CENTER FOR
ECONOMIC
BEHAVIOR &
INEQUALITY

12. More on HANK

Adv. Macro: Heterogenous Agent Models

Jeppe Druedahl

2023



Danmarks
Grundforskningsfond
Danish National
Research Foundation

Introduction

Introduction

- **Today:** Fiscal policy in a HANK model with sticky wages
    - Some analytical insights
    - Additional numerical results

Introduction

- **Today:** Fiscal policy in a HANK model with sticky wages
  - Some analytical insights
  - Additional numerical results
- **Literature:** Auclert et. al. (2023), »The Intertemporal Keynesian Cross«
  - Long paper with many (technical) details
  - We will focus on the main results

Sticky wages

Households

- **Household problem:**

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_t(z_t, a_{t-1}) = \max_{c_t} \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \varphi \frac{\ell_t^{1+\nu}}{1+\nu} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[v_{t+1}(z_{t+1}, a_t) \right] \\
\text{s.t. } a_t + c_t = (1 + r_t^a) a_{t-1} + (1 - \tau_t) w_t \ell_t z_t + \chi_t \\
\log z_{t+1} = \rho_z \log z_t + \psi_{t+1} \ , \psi_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_\psi, \sigma_\psi), \ \mathbb{E}[z_t] = 1 \\
a_t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- **Active decisions:** Consumption-saving, c_t (and a_t)
- **Union decision:** Labor supply, ℓ_t
- **Consumption function:** $C_t^{hh} = C^{hh}\left(\{r_s^a, \tau_s, w_s, \ell_s, \chi_s\}_{s \geq 0}\right)$

Firms

- **Production and profits:**

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t L_t$$
$$\Pi_t = P_t Y_t - W_t L_t$$

- **First order condition:**

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_t}{\partial L_t} = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_t \Gamma_t - W_t = 0 \Leftrightarrow w_t \equiv W_t / P_t = \Gamma_t$$

Zero profits: $\Pi_t = 0$

- **Wage and price inflation:**

$$\pi_t^w \equiv W_t / W_{t-1} - 1$$
$$\pi_t \equiv \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 = \frac{W_t / \Gamma_t}{W_{t-1} / \Gamma_{t-1}} - 1 = \frac{1 + \pi_t^w}{\Gamma_t / \Gamma_{t-1}} - 1$$

Union

- Everybody works the same:

$$\ell_t = L_t^{hh}$$

- Unspecified *wage adjustment costs* imply a **New Keynesian Wage (Phillips) Curve** (NKWPC or NKWC)

$$\pi_t^w = \kappa \left(\varphi \left(L_t^{hh} \right)^{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} \left(1 - \tau_t \right) w_t \left(C_t^{hh} \right)^{-\sigma} \right) + \beta \pi_{t+1}^w$$

Government

- **Spending:** G_t
- **Tax bill:** T_t

$$T_t = \int \tau_t w_t \ell_t z_t d\boldsymbol{D}_t = \tau_t \Gamma_t L_t = \tau_t Y_t$$

- If **one-period bonds:**

$$B_t = (1 + r_t^b) B_{t-1} + G_t + \chi_t - T_t$$

- If **long-term bonds:** Geometrically declining payment stream of $1, \delta, \delta^2, \dots$ for $\delta \in [0, 1]$. The bond price is q_t.

$$q_t (B_t - \delta B_{t-1}) = B_{t-1} + G_t + \chi_t - T_t$$

- Potential **tax-rule:**

$$\tau_t = \tau_{ss} + \omega q_{ss} \frac{B_{t-1} - B_{ss}}{Y_{ss}}$$

Central bank

- Standard **Taylor rule:**

$$1 + i_t = (1 + i_{t-1})^{\rho_i} \left((1 + r_{ss}) (1 + \pi_t)^{\phi_\pi} \right)^{1-\rho_i}$$

