MASTER THESIS

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Engineering at the University of Applied Sciences Technikum Wien - Degree Program Smart City

Kubernetes on the Edge

By: Bernd KLAUS, BA

Student Number: 2010303012

Supervisors: Dipl.-Ing. Hubert Kraut
               Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Happe

Wien, January 30, 2022

FH University of Applied Sciences
TECHNIKUM
WIEN

Declaration

Wien, January 30, 2022   Signature

Kurzfassung

Kubernetes wird als Schweizer Armemesser der Container-Orchestrierung bezeichnet. Auch im Bereich Edge-Computing bietet der Dienst eine Vielzahl an unterschiedlichen Werkzeugen und Tools an, welche Teils unterschiedliche Strategien und Ansätze verfolgen. Die Auswahl reicht von einem zentralen Kubernetes-Cluster der verteilte Geräte, sogenannte „Leafs", steuert bis hin zu vielen einzelnen und verteilten kleinen Clustern an der Edge, welche zentral gesteuert werden. Entscheidend ist es den richtigen Anwendungsfall zu erheben, um sich für die optimale Lösung entscheiden zu können. Ebenfalls spielen sicherheitstechnische Aspekte bei derart komplexen Umgebungen eine wichtige Rolle. Die vorliegende Arbeit gibt Einblicke und Entscheidungsgrundlagen sowie Empfehlungen hinsichtlich der IT-Security. Belegt werden die Angaben durch Implementierung eines Proof-of-Concepts

Schlagworte: Kubernetes, Edge-Computing, distributed System, Proof-of-Concept

Abstract

Kubernetes is the de facto swiss-army-knfife for orchestrating conatiner-platforms. In addition, Kubernetes can also be faciliated for deploying devices as well as applications on top of it on the edge of the network. However, there are different methods for archiving comparable results. On the one hand a possible solution is to build a central instance managing small distributed and independent clusters, on the other hand a centralized cluster with just leafs on the edge may is a better fit. This results in the challenge to find the best solution for the desired environment respectively use-case. The following thesis is making use of "Design Science Research" to give introductions on how to choose the proper architecture for the aimed environment.

Contents

1 Introcution

Because of Internet-of-Things (IoT) Devices becoming more and more common, the number of devices capable of communicating with the World Wide Web (WWW) increases rapidly. Consequently, also the overall traffic generated as well the amount of data which must be processed increases accordingly. Regarding this development Edge-Computing is the rising start trying to solve that issues. Thereby data is not processed centrally like in traditional datacenters, but it is tried to handle those data close to the user within several distributed systems. Because of this methodlogy only really necessary data is transmitted to a central instance for further treatment and those the processing-power as well as the bandwidth necessary for processing required data is reduced significant.

It is expected that the number of IoT devices will continue to grow fast[1] over the coming years. Concomitant Edge-Computing also will become more important in the future and become an important role in modern Information Technology (IT) architectures.

To be able to control distributed systems effectively Kubernetes (K8S) is providing a lot of useful tools and functions. Fundamentally there are three different approaches regrading the architecture of how to build an Edge-Computing environment making use of K8S:

- A centralized K8S Cluster controlling many Leaf-Devices (Workers) on the Edge.

- Small and distributed K8S Clusters running independent on the Edge controlled by a centralized Master-Instance.

- A Service-Mesh expanding the functionality of the K8S networking stack.

1.1 Problem area

Problems arise when trying to find the proper architecture for a specific use-cases. There is no clear winner when comparing the above-mentioned different variants. Each of them have their own pros and cons and may decide whether a project is successful or not. It is therefore all the more important to choose the proper architecture right before starting, changing the strategy in retrospect would take a lot of time and effort. However, there is no clear guidance on how to find the proper target environment, at least none which apply in general. Occasionally one finds recommendations for very specific use case, however the chance is slim low this findings fit your goals respectively enlighten the architecture decision. This leads us to the following research question.

1.2 Research question

This paper is going to answer the subsequent research questions:

1. What are the main differences of the above mentiones architectures regarding functionality, scalability, costs and security?

2. Which decision criteria must be defined respectively examined to create a catalog capable of making choose the proper architecture easier for IT managers as well as administrators?

3. Is there a trend in which technology is most likely to be used?

1.3 Goal

The main goal of this thesis is to highlight the pros and cons for each of the architectures defined in the Introduction. The focus will mainly be on the geo-distribution aspect. Altough IoT is playing a major role in pushing the development forward, however it is not considered further in the present work. To find the proper architecture, or at least recommandations what could fit best for different desired use-cases, a catalog will be defined. An important part will become the decision tree helping people making comprehensible decisions based on scientific research. The meain characteristics which are taken into account are scalability, state-of-the-art, handling, costs as well as security.

