leroy

Chiara Cimino

Christian Krause

April 13, 2025

Chapter 1

Localic Caratheodory Extension

1.1 Basics of Locales

Definition 1 (f^* and f_*). For every continuous Function $f: X \to Y$ between topological Spaces, there exists a pair of functors (f^* , f_*).

$$f*=f^{-1}:O(Y)\to O(X)$$

$$f_*:O(X)\to O(Y):=A\mapsto \bigcup_{f^*(v)\leq A}v$$

Lemma 2 $(f^* \dashv f_*)$. f^* is the right adjoint to f_*

Proof.

1.2 Embedding

Lemma 3 (Embedding). (Leroy Lemme 1) The following arguments are equivalent:

- 1. f^* is surjective
- 2. f_* is injective
- 3. $f^*f_* = 1_{O(X)}$

Proof. This follows from the triangular identities.

Definition 4 (Embedding). An embedding is a morphism that satisfies the conditions of 3

1.3 Sublocals

Definition 5 (Nucleus). A nucleus is a map $e: O(E) \to O(E)$ with the following three properties:

- 1. e is idempotent
- $2. \ U \leq eU$

3. $e(U \cap V) = e(U) \cap e(V)$

Lemma 6 (Nucleus). (Leroy Lemme 3) Let $e: O(E) \to O(E)$ be monotonic. The following are equivalent:

- 1. e is a nucleus
- 2. There is a locale X and a morphism $f: X \to E$ such that $e = f_* f^*$.
- 3. Then there is a locale X and a embedding $f: X \to E$ such that $e = f_* f^*$.

Proof.

Definition 7 (Nucleus Partial Order). For two nuclei e and f on O(E), we say that $e \leq f$ if $e(U) \leq f(U)$ for all $U \in O(E)$. This relation is a partial order.

Lemma 8 (Nucleus Intersection). For a set S of nuclei, the intersection $\bigcap S$ can be computed by $\bigcap S(a) = \bigcap \{j(a) | j \in S\}$. This function satisfies the properties of a nucleus and of an infimum. Quelle: StoneSpaces S.51

Proof.

Definition 9 (Sublocal). (Leroy CH 3) A sublocal $Y \subset X$ is defined by a nucleus $e_Y : O(X) \to O(X)$, such that $O(Y) = Im(e_Y) = \{U \in O(X) | e_Y(U) = U\}$. The corresponding embedding is $i_X : O(Y) \to O(X)$. $i_X^*(V) = e_X(V)$, $(i_X)_*(U) = U$ And every nucleus e on O(X) defines a sublocal Y of X by O(Y) = Im(e)

Definition 10 (Sublocal Inclusion). (Stimmt das?)(Leroy Ch 3) $X \subset Y$ if $e_Y(u) \leq e_X(u)$ for all u. This means that the Sublocals are a dual order to the nuclei.

1.3.1 (1.4) Sublocal Union and Intersection

Definition 11 (Union of Sublocals). (Leroy CH 1.4) Let $(X_i)_i$ be a family of sublocals of E and $(e_i)_i$ the corresponding nuclei. For all $V \in O(E)$, let e(V) be the union of all $W \in O(E)$ which are contained in all $e_i(V)$.

Lemma 12 (Union of Sublocals). (Leroy CH 4) Let X_i be a family of subframes of E and e_i be the corresponding nuclei. For every $V \in O(E)$, let e(V) be the union of all $W \in O(E)$ which are contained in every $e_i(V)$. Then

- 1. e is the corresponding nucleus of a sublocale X of E
- 2. a sublocale Z of E contains x if and only if it contains all X_i . X is thus called the union of X_i denoted by $\bigcup_i X_i$

Proof. The properties of the nucleus (idempotent, increasing, preserving intersection) can be verified by unfolding the definition of e(V).

Definition 13 (Intersection of Sublocals). Let $(X_i)_i$ be a family of sublocal of E and $(e_i)_i$ the corresponding nuclei. For all $V \in O(E)$, the intersection $\bigcap X_i$ is the Union of all Nuclei w such that $w \leq x_i$ for all $x_i \in X_i$

Lemma 14 (Nucleus Complete Lattice). The Nuclei (and therefore the sublocals) form a complete lattice.

Proof. One can prove that the Nuclei are closed under arbitrary intersections by unfolding the definition of the intersection. The supremum is defined as the infimum of the upper Bound.

Proposition 15 (Complete Heyting Algebra). A complete Lattice is a Frame if and only if it as a Heyting Algebra.

Proof. (Source Johnstone:) The Heyting implication is right adjoint to the infimum. This means that the infimum preserves Suprema, since it is a left adjoint.

Lemma 16 (Nucleus Heyting Algebra). The Nuclei form a Heyting Algebra.

Proof. Quelle Johnstone

Lemma 17 (Nucleus Frame). The Nuclei form a frame.

