_R) + K_{S_R}(\mu, \mu_{S_R}) + K_{J_q}(\mu, \mu_{J_q}) \right. \\
& \left. + K_{J_g}(\mu, \mu_{J_g}) + K_{J_{\bar{q}}}(\mu, \mu_{J_{\bar{q}}}) + K_{S_C}(\mu, \mu_{S_C}) \right] \\
& \times H(Q, \mu_H) R(\nu_1, \nu_2, z_{\text{cut}}, \mu_R) S_R(\nu_1, z_{\text{cut}}, \mu_{S_R}) J_q(\nu_1, \mu_{J_q}) \\
& \times J_g(\nu_1, z_{\text{cut}}, \mu_{J_g}) J_{\bar{q}}(\nu_2, \mu_{J_{\bar{q}}}) S_C(\nu_2, z_{\text{cut}}, \mu_{S_C}) \left(\frac{\mu_H}{\mu_{1,H}} \right)^{\omega_H(\mu, \mu_H)} \\
& \times \left(\frac{\mu_R}{\mu_{1,R}} \right)^{\omega_R(\mu, \mu_R)} \left(\frac{\mu_H}{\mu_{1,H}} \right)^{\omega_{S_R}(\mu, \mu_{S_R})} \left(\frac{\mu_{J_q}}{\mu_{1,J_q}} \right)^{\omega_{J_q}(\mu, \mu_{J_q})} \\
& \times \left(\frac{\mu_{J_g}}{\mu_{1,J_g}} \right)^{\omega_{J_g}(\mu, \mu_{J_g})} \left(\frac{\mu_{J_{\bar{q}}}}{\mu_{1,J_{\bar{q}}}} \right)^{\omega_{J_{\bar{q}}}(\mu, \mu_{J_{\bar{q}}})} \left(\frac{\mu_{S_C}}{\mu_{1,S_C}} \right)^{\omega_{S_C}(\mu, \mu_{S_C})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

This result is in Laplace space, but we would like it to be in real space. For the function H , its lack of ν -dependence means that it does not change under inverse Laplace transformation. However, to transform the rest, we need to be sneaky.

For any resummed function F appearing in the factorization formula which takes the form (where we have made the ν -dependence in the scale μ_1 explicit)

$$F(\nu, \mu) = e^{K(\mu, \mu_0)} F(\nu, \mu_0) \left(\frac{\nu^\xi \mu_0}{\mu_1} \right)^{\omega(\mu, \mu_0)}, \tag{35}$$

the fixed-scale function $F(\nu, \mu_0)$ contains logarithms of the form [?]

$$\log^n \frac{\nu^\xi \mu_0}{\mu_1}. \tag{36}$$

In fact, all ν -dependence will appear in this way.⁵ We can therefore use the clever relationship [?, ?]

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial q^n} \nu^q = \nu^q \log^n \nu \tag{37}$$

to re-write the logarithms in the fixed-scale as derivatives. That is, we will take **[TODO: check this]**

$$\log^n \frac{\nu^\xi \mu_0}{\mu_1} \rightarrow \partial_\omega^n, \tag{38}$$

⁵If this seems weird now, do not worry. We will see a concrete example later which makes this point clearer. This will be a ‘proof by example,’ if you will.

a derivative with respect to the function $\omega(\mu, \mu_0)$. Denoting this transformation by $F(L \rightarrow \partial_\omega)$, we have

$$F(\nu, \mu) = e^{K(\mu, \mu_0)} F(L \rightarrow \partial_\omega) \left(\frac{\nu^\xi \mu_0}{\mu_1} \right)^{\omega(\mu, \mu_0)}. \quad (39)$$

Now our problem is solved because the inverse Laplace transformation commutes with derivatives and the following transformation is known [?]:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\nu^q\} = \frac{\rho^{-q-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} \quad (40)$$

where ρ is the transformation partner of ν and $\Gamma(x)$ is the standard gamma function. Hence, the inverse Laplace transformation of $F(\nu, \mu)$ is

$$F(\rho, \mu) = e^{K(\mu, \mu_0)} F(L \rightarrow \partial_\omega) \left[\frac{\mu_0}{\rho^\xi \mu_1} \right]^{\omega(\mu, \mu_0)} \frac{1}{\rho \Gamma(-\xi \omega(\mu, \mu_0))}. \quad (41)$$