1.4 Methodology

In the first part of the present work existing literature will be inspected. Related and relevant work will be examined accordingly and linked in the document. Also results will be incorporated to get out the most of it. In the second part a catalog with main criteria necessary for decision-making is defined. Part of this catalog will also be a decisicon-tree, mentioned in the previous chapter, to easily find the proper architecture. The last chapter deals with testing the defined criteria against real world examples making use of the Design Science Research (DSR) methodology. The last chapter is getting the most focus because it is the area where new technics respectively architecture decision are finally verified and those proofs if the catalog is working as expected or not. In the latter case, the catalog will be revised to reflect the findings of the last step and re-examinated again using DSR.

2 State of the Art

The first chapter gives a brief introduction to the main technologies used respectively examinated in the later part of the document. If anyone is already familiar with the subject may you jump over to the Architecture chapter.

2.1 Technology

2.1.1 Kubernetes

To promote mondern development and be able to implement continious deployment pipelines cumbersome monolytic applications are divied into many smaller units. Each of this units provides only one function. In order to establish the overall functionality, these units are communicate with eacher other and thus provide services or make us of other ones. This new method of delivering applications brings many advantages in terms of development but also introduce some new challenges and complexities regarding operation. To simplify the tasks around the management of this architecture, K8S has established itself as the de facto standard [2]. Over the course of time, a broad community has developed around kubernetes and a number of additional tools and extensions have emerged as a result. The mopst promising solutions regrading geo-distribution respectively edge-computing are highlighted in the subsequent section Architecture. In order to be able to interpret the results of the use-cases, as well as building the necessary basic understanding, the following functionalities and components of K8S are of relevance.

Master Nodes   run the so called *Control Plane* which is resposible for controlling the cluster itself and all the ressoruces within. The Control Plane consist of the following components [3].

- *kube-apiserver* acts as frontend web-interface responsible for controlling the K8S cluster as well as the object inside the cluster. Tools like kubectl abstract the *OpenAPI v2* endpoint and provide access in form of a simply understandable and usable Command Line Interface (CLI).

- *etcd* represents a high-available and consistent key value store responsible for storing the actual state as well as the desired configuration of the cluster.

- *kube-scheduler* is responsible for scheduling pods on the available worker nodes. Decision variables such as available ressources, affinity-rules and constraints are taken into account. However the default *kube-scheduler* ist not aware of any latency between the worker nodes nor the pods communicating with each other. As discovered in the hereafter chapters, this appears to be an important variable for edge-deployments. However, some

available white-papers already try to address those issues and showed possible solution by adopting a custom scheduler taking care of those values. More details on this can be found in the chapter Related Work.

- *kube-controller-manager* consists of a single compiled binary controling the status of nodes, jobs, service-accounts and endpoints as well as creating or removing them.

- *cloud-controller-manager* represents the interface to the underlying cloud-platform. This allows kubernetes to create and/or configure load-balancers, routes and persistent-volumes on the underlying cloud-infrastructure. In a local environment such as e.g. minikube provide the *cloud-controller-manager* becomes an optional component and is not required. The same may applies to edge-locations as those areas are outside the cloud most of the times.

Worker Nodes manage the workload, i.e. run the actual application(s). These nodes are composed of the following, see list below, parts [3]. It should be mentioned, that also the previously descripte *Master Nodes* are executing those components because some of the core-componentes are containerized (pods) itself.

- *kubelet* is an agent which assures that the container is executed properly inside their associated pods according to its specifications defined via *PodSpec*. Also *kubelet* is responsible for monitoring the healthy state of the containers.

- *kube-proxy* uses the packet filters of the operating system underneath to forward traffic to the desired destination. The resulting access points, also called *Services* in K8S-jargon, can be made available either internally or externally.

- *container runtime* is the part that finally executes the containers. The default runtime at time of writing is *containerd*, however any runtime is supported that complies with the CRI specification [4].

Kubernetes Objects are persistent properties inside the K8S ecosystem representing the state of your cluster. The most important feature of those objects is to describe the target environment in a declarative way. For this purpose, most of the time, YAML files are used. Kubernetes now ensures that the desired state of the environment is actually achieved and continuously monitors the required objects to meet those defined requirements. This mechanism is also ideal for distributed systems, such as edge computing, as availability can be checked at any time and a response can be made if necessary. Subsequent the main objects are cited starting with the smallest unit [5].

