# Estimation and Planning Mistakes Underestimating complexity, cost, and/or schedule

Use historical data and expert judgment to estimate accurately.

Abandoning planning under pressure

and project complexity.

 Stick to planning to avoid chaotic code-and-fix mode.

 Overly aggressive schedules Set realistic schedules based on historical data

Wasting time in the \fuzzy front end"

Streamline the approval and budgeting process.

### Communication and Stakeholder Engagement Mistakes

Poor communication

- Hold regular meetings and ensure clear

Not engaging stakeholders

 Include stakeholders in planning and review sessions.

Insufficient user input

Ensure active involvement of end-users throughout the project

#### Project Management Mistakes

• Lack of oversight/poor project management Appoint experienced project managers and conduct regular reviews.

Adding developers to a late project

- Avoid adding developers late in the project to prevent further delays

### Quality and Risk Management Mistakes

• Poor quality workmanship

- Implement quality assurance processes and conduct regular code reviews.

No risk management.

Identify risks early and develop mitigation plans.

Ignoring system performance requirements

Define and monitor performance requirements throughout the project.

Poorly planned/managed transitions

Develop detailed transition plans and involve all relevant parties.

#### Recursive vs Incremental vs Iterative Development

Repeatedly breaking down a problem into smaller parts until it is simple enough to solve. Example: Divide and conquer.

Incremental

Start by building the core functionality and then add features in subsequent increments.

- Example: Agile. Iterative

Develop a system through repetition of cycles (iterations)

- Example: Scrum

#### Unified Process Workflows

- Defines activities in process.

- Each activity has inputs and outputs. Phases

Incention

 Elaboration - Construction

Transition

#### Cynefin Framework

Simple

- Cause and effect are obvious.

- Best practice.

Novel practice.

Plan-driven.

Change-driven.

Example: Agile.

Predictive vs Adaptive Development

Requirements are stable.

Requirements are volatile.

Example: Waterfall.

 Complicated - Cause and effect are discoverable

- No cause and effect relationship.

Good practice.

 Complex Chaotic

• Predictive

- Cause and effect are only obvious in hindsight. - Emergent practice.

Visible to all stakeholders. Owned by Product Owner.

Scrum Artifacts

Product Backlog

Requirements

Implementation

Test system.

Verification

Maintenance

Agile Manifesto

Agile Principles

tools.

teams.

Product Owner:

Backlog.

distractions.

cross-functional.

Sprint Goal is defined.

15-minute meeting.

role.

Scrum Master:

Dev Team:

Sprint Planning

Daily Scrum

Sprint Review

Sprint Retrospective

Design

Define system requirements.

Develop system architecture.

- Fix bugs and add features

Welcome changing requirements.

Promote sustainable Dev.

· Simplicity is essential.

Individuals and interactions over processes and

Working software over comprehensive documentation.

Customer collaboration over contract negotiation.

Responding to change over following a plan.

Frequent delivery of working software.

Working software as progress measure.

Regular reflection and adjustment.

Maximizes product value.

Satisfy the customer with continuous delivery.

Build projects around motivated individuals.

Face-to-face conversation for communication.

Continuous attention to technical excellence.

Best architectures emerge from self-organizing

Develops and communicates Product Goal

Creates and prioritizes Product Backlog.

Ensures transparency and understanding of

- One person, not a committee, with leadership

Creates self-organization environment.

Develops product, self-organizing,

Captures empirical data, shields team from

Enforces timeboxes, keeps artifacts visible.

Facilitates Scrum process, resolves impediments.

Promotes improved practices, has leadership role.

No titles, no sub-teams, no specialized roles.

Long-term, full-time membership, 7 ± 2 members.

Product Owner presents Product Backlog.

Dev Team plans work for next 24 hours.

Product Owner presents completed work.

Scrum Master facilitates discussion.

Scrum Master enforces timebox.

Dev Team demonstrates work.

- Dev Team reflects on Sprint.

Team identifies improvements.

Prioritized list of features.

Stakeholders provide feedback

Dev Team selects items for Sprint Backlog.

Daily collaboration between business and developers

Write and test code.

Sprint Backlog

List of tasks for current Sprint.

Owned by Dev Team. Updated daily.

Updated regularly.

Created during Sprint Planning Meeting.

Decomposed from Product Backlog.

Burndown Charts

Graphical representation of work remaining.

Updated daily.

Shows progress towards Sprint Goal

Helps identify issues early.

Used to forecast project completion

· A formal description of the state of the Increment when it meets the quality measures required for the

The moment a Product Backlog item meets the Definition of Done, an Increment is born.

If a Product Backlog item does not meet the Definition of Done, it cannot be released or even presented at the Sprint Review.

Scrum will not solve your problems.

Scrum will make your problems visible.

You will have to solve your problems.

### Accidental vs Essential Complexity

• Essential complexity: - Inherently difficult problems with no known solution.

Necessary accidental complexity: - Example: project management.

Unnecessary accidental complexity: - Waste, Lean, MEI (minimum essential information)

### Best/Good/Recommended Practices

"Best Practice": - Consistently improves productivity, cost, schedule, quality, user satisfaction, predictability.

Best Practices (Glass, 2004): - Dev teams repeat mistakes. - Best practice documents regurgitate textbook material. - Growing field's wisdom not increasing.

Dedicated developers.

Experienced developers.

Small co-located team.

Tools for testing and configuration management.

· Easy user access.