Performing this operation for every term in Eq. 34 that has explicit ν -dependence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\rho_1 d\rho_2} = 2 \exp & \left[K_H(\mu, \mu_H) + K_R(\mu, \mu_R) + K_{S_R}(\mu, \mu_{S_R}) + K_{J_q}(\mu, \mu_{J_q}) \right. \\ & \left. + K_{J_g}(\mu, \mu_{J_g}) + K_{J_{\bar{q}}}(\mu, \mu_{J_{\bar{q}}}) + K_{S_C}(\mu, \mu_{S_C}) \right] \\ & \times H(Q, \mu_H) R(L \rightarrow \partial_{\omega_R}) S_R(L \rightarrow \partial_{\omega_{S_R}}) J_q(L \rightarrow \partial_{\omega_{J_q}}) \\ & \times J_g(L \rightarrow \partial_{\omega_{J_g}}) J_{\bar{q}}(L \rightarrow \partial_{\omega_{J_{\bar{q}}}}) S_C(L \rightarrow \partial_{\omega_{S_C}}) \left(\frac{\mu_H}{\mu_{1,H}} \right)^{\omega_H(\mu, \mu_H)} \\ & \times \left(\frac{\mu_R}{\mu_{1,R}} \right)^{\omega_R(\mu, \mu_R)} \left(\frac{\mu_{S_R}}{\mu_{1,S_R}} \right)^{\omega_{S_R}(\mu, \mu_{S_R})} \left(\frac{\mu_{J_q}}{\mu_{1,J_q}} \right)^{\omega_{J_q}(\mu, \mu_{J_q})} \\ & \times \left(\frac{\mu_{J_g}}{\mu_{1,J_g}} \right)^{\omega_{J_g}(\mu, \mu_{J_g})} \left(\frac{\mu_{J_{\bar{q}}}}{\mu_{1,J_{\bar{q}}}} \right)^{\omega_{J_{\bar{q}}}(\mu, \mu_{J_{\bar{q}}})} \left(\frac{\mu_{S_C}}{\mu_{1,S_C}} \right)^{\omega_{S_C}(\mu, \mu_{S_C})} \\ & \times \frac{1}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\xi_{J_{\bar{q}}} \omega_{J_{\bar{q}}}(\mu, \mu_{J_{\bar{q}}}) - \xi_{S_C} \omega_{S_C}(\mu, \mu_{S_C}))} \\ & \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\xi_R \omega_R(\mu, \mu_R) - \xi_{S_R} \omega_{S_R}(\mu, \mu_{S_R}) - \xi_{J_q} \omega_{J_q}(\mu, \mu_{J_q}) - \xi_{J_g} \omega_{J_g}(\mu, \mu_{J_g}))}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where ξ_F is the exponent of ν in the exponentiated term corresponding to function F . The replacement of $\nu \rightarrow 1/\rho$ in the exponentiated scale ratios is implicit.

As messy as Eq. 42 may be, it is remarkable that we can write down the solution in closed form (assuming that we can compute the K and ω functions). All that is left to do now is compute each piece of the cross section to determine the anomalous dimensions and fixed-scale contributions.

Luckily for us, several of the functions — the hard function H , the jet functions J_q , $J_{\bar{q}}$, and J_g , and the collinear-soft function S_C — have already been computed to the necessary order for an NLL calculation of the heavy hemisphere mass **[TODO: would be nice to find/cite these]**. However, the resolved emission function R and the soft function S_R are novel; for these, we must compute their anomalous dimensions and fixed-scale contributions to order α_s . To see how this works, we will compute the soft function S_R in Sec. 0.2.

