

## 1 Maximum Likelihood Review

Suppose you are collecting data on the relative rates of different types of twins, and you obtain the following observations:

- there are  $m_i$  pairs of identical male twins and  $f_i$  pairs of identical female twins
- there are  $m_f$  pairs of fraternal male twins and  $f_f$  pairs of fraternal female twins
- there are  $b$  pairs of fraternal opposite gender twins

To model this data, we choose these distributions and parameters:

- Given that a pair of siblings are twins, they are identical with probability  $\theta$ , and non-identical with probability  $1 - \theta$
- Given they are identical twins, the twins are both male with probability  $p$  and both female with probability  $1 - p$ .
- Given they are twins and not identical (and thus are fraternal twins), the probability of both male twins is  $q^2$ , probability of both female twins is  $(1 - q)^2$  and probability of opposite gender twins is  $2q(1 - q)$ .

(a) Write expressions for the likelihood and the log-likelihood of the data as functions of the parameters  $\theta$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$  for the observations  $m_i$ ,  $f_i$ ,  $m_f$ ,  $f_f$ ,  $b$ .

**Solution:** The probability of identical male twins is  $\theta p$ , probability of identical female twins is  $\theta(1 - p)$ , probability of fraternal male twins is  $(1 - \theta)q^2$ , probability of fraternal female twins is  $(1 - \theta)(1 - q)^2$  and probability of fraternal opposite gender twins is  $(1 - \theta) \cdot 2q(1 - q)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta, p, q) &= (\theta p)^{m_i} \cdot (\theta(1 - p))^{f_i} \cdot ((1 - \theta)q^2)^{m_f} \cdot ((1 - \theta)(1 - q)^2)^{f_f} \\ &\quad \cdot ((1 - \theta) \cdot 2q(1 - q))^b \\ &= \theta^{(m_i + f_i)} (1 - \theta)^{(m_f + f_f + b)} \cdot p^{m_i} (1 - p)^{f_i} \cdot q^{2m_f} (1 - q)^{2f_f} (2q(1 - q))^b \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l(\theta, p, q) &= (m_i + f_i) \cdot \log \theta + (m_f + f_f + b) \cdot \log(1 - \theta) + m_i \cdot \log p + \\ &\quad f_i \cdot \log(1 - p) + 2m_f \cdot \log q + 2f_f \cdot \log(1 - q) + b \cdot \log(2q(1 - q)) \end{aligned}$$

Likelihood  $L(\theta, p, q) =$  **Solution:**  $\theta^{(m_i+f_i)}(1-\theta)^{m_f+f_f+b} \cdot p^{m_i}(1-p)^{f_i} \cdot q^{2m_f}(1-q)^{2f_f}(2q(1-q))^b$

Log likelihood  $l(\theta, p, q) =$  **Solution:**  $(m_i + f_i) \log \theta + (m_f + f_f + b) \log(1 - \theta) + m_i \log p + f_i \log(1 - p) + 2m_f \log(q) + 2f_f \log(1 - q) + b \log(2q(1 - q))$

- (b) What are the maximum likelihood estimates for  $\theta$ ,  $p$  and  $q$ ? Scratch space is provided to you here, which you may find useful.

**Solution:** To get the maximum likelihood estimate, we have to maximize the log likelihood by taking partial derivatives. The partial derivatives and corresponding maximum likelihood estimates are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{m_i+f_i}{\theta} - \frac{m_f+f_f+b}{1-\theta} = 0 & \theta_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{m_i + f_i}{m_i + f_i + m_f + f_f + b} \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial p} &= \frac{m_i}{p} - \frac{f_i}{1-p} = 0 & p_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{m_i}{m_i + f_i} \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial q} &= \frac{2m_f+b}{q} - \frac{2f_f+b}{1-q} = 0 & q_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{2m_f + b}{2m_f + 2f_f + 2b}\end{aligned}$$

## 2 MAP Estimation Review

Suppose we have a data set of  $n$  data points  $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , with each point drawn independently from a Gaussian with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

We will place the following prior on  $\mu$ :

$$\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

The MAP estimate of  $\mu$  is defined as

$$\mu_{\text{MAP}} = \arg \max_{\mu} p(\mu|D)$$

(a) Write an expression for the MAP estimate of  $\mu$ .

