

## What Every Java Programmer Should Know About Strings

Bernd Müller  
Ostfalia



# FINISH

- ▶ Interned Strings
- ▶ Compact and Compressed Strings
- ▶ String Deduplication
- ▶ Identify String Concatenation


## Speaker

- ▶ Prof. Computer Science (Ostfalia, HS Braunschweig/Wolfenbüttel)
- ▶ Book author (JSF, JPA, Seam, ...)




- ▶ Member EGs JSR 344 (JSF 2.2) und JSR 338 (JPA 2.1)
- ▶ Managing Director PMST GmbH
- ▶ JUG Ostfalen Co-Organizer
- ▶ bernd.mueller@ostfalia.de
- ▶ @berndmuller    @berndmuller@fosstodon.org    BerndMuller

## Motivation




## Scott Oaks, Java Performance

<b>12. Java SE API Tips.....</b>	<b>363</b>
Strings	363
Compact Strings	363
Duplicate Strings and String Interning	364
String Concatenation	371
Buffered I/O	374
Classloading	377
Class Data Sharing	377
Random Numbers	381
Java Native Interface	383
Exceptions	386



## Benjamin Evens et al., Optimizing Java

<b>15. Java 9 and the Future.....</b>	<b>377</b>
Small Performance Enhancements in Java 9	378
Segmented Code Cache	378
Compact Strings	378
New String Concatenation	379
C2 Compiler Improvements	381
New Version of G1	382
Java 10 and Future Versions	382
New Release Process	383
Java 10	383
Unsafe in Java 9 and Beyond	385



## Stephen Vance, Quality Code

<b>Encapsulate and Override</b>	72
Adjust Visibility	75
Verification by Injection	77
 <b>Chapter 7: String Handling</b>	
Verification by Containment	81
Verification by Pattern	83
Exact Verification by Value	85
Exact Verification with Formatted Results	88
 <b>Chapter 8: Encapsulation and Override Variations</b>	91
Data Injection	91
Ensuring Java Conditions	94

Let's start with a quiz



How many bytes are used to store a single character inside a string ?

- (a) 1 byte
- (b) 2 bytes
- (c) 4 bytes
- (d) 2 or 4 bytes
- (e) 1, 2 or 4 bytes

## String Pool and String Interning

## Java Language Specification

### 3.10.5 String Literals

...

Moreover, a string literal always refers to the same instance of class String. This is because **string literals** - or, more generally, strings that are the values of **constant expressions** (§15.29) - are "interned" so as to share unique instances, using the method `String.intern` (§12.5).

...

## Java Language Specification

### 12.5 Creation of New Class Instances


...  
Loading of a class or interface that contains a string literal (§3.10.5) or a text block (§3.10.6) **may create a new String object** to denote the string represented by the string literal or text block. (**This object creation will not occur if an instance of String denoting the same sequence of Unicode code points as the string represented by the string literal or text block has previously been interned.**)

...  
**Execution of a string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1) that is not part of a constant expression (§15.29) always creates a new String object** to represent the result. String concatenation operators may also create temporary wrapper objects for a value of a primitive type.

## Summing up

- ▶ All interned strings are stored in a string memory pool
- ▶ When a class gets loaded check if string already in the pool
- ▶ If so use it, if not insert it
- ▶ Result: each string literal is a singleton inside JVM
- ▶ Also summed up in JavaDoc of `String.intern()`

## Demo Time



Sleepy from slides, we are !

## Performance Tip from Scott Oaks [Java Performance]

"On the topic of interning strings, what about using the `intern()` method to make the programm run faster, since interned strings can be compared via the `==` operator? That is a popular thought, though in most cases it turns out to by a myth. The `String.equals()` method is pretty fast."

## Performance Tip from Scott Oaks [Java Performance] (cont'd)

“Comparing strings via the `==` operator is undeniably faster, but the cost of interning the string must also be taken into consideration.”

## Performance Tip from Scott Oaks [Java Performance] (cont'd)

"Like most optimizations, interning strings shouldn't be done arbitrarily, but it can be effective if there are lots of duplicate strings occupying a significant portion of the heap."