0.2 Soft function

0.2.1 Setup

Let us first remind ourselves what it is we are calculating. The soft function $S_R(\rho - z_{\text{cut}})$ describes soft radiation which passes the mMDT groomer due to its proximity to the resolved gluon. If the resolved emission occurs at an angle θ from the quark axis, then because of the iterative selection approach of the mMDT grooming algorithm, any radiation at a smaller angle either from the quark or the gluon will pass the groomer.

We want to compute S_R to order α_s , then use the renormalization group equation to resum the soft function. For the sake of this section, let $\rho_s = \rho - z_{\text{cut}}$ be the contribution of the soft ungroomed emissions to the hemisphere mass.

For now, let the resolved gluon have momentum k_g , let the quark lie along the direction $n_q = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, and consider an extra-soft gluon that has been emitted with momentum k . If this extra-soft gluon is closer to the quark, then its dominant contribution to the mass ρ_s will come from its interaction with the quark:

$$\rho_s = \frac{4k^+}{Q}, \quad (43)$$

where $k^+ = n_q \cdot k$ and $k^- = k \cdot (1, 0, 0, -1)$ are light-cone coordinates with respect to the quark axis. On the other hand, if the extra-soft gluon is closer to the resolved gluon, then its dominant contribution comes from this interaction:

$$\rho_s = \frac{4k \cdot n_g}{Q} = \frac{4k \cdot k_g}{E_g Q} \quad (44)$$

where n_g is the direction of the resolved gluon and k_g is its energy.

Notice now that the angle between the extra-soft gluon and the quark is given by

$$1 - \cos \theta_{gq} = \frac{k^+}{k_0}, \quad (45)$$

while the angle between the extra-soft gluon and the resolved gluon is

$$1 - \cos \theta_{gg} = \frac{k \cdot n_g}{k_0}. \quad (46)$$

The case in which the extra-soft gluon is closer to the quark is the case in which $\theta_{gq} < \theta_{gg}$, so $1 - \cos \theta_{gq} < 1 - \cos \theta_{gg}$ and therefore, in turn, $k^+ < k \cdot n_g$. The total measurement function will therefore be

$$\delta_{\rho_s} = \Theta(k \cdot n_g - k^+) \delta\left(\rho - \frac{4k^+}{Q}\right) + \Theta(k^+ - k \cdot n_g) \delta\left(\rho - \frac{4k \cdot n_g}{Q}\right). \quad (47)$$

We also need to impose the kinematic constraint that the extra-soft gluon is sufficiently close to the quark or resolved gluon. Saying that the gluon is in the region is equivalent to saying that it is not outside of the region. The gluon is outside of the quark's radius of influence if

$$\frac{k^+}{k_0} = 1 - \cos \theta_{gq} > 1 - \cos \theta = n_g \cdot n_q. \quad (48)$$

On the other hand, the gluon is outside the resolved gluon's radius of influence if

$$\frac{k \cdot n_g}{k_0} = 1 - \cos \theta_{gg} > 1 - \cos \theta = n_g \cdot n_q. \quad (49)$$

Therefore, the mMDT grooming restriction is

$$\Theta_{\text{mMDT}} = 1 - \Theta(k^+ - k_{0g} \cdot n_q) \Theta(k \cdot n_g - k_0 n_g \cdot n_q). \quad (50)$$

In QCD, the gluon carries color charge, so a gluon can be emitted off of any pair of color-charged particles: the quark and antiquark, the quark and resolved gluon, or the antiquark and resolved gluon. The matrix element accounts for all of these possibilities, and in the soft limit in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions it takes the form [?]

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = -4\pi\alpha_s\mu^{2\epsilon} \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{(n_i \cdot k)(n_j \cdot k)}. \quad (51)$$

[TODO: are we missing a factor of σ_0 ?] Here i, j range over all the pairs of resolved particles, the n_i are direction vectors, and the \mathbf{T}_i are color charge matrices which account for color correlations. Their precise definition is unimportant, and all we need to know is that $\mathbf{T}_q \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\bar{q}} = -C_F$ [?].

