


# MamaStaking

Smart Contract Security Audit

V1.1

No. 202210051911





## Contents

| Summary of A                   | Audit Results   |                                | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 Overview                     |   |                                | 2 |
|                                |   |                                |   |
| 1.1 Projec                     | ct Overview   |                                | 2 |
| 1.2 Audit                      | Overview  |                                | 2 |
| 2 Findings                     |   |                                | 3 |
| [MamaSta                       | aking-1] The StakingReward contract has               | no emergency withdraw function | 4 |
|                                |   |                                |   |
| 3 Appendix                     |   |                                | 5 |
|                                | erability Assessment Metrics and Status in            |                                |   |
| 3.1 Vulne                      | erability Assessment Metrics and Status in            | Smart Contracts                | 5 |
| 3.1 Vulne                      |   | Smart Contracts                | 5 |
| 3.1 Vulne 3.2 Audit            | erability Assessment Metrics and Status in            | Smart Contracts                | 5 |
| 3.1 Vulne 3.2 Audit 3.3 Discla | erability Assessment Metrics and Status in Categories | Smart Contracts                |   |







## **Summary of Audit Results**

After auditing, 1 Info item was identified in the MamaStaking project. Specific audit details will be presented in the Findings section. Users should pay attention to the following aspects when interacting with this project:



#### \*Notes:

#### Note for Users

Users will not be able to withdraw tokens during the lockup time of staked tokens.

#### • Project Description:

Users can stake tokens to obtain the rewards provided by the MamaStaking project.







## 1 Overview

## 1.1 Project Overview

| Project Name  | MamaStaking |
|---|-------------|
| Platform  | BNB Chain   |
| Contract Address 0xa13C7C553b7b2dF5EfD0Ca3cd5C3bd6261c16f22 |             |

#### 1.2 Audit Overview

Audit work duration: October 03, 2022 – October 05, 2022

Update Details: October 08, 2022, updated on-chain address.


Audit methods: Formal Verification, Static Analysis, Typical Case Testing and Manual Review.

Audit team: Beosin Security Team



## 2 Findings

| Index         | Risk description  | Severity level | Status |
|---------------|---|----------------|--------|
| MamaStaking-1 | MamaStaking-1 The StakingReward contract has no emergency withdraw function |                | Fixed  |









## **Finding Details:**

| [MamaStaking-1] The StakingReward contract has no emergency withdraw function |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Severity Level  | Info   |  |  |
| Туре  | Business Security  |  |  |
| Lines   | StakingReward.sol  |  |  |
| Description   | The contract does not contain the emergency withdraw function, which will cause the user to be unable to withdraw the token urgently if the contract is abnormal.  |  |  |
| Recommendations   | It is recommended to add the emergency withdraw function to the contract.  |  |  |
| Status  | <pre>function emergencyWithdraw() external {     require(IS_EMERGENCY, "Only emergency situation");     uint256 balance = balanceOf[msg.sender];     require(balance &gt; 0, "Balance = 0");     totalSupply -= balance;     balanceOf[msg.sender] -= balance;     stakingToken.transfer(msg.sender, balance); }</pre> |  |  |
|   | Figure 1 emergencywithdraw function  |  |  |











## 3 Appendix

#### 3.1 Vulnerability Assessment Metrics and Status in Smart Contracts

#### 3.1.1 Metrics

In order to objectively assess the severity level of vulnerabilities in blockchain systems, this report provides detailed assessment metrics for security vulnerabilities in smart contracts with reference to CVSS 3.1 (Common Vulnerability Scoring System Ver 3.1).

According to the severity level of vulnerability, the vulnerabilities are classified into four levels: "critical", "high", "medium" and "low". It mainly relies on the degree of impact and likelihood of exploitation of the vulnerability, supplemented by other comprehensive factors to determine of the severity level.

| Impact<br>Likelihood | Severe   | High   | Medium | Low  |
|----------------------|----------|--------|--------|------|
| Probable             | Critical | High   | Medium | Low  |
| Possible             | High     | High   | Medium | Low  |
| Unlikely             | Medium   | Medium | Low    | Info |
| Rare                 | Low      | Low    | Info   | Info |

#### 3.1.2 Degree of impact

#### Severe

Severe impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a serious impact on the confidentiality, integrity, availability of smart contracts or their economic model, which can cause substantial economic losses to the contract business system, large-scale data disruption, loss of authority management, failure of key functions, loss of credibility, or indirectly affect the operation of other smart contracts associated with it and cause substantial losses, as well as other severe and mostly irreversible harm.

#### • High

High impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a relatively serious impact on the confidentiality, integrity, availability of the smart contract or its economic model, which can cause a greater economic loss, local functional unavailability, loss of credibility and other impact to the contract business system.



#### Medium

Medium impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a relatively minor impact on the confidentiality, integrity, availability of the smart contract or its economic model, which can cause a small amount of economic loss to the contract business system, individual business unavailability and other impact.

#### Low

Low impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a minor impact on the smart contract, which can pose certain security threat to the contract business system and needs to be improved.

#### 3.1.4 Likelihood of Exploitation

#### Probable

Probable likelihood generally means that the cost required to exploit the vulnerability is low, with no special exploitation threshold, and the vulnerability can be triggered consistently.

