


## 2. Stationary Equilibrium

Adv. Macro: Heterogenous Agent Models

Jeppe Druedahl & Patrick Moran 2023







#### Last time:

- 1. Single agent problem
- 2. No interactions (only passive distribution)

- Last time:
  - 1. Single agent problem
  - 2. No interactions (only passive distribution)
- Today: Interaction through Walrassian markets

- Last time:
  - 1. Single agent problem
  - 2. No interactions (only passive distribution)
- Today: Interaction through Walrassian markets
- Model: Heterogeneous Agent Neo-Classical (HANC) model

- Last time:
  - 1. Single agent problem
  - 2. No interactions (only passive distribution)
- Today: Interaction through Walrassian markets
- Model: Heterogeneous Agent Neo-Classical (HANC) model
- Equilibrium-concept: Stationary equilibrium

- Last time:
  - 1. Single agent problem
  - 2. No interactions (only passive distribution)
- Today: Interaction through Walrassian markets
- Model: Heterogeneous Agent Neo-Classical (HANC) model
- Equilibrium-concept: Stationary equilibrium
- Code: Based on the GEModelTools package
  - 1. Is in active development
  - 2. You can help to improve interface
  - 3. You can help to find bugs
  - 4. You can help to add features

**Documentation:** See GEModelToolsNotebooks

- Last time:
  - 1. Single agent problem
  - 2. No interactions (only passive distribution)
- Today: Interaction through Walrassian markets
- Model: Heterogeneous Agent Neo-Classical (HANC) model
- Equilibrium-concept: Stationary equilibrium
- Code: Based on the GEModelTools package
  - 1. Is in active development
  - 2. You can help to improve interface
  - 3. You can help to find bugs
  - 4. You can help to add features

**Documentation:** See GEModelToolsNotebooks

• Literature: Aiyagari (1994)

Ramsey-recap

## Ramsey: Firms

- Production function:  $Y_t = F(K_{t-1}, L_t)$  [note timing of capital]
- Profits:  $\Pi_t = Y_t w_t L_t r_t^K K_{t-1}$
- Profit maximization:  $\max_{K_{t-1}, L_t} \Pi_t$ 
  - 1. Rental rate:  $\frac{\partial \Pi_t}{\partial K_{t-1}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow r_t^K = F_K(K_{t-1}, L_t)$
  - 2. Real wage:  $\frac{\partial \Pi_t}{\partial L_t} = 0 \Leftrightarrow w_t = F_L(K_{t-1}, L_t)$

Zero profits:  $\Pi_t = 0 \Rightarrow$ 

 $Y_t = w_t L_t + r_t^K K_{t-1}$  [functional income distribution]

## Ramsey: Zero-profit mutual fund

- Owns all capital
- Capital depreciate with rate  $\delta \in (0,1)$ ,

$$K_t = (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} + I_t$$

• **Deposits** (from households),  $A_{t-1}$ : The rate of return is

$$r_t = r_t^K - \delta$$

Balance sheet:

$$A_{t-1} = K_{t-1}$$

## Ramsey: Households

Utility maximization:

$$v_0(A_{-1}^{hh}) = \max_{\{C_t^{hh}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(C_t^{hh})$$
s.t.
$$A_t^{hh} = (1+r_t)A_{t-1}^{hh} + w_t L_t^{hh} - C_t^{hh}$$

Exogenous labor supply:  $L_t^{hh} = 1$ 

• Euler-equation (implied by Lagrangian):

$$u'(C_t^{hh}) = \beta(1 + r_{t+1})u'(C_{t+1}^{hh})$$

• Capital market:  $K_t = A_t = A_t^{hh}$ 

- Capital market:  $K_t = A_t = A_t^{hh}$
- Labor market:  $L_t = L_t^{hh} = 1$

- Capital market:  $K_t = A_t = A_t^{hh}$
- Labor market:  $L_t = L_t^{hh} = 1$
- Goods market:  $Y_t = C_t^{hh} + I_t$