For a complete calculation, we would need to compute the soft function separately for each term of the sum, then combine them all together at the end. However, only one of the terms — emission off of the quark-antiquark pair — is analytically tractable, so for the sake of demonstration we will focus solely on that. This is equivalent, in some sense, to computing the soft function in a theory like quantum electrodynamics, in which the force carrier does not carry charge.⁶ Thus, we will simply take

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = 4\pi\alpha_s C_F \mu^{2\epsilon} \frac{n_q \cdot n_{\bar{q}}}{(n_q \cdot k)(n_{\bar{q}} \cdot k)} = 4\pi\alpha_s C_F \mu^{2\epsilon} \frac{2}{k^+ k^-}, \quad (52)$$

⁶Of course, this would be a logically incoherent calculation, as the very presence of jet physics is a result of the particular nonlinearities of QCD which lead to the gluon carrying color charge. Nevertheless, we will continue, since the goal of this thesis is, in large part, pedagogical — I warned you that we would have to make sacrifices.

where $n_{\bar{q}} = (1, 0, 0, -1)$.

Finally, the phase space measure in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions takes the usual form

$$d\Pi = \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta(k^2) \Theta(k^+) \Theta(k^- - k^+). \quad (53)$$

[TODO: check the power of 2π] Notice that we are enforcing the gluon to be emitted in the hemisphere with the quark by requiring $k^- > k^+$. This is necessary because of the assumption we have made in writing the all-orders result of Eq. 42. Note as well that we are only scanning over the momentum of the extra-soft gluon: under the assumption that this gluon is softer than the resolved gluon, the emission does not meaningfully influence the momentum of either the quarks or the resolved gluon.

Thus, putting everything together, we find

$$\boxed{S_R(\rho_s) = 4\pi\alpha_s C_F \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta(k^2) \Theta(k^+) \Theta(k^- - k^+) \frac{2}{k^+ k^-} \times \left[\Theta(k \cdot n_g - k^+) \delta\left(\rho - \frac{4k^+}{Q}\right) + \Theta(k^+ - k \cdot n_g) \delta\left(\rho - \frac{4k \cdot n_g}{Q}\right) \right] \times [1 - \Theta(k^+ - k_{0g} \cdot n_q) \Theta(k \cdot n_g - k_{0g} \cdot n_q)]}. \quad (54)$$

0.2.2 Coordinate choice

If we want to perform any actual calculations, we need to pick a coordinate system in which to evaluate the integral of Eq. 54. Notice that, physically, there is an axial symmetry to the problem: nothing depends on the azimuthal angle of the resolved emission about the quark axis. Therefore, we might define our momenta in terms of the **detector coordinates** of transverse momentum $p_\perp \in [0, \infty)$, pseudorapidity $\eta \in [0, \infty)$, and azimuthal angle $\phi \in [0, \pi]$. These are defined in terms of Cartesian coordinates (p_x, p_y, p_z) as

$$p_x = p_\perp \cos \phi \quad p_y = p_\perp \sin \phi \quad p_z = p_\perp \sinh \eta \quad (55)$$

$$p_\perp = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \quad \phi = \arctan \frac{p_y}{p_x} \quad \eta = \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{p_z}{|\mathbf{p}|}. \quad (56)$$

Notice also that, for an on-shell particle,

$$p_0 = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2} = p_\perp \cosh \eta. \quad (57)$$

Define the detector coordinates of the extra soft gluon as $k = (k_0, k_\perp, \phi_k, \eta_k)$.

The resolved gluon is fixed from the perspective of the extra-soft gluon, so we can write its momentum in whichever coordinates are most convenient. This turns out to be standard spherical coordinates, in which the gluon is emitted with an azimuthal angle ϕ_g and a polar angle θ_g from the jet axis. Without loss of generality, we can define our coordinate axes so that $\phi_g = 0$.

