# leroy

Chiara Cimino

Christian Krause

January 10, 2025

# Leroy Chapter I

### 1.1 F star

**Definition 1** ( $f^*$  and  $f_*$ ). For every continuous Function  $f: X \to Y$  between topological Spaces, there exists a pair of functors ( $f^*, f_*$ ).

$$f*=f^{-1}:O(Y)\to O(X)$$
 
$$f_*:O(X)\to O(Y):=A\mapsto \bigcup_{f^*(v)\leq A}v$$

**Lemma 2**  $(f^* \dashv f_*)$ .  $f^*$  is the right adjoint to  $f_*$ 

Proof.

Lemma 3 (triangle). (Mclane p. 485)

The triangular identities reduce to the following equalities:

$$f^*f_*f^* = f^*$$
 and  $f_*f^*f_* = f_*$ 

*Proof.* This follows from the triangular identities of the adjunction.

### 1.2 Embedding

**Lemma 4** (Embedding). (Leroy Lemme 1) The following arguments are equivalent:

- 1.  $f^*$  is surjective
- 2.  $f_*$  is injective
- 3.  $f^*f_* = 1_{O(X)}$

*Proof.* This follows from the triangular identities.

**Definition 5** (Embedding). An embedding is a morphism that satisfies the conditions of lemma 4

#### 1.3 Sublocals

**Definition 6** (Nucleus). A nucleus is a map  $e: O(E) \to O(E)$  with the following three properties:

- 1. e is idempotent
- 2.  $U \leq eU$
- 3.  $e(U \cap V) = e(U) \cap e(V)$

**Lemma 7** (Nucleus). (Leroy Lemme 3) Let  $e: O(E) \to O(E)$  be monotonic. The following are equivalent:

- 1. e is a nucleus
- 2. There is a locale X and a morphism  $f: X \to E$  such that  $e = f_* f^*$ .
- 3. Then there is a locale X and a embedding  $f: X \to E$  such that  $e = f_* f^*$ .

Proof.

**Definition 8** (Nucleus Partial Order). For two nuclei e and f on O(E), we say that  $e \leq f$  if  $e(U) \leq f(U)$  for all  $U \in O(E)$ . This relation is a partial order.

**Lemma 9** (Nucleus Intersection). TODO Quelle StoneSpaces S.51 For a set S of nuclei, the intersection  $\bigcap S$  can be computed by  $\bigcap S(a) = \bigcap \{j(a)|j \in S\}$ . This function satisfies the properties of a nucleus and of an infimum.

Proof.

**Definition 10** (Sublocal). (Leroy CH 3) A sublocal  $Y \subset X$  is defined by a nucleus  $e_Y : O(X) \to O(X)$ , such that  $O(Y) = Im(e_Y) = \{U \in O(X) | e_Y(U) = U\}$ . The corresponding embedding is  $i_X : O(Y) \to O(X)$ .  $i_X^*(V) = e_X(V)$ ,  $(i_X)_*(U) = U$  And every nucleus e on O(X) defines a sublocal Y of X by O(Y) = Im(e)

**Definition 11** (Sublocal Inclusion). (Stimmt das?)(Leroy Ch 3)  $X \subset Y$  if  $e_Y(u) \leq e_X(u)$  for all u. This means that the Sublocals are a dual order to the nuclei.

**Lemma 12** (factorisation). (Leroy Lemme 2) Let  $i: X \to E$  be an embedding and  $f: Y \to E$  be a morphism of spaces. To have f factor through i, it is necessary and sufficient that  $i_*i^*(V) \le f_*f^*(V)$  for all  $V \in O(E)$ .

Proof.

#### 1.3.1 (1.4) Sublocal Union and Intersection

**Definition 13** (Union of Sublocals). (Leroy CH 1.4) Let  $(X_i)_i$  be a family of sublocals of E and  $(e_i)_i$  the corresponding nuclei. For all  $V \in O(E)$ , let e(V) be the union of all  $W \in O(E)$  which are contained in all  $e_i(V)$ .