Proof.

1.3.2 (7) Open Sublocals

Definition 18 (e_U) . Let E be a space with $U, H \in O(E)$. We denote by e_U the largest $W \in O(E)$ such that $W \cap U \subset H$. We verify that e_U is the nucleus of a subspace, which we will temporarily denote by [U].

Lemma 19 (e_U is a nucleus). The map e_U is a nucleus.

 \square

Definition 20 (Open sublocal). For any $U \in O(E)$, the sublocal [U] is called an open sublocal of E.

Lemma 21 ((6,7) Open Sublocal Properties). (Leroy Lemma 6,7)

1. For all subspaces X of E and any $U \in O(E)$:

$$X\subset [U]\iff e_X(U)=1_E$$

2. For all $U, V \in O(E)$, we have:

$$\begin{split} [U \cap V] &= [U] \cap [V] \\ e_{U \cap V} &= e_U e_V = e_V e_U \\ U \subset V \iff [U] \subset [V] \end{split}$$

3. For all families V_i of elements of O(E), we have:

$$\cup_i [V_i] = [\cup_i V_i]$$

4.

Proof.

Definition 22 (Complement). The complement of an open sublocal U of X is the sublocal $X \setminus U$. (Leroy p. 12)

Lemma 23 (Complement Injective). The complement is injective.

Proof.

Definition 24 (Closed Sublocal). A sublocal X of E is called closed if $X = E \setminus U$ for some open sublocal U of E.

Lemma 25 (Intersection of Closed Sublocals). For any family X_i of closed sublocals of E, the intersection $\bigcap X_i$ is closed (it can be computed by taking the complement of the union of the complements).

Proof.

Lemma 26 ((1.8) Properties of Complements). For any open sublocal V of E and any sublocal X of E, we have:

$$V \cup X = E \iff E \setminus VsubsetX$$

$$V \cap X = \emptyset \iff X \subset E \setminus V$$

And thereby:

$$(E - U = E - V) \implies U = V$$

 \square

Lemma 27 ((1.8bis) Properties of Complements Part 2). For any open sublocal V of E and any sublocal X of E, we have:

$$V \cup (E - V) = E \iff V \subset X$$

 $V \cap (E - V) = \emptyset X \subset V$

Proof.

Definition 28 (Further Topology).

- 1. IntX is the largest open sublocal contained in X
- 2. ExtX is the largest open sublocal contained in $E \setminus X$
- 3. X is the smallest closed sublocal containing X
- 4. $\partial X = \bar{X} \cap (E IntX)$

Lemma 29 (Properties of Further Topology).

- 1. $\bar{X} = E \setminus Ext(X)$
- 2. $\partial X = E \setminus (IntX \cup ExtX)$
- 3. $IntX \cup \partial X = \bar{X}$
- 4. $ExtX \cup \partial X = E \setminus IntX$

Proof.

1.4 Caratheodory Extnesion on Locales

Definition 30 (Measure on Locales). A measure on a local X is a map $\mu: O(X) \to [0, \infty)$ such that:

- 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- 2. $U \subset V \implies \mu(U) \leq \mu(V)$
- 3. $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(U) + \mu(V) \mu(V \cap V)$
- 4. For any increasingly filtered family V_i of open sublocals of X, we have:

$$\mu(\bigcup V_i) = \sup_i \mu(V_i)$$

this means: For all i and j there exists a k such that $V_i \cup V_j \subset V_k$ bzw. $V_i \subset V_k$ and $V_j \subset V_k$.

(Leroy III.1.)

Definition 31 (Caratheodory). For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is:

$$\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) | A \subset U \in O(X)\}\$$

Lemma 32 (Proptery 0 (Commutes with sup)). (Leroy lemme 3.1) The caratheodory extension of a measure on a local commutes with unions of increasing families.

 $\textbf{Lemma 33} \ (\textbf{Caratheodory Extensions are monotonic}). \ \textit{The caratheodory extension is monotonic} \\ \textit{i.e.}$

$$A < B \implies \mu(A) < \mu(B)$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the definition of the caratheodory extension.

Lemma 34 (Subadditivity). The Caratheodory extension is subaddive:

$$\mu(A \cup B) < \mu(A) + \mu(b)$$

Proof.

Definition 35 (Regular Local). A local is regular, if for all open sublocals U of E, the open sublocals V such that $V \subset U$ recover U.

Definition 36 (Neighborhood).

A neighborhood of a sublocal A of X is an open sublocal V of X such that $A \leq V$.

Lemma 37 (Regularity of Sublocals). (Leroy lemme 3.2) In a regular local, any sublocal is regular, meaning that it is the intersection of all open neighborhoods.