Now we can transform each term of Eq. 54. First, notice that

$$k^+ = k_0 - k_z = k_\perp (\cosh \eta_k - \sinh \eta_k) = k_\perp e^{-\eta_k}, \quad (58)$$

and similarly

$$k^- = k_\perp e^{\eta_k}. \quad (59)$$

Then the restriction $k^+ > 0$ becomes $k_\perp > 0$ and $k^- > k^+$ becomes $\eta_k > 0$. That is,

$$\Theta(k^+) \Theta(k^- - k^+) = \Theta(k_\perp) \Theta(\eta_k). \quad (60)$$

Moreover, the matrix element becomes

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = 4\pi\alpha_s C_F \frac{2}{k_\perp^2}. \quad (61)$$

Next comes the measurement function. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} k \cdot n_g &= (k_\perp \cosh \eta_k, k_\perp \cos \phi_k, k_\perp \sin \phi_k, k_\perp \sinh \eta_k) \cdot (1, \sin \theta_g, 0, \cos \theta_g) \\ &= k_\perp [\cosh \eta_k - \cos \phi_k \sin \theta_g - \sinh \eta_k \cos \theta_g]. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Therefore

$$\Theta(k^+ - k \cdot n_g) = \Theta\left(\cos \phi_k \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2} - \sinh \eta_k\right) \quad (63)$$

and

$$\Theta(k \cdot n_g - k^+) = \Theta\left(\sinh \eta_k - \cos \phi_k \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2}\right). \quad (64)$$

The full measurement function becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\rho_s} &= \Theta\left(\sinh \eta_k - \cos \phi_k \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2}\right) \delta\left(\rho_s - \frac{4k_\perp e^{-\eta_k}}{Q}\right) \\ &\quad + \Theta\left(\cos \phi_k \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2} - \sinh \eta_k\right) \delta\left(\rho_s - \frac{4k_\perp}{Q} [\cosh \eta_k - \cos \phi_k \sin \theta_g - \sinh \eta_k \cos \theta_g]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

We also need to transform the mMDT grooming terms. Notice that

$$\Theta(k^+ - k_0 n_g \cdot n_q) = \Theta(\cos \theta_g - \tanh \eta_k) \quad (66)$$

and

$$\Theta(k \cdot n_g - k_0 n_g \cdot n_q) = \Theta(\cot \theta_g - e^{\eta_k} \cos \phi_k). \quad (67)$$

Therefore,

$$\Theta_{\text{mMDT}} = 1 - \Theta(\cos \theta_g - \tanh \eta_k) \Theta(\cot \theta_g - e^{\eta_k} \cos \phi_k). \quad (68)$$

The last thing to transform is the phase space measure. We wish to convert

$$d^d k \delta(k^2) = dk_0 dk_z d^{d-2} \mathbf{k}_\perp \delta(k^2) \rightarrow dk_0 d\eta_k d^{d-2} \mathbf{k}_\perp \delta(k^2), \quad (69)$$

where \mathbf{k}_\perp represents the off-axis components of k in $d - 2$ dimensions. The first part of the transformation is straightforward, as it simply corresponds to evaluating the Jacobian of the transformation $(k_0, k_z) \rightarrow (k_0, \eta_k)$:

$$dk_0 dk_z = k_\perp \cosh \eta_k dk_0 d\eta_k. \quad (70)$$

But how do we transform the term $d^{d-2}\mathbf{k}_\perp$? As we did in the fixed-order calculation, we will siphon the fractional dimensions into an angular term. With $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$, we can write $d^{d-2}\mathbf{k}_\perp$ in spherical coordinates as

$$d^{d-2}\mathbf{k}_\perp = k_\perp^{d-3} dk_\perp \sin^{-2\epsilon} \phi_k d\phi_k d\Omega_{d-3} \quad (71)$$

with Ω_{d-3} the solid angle of the $(d - 3)$ -dimensional unit sphere. Integrating over the solid angle yields [?]

$$\int d\Omega_{d-3} = \frac{2\pi^{(d-3)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-3}{2})} = \frac{2\pi^{1/2-\epsilon}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)}. \quad (72)$$

Thus, we find that

$$d^{d-2}\mathbf{k}_\perp = dk_\perp d\phi_k k_\perp^{d-3} \sin^{-2\epsilon} \phi_k \frac{2\pi^{1/2-\epsilon}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)}. \quad (73)$$