  Alternative: Real rate rule

$$1 + i_t = (1 + r_{ss})(1 + \pi_{t+1})$$

  Indeterminacy: Consider limit or assume future tightening

- **Fisher-equation:**

$$1 + r_t = \frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_{t+1}}$$

Arbitrage

1. One-period *real* bond, $q_t = 1$:

$$t > 0: \; r_t^b = r_t^a = r_{t-1}$$
$$r_0^b = r_0^a = 1 + r_{ss}$$

2. or, one-period *nominal* bond, $q_t = 1$:

$$t > 0: \; r_t^b = r_t^a = r_{t-1}$$
$$t > 0: \; r_0^b = r_0^a = (1 + r_{ss})(1 + \pi_{ss}) / (1 + \pi_0)$$

3. or, long-term *(real)* bonds:

$$\frac{1 + \delta q_{t+1}}{q_t} = 1 + r_t$$
$$1 + r_t^b = 1 + r_t^a = \frac{1 + \delta q_t}{q_{t-1}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\delta q_0}{q_{-1}} & \text{if } t = 0 \\ 1 + r_{t-1} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Market clearing

1. Asset market: $q_t B_t = A_t^{hh}$
2. Labor market: $L_t = L_t^{hh}$
3. Goods market: $Y_t = C_t^{hh} + G_t$

Equation system

Taylor-rule and long-term government debt:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
w_t - \Gamma_t \\
Y_t - \Gamma_t L_t \\
1 + \pi_t - \frac{1+\pi_t^w}{\Gamma_t / \Gamma_{t-1}} \\
1 + i_t - (1 + i_{t-1})^{\rho_i} \left((1 + r_{ss}) (1 + \pi_t)^{\phi_\pi} \right)^{1-\rho_i} \\
1 + r_t - \frac{1+i_t}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \\
\frac{1+\delta q_{t+1}}{q_t} - (1 + r_t) \\
1 + r_t^a - \frac{1+\delta q_t}{q_{t-1}} \\
\tau_t - \left[\tau_{ss} + \omega q_{ss} \frac{B_{t-1} - B_{ss}}{Y_{ss}} \right] \\
q_t (B_t - \delta B_{t-1}) - [B_{t-1} + G_t + \chi_t - \tau_t Y_t] \\
q_t B_t - A_t^{hh} \\
\pi_t^w - \left[\kappa \left(\varphi \left(L_t^{hh} \right)^\nu - \frac{1}{\mu} (1 - \tau_t) w_t \left(C_t^{hh} \right)^{-\sigma} \right) + \beta \pi_{t+1}^W \right]
\end{bmatrix}
= \mathbf{0}
$$

Reduced equation system with ordered blocks

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{\pi^w}, \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{G}, \chi, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \begin{bmatrix} q_t B_t - A_t^{hh} \\ \pi_t^w - \left[\kappa\left(\varphi\left(L_t^{hh}\right)^{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu}\left(1-\tau_t\right) w_t \left(C_t^{hh}\right)^{-\sigma}\right) + \beta \pi_{t+1}^W\right] \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Production: } w_t &= \Gamma_t \\
Y_t &= \Gamma_t L_t \\
\pi_t &= \frac{1+\pi_t^w}{\Gamma_t / \Gamma_{t-1}} - 1 \\
\text{Central bank: } i_t &= \left(1+i_{t-1}\right)^{\rho_i} \left(\left(1+r_{ss}\right)\left(1+\pi_t\right)^{\phi_\pi}\right)^{1-\rho_i} - 1 \text{ (forwards)} \\
r_t &= \frac{1+i_t}{1+\pi_{t+1}} - 1 \\
\text{Mutual fund: } q_t &= \frac{1+\delta q_{t+1}}{1+r_t} \text{ (backwards)} \\
r_t^a &= \frac{1+\delta q_t}{q_{t-1}} - 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Government: } \begin{bmatrix} \tau_t \\ B_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{ss} + \omega q_{ss} \frac{B_{t-1} - B_{ss}}{Y_{ss}} \\ \frac{(1+\delta q_t) B_{t-1} + G_t + \chi_t - \tau_t Y_t}{q_t} \end{bmatrix} \text{ (forwards)}$$

