

<div><div>Estimation and Planning Mistakes</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Underestimating complexity, cost, and/or schedule<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Use historical data and expert judgment to estimate accurately.● Abandoning planning under pressure<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Stick to planning to avoid chaotic code-and-fix mode.● Overly aggressive schedules<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Set realistic schedules based on historical data and project complexity.● Wasting time in the "fuzzy front end"<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Streamline the approval and budgeting process.</div></div>	<div><div>Predictive vs Adaptive Development</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Predictive<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Plan-driven.— Requirements are stable.— Example: Waterfall.● Adaptive<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Change-driven.— Requirements are volatile.— Example: Agile.<div><div>Waterfall Model</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requirements<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Define system requirements.● Design<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Develop system architecture.● Implementation<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Write and test code.● Verification<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Test system.● Maintenance<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Fix bugs and add features.</div><div><div>Agile Manifesto</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Individuals and interactions over processes and tools.● Working software over comprehensive documentation.● Customer collaboration over contract negotiation.● Responding to change over following a plan.</div><div><div>Agile Principles</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Satisfy the customer with continuous delivery.● Welcome changing requirements.● Frequent delivery of working software.● Daily collaboration between business and developers.● Build projects around motivated individuals.● Face-to-face conversation for communication.● Working software as progress measure.● Promote sustainable Dev.● Continuous attention to technical excellence.● Simplicity is essential.● Best architectures emerge from self-organizing teams.● Regular reflection and adjustment.</div></div></div></div></div></div>	<div><div>Scrum Artifacts</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Product Backlog<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Prioritized list of features.— Updated regularly.— Visible to all stakeholders.— Owned by Product Owner.● Sprint Backlog<ul style="list-style-type: none">— List of tasks for current Sprint.— Owned by Dev Team.— Updated daily.— Created during Sprint Planning Meeting.— Decomposed from Product Backlog.● Burndown Charts<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Graphical representation of work remaining.— Updated daily.— Shows progress towards Sprint Goal.— Helps identify issues early.— Used to forecast project completion.<div><div>Increment & Done</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● A formal description of the state of the Increment when it meets the quality measures required for the product.● The moment a Product Backlog item meets the Definition of Done, an Increment is born.● If a Product Backlog item does not meet the Definition of Done, it cannot be released or even presented at the Sprint Review.</div><div><div>No Silver Bullet</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Scrum will not solve your problems.● Scrum will make your problems visible.● You will have to solve your problems.</div><div><div>Accidental vs Essential Complexity</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Essential complexity: - Inherently difficult problems with no known solution.● Necessary accidental complexity: - Example: project management.● Unnecessary accidental complexity: - Waste, Lean, MEI (minimum essential information).</div><div><div>Best/Good/Recommended Practices</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● "Best Practice": - Consistently improves productivity, cost, schedule, quality, user satisfaction, predictability.● Best Practices (Glass, 2004): - Dev teams repeat mistakes. - Best practice documents regurgitate textbook material. - Growing field's wisdom not increasing.</div><div><div>Agile Sweet Spots</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Dedicated developers.● Experienced developers.● Small co-located team.● Tools for testing and configuration management.● Easy user access.● Short increments and frequent delivery.</div><div><div>Requirements Volatility</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Failure to consider how requirements will change<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Requirements change about 2% per month for typical project.— Change rates of 35-50% for large projects.— Typical software project experiences 25% change in requirements.</div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div>	<div><div>Requirements Design</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Functional Requirements<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Define system behavior.— Define what system should do.● Non-Functional Requirements<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Describe system properties and constraints.— Define how system should do it.<div><div>Requirements Analysis</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Functional Decomposition<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Breaks down system into smaller components.— Each component has a specific function.● Data Flow Diagrams<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Shows how data flows through system.— Identifies sources and destinations of data.● State Diagrams<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Shows how system responds to events.— Identifies states system can be in.● Entity-Relationship Diagrams<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Shows how data is related in system.— Identifies entities and relationships.</div><div><div>Characteristics of a good requirement</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Necessary<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Defines an essential capability, characteristic, constraint, and/or quality factor.— If removed, a deficiency will exist.● Implementation Free<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Avoids placing unnecessary constraints on the architectural design.— States what is required, not how the requirement will be satisfied.● Unambiguous<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The requirement is stated in such a way so that it can be interpreted in only one way.— The requirement is stated simply and is easy to understand.● Consistent<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The requirement is free of conflicts with other requirements.● Complete<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The stated requirement needs no further amplification because it is measurable and sufficiently describes the capability and characteristics to meet the stakeholder's need.● Singular<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The requirement statement includes only one requirement with no use of conjunctions.● Feasible<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The requirement is technically achievable, does not require major technology advances, and fits within system constraints (e.g., cost, schedule, technical, legal, regulatory) with acceptable risk.