- *Containers* decouple the actual application and its dependencies from the underlying infrastructure. The main properties of those containers are there immutability and repeatability. This means that the container can be rebuilt at anytime resulting in an identical clone. Likewise, the code of a running container cannot be modified subsequently.

- *Pods* include at least one or more *Containers*. In the most scenarios a single pod consists of a single pod, in some cases a so-called sidecar container is used what make a pod consist of two containers. Containers which are in the same *Pod* share the same local Socks as well as volumes mounted.

- *Deployments*, *Statefulsets* and *Daemonsets* are responsible for ensuring the actual workload is provided, to achieve this they control and scale the assigned *Pods*. When creating an application for K8S, it is most likely to create one of those objects. The *Pods* and *Containers* are merely an end product that is derived these objects.

- *Services* provide an abstract way to make a set of *Pods* available on the network via a single endpoint. Additional deployed pods will automatically be added to the responsible *Services*. Thereby K8S is an excellent choice when it comes to scaling applications without any manual intervention. This also applies for deploying applications to the edge of the network, as illuminated in the course of this thesis. Closely related to the *Services* is the *Ingress* resource, which is taking care of making the aforementioned objects available outside the cluster. An optional reverse-proxy (*Ingress-Controller*) must be installed in order to make use of the latter.
  A new feature, which is of relevance regarding edge-computing, currently in beta phase, is the so-called *Topology Aware Hint*. Basically its meta-data added to the endpoints defined previously suggesting the connection client on how to reach the destination efficiently (e.g. zones aware of different locations can be defined)

- *ConfigMaps* and *Secrets* are peaces of information which can be mounted into to *Container* to adjust the configuration inside at runtime. Even hole files can be replaced using one of the both. *Secrets* are only different in the sense that they decode the content, however technically they are the same.

- *Volumes* provide persistent storage which extends beyond the life cycle of the pods. Volumes can be mounted at any defined position inside the pods. The disadvantage is that the data written to those *Volumes* resides out of the K8S ecosystem and therefore the operator must take care of data security and replication. This becomes even more complicated in an edge-computing environment where nodes have higher latency between them.

2.1.2 Edge-Computing

Edge-Computing is the model that extends cloud services to the edge of the network. The computing resources on the edge act as a layer between the user, who provides or wants to process data, and the centralized datacenter (e.g. the cloud). Because data can be processed earlier respective closer to the user, latency and amount of data transferred can be reduced [6]. Also, the required computing-ressources in the datacenter can be minimized because data

can be processed earlier at edge. A major driver of thiThe subsequent s technology is IoT. The amount of devices and resulting data volume, which must be processed, is increasing exponentially [1]. Another technology which depends on it are low-latency applications like e.g. video-streaming.

Hierarchy   describes the layers of the architecture. The following list enumerates the most important layers from top to bottom[6].

1. *Cloud* - centralized datacenter

2. *Fog* - distributed "smaller" datacenters

3. *Edge* - the closest unit to the end-user

4. *IoT* - device put into use

The main focus of this work is to efficiently combine the two layers *Cloud* and *Edge* and orchestrate between them using K8S. The layer *Fog* is skipped because it is often seen to be "the same" as the *Edge*. Also, current on K8S based solutions do not make use of it.

Geo-Distribution   characterises the aspect of the geographical propagation of the edge ressources. The goal is to provide computing power over wide areas, each close to the users. By establishing many of these locations in different regions, network latency can be significantly reduced from the user's perspective. However, the latency between the edge-nodes and the centralized cloud still remain.

Challenges   that appear when creating respectively operating such infrastructures are diverse, as can be seen in the following list [6].

- Variety - a lot of different locations, technologies as well as methods on how to control devices on the edge is challenging for both development and operation. The better these different factors can be abstracted and simplified, the more effectively the infrastructure can be used.

- Integration - edge-computing evolves very quickly, thereby things could change quickly. The more important it is to keep the provided interfaces extensible. This way, new devices or application can be put in use swiftly.

- Awareness - the devices and/or end-user do not care about how their traffic is routed, or their data is processed. However, the architecture behind need to take care of that to use the topology in the best possible way.

- Resources - scaling Resources like Central Processing Unit (CPU), Random Access Memory (RAM) and disk space at the edge is by far more elaborate than in a datacenter or in the cloud.

- Quality of Service (QoS) - The service provided at the edge should be reliable and provide a good user experience. Availability and performance play a central role in this context. Inasmuch as the availability can not be guaranteed to some extent, an appropriate failover mechanism should be in place.