Also notice that

$$\delta(k^2) = \delta(k_0^2 - k_\perp^2 - k_z^2) = \delta(k_0^2 - k_\perp^2 \cosh^2 \eta_k). \quad (74)$$

This simplifies to

$$\delta(k_0^2 - k_\perp^2 \cosh^2 \eta_k) = \frac{1}{k_\perp \cosh \eta_k} \delta(k_0 - k_\perp \cosh \eta_k), \quad (75)$$

so we can integrate out k_0 :

$$\int dk_0 \delta(k^2) = \frac{1}{k_\perp \cosh \eta_k}. \quad (76)$$

All together the phase space measure is

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta(k^2) = \frac{(4\pi)^\epsilon}{4\pi^{5/2}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)} \int dk_\perp d\phi_k d\eta_k k^{1-2\epsilon} \sin^{-2\epsilon} \phi_k. \quad (77)$$

Under the modified minimal subtraction scheme, we will take $(4\pi)^\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ (and we will also set the Euler-Mascheroni constant $\gamma_E \rightarrow 0$ when it comes up).

Combining Eqs. 54, 60, 61, 65, and 77 then yields the full integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_R(\rho_s) = & \frac{\pi\alpha_s C_F \mu^{2\epsilon}}{\pi^{5/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)} \int dk_\perp d\phi_k d\eta_k k_\perp^{1-2\epsilon} \sin^{-2\epsilon} \phi_k \Theta(k_\perp) \Theta(\eta_k) \frac{2}{k_\perp^2} \\
 & \times \left[\Theta\left(\sinh \eta_k - \cos \phi_k \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2}\right) \delta\left(\rho_s - \frac{4k_\perp e^{-\eta_k}}{Q}\right) \right. \\
 & \quad + \Theta\left(\cos \phi_k \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2} - \sinh \eta_k\right) \\
 & \quad \times \delta\left(\rho_s - \frac{4k_\perp}{Q} [\cosh \eta_k - \cos \phi_k \sin \theta_g - \sinh \eta_k \cos \theta_g]\right) \Big] \\
 & \times [1 - \Theta(\cos \theta_g - \tanh \eta_k) \Theta(\cot \theta_g - e^{\eta_k} \cos \phi_k)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

0.2.3 Computation, transformation, and Laurent series

The actual computation of the integral in Eq. 78 is fairly involved,⁷ and is therefore relegated to Appendix ???. The result, from Eq. ??, is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_R(\rho_s) = & \frac{2\pi\alpha_s C_F \mu^{2\epsilon}}{\pi^{5/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)} \left(\frac{Q}{4}\right)^{-2\epsilon} \frac{1}{\rho_s^{1+2\epsilon}} \\
 & \times \left[\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)} - [\pi - \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g)] \log \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2} \right. \\
 & \quad - \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g) \log(2 \cot \theta_g) \\
 & \quad - \frac{i}{4} [\operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{-2i \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g)}) - \operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{2i \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g)})] \\
 & \quad + \Theta\left(\theta_g - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \left[\arccos \cot \theta_g \log(2 \cot \theta_g) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{i}{4} [\operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{-2i \arccos \cot \theta_g}) - \operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{2i \arccos \cot \theta_g})] \right] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0),
 \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

where $\operatorname{Li}_2(z)$ is the dilogarithm function defined in Appendix ??. A Laplace transform taking $\rho_s \rightarrow \nu$ has the effect of setting

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{1}{\rho_s^{1+2\epsilon}}\right\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho_s}{\rho_s^{1+2\epsilon}} e^{-\rho_s \nu} = \nu^{2\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon). \tag{80}$$

We can then expand the prefactor in ϵ to find

$$\frac{2\pi\alpha_s C_F \mu^{2\epsilon}}{\pi^{5/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)} \left(\frac{Q}{4}\right)^{-2\epsilon} \nu^{2\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \left[-\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2 \log\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) - 2\epsilon \log^2\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \tag{81}$$

