Topics in Database Theory – Homework 2

1 Algebraic Identities

- 1. (0 points)
 - (a) Consider a multi-join query, a.k.a. full conjunctive query:

$$Q = R_1 \bowtie R_2 \bowtie \cdots \bowtie R_m$$

Assume we compute the query by joining the relations one-at-a-time, in some order $R_{n_1}, R_{n_2}, \ldots, R_{n_m}$ (this is called a left-deep query plan):

$$Out_1 := R_{n_1}$$

$$Out_2 := Out_1 \bowtie R_{n_2}$$

$$...$$

$$Out_m := Out_{m-1} \bowtie R_{n_m}$$

Assume that each relation is reduced w.r.t. the query's output, meaning $R_i = R_i \ltimes Q$. Find a syntactic condition that ensures that Out_i is reduced w.r.t. the query's output:

$$\forall i = 1, m:$$
 Out_i = Out_i $\ltimes Q$

Use algebraic identities of \bowtie and \bowtie to prove that Out_i is reduced for all i. This will complete the proof of Claim 2 in the lecture notes, proving that Yannakakis' algorithm runs in time $O(|\operatorname{Input}| + |\operatorname{Output}|)$.

Hint: you need to use the algebraic identities discussed in class, plus possibly new ones that you need to discover.

(b) Suggested min-research project: use the equality saturation system egg https://egraphs-good.github.io/ and prove correctness and the runtime of Yannakakis algorithm.

2 (Hyper)-Treewidth

2. (0 points)

(a) For each query below indicate whether they are acyclic. (The head variables don't matter for this question and are not shown.)

$$Q_1 = R(X,Y) \land S(Y,Z) \land T(Z,X)$$

$$Q_2 = R(X,Y,Z) \land S(Y,Z,U) \land T(Z,U,V)$$

$$Q_3 = A(X,Y,Z) \land R(X,Y) \land S(Y,Z) \land T(Z,X)$$

$$Q_4 = A(X) \land B(Y) \land C(Z) \land R(X,Y) \land S(Y,Z) \land T(Z,X)$$

- (b) i. What is the treewidth of a cycle of length n?
 - ii. What is the treewidth of an $n \times n$ grid? Node (i, j) is connected to $(i \pm 1, j)$ and to $(i, j \pm 1)$.
 - iii. What is the treewidth of an $n \times n \times n$ cube? Node (i, j, k) is connected to $(i \pm 1, j, k), (i, j \pm 1, k), (i, j, k \pm 1).$

3 Query Containment

3. (0 points)

All queries below are Boolean conjunctive queries; the quantifiers \exists are dropped to reduce clutter.

(a) Indicate all containment or equivalence relationships between the following queries:

$$Q_1 = R(x, y) \land R(z, y) \land R(x, u)$$

$$Q_2 = R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \land R(z, u)$$

$$Q_3 = R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \land R(z, x)$$

$$Q_4 = R(x, y)$$

(b) Indicate all containment or equivalence relationships between the following queries:

$$Q_1 = R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \land R(z, x)$$

$$Q_2 = R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \land R(z, x) \land x \ge y$$

$$Q_3 = R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \land R(z, x) \land x \le y \le z$$

(c) [1] Prove that $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$:

$$Q_1 = R(x_1, x_2) \land R(x_2, x_3) \land R(x_3, x_4) \land R(x_4, x_5) \land R(x_5, x_1) \land x_1 \neq x_2$$

$$Q_2 = R(x_1, x_2) \land R(x_2, x_3) \land R(x_3, x_4) \land R(x_4, x_5) \land R(x_5, x_1) \land x_1 \neq x_3$$

References

[1] Y. Amsterdamer, D. Deutch, T. Milo, and V. Tannen. On provenance minimization. In M. Lenzerini and T. Schwentick, editors, *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS 2011, June 12-16, 2011, Athens, Greece*, pages 141–152. ACM, 2011.