## String Pool Details

- ▶ Hash table in native memory, strings itself on heap

## String Pool Details

- ▶ Hash table in native memory, strings itself on heap
- ▶ Fixed size (1009 buckets < 7. Then 60,013. Since Java 11 65,536 )

## String Pool Details

- ▶ Hash table in native memory, strings itself on heap
- ▶ Fixed size (1009 buckets  $< 7$ . Then 60,013. Since Java 11 65,536 )
- ▶  $< 6$  in PermGen,  $\geq 7$  Heap. OOME PermGen space or OOME heap space

## String Pool Details

- ▶ Hash table in native memory, strings itself on heap
- ▶ Fixed size (1009 buckets < 7. Then 60,013. Since Java 11 65,536 )
- ▶ < 6 in PermGen,  $\geq$  7 Heap. OOME PermGen space or OOME heap space
- ▶ If you want to optimize:
  - ▶ `jmap -heap <process-id>`
  - ▶ `-XX:+PrintStringTableStatistics`
  - ▶ `-XX:StringTableSize=<value>`

## Off topic: Mistakes can happen ...

```
/** The offset is the first index of the storage that is used. */
private final int offset;
/** The count is the number of characters in the String. */
private final int count;
```

- ▶ used for example in `substring(begin, end)`

## Off topic: Mistakes can happen ...

```
/** The offset is the first index of the storage that is used. */
private final int offset;
/** The count is the number of characters in the String. */
private final int count;
```

- ▶ used for example in `substring(begin, end)`
- ▶ [JDK-4637640 : Memory leak due to `String.substring\(\)` implementation](#)
- ▶ offset and count removed with Java 7

## Compact Strings (JEP 254)

## Some History

- ▶ Java started 1995 as an Internet Language
- ▶ Therefore Unicode Standard, 16 bit char type
- ▶ Class String internally:

```
/** The value is used for character storage. */
private final char value[];
```

## Some History

- ▶ Java started 1995 as an Internet Language
- ▶ Therefore Unicode Standard, 16 bit char type
- ▶ Class String internally:

```
/** The value is used for character storage. */
private final char value[];
```

- ▶ With Java 5 Unicode 4.0 used: sometimes requires 32 bit

## Some History (cont'd)

- ▶ So called **supplementary characters** represented as pair of `char` values for characters greater than U+FFFF. Documented in class `Character`

## Some History (cont'd)

- ▶ So called **supplementary characters** represented as pair of `char` values for characters greater than U+FFFF. Documented in class `Character`
- ▶ E.g. JavaDoc of `String.length()` changed from Java 5

*Returns the length of this string. The length is equal to the number of 16-bit Unicode characters in the string*

to Java 6

*Returns the length of this string. The length is equal to the number of Unicode code units in the string*

## Some History (cont'd)

- ▶ So called **supplementary characters** represented as pair of `char` values for characters greater than U+FFFF. Documented in class `Character`
- ▶ E.g. JavaDoc of `String.length()` changed from Java 5

*Returns the length of this string. The length is equal to the number of 16-bit Unicode characters in the string*

to Java 6

*Returns the length of this string. The length is equal to the number of Unicode code units in the string*

- ▶ Recap: 1 byte suffices mostly (Latin-1), sometimes 2, sometimes 4 bytes

## JEP 254: Compact Strings



Installing  
Contributing  
Sponsoring  
Developers' Guide  
Vulnerabilities  
JDK GA/EA Builds  
Mailing lists  
Wiki · IRC  
Bylaws · Census  
Legal  
**JEP Process**  
Source code  
Mercurial  
GitHub  
**Tools**  
Git  
jtreg harness  
**Groups**  
(overview)  
Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries  
Compatibility &  
Specification  
Review  
Compiler  
Conformance  
Core Libraries  
Governing Board  
HotSpot  
INF Trunkline & Sunspot