**Lemma 14** (Union of Sublocals). (Leroy CH 4) Let  $X_i$  be a family of subframes of E and  $e_i$  be the corresponding nuclei. For every  $V \in O(E)$ , let e(V) be the union of all  $W \in O(E)$  which are contained in every (TODO wieso every)  $e_i(V)$ . Then

- 1. e is the corresponding nucleus of a subframe X of E
- 2. a subframe Z of E contains x if and only if it contains all  $X_i$ . X is thus called the union of  $X_i$  denoted by  $\bigcup_i X_i$

*Proof.* The properties of the nucleus (idempotent, increasing, preserving intersection) can be verified by unfolding the definition of e(V).

**Lemma 15** (Sublocal Union equals Nucleus Intersection). For a family of sublocals  $X_i$  of E, the union  $\bigcup X_i$  is the intersection of the corresponding nuclei.

*Proof.* The infimum of the Nuclei is a Supremum of the sublocals, because the Nuclei are a dual order to the sublocals.) This means that it suffices to show that suprema are unique.

TODO Quelle https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Infimum\_is\_Unique

Suppose there are two different suprema c and c' of a set S. Because of the definition of a supremum, we that they are both upper bounds of S. But we also know that the supremum is smaller than any other upper bound, so we get  $c \le c'$  and  $c' \le c$ . This means that c = c'.

**Definition 16** (Intersection of Sublocals). Let  $(X_i)_i$  be a family of sublocal of E and  $(e_i)_i$  the corresponding nuclei. For all  $V \in O(E)$ , the intersection  $\bigcap X_i$  is the Union of all Nuclei w such that  $w \leq x_i$  for all  $x_i \in X_i$ 

**Lemma 17** (Nucleus Complete Lattice). The Nuclei (and therefore the sublocals) form a complete lattice.

*Proof.* One can proove that the Nuclei are closed under arbitrary intersections by unfolding the definition of the intersection. The supremum is defined as the infimum of the upper Bound.

**Lemma 18** (Nucleus Frame). The Nuclei (and therefore the sublocals) form a frame.

Proof.

#### 1.3.2 (1.5) Direct Images

**Definition 19** (Direct Images). Let  $f: E \to F$  be a morphism of Frames. The map  $f_*f^*: O(F) \to O(F)$  is the nucleus of the subframe Im(f) of F. By (lemma 2), Im(F) is the smallest subframe of F through which f can be factored. For any subframe X of E, we define the direct image as

$$f(x) = Im(fi_x)$$

Where  $i_X$  is the inclusion of X into E.

**Lemma 20** ((4) Direct Images Transitive). (Leroy Lemme 4) Given two morphisms  $f: E \to F$  and  $g: F \to G$  and a subspace X of E, we have

$$(gf)(X) = g(f(X))$$

**Lemma 21** ((5) Direct Images Families). (Leroy Lemme 5) For all morphisms  $f: E \to F$  and a family  $(X_i)$  of subspaces of E, the following holds:

$$f(\cup_i X_i) = \cup_i f(X_i)$$

#### 1.3.3 (6) Inverse Images

**Definition 22** (Inverse Images). We have a morphism of spaces  $f: E \to F$  and a subspace Y of F. The inverse image  $f^{-1}(Y)$  is the biggest subspace X of E such that  $f(X) \subset Y$ . More generally for a morphism  $h: A \to E$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for h to factor through  $f^{-1}$  is that fh factors through Y.

$$Imh \subset f^{-1}(Y) \iff f(Imh) \subset Y \iff Im(fh) \subset Y$$

#### 1.3.4 (7) Open Sublocals

**Definition 23**  $(e_U)$ . Let E be a space with  $U, H \in O(E)$ . We denote by  $e_U$  the largest  $W \in O(E)$  such that  $W \cap U \subset H$ . We verify that  $e_U$  is the nucleus of a subspace, which we will temporarily denote by [U].

**Lemma 24** ( $e_U$  is a nucleus). The map  $e_U$  is a nucleus.

**Definition 25** (Open sublocal). For any  $U \in O(E)$ , the sublocal [U] is called an open sublocal of E

Lemma 26 ((6,7) Open Sublocal Properties). (Leroy Lemma 6,7)

1. For all subspaces X of E and any  $U \in O(E)$ :

$$X \subset [U] \iff e_X(U) = 1_E$$

2. For all  $U, V \in O(E)$ , we have:

$$[U \cap V] = [U] \cap [V]$$

$$e_{U \cap V} = e_U e_V = e_V e_U$$

$$U \subset V \iff [U] \subset [V]$$

3. For all families  $V_i$  of elements of O(E), we have:

$$\cup_{i}[V_{i}] = [\cup_{i}V_{i}]$$

4.