Lemma 38 (Measure add compl eq top). (Leroy Lemme 3.3) For any open sublocal U of a local X, the caratheodory extension of a measure on X satisfies

$$\mu(U) + \mu(X \setminus U) = \mu(X)$$

Proof. Siehe Leroy **Lemma 39** (Restriction). The Restriction of a Measure to any open Sublocal is a Measure. Proof. **Lemma 40** (Property 2). (Leroy Lemm 3.4) For any open sublocal U and any sublocal A of a local E, the caratheodory extension of a measure on X satisfies $\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap U) + \mu(A \cap (E \setminus U))$ Proof. Siehe Leroy **Lemma 41** (Property 3). (Leroy Lemm 3.5) For a increasing family V_{α} of open sublocals of E and any sublocal A, we have: $\mu(A\cap (\bigcup V_\alpha))=\sup_\alpha \mu(A\cap V_\alpha)$ Proof. **Lemma 42** (Restriction to a Sublocale). Let A be a sublocale of E with the embedding $i: A \to E$. The restriction of a measure μ on E to A is a measure on A: $V \mapsto \mu(i(V)) : Open(A) \to \mathbb{R}$ Proof. **Proposition 43** (strictly additve). (Leroy theorem 3.3.1) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is strictly additive i.e. $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B)$ Proof. **Proposition 44** (reductive). (Proposition 3.3.1) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is reductive i.e. for all $A \leq X$ the set $\{A' \subset A, \mu(A') = \mu(A)\}$ has a minimal element Proof. **Lemma 45** (Commutes with inf opens). (Leroy Lemme 3.6) For any measure on a local X and a decreasing family V_i of open sublocals, the caratheodory extension fulfills: $\mu(\inf V_i) = \inf \mu(V_i)$. Proof. Proposition 46 (Commutes with inf). (Leroy lemme 3.7 et principal) For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is regular $\mu(\inf A_i) = \inf \mu(A_i)$. For decreasing families A_i **Theorem 47** (Main Theorem (very important)). For any measure on a local X, the caratheodory extension is 1. strictly additive i.e. $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B)$ 2. commutes with inf $\mu(\inf A_i) = \inf \mu(A_i)$ 3. reductive i.e. for all $A \leq X$ the set $\{A' \subset A, \mu(A') = \mu(A)\}$ has a minimal element Proof.

Chapter 2

Locales correspond to Topology

2.1 Leroy Chapter V

Lemma 48 ((1.10) Intersection of Open and Closed Sublocals). For any $U \in O(E)$, and sublocal X of E we have:

$$e_{U\cap X} = e_U e_X$$

And for a closed F

$$e_{X\cap F} = e_X e_F$$

Lemma 49 (Regular Top to regular local). Any regular topological space induces a regular local.

Lemma 50 (Opens). (Leroy V.1 Remarque 2) The Open subsets of any good enough topological space correspond precisely to the open sublocals of the corresponding local.

Lemma 51 (Subset Sublocal). (leroy V.1 Remarque 3) Any subset X of a good enough topological space E induces a sublocal [X] of the corresponding local. This is an order preserving embedding.

Definition 52 (Good enough topological space). blackbox to mathlib?????

Lemma 53 (Subset to sublocal Part 1). (Leroy Proposition 5.1.1)

For two subspaces X and Y of E and an open subspaces U of E, we have:

- 1. $X \subset Y \implies [X] \subset [Y]$
- $2. \ X \subset U \iff [X] \subset [U]$
- 3. If E is a good enough topological space, then

$$X \subset Y \iff [X] \subset [Y]$$

Lemma 54 (Subset to sublocal Part 2). (Leroy Proposition 5.1.2, 5.1.3) For an open subspace U of E and a subspace X of E, we have:

$$[U \cap X] = [U] \cap [X]$$

$$F = E \setminus U$$

$$[F] = [E]$$

$$[U]$$

$$[X \cap F] = [X] \cap [F]$$

Lemma 55 (Part 3). For any subspaces X of E, we have:

1.
$$Ext[X] = [ExtX]$$
 2.
$$[\bar{X}] = [\bar{X}]$$
 3.
$$[IntX] \subset Int[X]$$
 4.
$$\partial[X] \subset [Fr(X)]$$

For a good enough topological space E, we have equality in 3 and 4.

Proposition 56 (Subset to sublocal preserves structure). For two subspaces X and Y of E and an open subspaces U of E, we have:

1.
$$X \subset Y \implies [X] \subset [Y]$$

$$2. \ X \subset U \iff [X] \subset [U]$$

3. If E is a good enough topological space, then

$$X\subset Y\iff [X]\subset [Y]$$

4.
$$[U \cap X] = [U] \cap [X]$$

5. ...

Theorem 57 (Measure top to loc). Any measure on a good enough topological space X induces a measure on the corresponding local. Furthermore, the classical caratheodory extension onto $\mathcal{P}(X)$ agrees with the restriction of the caratheodory extension of the induced measure on the local.

Hello