### JEP 254: Compact Strings

<i>Author</i>	Brent Christian
<i>Owner</i>	Xueming Shen
<i>Type</i>	Feature
<i>Scope</i>	Implementation
<i>Status</i>	Closed / Delivered
<i>Release</i>	9
<i>Component</i>	core-libs/java.lang
<i>Discussion</i>	core dash libs dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net
<i>Effort</i>	L
<i>Duration</i>	XL
<i>Relates to</i>	JEP 192: String Deduplication in G1 8144691: JEP 254: Compact Strings: endiannes mismatch in Java source code and intrinsic JEP 250: Store Interned Strings in CDS Archives JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation
<i>Reviewed by</i>	Aleksey Shipilev, Brian Goetz, Charlie Hunt
<i>Endorsed by</i>	Brian Goetz
<i>Created</i>	2014/08/04 21:54
<i>Updated</i>	2022/04/11 23:06

## JEP 254: Compact Strings

OpenJDK

### JEP 254: Compact Strings

Installing  
Contributing  
Sponsoring  
Developers' Guide  
Vulnerabilities  
JDK GA/EA Builds  
Mailing lists  
Wiki · IRC

*Author* Brent Christian  
*Owner* Xueming Shen  
*Type* Feature  
*Scope* Implementation  
*Status* Closed / Delivered

### Summary

Adopt a more space-efficient internal representation for strings.

Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries  
Compatibility &  
Specification  
Review  
Compiler  
Conformance  
Core Libraries  
Governing Board  
HotSpot  
INF Trunkline & Sunspot

JEP 254: Mainly String Compression  
*Reviewed by* Aleksey Shipilev, Brian Goetz, Charlie Hunt  
*Endorsed by* Brian Goetz  
*Created* 2014/08/04 21:54  
*Updated* 2022/04/11 23:06

## JEP 254: Compact Strings

OpenJDK

### JEP 254: Compact Strings

Installing  
Contributing  
Sponsoring  
Developers' Guide  
Vulnerabilities  
IDK GA/EA Builds

Author Brent Christian  
Owner Xueming Shen  
Type Feature

[Comments](#) [Implementation](#)

## Goals

Improve the space efficiency of the String class and related classes while maintaining performance in most scenarios and preserving full compatibility for all related Java and native interfaces.

Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries  
Compatibility & Specification Review  
Compiler  
Conformance  
Core Libraries  
Governing Board  
HotSpot  
INF Trunkline & Sunspot

JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation  
Reviewed by Aleksey Shipilev, Brian Goetz, Charlie Hunt  
Endorsed by Brian Goetz  
Created 2014/08/04 21:54  
Updated 2022/04/11 23:06

## JEP 254: Compact Strings

[OpenJDK](#) [JEP 254: Compact Strings](#)

### Motivation

The current implementation of the String class stores characters in a char array, using two bytes (sixteen bits) for each character. Data gathered from many different applications indicates that strings are a major component of heap usage and, moreover, that most String objects contain only Latin-1 characters. Such characters require only one byte of storage, hence half of the space in the internal char arrays of such String objects is going unused.

[Adoption](#)  
[Build](#)  
[Client Libraries](#)  
[Compatibility & Specification Review](#)  
[Compiler](#)  
[Conformance](#)  
[Core Libraries](#)  
[Governing Board](#)  
[HotSpot](#)  
[INF Trunkline & Sunspot](#)

[JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation](#)  
Reviewed by Aleksey Shipilev, Brian Goetz, Charlie Hunt  
Endorsed by Brian Goetz  
Created 2014/08/04 21:54  
Updated 2022/04/11 23:06

With Java 9 it happened

```
private final char value[];
```

With Java 9 it happened

```
private final char value[];
```

changed to

```
private final byte[] value;
```

```
/**  
 * The identifier of the encoding used to encode the bytes in  
 * {@code value}. The supported values in this implementation are  
 * LATIN1, UTF16  
 */  
private final byte coder;
```


## A Masterpiece of Software Engineering

No API changes in class String from Java 8 to 9

## Usage

- ▶ Nothing to do. Default since Java 9
- ▶ VM parameter: `-XX:-CompactStrings`

## Demo Time



Sleepy from slides, we are !

But things can also go wrong . . .

- ▶ JDK 6 introduced *Compressed Strings*
- ▶ Similar idea but different implementation (two variants)
- ▶ Decision on JVM level: `-XX:+UseCompressedStrings`
- ▶ But . . .

