Raxml repeats benchmarks

April 7, 2017

1 Execution time

We run raxml for each dataset with and without the repeats option, and compare the execution times.

Speedup is calculated as follow:

$$speedup = \frac{time_{tipinner}}{time_{repeats}}$$

When we don't indicate times, the cluster cancelled the jobs before the end (after 24h). We then compare the speedup at the last reached step.

When we write "diverged", the runs diverged. In this case we write the speedup at the last common step.

Raxml commands used (repeats, repeats with jemalloc, tipinner):

- \$ LD_PRELOAD=libjemalloc.so mpirun ./raxml-ng-mpi --seed=42 --msa data.ph --simd AVX --threads 1 --search --repeats on
- \$ LD_PRELOAD=libjemalloc.so mpirun ./raxml-ng-mpi --seed=42 --msa data.ph
 --simd AVX --threads 1 --search

dataset	taxas	sites	partitions	type	$time_{reps}$	$time_{ti}$	speedup
404	404	7444	11	DNA	457s	755s	1.65
1kite_science	144	371434	50	DNA	9351	13311	1.42
1kite_hyme	174	2248590	4116	DNA	21663s	29971s	1.38
Antl_1_1_nt	40	522173	658	DNA	1254s	1668s	1.3
Antl_1_1_aa	40	762438	659	prot	4966	6080	1.2
para_1_nt	193	1514275	3714	DNA	diverged	diverged	1.35

2 Load balancing

We define

$$busy\ ratio = (1 - \frac{waiting\ time\ in\ reduce\ operations}{elapsed\ time})*100$$

It directly gives the speedup we could get from a perfect load balancing.

I will recompute this table later with mpi.