Proof.

**Definition 27** (Complement). The complement of an open sublocal U of X is the sublocal  $X \setminus U$ . (Leroy p. 12) (+ Senf brauchen wir das allgemein??)

Lemma 28 (Complement Injective). The complement is injective.

**Definition 29** (Closed Sublocal). A sublocal X of E is called closed if  $X = E \setminus U$  for some open sublocal U of E.

**Lemma 30** (Intersection of Closed Sublocals). For any family  $X_i$  of closed sublocals of E, the intersection  $\bigcap X_i$  is closed (it can be computed by taking the complement of the union of the complements).

 $\Gamma$ 

**Lemma 31** ((1.8) Properties of Complements). For any open sublocal V of E and any sublocal X of E, we have:

$$V \cup X = E \iff E \setminus VsubsetX$$

$$V \cap X = \emptyset \iff X \subset E \setminus V$$

And thereby:

$$(E - U = E - V) \implies U = V$$

**Lemma 32** ((1.9) Preimage of complements). For any morphism of spaces  $g: A \to E$  and any open sublocal V of F, we have:

$$g^{-1}(E-V) = A - g^{-1}(V)$$

**Lemma 33** ((1.8bis) Properties of Complements Part 2). For any open sublocal V of E and any sublocal X of E, we have:

$$V \cup (E-V) = E \iff V \subset X$$

$$V \cap (E - V) = \emptyset X \subset V$$

**Lemma 34** ((1.10) Intersection of Open and Closed Sublocals). For any  $U \in O(E)$ , and sublocal X of E we have:

$$e_{U\cap X} = e_U e_X$$

And for a closed F

$$e_{X\cap F} = e_X e_F$$

**Lemma 35** ((1.11) Distribution of Intersections over Unions). Let X, Y, L be three sub locals of E. If L is open or closed, we have:

$$L \cap (X \cap Y) = (L \cap X) \cup (L \cap Y)$$

**Definition 36** (Further Topology).

- 1. IntX is the largest open sublocal contained in X
- 2. ExtX is the largest open sublocal contained in  $E \setminus X$
- 3.  $\bar{X}$  is the smallest closed sublocal containing X
- 4.  $\partial X = \bar{X} \cap (E IntX)$

Lemma 37 (Properties of Further Topology).

- 1.  $\bar{X} = E \setminus Ext(X)$
- 2.  $\partial X = E \setminus (IntX \cup ExtX)$
- 3.  $IntX \cup \partial X = \bar{X}$
- 4.  $ExtX \cup \partial X = E \setminus IntX$

Proof.

# Leroy Chapter II

**Definition 38** (Induced boolean algebra). Define  $At(U_1, ..., U_n)$  as the collection of all finite nonempty intersections of opens  $U_i$  or their complements.

Define  $b(U_1,\dots,U_n)$  as all unions of elements of  $At(U_1,\dots,U_n)$ 

Define b(X) as the union of all  $b(U_1,\dots,U_n)$  for finite collections of opens  $U_i$ .

**Lemma 39** ((2.1) Sublocals and decompositions). For any open U the natural morphism  $p: U \sqcup (E \setminus U)$  induces a bijection of sublocals.

**Lemma 40** ((2.2) Induced morphism of boolean algebras). For all  $H \in B(U_1, ..., U_n)$  we have  $f^{-1}(H) \in b(f^{-1}(U-1), ..., f^{-1}(U_n))$  and

$$H\mapsto f^{-1}(H)$$

Is a morphism of boolean algebras

**Proposition 41** (Boolean algebra). (Leroy Proposition II.1)

- 1. b(X) is the generated boolean algebra of the open and closed sublocals of X.
- 2. For all  $H \in b(X)$ , we have  $f^{-1}(H) \in b(Y)$  and the map  $H \to f^{-1}(H)$  is a homomorphism of boolean algebras  $b(X) \to b(Y)$
- 3. For two sublocals A, B of X and any  $H \in b(X)$ , we have:

$$H \cap (A \cup B) = (H \cap A) \cup (H \cap B)$$

**Lemma 42** (b(X)) generates sublocals). Every sublocal X is an intersection of elements of b(X).

**Lemma 43** (Union of Intersections). (Leroy Lemme 2.4) For any family  $B_i$  of sublocals of a local E and a sublocal A, we have:

$$A \cup (\bigcap_{i} B_{i}) = \bigcap_{i} (A \cap B_{i})$$

This implies if  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  are families of sublocals of X, we have:

$$(\bigcap_i A_i) \cup (\bigcap_j B_j) = \bigcap_{ij} (A_i \cup B_j)$$

**Theorem 44** (Preimage commutes with unions). (Leroy resultat principal) For any morphism f of locals, we have:

$$f^{-1}(A \cup B) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$$

## Leroy Chapter III

**Definition 45** (Measure on Locals). TODO ggf auspalten mit extra lemma das sagt dass die Open subframes einen frame bilden A measure on a local X is a map  $\mu: O(X) \to [0, \infty)$  such that:

- 1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- $2. \ U \subset V \implies \mu(U) \leq \mu(V)$
- 3.  $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(U) + \mu(V) \mu(V \cap V)$
- 4. For any increasingly filtered family  $V_i$  of open sublocals of X, we have:

$$\mu(\bigcup V_i) = \sup_i \mu(V_i)$$

this means: For all i and j there exists a k such that  $V_i \cup V_j \subset V_k$  bzw.  $V_i \subset V_k$  and  $V_j \subset V_k$ .

(Leroy III.1.)

**Definition 46** (Caratheodory). For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is:

$$\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) | A \subset U \in O(X)\}\$$

**Lemma 47** (Proptery 0 (Commutes with sup)). (Leroy lemme 3.1) The caratheodory extension of a measure on a local commutes with unions of increasing families. (Senf von noa: commutes with filtered colimits)

**Definition 48** (Regular Local). A local is regular, if for all open sublocals U of E, the open sublocals V such that  $V \subset U$  recover U.

**Definition 49** (Neighborhood).

A neighborhood of a sublocal A of X is an open sublocal V of X such that  $A \leq V$ .

**Lemma 50** (Regularity of Sublocals). (Leroy lemme 3.2) In a regular local, any sublocal is regular, meaning that it is the intersection of all open neighborhoods.

**Lemma 51** (Property 1). (Leroy Lemme 3.3) For any open sublocal U of a local X, the caratheodory extension of a measure on X satisfies

$$\mu(U) + \mu(X \setminus U) = \mu(X)$$

Proof. Siehe Leroy

**Proposition 52** (Sublocals structure). Obacht TODO The sublocals of a local E form a complete (distributive vlt.) lattice with the operations of intersection and complement.

**Lemma 53** (Property 2). (Leroy Lemm 3.4) For any open sublocal U and any sublocal A of a local E, the caratheodory extension of a measure on X satisfies

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap U) + \mu(A \cap (E \setminus U))$$

**Lemma 54** (Property 3). (Leroy Lemm 3.5) For a increasing family  $V_{\alpha}$  of open sublocals of E and any sublocal A, we have:

$$\mu(A \cap (\bigcup V_{\alpha})) = \sup_{\alpha} \mu(A \cap V_{\alpha})$$

**Lemma 55** (Commutes with inf opens). (Leroy Lemme 3.6) For any measure on a local X and a decreasing family  $V_i$  of open sublocals, the caratheodory extension fulfills:  $\mu(\inf V_i) = \inf \mu(V_i)$ .

