Bernardo Fitzmaurice Acevedo 105297603

Class code: COS30031

Start date: 02/08/2024

Framework Analysis

We all know what a game framework is, a collection of libraries and tools that help simplify some aspects of game development. At this document I'll talk about 3 frameworks: Monogame, FNA, and Kha.

Monogame is an open-source framework that mainly uses C# language and other .NET languages on Microsoft. The recommended IDEs to use this framework are VS Community (most recommended), VS Code and JetBrains Rider. Monogame allows the user to build cross-platform games, since it works for IOS, Windows, Linux, Android, all 3 actual game consoles, etc. As I said before Monogame is an open-source framework which is available from GitHub.

FNA is a framework that uses C# language, and it works mainly as a reimplementation of the Microsoft XNA Game Studio libraries. FNA primary focus are desktop devices, and therefore, it supports Windows, MacOS, and Linux. But FNA does not ignore game consoles or mobile devices, since they also support IOS, Xbox devices, Nintendo Switch, and the beloved (not really) Google Stadia. Lastly FNA is also open-source and uses other open-source software to work.

Kha is a framework that uses C++ language, and it can also work with cross-compatible code. It allows us to make applications that are native to desktop, mobile devices and all actual consoles. Kha works better on VS Code than on other IDEs; it even has an extension pack to make the installation easier. Lastly, just like the other 2 frameworks, Kha is open source, allowing developers to modify what they need at their free will.

Comparative between Monogame VS FNA.

	Monogame	FNA	
Similitudes	Both are based on Microsoft's XNA framework.		
	 Both aim to provide cross-platform capabilities, to allow developer 		
	the ability to make games on different operating systems.		
	Both use the same programing language, this being C#.		
	Both are Open-Source frameworks, allowing continuous support		
	from the community.		
	Writing about community. Both frameworks have active communities		
	that works to improve their respective framework.		
Differences	Monogames' aim is to be a modern,	FNA wants to provide	
	rich in features and forward-looking	an accurate and	
	framework, that acts as an extension	faithful recreation of	
	of the original XNA.	XNA.	
	 Monogames fully supports the 	 Although FNA allows 	
	development for most platforms,	the development of a	
	from desktop (like Windows or	lot of platforms, it	
	Linux) to consoles (e.g. Xbox &	focuses mostly on	
	Play Station).	desktop, lacking the	
	. idy Stationi,	support for console	
		platforms.	

There are more similitudes and differences, but these are the ones that I thought were the most important.

Overview between C# frameworks and Kha.

	Monogame & XNA	Kha
Game development	Both Monogame and XNA	In game development Kha
	allow developers to make the	would work better in the
	coding and implementation	platform management, where
	(e.g. rendering graphics,	the easier to port from
		platforms the better.

	implementing game logic,	
	etc.) part of the game easier.	
Games best suited	Monogame and XNA are best	The games that work best for
	suited 2D games, and for 3D	Kha are casual 2D games,
	games, but most specifically	but it also works well on
	simple 3D games and retro	browser games.
	style 3D games.	

I decided to divide the comparison of Monogame and XNA, and the Overview of the frameworks because Monogame and XNA are pretty similar.

References:

Mike. (2023, October 5). The best game development Frameworks.

GameFromScratch.com. https://gamefromscratch.com/the-best-game-development-frameworks/

MonoGame | MonoGame. (n.d.). https://monogame.net/

FNA. (n.d.). https://fna-xna.github.io/

Kha. (n.d.). https://kha.tech/