**Solution:** Using Bayes' rule and plugging in probability densities,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mu|D) &\propto p(\mu)p(D|\mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking logs dropping irrelevant constants, and negating gives an objective to be minimized

$$l(\mu|D) = \log \sigma_0 + \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log \sigma + \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$

To minimize this, we take the derivative with respect to  $\mu$  and set it to zero

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma^2} = 0$$

Solving for  $\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\text{MAP}} &= \left( \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_i x_i \right) \bigg/ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \right) \\ &= \alpha \mu_0 + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2}$$

Thus, the MAP estimate of  $\mu$  lies on a line between the prior mean  $\mu_0$  and the sample mean  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i$ .

- (b) What happens to the MAP estimate as  $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , and how is this estimate related to the ML estimate? Interpret this result.

**Solution:** We will have  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\mu_{\text{MAP}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i = \mu_{\text{ML}}$ . In other words, infinite variance on the prior of  $\mu$  leads to a MAP estimate equal to the ML estimate—that is, if we have no prior knowledge of  $\mu$  at all, the best we can do is the sample mean.

- (c) What happens to the MAP estimate as  $\sigma^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ?

**Solution:** As  $\sigma^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ , so  $\mu_{\text{MAP}} \rightarrow \mu_0$ . Informally, infinite variance on the data means that we can't trust the data at all, so our MAP completely avoids the data and estimates  $\mu$  as the prior mean.

### 3 Prediction Error of Ridge Regression

- (a) Let  $A$  be a  $d \times n$  matrix and  $B$  be a  $n \times d$  matrix. For any  $\mu > 0$ , show that  $(AB + \mu I)^{-1}A = A(BA + \mu I)^{-1}$ , if  $AB + \mu I$  and  $BA + \mu I$  are invertible.

**Solution:** We begin with an equality

$$ABA + \mu A = ABA + \mu A.$$

Factoring both sides,

$$A(BA + \mu I) = (AB + \mu I)A.$$

Lastly, if  $AB + \mu I$  and  $BA + \mu I$  are invertible, we can left-multiply by  $(BA + \mu I)^{-1}$  and right-multiply by  $(AB + \mu I)^{-1}$ . This yields

$$(AB + \mu I)^{-1}A(BA + \mu I)(BA + \mu I)^{-1} = (AB + \mu I)^{-1}(AB + \mu I)A(BA + \mu I)^{-1}.$$

Simplifying,

$$(AB + \mu I)^{-1}A = A(BA + \mu I)^{-1},$$

as needed.

- (b) Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be  $n$  samples of  $d$  features, and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the corresponding  $n$  samples of the quantity that you would like to predict with regression. Let

$$\widehat{\theta}_\lambda = \arg \min_{\theta} \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2,$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ , be the solution to the ridge regression problem.

Using part (a), show that  $\widehat{\theta}_\lambda = X^\top (XX^\top + \lambda I)^{-1}y$ .

**Solution:**

Start by taking the gradient of the loss function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\theta}(\|X\theta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2) &= (X\theta - y)^\top X + \lambda \theta^\top \\ &= \theta^\top X^\top X - Y^\top X + \lambda \theta^\top \\ &= X^\top X\theta - X^\top y + \lambda \theta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{\theta}_\lambda = (X^\top X + \lambda I)^{-1}X^\top y$$

Using part (a), we have  $\widehat{\theta}_\lambda = X^\top (XX^\top + \lambda I)^{-1}y$ .