⁷And much too complicated for Mathematica, in case you were wondering

We have set the Euler-Mascheroni constant $\gamma_E \rightarrow 0$ when expanding the gamma functions. We have also dropped some order- ϵ terms which are not logarithmically enhanced, as these do not contribute meaningfully to the anomalous dimension. We can also expand the first term in square brackets as

$$\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)} = \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} + \pi \log 2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \quad (82)$$

Thus, if we let

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta_g) = & \pi \log 2 - [\pi - \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g)] \log \cot \frac{\theta_g}{2} \\ & - \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g) \log(2 \cot \theta_g) \\ & - \frac{i}{4} [\operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{-2i \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g)}) - \operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{2i \operatorname{arcsec}(1 + \sec \theta_g)})] \\ & + \Theta\left(\theta_g - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \left[\arccos \cot \theta_g \log(2 \cot \theta_g) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{4} [\operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{-2i \arccos \cot \theta_g}) - \operatorname{Li}_2(-e^{2i \arccos \cot \theta_g})] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

we have

$$S_R(\nu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \left[-\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2 \log\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) - 2\epsilon \log^2\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) \right] \left[\frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} + f(\theta_g) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0). \quad (84)$$

Expanding this out yields the result:

$$\boxed{S_R(\nu) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{\pi}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{f(\theta_g)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\pi}{\epsilon} \log\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) + 2f(\theta_g) \log\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) + \pi \log^2\left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0).} \quad (85)$$

0.2.4 Anomalous dimension

Recall from Eqs. 10, 11, and 13 that the anomalous dimension takes the form

$$\gamma = \Gamma_F(\alpha_s) \log \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_1^2} + \gamma_F(\alpha_s), \quad (86)$$

where

$$\Gamma_F(\alpha_s) = d_F \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^{n+1} \quad (87)$$

for some constant d_F and

$$\gamma_F(\alpha_s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^{n+1}. \quad (88)$$

We would like to determine the values of d_F and γ_0 (since Γ_0 and Γ_1 are universal and known). The anomalous dimension ends up being the coefficient of $2/\epsilon$, so we can simply read it off: the coefficient of $2/\epsilon$ in Eq. 85 is

$$\gamma = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left[\frac{8}{\pi} f(\theta_g) + 4 \log \left(\frac{4\mu^2 \nu^2}{Q^2} \right) \right]. \quad (89)$$

Thus, we see immediately that

$$\boxed{\gamma_0 = -\frac{8C_F}{\pi} f(\theta_g)} \quad (90)$$

and that

$$\boxed{\mu_1 = \frac{Q}{2\nu}}. \quad (91)$$

Since, from Eq. 12, $\Gamma_0 = 4$, we then have

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \left[\gamma_0 - \Gamma_0 C_F \log \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_1^2} \right]. \quad (92)$$

Thus, the coefficient on the cusp anomalous dimension is

$$\boxed{d_F = -C_F}. \quad (93)$$

Notice that the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ calculation of the soft function resulted in the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ value of the anomalous dimension. To obtain a more accurate result, we would need to compute the soft function to higher orders in α_s .

Let us now check our work by verifying that, at this fixed order,

$$\frac{\partial S_R}{\partial \log \mu} = \gamma \quad (94)$$

if we ignore the pole at $\epsilon = 0$ and then set $\epsilon = 0$.⁸ From Eq. 85, we see that, dropping the pole,

$$S_R^{\epsilon=0}(\nu) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \left[2f(\theta_g) \log \left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q} \right) + \pi \log^2 \left(\frac{2\mu\nu}{Q} \right) \right]. \quad (95)$$

Then the derivative is, after some light simplification,

$$\frac{\partial S_R^{\epsilon=0}}{\partial \log \mu} = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left[\frac{8}{\pi} f(\theta_g) + 4 \log \left(\frac{4\mu^2 \nu^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] = \gamma, \quad (96)$$

as desired.