#### Possible

Possible likelihood generally means that exploiting such vulnerability requires a certain cost, or there are certain conditions for exploitation, and the vulnerability is not easily and consistently triggered.

#### Unlikely

Unlikely likelihood generally means that the vulnerability requires a high cost, or the exploitation conditions are very demanding and the vulnerability is highly difficult to trigger.

#### Rare

Rare likelihood generally means that the vulnerability requires an extremely high cost or the conditions for exploitation are extremely difficult to achieve.

#### 3.1.5 Fix Results Status

| Status   | Description   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Fixed  | Fixed The project party fully fixes a vulnerability.        |  |
| Partially Fixed The project party did not fully fix the issue, but only mitigated the issue. |   |  |
| Acknowledged   | The project party confirms and chooses to ignore the issue. |  |



#### 3.2 Audit Categories

|    | No. | Categories            | Subitems                                   |
|----|-----|-----------------------|--|
|    |     |                       | Compiler Version Security                  |
|    |     | Coding Conventions    | Deprecated Items                           |
|    | 1   |                       | Redundant Code                             |
|    |     |                       | require/assert Usage                       |
|    |     |                       | Gas Consumption                            |
|    |     | ( BEOSIN              | Integer Overflow/Underflow                 |
|    |     |                       | Reentrancy                                 |
|    |     |                       | Pseudo-random Number Generator (PRNG)      |
|    |     | General Vulnerability | Transaction-Ordering Dependence            |
|    |     |                       | DoS (Denial of Service)                    |
|    | 2   |                       | Function Call Permissions                  |
|    | 2   |                       | call/delegatecall Security                 |
|    |     |                       | Returned Value Security                    |
|    |     |                       | tx.origin Usage                            |
|    |     | ( BEOSIN              | Replay Attack                              |
|    |     |                       | Overriding Variables                       |
|    |     |                       | Third-party Protocol Interface Consistency |
| BE |     | 3 Business Security   | Business Logics                            |
|    |     |                       | Business Implementations                   |
|    | 2   |                       | Manipulable Token Price                    |
|    | 3   |                       | Centralized Asset Control                  |
|    |     |                       | Asset Tradability                          |
|    |     | A The second second   | Arbitrage Attack                           |

Beosin classified the security issues of smart contracts into three categories: Coding Conventions, General Vulnerability, Business Security. Their specific definitions are as follows:

#### Coding Conventions

Audit whether smart contracts follow recommended language security coding practices. For example, smart contracts developed in Solidity language should fix the compiler version and do not use deprecated keywords.

#### General Vulnerability



General Vulnerability include some common vulnerabilities that may appear in smart contract projects. These vulnerabilities are mainly related to the characteristics of the smart contract itself, such as integer overflow/underflow and denial of service attacks.

#### Business Security

Business security is mainly related to some issues related to the business realized by each project, and has a relatively strong pertinence. For example, whether the lock-up plan in the code match the white paper, or the flash loan attack caused by the incorrect setting of the price acquisition oracle.

<sup>\*</sup>Note that the project may suffer stake losses due to the integrated third-party protocol. This is not something Beosin can control. Business security requires the participation of the project party. The project party and users need to stay vigilant at all times.









#### 3.3 Disclaimer

The Audit Report issued by Beosin is related to the services agreed in the relevant service agreement. The Project Party or the Served Party (hereinafter referred to as the "Served Party") can only be used within the conditions and scope agreed in the service agreement. Other third parties shall not transmit, disclose, quote, rely on or tamper with the Audit Report issued for any purpose.

The Audit Report issued by Beosin is made solely for the code, and any description, expression or wording contained therein shall not be interpreted as affirmation or confirmation of the project, nor shall any warranty or guarantee be given as to the absolute flawlessness of the code analyzed, the code team, the business model or legal compliance.

The Audit Report issued by Beosin is only based on the code provided by the Served Party and the technology currently available to Beosin. However, due to the technical limitations of any organization, and in the event that the code provided by the Served Party is missing information, tampered with, deleted, hidden or subsequently altered, the audit report may still fail to fully enumerate all the risks.

The Audit Report issued by Beosin in no way provides investment advice on any project, nor should it be utilized as investment suggestions of any type. This report represents an extensive evaluation process designed to help our customers improve code quality while mitigating the high risks in Blockchain.



#### 3.4 About BEOSIN

BEOSIN is the first institution in the world specializing in the construction of blockchain security ecosystem. The core team members are all professors, postdocs, PhDs, and Internet elites from world-renowned academic institutions.BEOSIN has more than 20 years of research in formal verification technology, trusted computing, mobile security and kernel security, with overseas experience in studying and collaborating in project research at well-known universities. Through the security audit and defense deployment of more than 2,000 smart contracts, over 50 public blockchains and wallets, and nearly 100 exchanges worldwide, BEOSIN has accumulated rich experience in security attack and defense of the blockchain field, and has developed several security products specifically for blockchain.

10



#### **Official Website**

https://www.beosin.com

## **Telegram**

https://t.me/+dD8Bnqd133RmNWNl

### **Twitter**

https://twitter.com/Beosin\_com