But things can also go wrong . . .

- ▶ JDK 6 introduced *Compressed Strings*
- ▶ Similar idea but different implementation (two variants)
- ▶ Decision on JVM level: `-XX:+UseCompressedStrings`
- ▶ But . . .
- ▶ Aleksey Shipilev: "*UseCompressedStrings was really the experimental feature, that was ultimately limited by design, error-prone, and hard to maintain.*"

But things can also go wrong . . .

- ▶ JDK 6 introduced *Compressed Strings*
- ▶ Similar idea but different implementation (two variants)
- ▶ Decision on JVM level: `-XX:+UseCompressedStrings`
- ▶ But . . .
- ▶ Aleksey Shipilev: "*UseCompressedStrings was really the experimental feature, that was ultimately limited by design, error-prone, and hard to maintain.*"
- ▶ Revoked with JDK 7

## String Deduplication in G1 (JEP 192)

## JEP 192: String Deduplication in G1



Installing  
Contributing  
Sponsoring  
Developers' Guide  
Vulnerabilities  
JDK GA/EA Builds

Mailing lists  
Wiki ·IRC  
Bylaws · Census  
Legal

### JEP Process

Source code  
Mercurial  
GitHub

Tools  
Git  
Jtreg harness

Groups  
(overview)  
Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries

### JEP 192: String Deduplication in G1

<i>Owner</i>	Per Liden
<i>Type</i>	Feature
<i>Scope</i>	Implementation
<i>Status</i>	Closed / Delivered
<i>Release</i>	8u20
<i>Component</i>	hotspot/gc
<i>Discussion</i>	hotspot dash gc dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net
<i>Effort</i>	M
<i>Duration</i>	L
<i>Relates to</i>	JEP 254: Compact Strings
<i>Reviewed by</i>	Bengt Rutisson, John Coomes, Jon Masamitsu
<i>Endorsed by</i>	Mikael Vidstedt
<i>Created</i>	2013/11/22 20:00
<i>Updated</i>	2017/06/07 22:25
<i>Issue</i>	<a href="#">8046182</a>

## JEP 192: String Deduplication in G1



Installing  
Contributing  
Sponsoring  
Developers' Guide  
Vulnerabilities

### JEP 192: String Deduplication in G1

Owner Per Liden  
Type Feature  
Scope Implementation

#### Summary

Reduce the Java heap live-data set by enhancing the G1 garbage collector so that duplicate instances of String are automatically and continuously deduplicated.

SEARCH  
Tools  
Git  
Jtreg harness  
**Groups**  
(overview)  
Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries

RELATED TO JEP 427: Compact Strings

Reviewed by Bengt Rutisson, John Coomes, Jon Masamitsu  
Endorsed by Mikael Vidstedt  
Created 2013/11/22 20:00  
Updated 2017/06/07 22:25  
Issue [8046182](#)

## JEP 192: String Deduplication in G1

OpenIDK

### IEP 192: String Deduplication in G1

#### Motivation


Many large-scale Java applications are currently bottlenecked on memory.

Measurements have shown that roughly 25% of the Java heap live data set in these types of applications is consumed by String objects. Further, roughly half of those String objects are duplicates, where duplicates means `string1.equals(string2)` is true. Having duplicate String objects on the heap is, essentially, just a waste of memory. This project will implement automatic and continuous String deduplication in the G1 garbage collector to avoid wasting memory and reduce the memory footprint.


Groups  
(overview)  
Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries

Created 2013/11/22 20:00  
Updated 2017/06/07 22:25  
Issue [8046182](#)

## How does it work ?




## After String Deduplication



## Usage

- ▶ Initially only in G1 garbage collector
- ▶ VM parameter: `-XX:+UseStringDeduplication`
- ▶ Available since Java 8 update 20
- ▶ Since Java 18 also with ZGC, SerialGC, and ParallelGC
- ▶ `-XX:+PrintStringDeduplicationStatistics` removed with Java 9
- ▶ Use `-Xlog:stringdedup*=debug` instead

## Demo Time



Sleepy from slides, we are !

