

Outlines

- LQG/LTR
- FSLQ

1 LQG/Loop Transfer Recover (LTR)

History and notes:

- LQG/LTR should be regarded as one word
- a robust control design method that uses LQG control structure
- not an optimal control design method
- not even a stochastic control design method
- uses Fictitious KF

Setup: we have the continuous-time plant $G(s)$ with state-space matrices $A, B, C, (D = 0)$; and the stationary LQG controller

$$\frac{d\hat{x}(t|t)}{dt} = A\hat{x}(t|t) + Bu(t) + F(y(t) - C\hat{x}(t|t)) = (A - BK - FC)\hat{x}(t|t) + Fy(t)$$

$$u(t) = -K\hat{x}(t|t)$$

Converting the above to an equivalent feedback controller in the transfer-function form, we have

$$-y \rightarrow u : G_c(s) = K(sI - A + BK + FC)^{-1}F \quad (1)$$

Conditions: the plant $G(s)$ has only minimum-phase transmission zeros, (A, B) is controllable, (A, C) is observable.

Result: if we choose the LQ cost function properly, the loop transfer function $G_p(s)G_c(s)$ converges point-wise in s to the Kalman filter loop transfer function $C(sI - A)^{-1}F$:

$$\{[C(sI - A)^{-1}B][K(sI - A + BK + FC)^{-1}F]\} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 0} C(sI - A)^{-1}F \quad (2)$$

Here the “properly chosen” cost function is

$$J = \int_0^\infty (x^T(t)C^T Cx(t) + \rho u^T(t)Nu(t)) dt \quad (3)$$

Great benefit for feedback control design: recall that

- Continuous-time LQ problems have the robust stability results of infinite gain margin, no less than 60 degree phase margin, etc. This is from the return difference equality $\left[I + K(-sI - A)^{-1}B\right]^T R \left[I + K(sI - A)^{-1}B\right] = R + G(-s)^T G(s)$. And $I + K(sI - A)^{-1}B$ defines the closed-loop property.
- Kalman filters and LQ are dual problems, and in Kalman filter we learned the return difference equality

$$\left[I + C(sI - A)^{-1}F\right] V \left[I + F^T(-sI - A)^{-T}C^T\right] = V + G_w(s)WG_w^T(-s)$$

- Looking now at the KF return difference equality, we see the term $C(sI - A)^{-1}F$ on the left hand side of the equality, is our target loop transfer function in LQG/LTR! Hence, we can use LQG/LTR to approximate this target loop transfer function, which will give lots of benefits in the sense of disturbance rejection and closed-loop robustness.
- Now $C(sI - A)^{-1}F$ is a target loop transfer function (which we design), KF is hence not an actual optimal state estimator, and a fictitious one.
- The plant with fictitious noise input is:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Lw(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) + v(t)\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E[w(t)w^T(t+\tau)] &= I\delta(\tau) \\ E[v(t)v^T(t+\tau)] &= \mu I\delta(\tau)\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

The steady-state KF gain and Riccati equation for the above fictitious system is

$$F = \frac{1}{\mu}MC^T, \quad AM + M^TA + LL^T - \frac{1}{\mu}MC^TCM = 0\tag{6}$$

The return difference equality can be computed to be given by

$$\left[I + C(sI - A)^{-1}F \right] \left[I + F^T(-sI - A)^{-T}C^T \right] = I + \frac{1}{\mu}G_w(s)WG_w^T(-s)$$

from the above equation several nice properties can be derived (see the course reader for details)

- $\sigma_{max}S(j\omega) = \sigma_{max}[I + G_F(j\omega)]^{-1} \leq 1 \Rightarrow$ no disturbance amplification at any frequency
- $\sigma_{max}T(j\omega) = \sigma_{max}\{[I + G_F(j\omega)]^{-1}G_F(j\omega)\} \leq 2 \Rightarrow$ closed loop stable if the plant uncertainty satisfies $\sigma_{max}\Delta(j\omega) \leq 1/2$

1.1 Step-by-step design and implementation

Plant:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_p(t) &= A_p x_p(t) + B_p u_p(t) \\ y_p(t) &= C_p x_p(t) \end{cases}$$

Compensator for additional feedback properties (e.g. an integral action):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_c(t) &= A_c x_c(t) + B_c u(t) \\ u_p(t) &= C_c x_c(t) + D_c u(t) \end{cases}$$

Step 1: enlarged overall plant (the “plant” we are considering in the fictitious KF design):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_p(t) \\ x_c(t) \end{bmatrix}}_{x_e(t)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A_p & B_p C_c \\ 0 & A_c \end{bmatrix}}_{A_e} \begin{bmatrix} x_p(t) \\ x_c(t) \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} B_p D_c \\ B_c \end{bmatrix}}_{B_e} u(t)\tag{7}$$

$$y(t) = [C_p \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} x_p(t) \\ x_c(t) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{[C_p \ 0]}_{C_e} x_e(t) + \underbrace{0}_{D_e} u(t)\tag{8}$$

Step 2: fictitious Kalman filter for the enlarged plant (we now add the fictitious noise terms)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_e(t) &= A_e x_e(t) + B_e u(t) + Lw(t) \\ y(t) &= C_e x_e(t) + v(t) \end{cases}\tag{9}$$

$$E[w(t)w^T(t+\tau)] = I\delta(t), \quad E[v(t)v^T(t+\tau)] = \mu I\delta(t)$$

Choose L (e.g. $L = B_e$), and μ . Get $F_e = \frac{1}{\mu}M_e C_e^T$ from

$$A_e M_e + M_e A_e^T - \frac{1}{\mu}M_e C_e^T C_e M_e + LL^T = 0\tag{10}$$

then we have target feedback loop transfer function:

$$C_e (sI - A_e)^{-1} F_e$$

Here the choice of μ might be iterated to find a good gain cross over frequency for the target feedback loop. Keep in mind that L and μ are design parameters here to reach the target loop transfer function.