Short increments and frequent delivery

 Failure to consider how requirements will change Requirements change about 2% per month for typical project.

Change rates of 35-50% for large projects.

Typical software project experiences 25% change in requirements

### Requirements Elicitation

Interviews

Structured interviews

Specific preplanned questions are asked.

Unstructured interview

Questions are posed in response to the answers received

#### Questions

Open-ended questions

Questions are posed to encourage the client to provide more information.

Closed-ended questions

\* Questions are posed to answer specific questions.

#### Requirements Design

Functional Requirements

Define system behavior.

Define what system should do.

Non-Functional Requirements

Describe system properties and constraints.

Define how system should do it.

# Requirements Analysis

Functional Decomposition

Breaks down system into smaller components.

Each component has a specific function.

Data Flow Diagrams

Shows how data flows through system. Identifies sources and destinations of data.

State Diagrams

Shows how system responds to events. Identifies states system can be in.

Entity-Relationship Diagrams

Shows how data is related in system. Identifies entities and relationships Characteristics of a good requirement

Necessary

Defines an essential capability, characteristic, constraint, and/or quality factor.

If removed, a deficiency will exist.

Implementation Free

Avoids placing unnecessary constraints on the architectural design. States what is required, not how the requirement

will be satisfied. Unambiguous

> The requirement is stated in such a way so that it can be interpreted in only one way.

The requirement is stated simply and is easy to understand

Consistent

- The requirement is free of conflicts with other requirements

• Complete

The stated requirement needs no further amplification because it is measurable and sufficiently describes the capability and characteristics to meet the stakeholder's need.

The requirement statement includes only one

requirement with no use of conjunctions. Feasible

The requirement is technically achievable, does not require major technology advances, and fits within system constraints (e.g., cost, schedule, technical, legal, regulatory) with acceptable risk.

Traceable

The requirement is upwards traceable to specific documented stakeholder statement(s) of need. higher tier requirement, or other source (e.g., a trade or design study).

The requirement is also downwards traceable to the specific requirements in the lower tier requirements specification or other system definition artefacts.

Verifiable

The requirement has the means to prove that the system satisfies the specified requirement

### Characteristics of a good set of requirements

 Complete Needs no further amplification.

Acceptable timeframe for TBD items. Consistent No duplicated requirements.

No contradictory requirements

Same term used for same item

Affordable

Can be satisfied by a feasible solution. Within life cycle constraints.

Bounded

Maintains identified scope. Does not increase beyond what is needed

# Use Cases vs User Stories

Use cases

A set of scenarios that identify a thread of

usage for the system to be constructed. Tells a stylized story about how an end user interacts with the system under a specific set of

circumstances. Captures a contract that describes the system's behavior under various conditions as the system responds to a request from one of its stakeholders

NOT ORIECT ORIENTED

User stories

- A promise to have a discussion; not every detail needs to be included.

Describes functionality that will be valuable to

either a user or purchaser of a system. Card

\* Written description of the story used for planning and as a reminder.

Conversation

About the story that serve to flesh out the details of the story.

Confirmation

Details that can be used to determine when a story is complete.

#### Use Case Format

Our user starts by [action]

The user then [reacts]

Leaving the user [result]

As a [role]

I want [feature]

So that [benefit]

# Prioritizing Stories

 Financial value High value, high risk.

High value, low risk (low-hanging fruit!). Low value, low risk (nice to have).

Low value, high risk (avoid!).

Cost to Develop

Dependency

- High cost, high value.

Low cost, high value. (low-hanging fruit!)

High cost, low value. (avoid!)

Low cost, low value, (nice to have)

 Customer Satisfaction Time Sensitivity

• External Factors

- Legal

- Regulatory - Market

# - etc

 I - Independent Avoid dependencies between stories.

Details of the story are negotiable between the team and the customer

- Valuable to users or customers

E - Estimable

 S - Small Story can be completed in a single sprint with

preferred). - Testable

definition of done criteria

2% per month for typical project.

35-50% for large projects. - 25% change in requirements for typical software

project. Scrum Team Size

- 7 ± 2 members or < 10 members.

Scrum timeboxes

Daily Scrum: 15 minutes.

Sprint Retrospective: 3 hours for 1 month

Sprint.

\* Under 20%: 15.5%

\* Over 400%: 4.4%.

\* 51 - 100%: 20.0%.

\* 201 - 400%: 11.2%

\* Less than 25%: 4.6%. \* 25 - 49%: 27.2%.

\* 50 - 74%: 21.8%.

## INVEST Criteria

- Negotiable

Avoid stories that are only valued by developers.

Team should feel reasonably confident that they can estimate the story.

a reasonable portion of the team (25% or less

Test should satisfy the Product Owner and any

### Facts and Figures

· Requirements volatility

Sprint Planning: 8 hours for 1 month Sprint. Sprint Review: 4 hours for 1 month Sprint.

Sprint: 1 month.

Standish Group Chaos Report Cost overruns:

\* 21 - 50%: 31.5%. \* 51 - 100%: 29.6%.

101 - 200%: 10.2%. \* 201 - 400%: 8.8%.

Time overruns: \* Under 20%: 13.9%. \* 21 - 50%: 18.3%.

101 - 200%: 35.5%.

\* Over 400%: 1.1%. Features/Functions:

\* 75 - 99%: 39.1%. 100%: 7.3%.

Then, the system [response]

Finally, the system [result]