## Case Study

- ▶ Article G1: from garbage collector to waste management consultant
- ▶ Case study with Eclipse IDE
- ▶ Result: Decrease heap usage by about 10%
- ▶ Heinz Kabutz reports about 25%

## Indify String Concatenation (JEP 280)



Entry

[Discussion](#)[Citations](#)Not logged in [Talk](#) [Contributions](#) [Preferences](#) [Create account](#) [Log in](#)[Read](#)[Edit](#)[History](#)[Search Wiktionary](#)

# indify

English [\[edit\]](#)

## Verb [\[edit\]](#)

**indify** (*third-person singular simple present **indifies**, present participle **indifying**, simple past and past participle **indified***)

1. (*programming, JAVA*) Change a particular functionality to use invokedynamic calls.

## Anagrams [\[edit\]](#)

- nidify

Categories: English lemmas | English verbs | en:Programming

## JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation

### OpenJDK

Installing  
Contributing  
Sponsoring  
Developers' Guide  
Vulnerabilities  
JDK GA/EA Builds  
Mailing lists  
Wiki · IRC

Bylaws · Census  
Legal  
**JEP Process**

Source code  
Mercurial  
GitHub  
Tools  
Git  
Jtreg harness

Groups  
(overview)  
Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries  
Compatibility &  
Specification  
Review  
Compiler  
Conformance  
Core Libraries

### JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation

<i>Owner</i>	Aleksey Shipilev
<i>Type</i>	Feature
<i>Scope</i>	SE
<i>Status</i>	Closed / Delivered
<i>Release</i>	9
<i>Component</i>	tools/javac
<i>Discussion</i>	core dash libs dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net, compiler dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net, hotspot dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net
<i>Effort</i>	M
<i>Duration</i>	M
<i>Relates to</i>	JEP 254: Compact Strings
<i>Reviewed by</i>	Michael Haupt, Paul Sandoz
<i>Endorsed by</i>	Brian Goetz
<i>Created</i>	2015/06/04 08:13
<i>Updated</i>	2022/04/28 05:04
<i>Issue</i>	<a href="#">8085796</a>

## JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation

[OpenJDK](#)

### JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation

[Installing](#)  
[Contributing](#)  
[Sponsoring](#)  
[Developers' Guide](#)

Owner Aleksey Shipilev  
Type Feature  
--

#### Summary

Change the static String-concatenation bytecode sequence generated by javac to use invokedynamic calls to JDK library functions. This will enable future optimizations of String concatenation without requiring further changes to the bytecode emitted by javac.

[Groups](#)  
(overview)  
[Adoption](#)  
[Build](#)  
[Client Libraries](#)  
[Compatibility & Specification Review](#)  
[Compiler](#)  
[Conformance](#)  
[Core Libraries](#)

REVIEWED [PETER HOFFER, ERIC SARKOZ](#)  
by  
[Endorsed](#) Brian Goetz  
by  
[Created](#) 2015/06/04 08:13  
[Updated](#) 2022/04/28 05:04  
[Issue](#) 8085796

## JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation

[OpenJDK](#)

### JEP 280: Indify String Concatenation

[Installing](#)  
[Contributing](#)  
[Sponsoring](#)  
[Developers' Guide](#)

Owner Aleksey Shipilev

Type Feature

-- --

#### Summary

Change the static String-concatenation bytecode sequence generated by javac to use ~~invokedynamic calls to JDK library functions~~. This will enable future optimizations of String concatenation without requiring further changes to the bytecode emitted by javac.

[Groups](#)  
(overview)  
Adoption  
Build  
Client Libraries  
Compatibility & Specification Review  
Compiler  
Conformance  
Core Libraries

REVIEWED [PETER HUANG, TANU JAIN](#)  
by  
Endorsed Brian Goetz  
by  
Created 2015/06/04 08:13  
Updated 2022/04/28 05:04  
Issue [8085796](#)

## What they did

- ▶ Replace `StringBuilder#append()` chains with
  - ▶ invokedynamic
  - ▶ bootstrap methods
  - ▶ Class `java.lang.invoke.StringConcatFactory`
- ▶ Please verify yourself with `javap -l -s -verbose <class>`

SUMMARY: NESTED | FIELD | CONSTR | METHOD

DETAIL: FIELD | CONSTR | METHOD

SEARCH  Search X**Module** java.base**Package** java.lang.invoke

## Class StringConcatFactory

java.lang.Object  
  java.lang.invoke.StringConcatFactory

---

```
public final class StringConcatFactory
extends Object
```

Methods to facilitate the creation of String concatenation methods, that can be used to efficiently concatenate a known number of arguments of known types, possibly after type adaptation and partial evaluation of arguments. These methods are typically used as *bootstrap methods* for invokedynamic call sites, to support the *string concatenation* feature of the Java Programming Language.