 $\textbf{Lemma 56} \ (\textbf{Caratheodory Extensions are monotonic}). \ \textit{The caratheodory extension is monotonic} \\ \textit{i.e.}$ 

$$A \le B \implies \mu(A) \le \mu(B)$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of the definition of the caratheodory extension.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 57** (Elementary Properties of Caratheodory Extensions). (Leroy lemme 3.3, 3.4, Corollary 3.1, Lemme 3.5) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension satisfies the following properties:

1. It is monotonic i.e.

$$A \leq B \implies \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$$

- 2. Commutes with unions of increasing families
- 3.  $\mu(U) + \mu(X \setminus U) = \mu(X)$
- 4.  $\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap U) + \mu(A \cap (E \setminus U))$
- 5. For a increasing family  $V_{\alpha}$  of open sublocals of E and any sublocal A, we have:

$$\mu(A\cap (\bigcup V_\alpha))=\sup_\alpha \mu(A\cap V_\alpha)$$

6. For any measure on a local X and a decreasing family  $V_i$  of open sublocals, the caratheodory extension fulfills:  $\mu(\inf V_i) = \inf \mu(V_i)$ .

In particular, for two open sublocals U and V of X and any sublocal A of X, we have

$$\mu(A\cap (U\cup V))=\mu(A\cap U)+\mu(A\cap V)-\mu(A\cap U\cap V)$$

**Proposition 58** (strictly additive). (Leroy theorem 3.3.1) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is strictly additive i.e.  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B)$ 

**Proposition 59** (reductive). (Proposition 3.3.1) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is reductive i.e. for all  $A \leq X$  the set  $\{A' \subset A, \mu(A') = \mu(A)\}$  has a minimal element

**Proposition 60** (Commutes with inf). (Leroy lemme 3.7 et principal) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is regular  $\mu(\inf A_i) = \inf \mu(A_i)$ . For decreasing families  $A_i$ 

**Theorem 61** (Main Theorem (very important)). For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is

- 1. strictly additive i.e.  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) \mu(A \cap B)$
- 2. commutes with inf  $\mu(\inf A_i) = \inf \mu(A_i)$
- 3. reductive i.e. for all  $A \leq X$  the set  $\{A' \subset A, \mu(A') = \mu(A)\}$  has a minimal element

# Leroy Chapter V

**Lemma 62** (Regular Top to regular local). Any regular topological space induces a regular local.

**Lemma 63** (Opens). (Leroy V.1 Remarque 2) The Open subsets of any good enough topological space correspond precisely to the open sublocals of the corresponding local.

**Lemma 64** (Subset Sublocal). (leroy V.1 Remarque 3) Any subset X of a good enough topological space E induces a sublocal [X] of the corresponding local. This is an order preserving embedding.

**Definition 65** (Good enough topological space). blackbox to mathlib?????)

Lemma 66 (Subset to sublocal Part 1). (Leroy Proposition 5.1.1)

For two subspaces X and Y of E and an open subspaces U of E, we have:

1. 
$$X \subset Y \implies [X] \subset [Y]$$

2. 
$$X \subset U \iff [X] \subset [U]$$

3. If E is a good enough topological space, then

$$X \subset Y \iff [X] \subset [Y]$$

**Lemma 67** (Subset to sublocal Part 2). (Leroy Proposition 5.1.2, 5.1.3) For an open subspace U of E and a subspace X of E, we have:

$$[U \cap X] = [U] \cap [X]$$

$$F = E \setminus U$$

$$[F] = [E]$$

$$[U]$$

$$X \cap F] = [X] \cap [F]$$

**Lemma 68** (Part 3). For any subspaces X of E, we have:

1.

$$Ext[X] = [ExtX]$$

2.

$$[\bar{X}] = [\bar{X}]$$

3. 
$$[IntX] \subset Int[X]$$
 4. 
$$\partial [X] \subset [Fr(X)]$$

For a good enough topological space E, we have equality in 3 and 4.

**Proposition 69** (Subset to sublocal preserves structure). For two subspaces X and Y of E and an open subspaces U of E, we have:

1. 
$$X \subset Y \implies [X] \subset [Y]$$

$$2. \ X \subset U \iff [X] \subset [U]$$

*5.* ...

3. If E is a good enough topological space, then

$$X \subset Y \iff [X] \subset [Y]$$

4.  $[U\cap X]=[U]\cap [X]$ 

**Theorem 70** (Measure top to loc). Any measure on a good enough topological space X induces a measure on the corresponding local. Furthermore, the classical caratheodory extension onto  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  agrees with the restriction of the caratheodory extension of the induced measure on the local.

**Theorem 71** (Goal). One can interpret any classical borel measure as a measure on locals and their life is good :)