DAG

central_bank
mutual_fund
pi_w
NKWC
[NKWC_res]
G
government
chi
Gamma
production
L
market_clearing
[clearing_A]
hh

Analytical insights

Simpler consumption function

- **Assumptions:**
  1. One-period real bond
  2. No lump-sum transfers, $\chi_t = 0$
  3. Real rate rule: $r_t = r_{ss}$
  4. Fiscal policy in terms of dG_t and dT_t satisfying IBC

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{ss})^{-t} (dG_t - dT_t) = 0$$

- **Tax-bill:** $T_t = \tau_t w_t \int \ell_t z_t d\boldsymbol{D}_t = \tau_t \Gamma_t L_t = \tau_t Y_t$
- **Household income:** $(1 - \tau_t) w_t \ell_t z_t = \underbrace{(Y_t - T_t)}_{\equiv Z_t} z_t = Z_t z_t$
- **Consumption function:** Simplifies to

$$C_t^{hh} = C^{hh}\left(\{Y_s - T_s\}_{s \geq 0}\right) \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{hh} = C^{hh}(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{T}) = C^{hh}(\boldsymbol{Z})$$

Side-note: Two-equation version in $\boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{Y} &= \boldsymbol{G} + C^{hh}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{T}) \\
\boldsymbol{r} &= \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{Y}; \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{T})
\end{aligned}
$$

- **First equation:** Goods market clearing
- **Second equation:**
  1. Government: $\boldsymbol{T}, \boldsymbol{Y} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\tau}$
  2. Resource constraint: $\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{Y} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$
  3. Firm behavior I: $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{Y} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{w}$
  4. NKWC: $\boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\boldsymbol{w}}$
  5. Firm behavior II: $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\boldsymbol{w}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}$
  6. Central bank: $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{i}$
  7. Fisher: $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{\pi} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{r}$
- **Heterogeneity does not enter** $\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{Y}; \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{T})$
- **Real rate rule:** *Inflation is a side-show*

Intertemporal Keynesian Cross

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{G} + C^{hh}(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{T})$$

- **Total differentiation:**

$$dY_t = dG_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_t^{hh}}{\partial Z_s} (dY_t - dT_t)$$

- **Intertemporal Keynesian Cross** in vector form

$$d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{Y} - d\boldsymbol{T}) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M})d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\boldsymbol{G} - \boldsymbol{M}d\boldsymbol{T}$$

where $M_{t,s} = \frac{\partial C_t^{hh}}{\partial Z_s}$ encodes the entire *complexity*

iMPC matrix

$$\boldsymbol{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial C_0^{hh}}{\partial Z_0} & \frac{\partial C_0^{hh}}{\partial Z_1} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial C_1^{hh}}{\partial Z_0} & \frac{\partial C_1^{hh}}{\partial Z_1} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

iMPCs in the data

Figure 1: iMPCs in the Norwegian and Italian data



Other columns: Druedahl et al. (2023) show in micro-data that consumption responds today to news about future income.

Perspective: Static Keynesian Cross

- **Old Keynesians:** Consumption only depends on current income

$$Y_t = G_t + C^{hh}(Y_t - T_t)$$

- **Total differentiate:**

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= dG_t + \frac{\partial C_t^{hh}}{\partial Z_t}(dY_t - dT_t) \\ &= dG_t + \text{mpc} \cdot (dY_t - dT_t) \end{aligned}$$

- **Solution**

$$dY_t = \frac{1}{1 - \text{mpc}} (dG_t - \text{mpc} \cdot dT_t)$$

from multiplier-process $1 + \text{mpc} + \text{mpc}^2 \cdots = \frac{1}{1-\text{mpc}}$

Static Keynesian Cross

figure 10-5



An Increase in Government Purchases in the Keynesian Cross An increase in government purchases of ΔG raises planned expenditure by that amount for any given level of income. The equilibrium moves from point A to point B, and income rises from Y_1 to Y_2. Note that the increase in income ΔY exceeds the increase in government purchases ΔG. Thus, fiscal policy has a multiplied effect on income.

