Pairs and Tuples

Sorting Lists Faster

As a non-trivial example, let's design a function to sort lists that is more efficient than insertion sort.

A good algorithm for this is *merge sort*. The idea is as follows:

If the list consists of zero or one elements, it is already sorted.

Otherwise,

- Separate the list into two sub-lists, each containing around half of the elements of the original list.
- Sort the two sub-lists.
- Merge the two sorted sub-lists into a single sorted list.

First MergeSort Implementation

Here is the implementation of that algorithm in Scala:

```
def msort(xs: List[Int]): List[Int] = {
  val n = xs.length/2
  if (n == 0) xs
  else {
    def merge(xs: List[Int], ys: List[Int]) = ???
    val (fst, snd) = xs splitAt n
    merge(msort(fst), msort(snd))
  }
}
```

Definition of Merge

Here is a definition of the merge function:

```
def merge(xs: List[Int], ys: List[Int]) =
  xs match {
    case Nil =>
     ys
    case x :: xs1 =>
      vs match {
        case Nil =>
          XS
        case y :: ys1 =>
          if (x < y) x :: merge(xs1, ys)
          else y :: merge(xs, ys1)
```

The SplitAt Function

The splitAt function on lists returns two sublists

- ▶ the elements up the the given index
- ▶ the elements from that index

The lists are returned in a *pair*.

Detour: Pair and Tuples

The pair consisting of x and y is written (x, y) in Scala.

Example

```
val pair = ("answer", 42) > pair : (String, Int) = (answer, 42)
```

The type of pair above is (String, Int).

Pairs can also be used as patterns:

This works analogously for tuples with more than two elements.

Translation of Tuples

A tuple type $(T_1,...,T_n)$ is an abbreviation of the parameterized type

$${\tt scala.Tuple} \, n[{\tt T_1},...,{\tt T_n}]$$

A tuple expression $(\boldsymbol{e}_1,...,\boldsymbol{e}_n)$ is equivalent to the function application

$$scala.Tuple n(e_1, ..., e_n)$$

A tuple pattern $(p_1, ..., p_n)$ is equivalent to the constructor pattern

scala.Tuple
$$n(p_1, ..., p_n)$$

The Tuple class

Here, all Tuplen classes are modeled after the following pattern:

```
case class Tuple2[T1, T2](_1: +T1, _2: +T2) {
  override def toString = "(" + _1 + "," + _2 +")"
}
```

The fields of a tuple can be accessed with names _1, _2, ...

So instead of the pattern binding

```
val (label, value) = pair
```

one could also have written:

```
val label = pair._1
val value = pair._2
```

But the pattern matching form is generally preferred.

Exercise

The merge function as given uses a nested pattern match.

This does not reflect the inherent symmetry of the merge algorithm.

Rewrite merge using a pattern matching over pairs.

```
def merge(xs: List[Int], ys: List[Int]): List[Int] =
  (xs, ys) match {
    ???
}
```