Step 3: choose ρ , solve the LQ problem, and get $K_e = \frac{1}{\rho} N^{-1} B_e^T P_e$

$$J = \int_0^\infty (x_e^T(t) C_e^T C_e x_e(t) + \rho u^T(t) N u(t)) dt, \text{ e.g. } N = I \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{x}_e(t) = A_e x_e(t) + B_e u(t)$$

$$A_e^T P_e + P_e A_e - \frac{1}{\rho} P_e B_e N^{-1} B_e^T P_e + C_e^T C_e = 0 \quad (12)$$

Reduce ρ until the actual loop transfer function recovers the target feedback loop at the desired frequency region.

Results:

$$G_{LTR}(s) = K_e(sI - A_e + B_e K_e + F_e C_e)^{-1} F_e, \quad G_p(s) = C_e(sI - A_e)^{-1} B_e, \quad G_{\text{recover}}(s) = G_p(s) G_{LTR}(s) \quad (13)$$

where $G_{\text{recover}}(s)$ is the recovered loop transfer function that approximates the target loop $C_e(sI - A_e)^{-1} F_e$.

2 LQR with Frequency Shaped LQ (FSLQ)

We step back from LQG a bit and consider a generalized idea of optimal control.

In the standard continuous-time LQ problem we have the cost function

$$J = \int_0^\infty (x^T(t) Q x(t) + \rho u^T(t) R u(t)) dt \quad (14)$$

FSLQ is derived based on the following intuitions:

1, Frequency-domain interpretation of the cost function: From the Parseval's Theorem, the time-domain quadratic cost function in (14) is equivalent to the following frequency-domain quadratic cost function

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty (X^T(-j\omega) Q X(j\omega) + \rho U^T(-j\omega) R U(j\omega)) d\omega$$

Instead of keeping Q and R constants, now we wish to have more freedom in these quantities. This is not very intuitive to design in the time domain but easy to achieve in the frequency domain. Making Q and R frequency-dependent in the form of $Q(j\omega) = Q_f(-j\omega)^T Q_f(j\omega)$ and $R(j\omega) = R_f(-j\omega)^T R_f(j\omega)$, we have

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty (X^T(-j\omega) Q_f^T(-j\omega) Q_f(j\omega) X(j\omega) + \rho U^T(-j\omega) R_f^T(-j\omega) R_f(j\omega) U(j\omega)) d\omega$$

2, Let $x_f = Q_f x$, i.e., $x(t) \rightarrow \boxed{Q_f} \rightarrow x_f(t)$, where Q_f is selected to meet the desired control action and the performance requirements. Pick a state-space realization of this filtering process:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1(t) = A_1 z_1(t) + B_1 x(t) \\ x_f(t) = C_1 z_1(t) + D_1 x(t) \end{cases}$$

Note that this is an MIMO system. We need to be careful about the matrix dimensions:

step 1: check the order of Q_f , and decide the order of A_1 . We need the row/column number of A_1 to be equal to the order of Q_f

step 2: check the order of x , and decide the order of B_1 . We need the column number of B_1 to be equal to the number of elements in x

step 3: decide the order of D_1 . We should have, in the notation of MATLAB commands $size(D_1) == size(x_f, 1) \times size(x, 1)$

3, Let $u_f = R_f u$, i.e., $u(t) \rightarrow \boxed{R_f} \rightarrow u_f(t)$, where R_f is selected to meet the robustness requirements.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z}_2(t) &= A_2 z_2(t) + B_2 u(t) \\ u_f(t) &= C_2 z_2(t) + D_2 u(t) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

4, Write

$$J = \int_0^\infty (x_f^T(t)x_f(t) + \rho u_f^T(t)u_f(t)) dt \quad (16)$$

And figure out the relationship between x_f and the states in the following enlarged system:

$$\underbrace{\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ z_1(t) \\ z_2(t) \end{bmatrix}}_{x_e(t)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_e} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ z_1(t) \\ z_2(t) \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{B_e} u(t)$$

\Rightarrow

$$x_f = \underbrace{[D_1 \ C_1 \ 0]}_{C_e} [x \ z_1 \ z_2]^T \quad u_f = [0 \ 0 \ C_2]x_e + D_2 u(t)$$

\Rightarrow

$$J = \int_0^\infty \left(x_e^T(t) \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} D_1^T D_1 & D_1^T C_1 & 0 \\ C_1^T D_1 & C_1^T C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho C_2^T C_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_e} x_e(t) + 2u^T \underbrace{[0 \ 0 \ \rho D_2^T C_2]}_{N_e} x_e + u^T(t) \underbrace{\rho D_2^T D_2}_{R_e} u(t) \right) dt$$

The solution of the above LQ problem is

$$u = -R_e^{-1}(B_e^T P_e + N_e)x_e = -Kx - K_1 z_1 - K_2 z_2 \quad (17)$$

with the Riccati equation

$$A_e^T P_e + P_e A_e - (B_e^T P_e + N_e)^T R_e^{-1} (B_e^T P_e + N_e) + Q_e = 0$$

Here P_e can be obtained from the MATLAB command “Pe=care(Ae, Be, Qe, Re, Ne)”