

a) According to the given functions of our data-type, we can see that our implementation is not in an OOP language since all the functions given requires an input string to manipulate it. Our data type does not hold a state, and we are familiar these type of behaviours mostly from languages like C.

b) In OOP Paradigm, all the functions would have one less parameter, and this way it could encapsulate the data that holds the string from outer world and this would be more reliable and Safe. But also there would be a tradeoff in terms of flexibility again compared to imperative and functional implementations. And also OOP would be more complex.

c) C++ is the first language that supports OOP unlike self.
In Self,

Berke Belgin
17104065
B3