⁸This is acceptable because the pole must eventually cancel in the full resummed result

0.2.5 Resumming the soft function

Now, with the anomalous dimension in hand, we can resum the soft function to NLL accuracy using the results of Sec. 0.1.3. For some arbitrary μ_0 and

$$r = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}, \quad (97)$$

the exponentiated kernel of Eq. 31 becomes

$$K(\mu, \mu_0) = -\frac{C_F \Gamma_0}{2\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)} \left(\log r + \frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) + \log r \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0} \right) + \frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_0} \log^2 r \right] \\ + \frac{4C_F f(\theta_g)}{\pi\beta_0} \log r + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s). \quad (98)$$

The other exponentiated term, from Eq. 32, is

$$\omega(\mu, \mu_0) = -\frac{C_F \Gamma_0}{2\beta_0} \left[\log \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{4\pi} (r - 1) \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2). \quad (99)$$

Then, from Eq. 33, the resummed soft function is

$$S_R(\nu, \mu) = S_R(\nu, \mu_0) e^{K(\mu, \mu_0)} \left[\frac{4\nu^2 \mu_0^2}{Q^2} \right]^{\omega(\mu, \mu_0)}. \quad (100)$$

From this, it is evident that the natural scale of the soft function is

$$\mu_0 = \frac{Q}{2\nu}. \quad (101)$$

To evaluate $S_R(\nu, \mu_0)$, we simply ignore the pole of Eq. 85, set $\epsilon = 0$, and set $\mu = \mu_0$:

$$S_R(\nu, \mu_0) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \left[2f(\theta_g) \log \left(\frac{2\mu_0 \nu}{Q} \right) + \pi \log^2 \left(\frac{2\mu_0 \nu}{Q} \right) \right]. \quad (102)$$

Notice that setting $\mu_0 = Q/2\nu$ would eliminate the large logarithms from $S_R(\nu, \mu_0)$!⁹

Finally, we should convert the soft function back to real space. This is done using the tricks of Sec. 0.1.4. The logarithm-derivative transformation is

$$S_R(L \rightarrow \partial_\omega) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} [2f(\theta_g) \partial_\omega + \pi \partial_\omega^2], \quad (103)$$

so from Eq. 41 we have

$$S_R(\rho_s, \mu) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} e^{K(\mu, \mu_0)} [2f(\theta_g) \partial_\omega + \pi \partial_\omega^2] \left[\frac{4\mu_0^2}{\rho_s^2 Q^2} \right]^{\omega(\mu, \mu_0)} \frac{1}{\rho_s \Gamma(-2\omega(\mu, \mu_0))}. \quad (104)$$




Figure 1: NLL resummed distribution of the soft function $S_R(\rho_s)$, from Eq. 104. The top figure is the full range of ρ_s , while the bottom is zoomed in for small values of ρ_s . The running of α_s is fixed at the Z boson mass, $\alpha_s(91.2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.118$, from [?]. The running is fixed below 1 GeV in order to avoid the Landau pole at 246 MeV [?]. Uncertainty is estimated by varying μ within $\mu = \xi Q$ for $\xi \in [1/2, 2]$.

This is our NLL resummed result for the soft function. It is plotted in Fig. 1, where we have evaluated μ_0 at the natural scale $\mu_0 = Q\rho_s/2$ and taken $\mu = Q = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$, the mass of the Z boson, and $\theta_g = \pi/3$.¹⁰

On its own, the soft function S_R does not have any physical meaning — this much is clear from the manifest dependence on the arbitrary energy scale μ . Physical meaning comes only in the context of the full cross section of Eq. 42, where we would expect to find (and, in fact, we would require) that all μ -dependence cancel.¹¹ Unfortunately, this means that until the entire distribution has been calculated, there is little we can do to satisfactorily convince ourselves that Eq. 41 is correct. The fact that Fig. 1 looks reasonable (i.e., it is smooth and continuous, and the uncertainty is not massive) is, however, a good start.

⁹You might be concerned that it would also set $S_R(\nu, Q/2\nu) = 0$. Really, this

¹⁰The particular choice of the Z mass is made because the strong coupling constant α_s has been measured to high precision at this energy scale.

¹¹This cancellation is a strong cross-check to determine whether we have performed the calculation correctly.