Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous - Volatile



- A datatype qualifier
- Most commonly used Embedded Applications
- A keyword intructing the compiler not apply any optimizations on objects qualified with it!
- Why??
 - You know! the compiler sometimes act extra smart on the objects not qualified with volatile
 - Takes implicit assumption the the objects



Miscellaneous - Volatile

001_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    long int wait;
    unsigned char bit = 0;

while (1)
    {
        bit = !bit;
        printf("The bit is now: %d\r", bit);
        fflush(stdout);
        for (wait = 0xFFFFFFF; wait--; );
    }

    return 0;
}
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 001_example.c

- Typical embedded bit toggle code
- The output would toggle between 0 and 1 (Depends on the system configuration, tune the delay as required)
- Note the toggle frequency and

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc -O3 001_example.c

Now try the same code



Miscellaneous - Volatile

001_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    volatile long int wait;
    unsigned char bit = 0;

    while (1)
    {
        bit = !bit;
        printf("The bit is now: %d\r", bit);
        fflush(stdout);
        for (wait = 0xFFFFFFF; wait--;);
    }

    return 0;
}
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 001_example.c

or

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc -03 001_example.c

Should solve the issue!

- What happens in the previous code is that the compiler see the for loop as an unnecessary code just delaying the system operation
- Hence it removes that statement in the final output
- Adding volatile to the wait restricts the compiler from optimizing the code



Miscellaneous - Volatile

002_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    unsigned int i;
    int num;

    for (i = 0; i < 0xFFFFFFFF; i++)
    {
        num = 5;
    }

    printf("%d\n", num);

    return 0;
}</pre>
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 002_example.c

 Might take some time to see the output on screen!!

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc -O3 002_example.c

- Immediate output!!
- Compiler sees the same assignment operation is unnecessarily happening in the loop
- Optimizes the loop, by removing the for loop



Miscellaneous - Volatile

002_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    volatile unsigned int i;
    int num;

    for (i = 0; i < 0xFFFFFFFF; i++)
    {
        num = 5;
    }

    printf("%d\n", num);

    return 0;
}</pre>
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 002_example.c

or

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc -03 002_example.c

- Should solve the issue!
- Both should behave the same way!



Miscellaneous - Volatile

003_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    int get_out;
    int num = 0;
    scanf("%d", &get_out);

    while (get_out)
    {
        num++;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 003_example.c

- Enter 1 and should not come out of the loop
- Terminate and run again with input 0, you should not enter the loop

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc -O3 003_example.c

 Enter 1 and should not enter the loop!! which shouldn't be the case



Miscellaneous - Volatile

003_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    int get_out;
    volatile int num = 0;

    scanf("%d", &get_out);

    while (get_out)
    {
        num++;
    }

    return 0;
}
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 003_example.c

or

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc -O3 003_example.c

- Should solve the issue!
- Both should behave the same way!



Miscellaneous - Volatile

004_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    int num1;
    int num2 = 1;

    num1 = ++num2 + num2++ + num2++ + num2++;
    printf("%d\n", num1);

    return 0;
}
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 004_example.c

 Use the precedence table to obtain the result and verify with output

Run

user@user:~]./a.out



Miscellaneous - Volatile

004_example.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    int num1;
    volatile int num2 = 1;

    num1 = ++num2 + num2++ + num2++ + num2++;
    printf("%d\n", num1);

    return 0;
}
```

Compile like

user@user:~] gcc 004_example.c

• and

Run

user@user:~]./a.out

Hmmmh, is it ok now!!