NPV-vector

- **NPV-vector:** $\boldsymbol{q} \equiv [1, (1+r_{ss})^{-1}, (1+r_{ss})^{-2}, \dots]'$
- **Government:** IBC holds

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(1+r_{ss})^{-t}(dG_t - dT_t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\boldsymbol{q}'(d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T}) = 0$$

- **Households:** IBC holds

$$C_t^{hh} = A_t^{hh} = (1+r_{ss})A_{t-1}^{hh} + Z_t \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(1+r_{ss})^{-t}C_t^{hh} = (1+r_{ss})A_{-1} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(1+r_{ss})^{-t}Z_t \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(1+r_{ss})^{-t}M_{t,s} = \frac{1}{(1+r)^s} \Rightarrow$$

$$\boldsymbol{q}'\boldsymbol{M} = \boldsymbol{q}' \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{q}'(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Form of unique solution

- **Problem:** $(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M})^{-1}$ cannot exist because this leads to a contradiction

$$\boldsymbol{q}'(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M})(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M})^{-1} = \boldsymbol{0}(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M})^{-1} \Leftrightarrow$$
$$\boldsymbol{q}' = 0$$

- **Result:** If unique solution then on the form

$$d\boldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{M}(d\boldsymbol{G} - \boldsymbol{M}d\boldsymbol{T})$$
$$\mathcal{M} = (\boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{K}$$

- **Indeterminancy:** Still work-in-progress (Auclert et. al., 2023)

Response of consumption

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\boldsymbol{Y} &= d\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{Y} - d\boldsymbol{T}) \Leftrightarrow \\
d\boldsymbol{Y} - d\boldsymbol{G} &= \boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T}) + \boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{Y} - d\boldsymbol{G}) \Leftrightarrow \\
d\boldsymbol{Y} - d\boldsymbol{G} &= \mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T}) \Leftrightarrow \\
d\boldsymbol{C} &= \mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T})
\end{aligned}
$$

Fiscal multipliers

$$d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\boldsymbol{G} + \underbrace{\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T})}_{d\boldsymbol{C}}$$

- **Balanced budget multiplier:**

$$d\boldsymbol{G} = d\boldsymbol{T} \Rightarrow d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\boldsymbol{G}, d\boldsymbol{C} = 0$$

  Note: Central that income and taxes affect household income proportionally in exactly the same way = no redistribution

- **Deficit multiplier:** $d\boldsymbol{G} \neq d\boldsymbol{T}$
  1. Larger effect of $d\boldsymbol{G}$ than $d\boldsymbol{T}$
  2. *Numerical results needed*

Fiscal multiplier

Impact-multiplier:

$$\frac{\partial Y_0}{\partial G_0}$$

Cumulative-multiplier:

$$\frac{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(1+r_{ss})^{-t}dY_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(1+r_{ss})^{-t}dG_t}$$

Comparison with RA model

- **From lecture 1:** $\beta(1+r_{ss})=1$ implies

$$C_t = (1-\beta)\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\beta^s Y_{t+s}^{hh} + r_{ss}a_{-1}$$

- The **iMPC-matrix** becomes

$$\boldsymbol{M}^{RA} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-\beta) & (1-\beta)\beta & (1-\beta)\beta^2 & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta)\beta & (1-\beta)\beta^2 & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta)\beta & (1-\beta)\beta^2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = (1-\beta)\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{q}'$$

- **Consumption response** is zero

$$\begin{aligned} d\boldsymbol{C}^{\boldsymbol{RA}} &= \mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{M}^{\boldsymbol{RA}}(d\boldsymbol{G}-d\boldsymbol{T}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(1-\beta)\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{q}'(d\boldsymbol{G}-d\boldsymbol{T}) \\ &= \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\boldsymbol{G} \end{aligned}$$

Details on matrix formulation

$$(1-\beta)\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{q}' = \begin{bmatrix} (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1+r_{ss})^{-1} & (1+r_{ss})^{-2} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & (1-\beta) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta & \beta^2 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (1-\beta) & (1-\beta)\beta & (1-\beta)\beta^2 & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta)\beta & (1-\beta)\beta^2 & \cdots \\ (1-\beta) & (1-\beta)\beta & (1-\beta)\beta^2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Comparison with TA model