Indirect access to the behavior specified by the provided MethodHandle proceeds in order through two phases:

1. *Linkage* occurs when the methods in this class are invoked. They take as arguments a method type describing the concatenated arguments count and types, and optionally the String *recipe*, plus the constants that participate in the String concatenation. The details on accepted recipe shapes are described further below. Linkage may involve dynamically loading a new class that implements the expected concatenation behavior. The CallSite holds the MethodHandle pointing to the exact concatenation method. The concatenation methods may be shared among different CallSites, e.g. if linkage methods produce them as pure functions.
2. *Invocation* occurs when a generated concatenation method is invoked with the exact dynamic arguments. This may occur many times for a single concatenation method. The method referenced by the behavior MethodHandle is invoked with the

It will always go on . . .



Ismael Juma  
@ijuma

...

A significant `String.hashCode()` performance improvement from [@cl4es](#) has been merged - a micro-benchmarks involving a string with 10k characters shows a 6x(!) improvement. The improvement is not as extreme (but still impressive) for smaller strings.

[Tweet](#) [Übersetzen](#)

openjdk/jdk

## #10847 **8282664: Unroll by hand StringUTF16 and StringLatin1...**



61 comments 39 reviews 33 files +1053 -87

**cl4es** • October 25, 2022 ~ 76 commits



github.com

8282664: Unroll by hand StringUTF16 and StringLatin1 polynomial hash loops ...  
Continuing the work initiated by @luhenry to unroll and then intrisify polynomial hash loops. I've rewired the library changes to route via a single ...

294

# String.format() 3x faster in Java 17

Author: Dr Heinz M. Kabutz | Date: 2021-10-29 | Java Version: 17 | Category: [Performance](#)

**Abstract:** One of the most convenient ways of constructing complex Strings is with `String.format()`. It used to be excessively slow, but in Java 17 is about 3x faster. In this newsletter we discover what the difference is and where it will help you. Also when you should use `format()` instead of the plain String addition with `+`.

Welcome to the 294th edition of **The Java(tm) Specialists' Newsletter**. We had a lovely run in the rain today, followed by a dip in the sea, clocking in at 21.6 degrees celsius. That is bathwater for someone from Bantry Bay! I remember the water in Cape Town being so cold that our breath misted as my brother and I contemplated how crazy we were to spearfish in single-digit water temperatures - and that was in summer.

[javaspecialists.teachable.com](https://javaspecialists.teachable.com): Please visit our new [self-study course catalog](#) to see how you can upskill your Java knowledge.

## String.format() 3x faster in Java 17

A few years ago, my friend Dmitry Vyazelenko and I submitted a talk to JavaOne, where we spoke for about an hour about the humble `java.lang.String`. We have since spoken about this fundamental class at Devoxx, Geecon, Geekout, JAX, Voxxed Days, GOTO, and various JUGs around the world. Who would have thought that we could easily fill an hour with a talk about `java.lang.String`?

I would usually start the talk by showing a quiz. Which method is the fastest at appending Strings?

```
public class StringAppendingQuiz {  
    public String appendPlain(String question,  
                            String answer1,  
                            ...)
```

 **Subscribe Now**

Get: every new article, exclusive invites to live webinars, our top-10 articles ever, access to expert tutorials & more!

First Name \*

Last Name

Company

Email \*

Country

Java Skill Level \*


Agree to JavaSpecialists Privacy Policy \*

Subscribe

[Read our Privacy Policy](#)

## About the Author







## Slides and Code

<https://github.com/BerndMuller/strings-javaland-2024>