- Security - physical access control as well as isolating applications from each other is a difficult task. Also, the data traffic must be separated accordingly. In general, IT security is a hot topic, and especially at the edge, it requires appropriate consideration for hardening the environment.

- Monitoring - another important factor is on how to capture metrics and events (logs) from the edge. They need to be indexed on a centralized instance in order to get a general overview on what's happening. Because of the dynamic and rapid changes some kind of automatic discovery should be used for that purpose.

The above-mentioned challenges provide a good starting point for defining the necessary test to find the matching target architecture. Details about this test can be found in the chapter *Methodlogy*.

2.2 Architecture

2.2.1 Default

Centralized Master and Workers at the Edge (Default!) K8s architecture why is it called Default challanges Target length: 2-4 S (incl. picture)

2.2.2 distributed K8s

Cluster running indepented Target length: 2-4 S (incl. picture)

2.2.3 Service Mesh

Using the Service-Mesh for Edge-Computing (Smar-Nic!) Target length: 2-4 S (incl. picture)

3 Design Science Research

3.1 Methodlogy

3.1.1 Performed Tests

Target length: 2 S

3.2 Environment

describe the test-environemtn Target length: 0,5-1 S

3.3 Architecture

3.3.1 Default

Target length: 3-4 S

3.3.2 distributed K8s

Target length: 3-4 S

3.3.3 Service Mesh

Target length: 3-4 S

3.4 Use-Cases

3.4.1 Web-Application

Target length: 1

3.4.2 Enterprise VPN

Target length: 1

3.4.3 Distributed Database

Target length: 1

3.5 Analysis

Target length: 4 S

3.5.1 Relevant Magnitudes

3.5.2 Performed Tests

3.5.3 Outcome

3.5.4 Paraphrase

4 Catalog

4.1 Decision Variables

Target length: 1-2 S

4.2 Decision Tree

Target length: 1 S

4.3 Exclusions and Special Cases

Target length: 1 S

5 Related Work

Target length: 3 S (all subsections)

5.1 Kubernetes and the Edge?

Some introdution to K8s at the Edge, highlighting the main Architectures.

5.2 Extend Cloud to Edge with KubeEdge

Descripes KubeEdge and its advantages

5.3 Sharpening Kubernetes for the Edge

Sharpening Kubernetes for the Edge Make Kubernetes aware of the latency between the nodes at the edge.

5.4 Ultra-Reliable and Low-Latency Computing in the Edge with Kubernetes

Similar to the paper before. Latecny awar pod deploymentm, but you also can deploy to regions and an custom re-scheduler is implementated taking care of redeploying when one node fails. Clustering node-groups based on latency.

6 Results

Target length: 3 S (all together)

6.1 Findings

6.2 Conclusio

6.3 Discussion and further research

Notes —to-be-removed— Sites: - longest: 50 (may i need even more) - shortest: 36 (zu wenig) ——————-

Bibliography

[1] Fay Arjomandi, Matt Trifiro, and Jacob Smith. *State of the Edge 2021. A Market and Ecosystem Report for Edge Computing*. Research rep. The Linud Foundation, Aug. 1, 2020. URL: https://stateoftheedge.com/reports/state-of-the-edge-report-2021/.

[2] Portworx. *Kubernetes Adoption Survey*. Research rep. PureStorage, Mar. 26, 2021. URL: https://www.purestorage.com/content/dam/pdf/en/analyst-reports/ar-portworx-pure-storage-2021-kubernetes-adoption-survey.pdf.

[3] The Kubernetes Authors. *Kubernetes Components*. Ed. by Tim Bannister. Oct. 17, 2021. URL: https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/overview/components/.

[4] The Kubernetes Authors. *Container Runtime Interface (CRI). a plugin interface which enables kubelet to use a wide variety of container runtimes.* Ed. by Tim Bannister. Jan. 28, 2022. URL: https://github.com/kubernetes/cri-api.

[5] The Kubernetes Authors. *Kubernetes Concepts*. Ed. by Tim Bannister. June 22, 2020. URL: https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/.

[6] Michel Gokan-Khan Auday Al-Dulaimy Yogesh Sharma and Javid Taheri. *Introduction to edge computing*. Sept. 1, 2020. DOI: 10.1049/PBPC033E_ch1.

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Code

List of Abbreviations

IT   Information Technology

WWW   World Wide Web

K8S   Kubernetes

IoT   Internet-of-Things

DSR   Design Science Research

CLI   Command Line Interface

QoS   Quality of Service

CPU   Central Processing Unit

RAM   Random Access Memory

A Anhang A

B Anhang B