- **Hand-to-Mouth (HtM) households:** λ share have $C_t = Y_t^{hh}$

$$\boldsymbol{M}^{TA} = (1-\lambda)\boldsymbol{M}^{RA} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}$$

- **Intertemporal Keynesian Cross** becomes

$$(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M}^{TA})d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\boldsymbol{G} - \boldsymbol{M}^{TA} d\boldsymbol{T}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M}^{RA})d\boldsymbol{Y} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\left[d\boldsymbol{G} - \lambda d\boldsymbol{T}\right]}_{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_t} - \boldsymbol{M}^{RA} d\boldsymbol{T}$$

- **Same solution-form as RA:** $d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_t$

$$d\boldsymbol{Y} = d\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_t = d\boldsymbol{G}_t + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\left[d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T}\right]$$

Cumulative multiplier still one

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{q}' d\boldsymbol{Y}}{\boldsymbol{q}' d\boldsymbol{G}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{q}' d\boldsymbol{G}_t + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\boldsymbol{q}' \left[d\boldsymbol{G} - d\boldsymbol{T}\right]}{\boldsymbol{q}' d\boldsymbol{G}}$$
$$= 0$$

iMPCs in models

Figure 2: iMPCs in the Norwegian data and several models



Multipliers and debt-financing

Figure 5: Multipliers according to the IKC



Note. These figures assume a persistence of government spending equal to $\rho_G = 0.76$, and vary ρ_B in $dB_t = \rho_B(dB_{t-1} + dG_t)$. See section 7.1 for details on calibration choices.

Generalized IKC

- **Budget constraint** can be written with initial capital gain

$$a_t + c_t = (Y_t - T_t)z_t + \chi_t + \begin{cases} (1 + r_{t-1})a_{t-1} & \text{if } t > 0 \\ (1 + r_{ss} + \text{cap}_0)a_{t-1} & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

1. Real bond: $\text{cap}_0 = 0$
2. Nominal bond:

$$\text{cap}_0 = \frac{(1 + r_{ss})(1 + \pi_{ss})}{1 + \pi_0} - (1 + r_{ss})$$

3. Long-term bond:

$$\text{cap}_0 = \frac{1 + \delta q_0}{q_{-1}} - (1 + r_{ss})$$

Generalized IKC

- **Consumption-function $\boldsymbol{C}^{hh} = C^{hh}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{T}, \chi, \mathbf{cap}_0)$** implies

$$d\boldsymbol{C}^{hh} = \boldsymbol{M}^r d\boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{M}(d\boldsymbol{Y} - d\boldsymbol{T}) + \boldsymbol{M}^\chi d\boldsymbol{\chi} + \boldsymbol{m}^{\text{cap}} \text{cap}_0$$

  where

$$\boldsymbol{M}^r_{t,s} = \left[\frac{\partial C^{hh}_t}{\partial r_s}\right], \boldsymbol{M}^\chi_{t,s} = \left[\frac{\partial C^{hh}_t}{\partial \chi_s}\right], \boldsymbol{m}^{\text{cap}}_t = \left[\frac{\partial C^{hh}_t}{\partial \text{cap}_0}\right]$$

- Why are $\boldsymbol{M}^\chi$ and $\boldsymbol{M}$ different?

Exercise

Exercise

Use *HANK-sticky-wages* in sub-folder.

1. Compute *fiscal multipliers* varying:
   1.1 Bond maturity: δ
   1.2 Fiscal aggressiveness: ω
   1.3 Monetary aggressiveness: ϕ_π
2. Does the model match the evidence of intertemporal MPCs?
   What happens to the fiscal multiplier if the fit is improved?

Summary

Summary and next week

- **Today:** Fiscal policy in a HANK model with sticky wages
- **Next week:** I-HANK
- **Homework:**
  1. Work on exercise
  2. Read: Druedahl et al. (2022),
     »The Transmission of Foreign Demand Shocks«