Recall that  $(XX^\top + \lambda I)$  is positive definite and has real, positive eigenvalues when  $\lambda > 0$ . The invertibility of this matrix implies a unique solution for  $\widehat{\theta}_\lambda$ .

- (c) Suppose  $X$  has the singular value decomposition  $U\Sigma V^\top$ , where  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_d)$ ,  $s_i \geq 0$ . Show that  $\widehat{\theta}_\lambda = VDU^\top y$ , where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix to be determined.

**Solution:** Notice that the SVD of  $X^\top$  is  $V\Sigma U^\top$ . By computation, we have

$$(X^\top X + \lambda I) = V\Sigma U^\top U\Sigma V^\top + V(\lambda I)V^\top \quad (1)$$

$$= V(\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)V^\top \quad (2)$$

$(X^\top X + \lambda I)$  can thus be diagonalized into the form  $V(\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)V^\top$ , with

$$V \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{s_1^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{1}{s_d^2 + \lambda}\right) V^\top$$

as its inverse. This allows us to write

$$\widehat{\theta}_\lambda = V \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{s_1^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{1}{s_d^2 + \lambda}\right) V^\top V\Sigma U^\top y = V \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{s_1^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{1}{s_d^2 + \lambda}\right) \Sigma U^\top y = VDU^\top y$$

where

$$D = \text{diag}\left(\frac{s_1}{s_1^2 + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{s_d}{s_d^2 + \lambda}\right)$$

- (d) Let  $\widehat{y}_\lambda = X\widehat{\theta}_\lambda$  be the predictions made by the ridge regressor  $\widehat{\theta}_\lambda$ . Suppose we have  $y = X\theta_* + z$ , where  $\theta_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $z = \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $\sigma > 0$ ). Further suppose that  $X$  is an orthogonal matrix, that is,  $X^\top X = I$ .

$\mathbb{E}\|X(\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_*)\|^2$  is the expected squared difference between the predictions made by the ridge regressor  $\widehat{y}_\lambda$  and  $X\theta_*$ , where the expectation is taken with respect to  $z$  ( $\|\cdot\|$  denotes  $\ell_2$  norm).

Show that  $\mathbb{E}\|X(\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_*)\|^2 = \frac{1}{(1+\lambda)^2} (\lambda^2 \|\theta_*\|^2 + d\sigma^2)$ .

**Solution:** First we compute  $\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_*$ :

$$\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_* = (X^\top X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^\top y - \theta_* = ((1 + \lambda)I)^{-1} X^\top (X\theta_* + z) - \theta_* = -\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda}(\theta_*) + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} X^\top z$$

Since  $X$  is orthogonal, it is unitary invariant. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|X(\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_*)\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_*\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left\| -\left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda}\right)(\theta_*) + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} X^\top z \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{(1 + \lambda)^2} \|\theta_*\|^2 + \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda)^2} d\sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

since  $z$  is zero mean and  $\mathbb{E}\|z\|^2 = d\sigma^2$ .

- (e) What is the  $\lambda^*$  that you should pick to minimize the prediction error you computed in part (e)? Comment on how  $d$ ,  $\sigma^2$ , and  $\theta_*$  affect the optimal choice of the regularization parameter  $\lambda$ .

**Solution:** Differentiating  $\mathbb{E}\|X(\widehat{\theta}_\lambda - \theta_*)\|^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(1+\lambda)^2}\|\theta_*\|^2 + \frac{1}{(1+\lambda)^2}d\sigma^2$  with respect to  $\lambda$  gives

$$\frac{2(\|\theta_*\|^2\lambda^* - d\sigma^2)}{(\lambda^* + 1)^3} = 0$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\lambda^* = \frac{d\sigma^2}{\|\theta_*\|^2}$$

Higher  $d$  (more features), higher  $\sigma$  (greater noise), and smaller norm of  $\theta_*$  (smaller signal) all make us pick larger  $\lambda^*$ .