

1 Asymptotics Introduction

Give the runtime of the following functions in Θ notation. Your answer should be as simple as possible with no unnecessary leading constants or lower order terms.

<pre>private void f1(int N) { for (int i = 1; i < N; i++) { for (int j = 1; j < i; j++) { System.out.println("shreyas 1.0"); } } }</pre> <p>$\Theta(___)$</p>	<pre>private void f2(int N) { for (int i = 1; i < N; i *= 2) { for (int j = 1; j < i; j++) { System.out.println("shreyas 2.0"); } } }</pre> <p>$\Theta(___)$</p>
---	--

Solution:

<pre>private void f1(int N) { for (int i = 1; i < N; i++) { for (int j = 1; j < i; j++) { System.out.println("shreyas 1.0"); } } }</pre> <p>$\Theta(N^2)$</p>	<pre>private void f2(int N) { for (int i = 1; i < N; i *= 2) { for (int j = 1; j < i; j++) { System.out.println("shreyas 2.0"); } } }</pre> <p>$\Theta(N)$</p>
--	---

Explanation (1): The inner loop does up to i work each time, and the outer loop increments i each time. Summing over each loop, we get that $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots + N = \Theta(N^2)$.

Explanation (2): The inner loop does i work each time, and we double i each time until reaching N . $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + N = \Theta(N)$

2 Disjoint Sets

For each of the arrays below, write whether this could be the array representation of a weighted quick union with path compression and explain your reasoning.

i:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

A. a[i]:	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	4	4	5
B. a[i]:	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	9	-10
C. a[i]:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	-10
D. a[i]:	-10	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	2
E. a[i]:	-10	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	8
F. a[i]:	-7	0	0	1	1	3	3	-3	7	7

Solution: There are three criteria here that invalidates a representation:

- (1) If there is a cycle in the parent-link.
- (2) For each parent-child link, the tree rooted at the parent is smaller than the tree rooted at the child before the link (you would have merged the other way around).
- (3) The height of the tree is greater than $\log_2 n$, where n is the number of elements.

Therefore, we have the following verdicts.

- A. Impossible: has a cycle 0-1, 1-2, 2-3, and 3-0 in the parent-link representation.
- B. Impossible: the nodes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 must link to 0 when 0 is a root; hence, 0 would not link to 9 because 0 is the root of the larger tree.
- C. Impossible: tree rooted at 9 has height $9 > \log_2 10$.
- D. Possible: 8-6, 7-1, 6-1, 5-1, 9-2, 3-0, 4-0, 2-0, 1-0.
- E. Impossible: tree rooted at 0 has height $4 > \log_2 10$.
- F. Impossible: tree rooted at 0 has height $3 > \log_2 7$.

3 Asymptotics of Weighted Quick Unions

Note: for all big Ω and big O bounds, give the *tightest* bound possible.

(a) Suppose we have a Weighted Quick Union (WQU) without path compression with N elements.

1. What is the runtime, in big Ω and big O , of `isConnected`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$, $O(\text{-----})$

2. What is the runtime, in big Ω and big O , of `connect`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$, $O(\text{-----})$

Solution:

1. $\Omega(1)$, $O(\log(N))$

2. $\Omega(1)$, $O(\log(N))$

In the best-case, if we're checking if a and b are connected, a is the root, and b is a node directly below the root. This means we only have to traverse one edge of the tree, which is constant time. In the worst-case, we have to traverse the entire height of the tree, and Weighted Quick Union gives us a worst-case height of $\log N$, hence the upper-bound of $O(\log N)$. Similar logic applies to the `connect` method.

(b) Suppose for the following problem we add the method `addToWQU` to the WQU class. The method takes in a list of `elements` and connects them in a random order, stopping when all elements are connected. Assume that all the `elements` are disconnected before the method call.

```

1 void addToWQU(int[] elements) {
2     int[][] pairs = pairs(elements);
3     for (int[] pair: pairs) {
4         if (size() == elements.length) {
5             return;
6         }
7         connect(pair[0], pair[1]);
8     }
9 }
```

The `pairs` method takes in a list of `elements` and generates all possible pairs of elements in a random order. For example, `pairs([1, 2, 3])` might return `[[1, 3], [2, 3], [1, 2]]` or `[[1, 2], [1, 3], [2, 3]]`.

The `size` method calculates the size of the largest component in the WQU.

Assume that `pairs` and `size` run in constant time.

What is the runtime of `addToWQU` in big Ω and big O ?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$, $O(\text{-----})$

Solution: $\Omega(N)$, $O(N^2 \log(N))$

Note that the if-statement terminates the method when the disjoint set becomes fully connected. The best case occurs when there is a sequence of pairs such that each `connect()` operation takes constant

time and the tree becomes connected as quickly as possible. This will happen if we have a sequence $(0, 1), (0, 2), \dots, (0, N - 1)$, which consists of $N - 1$ operations each taking constant time (ie. the best case for `connect` from part a). Note that long running-times occur when an element (e.g. 0) is not connected for many operations, and in the worst-case, 0 is not connected until the last N operations. This results in a tree of height $\log N$ and requires up to $N^2 - N + 1$ iterations.

- (c) Let us define a **matching size connection** as connecting two components in a WQU of equal size. For instance, suppose we have two trees, one with values 1 and 2, and another with the values 3 and 4. Calling `connect(1, 4)` is a matching size connection since both trees have 2 elements.

What is the **minimum** and **maximum** number of matching size connections that can occur after executing `addToWQU`. Assume N , i.e. `elements.length`, is a power of two. Your answers should be exact.

minimum: _____, maximum: _____

Solution: minimum: 1, maximum: $N - 1$

The minimum number occurs for the sequence above, where there is only one matching size connection: $(0, 1)$. The maximum number is a bit more tricky, but occurs if we pairwise-connect the elements together, then pairwise connect those, and so on. An example for $N=8$ elements is as follows: $(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5), (6, 7), (0, 2), (4, 6), (0, 4)$. In general, there are $N/2$ matching-size connections of size 1, $N/4$ matching-size connections of size 2, and so on, up until one matching-size connection of size $N/2$. This is the sum $N/2 + N/4 + N/8 + \dots + 2 + 1$, which simplifies to $N - 1$.