Slocum v. Food Fair Stores of Florida $_{100~\mathrm{So.2d}~396~(1958)}^{\mathbf{Torts}}$

Keyword Subject

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

Facts

While Plaintiff was shopping at Defendant's store, Plaintiff alleges that she was insulted by one of defendant's employees. This insult "

Procedural History

Trial Court: In favor of Defendant (Motion to Dismiss based on lack of Cause of Action Granted)
Appeal: In favor of Defendant (Motion to Dismiss Affirmed)

Issue

Did the insulting language that plaintiff claims caused her injury constitute an actionable invasion of a legally protected right?

Holding: No; Motion to Dismiss Affirmed

Principle

A distinction must be drawn between "conduct likely to cause mere 'emotional distress' and that causing 'severe emotional distress'"

Reasoning

Separate Opinions

Notes