

I tried to participate in this competition starting in April. Below are the brief steps I took that led to my first submission:

1. Look at youtube tutorials about how to set up my environment
  - a. installed data libraries such as pandas, numpy
  - b. also imported matplotlib, seaborn
  - c. and ML toolkit such as scikit-learn, light gbm
2. gathered all raw weather readings
  - a. pulled together daily measurement values of each region such as temperature, wind speed, pressure.
3. However, sometimes sensores go quiet( in the competitions case, the values are missing) so I filled these missing values with the most reasonable guess, which is the respected data's mean(computed with pandas).
4. Since raw data are not enough to observe a clear weather trend, I created extra clues from the basic data
  - a. Ex: Made raw wind speed values more meaningful by converting them into the familiar Beaufort scale("light breeze," "strong breeze," etc.)
  - b. Helped algorithm recognize patterns more easily
5. After rearranging the data into more specific labels, I turned the multi-site readings into one unified snapshot per day
  - a. I basically rearranged the data so that each row represented a single date, and each column held that day's reading from one town(all readings such as wind speed, temperature, pressure...etc)
6. Then, I finally started training using a powerful algorithm called a gradient boosted tree model) which showed my past days' snapshots, along with known weather labels for each day.
  - a. over time it learned which combinations of numbers tend to lead to which labels.
  - b. chose this algorithm initially since it's the most recommended algorithm for starters(according to the internet at least)
7. To make sure it wasn't just memorizing, I held back a portion of my known days as a mini test, and asked the model to predict those labels– and measured its accuracy, seeing if it succeeded and where it stumbled.
8. Finally, when the accuracy was about 0.78, I fed in the unlabeled snapshots from the test period.
9. Although the trained model succeeded in having a near 80% accuracy, my submission didn't receive any points as my portal's file requirement is weird, and my form wasn't correct.
  - a. I uploaded three more times across a week and it still didn't work.

### Reflection:

Through this initiative, I now have some basic understanding about how to create a model and how to train one. Although through the process I had to heavily rely on youtube videos and chat gpt, I still managed to develop one model. The process of developing one was really hard, especially when I got stuck in a threshold(I was stuck at a 0.68 accuracy one day). I tried other algorithms such as light gbm, or re-arranged data labels, which finally resulted in slight improvements.

Honestly, half the time during this initiative I am actually confused and only have a slight idea on what I am doing, but the process of trying out different algorithms, training the model, and rearranging dates based on my own observation and educated guess is nevertheless interesting. It felt like solving a puzzle without a definite answer.

However, I still didn't figure out how to properly submit the files and then didn't proceed to try to submit new submissions as I was preparing for Ap tests and then sat til the end of the semester, therefore, I plan to train another model this summer.

---

 2	Benlkb		0.0	3	Fri, 09 May 2025, 09:08
---	--------	---	-----	---	----------------------------

---

picture of my failed submissions.