● Traceable<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The requirement is upwards traceable to specific documented stakeholder statement(s) of need, higher tier requirement, or other source (e.g., a trade or design study).— The requirement is also downwards traceable to the specific requirements in the lower tier requirements specification or other system definition artefacts.● Verifiable<ul style="list-style-type: none">— The requirement has the means to prove that the system satisfies the specified requirement.</div></div></div></div></div>	<div><div>Use Cases vs User Stories</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Use cases<ul style="list-style-type: none">— A set of scenarios that identify a thread of usage for the system to be constructed.— Tells a stylized story about how an end user interacts with the system under a specific set of circumstances.— Captures a contract that describes the system's behavior under various conditions as the system responds to a request from one of its stakeholders.— NOT OBJECT ORIENTED.● User stories<ul style="list-style-type: none">— A promise to have a discussion; not every detail needs to be included.— Describes functionality that will be valuable to either a user or purchaser of a system.— Card<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Written description of the story used for planning and as a reminder.— Conversation<ul style="list-style-type: none">* About the story that serve to flesh out the details of the story.— Confirmation<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Details that can be used to determine when a story is complete.<div><div>Use Case Format</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Our user starts by [action]● Then, the system [response]● The user then [reacts]● Finally, the system [result]● Leaving the user [result]</div><div><div>User Story Format</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● As a [role]● I want [feature]● So that [benefit]</div><div><div>Facts and Figures</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requirements volatility<ul style="list-style-type: none">— 2% per month for typical project.— 35-50% for large projects.— 25% change in requirements for typical software project.● Scrum Team Size<ul style="list-style-type: none">— 7 ± 2 members or < 10 members.</div></div></div></div></div></div>			
<div><div>Communication and Stakeholder Engagement Mistakes</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Poor communication<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Hold regular meetings and ensure clear documentation.● Not engaging stakeholders<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Include stakeholders in planning and review sessions.● Insufficient user input<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Ensure active involvement of end-users throughout the project.</div></div>	<div><div>Project Management Mistakes</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Lack of oversight/poor project management<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Appoint experienced project managers and conduct regular reviews.● Adding developers to a late project<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Avoid adding developers late in the project to prevent further delays.</div></div>	<div><div>Quality and Risk Management Mistakes</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Poor quality workmanship<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Implement quality assurance processes and conduct regular code reviews.● No risk management<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Identify risks early and develop mitigation plans.● Ignoring system performance requirements<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Define and monitor performance requirements throughout the project.● Poorly planned/managed transitions<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Develop detailed transition plans and involve all relevant parties.</div></div>	<div><div>Recursive vs Incremental vs Iterative Development</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Recursive<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Repeatedly breaking down a problem into smaller parts until it is simple enough to solve.— Example: Divide and conquer.● Incremental<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Start by building the core functionality and then add features in subsequent increments.— Example: Agile.● Iterative<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Develop a system through repetition of cycles (iterations)— Example: Scrum.</div></div>	<div><div>Unified Process</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Workflows<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Defines activities in process.— Each activity has inputs and outputs.● Phases<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Inception— Elaboration— Construction— Transition<div><div>Cynefin Framework</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Simple<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Cause and effect are obvious.— Best practice.● Complicated<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Cause and effect are discoverable.— Good practice.● Complex<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Cause and effect are only obvious in hindsight.— Emergent practice.● Chaotic<ul style="list-style-type: none">— No cause and effect relationship.— Novel practice.</div></div></div></div>	<div><div>Scrum Cycle</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Sprint Planning<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Product Owner presents Product Backlog.— Dev Team selects items for Sprint Backlog.— Sprint Goal is defined.● Daily Scrum<ul style="list-style-type: none">— 15-minute meeting.— Dev Team plans work for next 24 hours.— Scrum Master enforces timebox.● Sprint Review<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Product Owner presents completed work.— Dev Team demonstrates work.— Stakeholders provide feedback.● Sprint Retrospective<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Dev Team reflects on Sprint.— Scrum Master facilitates discussion.— Team identifies improvements.</div></div>	<div><div>Requirements Elicitation</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Interviews<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Structured interviews<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Specific preplanned questions are asked.— Unstructured interview<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Questions are posed in response to the answers received.● Questions<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Open-ended questions<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Questions are posed to encourage the client to provide more information.— Closed-ended questions<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Questions are posed to answer specific questions.</div></div>	<div><div>Characteristics of a good set of requirements</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Complete<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Needs no further amplification.— Acceptable timeframe for TBD items.● Consistent<ul style="list-style-type: none">— No contradictory requirements.— No duplicated requirements.— Same term used for same item.● Affordable<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Can be satisfied by a feasible solution.— Within life cycle constraints.● Bounded<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Maintains identified scope.— Does not increase beyond what is needed.</div></div>