## Howto: C-Programmierung: "Vorfahrtsregeln" Donnerstag, 19. Januar 2023 10:49 C Operator Precedence C C language **Expressions** The following table lists the precedence and associativity of C operators. Operators are listed top to bottom, in descending precedence. Precedence Operator Description Associativity ++ --Suffix/postfix increment and decrement Left-to-right () Function call [] Array subscripting Structure and union member access -> Structure and union member access through pointer (type){list} Compound literal(C99) Prefix increment and decrement [note 1] 2 ++ --Right-to-left Unary plus and minus + -! ~ Logical NOT and bitwise NOT (type) Cast Indirection (dereference) & Address-of sizeof Size-of [note 2] \_Alignof Alignment requirement(C11) \*/% 3 Multiplication, division, and remainder Left-to-right 4 + -Addition and subtraction 5 << >> Bitwise left shift and right shift For relational operators < and $\le$ respectively 6 < <= >>= For relational operators > and ≥ respectively 7 == != For relational = and $\neq$ respectively 8 & Bitwise AND ٨ 9 Bitwise XOR (exclusive or) 10 Bitwise OR (inclusive or) && 11 Logical AND 12 $\|$ Logical OR ?: 13 Ternary conditional [note 3] Right-to-left **14**[note 4] Simple assignment Assignment by sum and difference += -= \*=/=%= Assignment by product, quotient, and remainder Assignment by bitwise left shift and right shift <<= >>= &= ^= |= Assignment by bitwise AND, XOR, and OR

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_ The operand of prefix ++ and -- can't be a type cast. This rule grammatically forbids some expressions that would be semantically invalid anyway. Some compilers ignore this rule and detect the invalidity semantically.
- 2. 

  The operand of sizeof can't be a type cast: the expression sizeof (int) \* p is unambiguously interpreted as (sizeof(int)) \* p, but not sizeof((int)\*p).
- 3. 1 The expression in the middle of the conditional operator (between ? and :) is parsed as if parenthesized: its precedence relative to ?: is ignored.
- 4. 

   ↑ Assignment operators' left operands must be unary (level-2 non-cast) expressions. This rule grammatically forbids some expressions that would be semantically invalid anyway. Many compilers ignore this rule and detect the invalidity semantically. For example, e = a < d ? a++: a = d is an expression that cannot be parsed because of this rule. However, many compilers ignore this rule and parse it as e = ( ((a < d) ? (a++): a) = d ), and then give an error because it is semantically invalid.</p>

When parsing an expression, an operator which is listed on some row will be bound tighter (as if by parentheses) to its arguments than any operator that is listed on a row further below it. For example, the expression \*p++ is parsed as \*(p++), and not as (\*p)++.

Operators that are in the same cell (there may be several rows of operators listed in a cell) are evaluated with the same precedence, in the given direction. For example, the expression a=b=c is parsed as a=(b=c), and not as (a=b)=c because of right-to-left associativity.

## **Notes**

Precedence and associativity are independent from order of evaluation.

The standard itself doesn't specify precedence levels. They are derived from the grammar. In C++, the conditional operator has the same precedence as assignment operators, and prefix ++ and -- and assignment operators don't have the restrictions about their operands. Associativity specification is redundant for unary operators and is only shown for completeness: unary prefix operators always associate right-to-left (sizeof ++\*p is sizeof(++(\*p))) and unary postfix operators always associate left-to-right (a[1][2]++ is ((a[1])[2])++). Note that the associativity is meaningful for member access operators, even though they are grouped with unary postfix operators: a.b++ is parsed (a.b)++ and not a.(b++).

## References

- C17 standard (ISO/IEC 9899:2018):
  - A.2.1 Expressions
- C11 standard (ISO/IEC 9899:2011):
  - A.2.1 Expressions
- C99 standard (ISO/IEC 9899:1999):
  - A.2.1 Expressions
- C89/C90 standard (ISO/IEC 9899:1990):
  - A.1.2.1 Expressions

## See also

Order of evaluation of operator arguments at run time.

Common operators						
assignment	increment	arithmetic	logical	comparison	member	other
	<u>decrement</u>				<u>access</u>	
a = b	++a	+a	!a	a == b	a[b]	a()
a += b	a	-a	a && b	a != b	*a	a, b
a -= b	a++	a + b	a    b	a < b	&a	(type) a
a *= b	a	a - b		a > b	a->b	a?b:c
a /= b		a * b		a <= b	a.b	sizeof
a %= b		a / b		a >= b		
a &= b		a % b				_Alignof
a  = b		~a				(since C11)
a ^= b		a & b				
a <<= b		a   b				
a >>= b		a ^ b				
		a << b				
		a >> b				

C++ documentation for C++ operator precedence

Aus < https://en.cppreference.com/w/c/language/operator\_precedence>