- Capital market:  $K_t = A_t = A_t^{hh}$
- Labor market:  $L_t = L_t^{hh} = 1$
- Goods market:  $Y_t = C_t^{hh} + I_t$
- Walras: Capital and labor market clears ⇒ goods market clears

$$C_t^{hh} + I_t = \left[ (1 + r_t) A_{t-1}^{hh} + w_t L_t^{hh} - A_t^{hh} \right] + (K_t - (1 - \delta) K_{t-1})$$

$$= \left[ (1 + r_t) K_{t-1} + w_t L_t - K_t \right] + (K_t - (1 - \delta) K_{t-1})$$

$$= r_t^K K_{t-1} + w_t L_t$$

$$= Y_t$$

## Ramsey: Summary

#### Simplified form:

$$u'(C_t^{hh}) = \beta(1 + F_K(K_t, 1) - \delta)u'(C_{t+1}^{hh})$$
$$K_t = (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} + F(K_{t-1}, 1) - C_t^{hh}$$

## Ramsey: Summary

Simplified form:

$$u'(C_t^{hh}) = \beta(1 + F_K(K_t, 1) - \delta)u'(C_{t+1}^{hh})$$
$$K_t = (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} + F(K_{t-1}, 1) - C_t^{hh}$$

Extended form:

$$r_{t}^{K} = F_{K}(K_{t-1}, L_{t})$$

$$w_{t} = F_{L}(K_{t-1}, L_{t})$$

$$r_{t} = r_{t}^{K} - \delta$$

$$A_{t} = K_{t}$$

$$A_{t}^{hh} = (1 + r_{t})A_{t-1}^{hh} + w_{t}L_{t}^{hh} - C_{t}^{hh}$$

$$u'(C_{t}^{hh}) = \beta(1 + r_{t+1})u'(C_{t+1}^{hh})$$

$$A_{t} = A_{t}^{hh}$$

$$L_{t} = L_{t}^{hh}$$

## Ramsey: As an equation system

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_t^K - F_K(K_{t-1}, L_t) \\ w_t - F_L(K_{t-1}, L_t) \\ r_t - (r_t^K - \delta) \\ A_t - K_t \\ A_t^{hh} - ((1 + r_t)A_{t-1}^{hh} + w_t L_t^{hh} - C_t^{hh}) \\ u'(C_t^{hh}) - \beta(1 + r_{t+1})u'(C_{t+1}^{hh}) \\ A_t - A_t^{hh} \\ L_t - L_t^{hh} \\ \forall t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}, \text{ given } K_{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

**Note I:** There is *perfect foresight*.

**Note II:** This is the so-called *sequence-space* formulation.

## Ramsey: Steady state

• Euler-equation can be solved for K<sub>ss</sub>:

$$u'(\mathcal{C}_{ss}) = \beta(1 + F_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}_{ss}, 1) - \delta)u'(\mathcal{C}_{ss}) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$F_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}_{ss}, 1) = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1 + \delta$$

• Accumulation equation then implies  $C_{ss}$ :

$$K_{ss} = (1 - \delta)K_{ss} + F(K_{ss}, 1) - C_{ss} \Leftrightarrow C_{ss} = (1 - \delta)K_{ss} + F(K_{ss}, 1) - K_{ss}$$

# HANC

- Firms: Rent capital from mutual fund and hire labor from the households, produce with given technology, and sell output goods
- 2. **Zero-profit mutual funds:** Own capital and rent it to firms, take deposits and pay return to household
- Households: Face idiosyncratic productivity shocks, supplies labor exogenously and makes consumption-saving decisions
- 4. Markets: Perfect competition in labor, goods and capital markets

- 1. **Firms:** Rent capital from mutual fund and hire labor from the households, produce with given technology, and sell output goods
- 2. **Zero-profit mutual funds:** Own capital and rent it to firms, take deposits and pay return to household
- Households: Face idiosyncratic productivity shocks, supplies labor exogenously and makes consumption-saving decisions
- 4. Markets: Perfect competition in labor, goods and capital markets
- Add-on to Ramsey-Cass-Koopman: Heterogeneous households

- 1. **Firms:** Rent capital from mutual fund and hire labor from the households, produce with given technology, and sell output goods
- 2. **Zero-profit mutual funds:** Own capital and rent it to firms, take deposits and pay return to household
- Households: Face idiosyncratic productivity shocks, supplies labor exogenously and makes consumption-saving decisions
- 4. Markets: Perfect competition in labor, goods and capital markets
- Add-on to Ramsey-Cass-Koopman: Heterogeneous households
- Other names:

- 1. **Firms:** Rent capital from mutual fund and hire labor from the households, produce with given technology, and sell output goods
- 2. **Zero-profit mutual funds:** Own capital and rent it to firms, take deposits and pay return to household
- Households: Face idiosyncratic productivity shocks, supplies labor exogenously and makes consumption-saving decisions
- 4. Markets: Perfect competition in labor, goods and capital markets
- Add-on to Ramsey-Cass-Koopman: Heterogeneous households
- Other names:
  - 1. The Aiyagari-model

- 1. **Firms:** Rent capital from mutual fund and hire labor from the households, produce with given technology, and sell output goods
- 2. **Zero-profit mutual funds:** Own capital and rent it to firms, take deposits and pay return to household
- Households: Face idiosyncratic productivity shocks, supplies labor exogenously and makes consumption-saving decisions
- 4. Markets: Perfect competition in labor, goods and capital markets
- Add-on to Ramsey-Cass-Koopman: Heterogeneous households
- Other names:
  - 1. The Aiyagari-model
  - 2. The Aiyagari-Bewley-Hugget-Imrohoroglu-model

#### Model blocks:

- 1. **Firms:** Rent capital from mutual fund and hire labor from the households, produce with given technology, and sell output goods
- 2. **Zero-profit mutual funds:** Own capital and rent it to firms, take deposits and pay return to household
- Households: Face idiosyncratic productivity shocks, supplies labor exogenously and makes consumption-saving decisions
- 4. Markets: Perfect competition in labor, goods and capital markets
- Add-on to Ramsey-Cass-Koopman: Heterogeneous households

#### Other names:

- 1. The Aiyagari-model
- 2. The Aiyagari-Bewley-Hugget-Imrohoroglu-model
- 3. The Standard Incomplete Market (SIM) model

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^t u(c_{it}) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ \ell_{it} &= z_{it} \\ a_{it} &= (1 + r_t) a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} - c_{it} \\ \log z_{it+1} &= \rho_z \log z_{it} + \psi_{it+1}, \ \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}), \ \mathbb{E}[z_{it}] &= 1 \\ a_{it} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Utility maximization for household i:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^t u(c_{it}) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ \ell_{it} &= z_{it} \\ a_{it} &= (1 + r_t) a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} - c_{it} \\ \log z_{it+1} &= \rho_z \log z_{it} + \psi_{it+1}, \ \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}), \ \mathbb{E}[z_{it}] &= 1 \\ a_{it} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where are there heterogeneity?

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^t u(c_{it}) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ \ell_{it} &= z_{it} \\ a_{it} &= (1 + r_t) a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} - c_{it} \\ \log z_{it+1} &= \rho_z \log z_{it} + \psi_{it+1}, \ \ \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}), \ \ \mathbb{E}[z_{it}] &= 1 \\ a_{it} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Where are there heterogeneity?
  - 1. Ex ante due to different preferences,  $\beta_i$

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^t u(c_{it}) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ \ell_{it} &= z_{it} \\ a_{it} &= (1 + r_t) a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} - c_{it} \\ \log z_{it+1} &= \rho_z \log z_{it} + \psi_{it+1}, \ \ \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}), \ \ \mathbb{E}[z_{it}] &= 1 \\ a_{it} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Where are there heterogeneity?
  - 1. Ex ante due to different preferences,  $\beta_i$
  - 2. Ex post due to stochastic productivity,  $z_{it}$

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^t u(c_{it}) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ \ell_{it} &= z_{it} \\ a_{it} &= (1 + r_t) a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} - c_{it} \\ \log z_{it+1} &= \rho_z \log z_{it} + \psi_{it+1}, \ \ \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}), \ \ \mathbb{E}[z_{it}] &= 1 \\ a_{it} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Where are there heterogeneity?
  - 1. Ex ante due to different preferences,  $\beta_i$
  - 2. Ex post due to stochastic productivity,  $z_{it}$
- Incomplete markets due to borrowing constraint
   (fancy words: partial self-insurrance, lack of Arrow-Debreu securities)

Policy functions: Aggregate prices are hidden as inputs, i.e.

$$x_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) = *(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}, \{r_\tau, w_\tau\}_{\tau \ge t}) \text{ for } x \in \{a, \ell, c\}$$

Policy functions: Aggregate prices are hidden as inputs, i.e.

$$x_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) = *(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}, \{r_\tau, w_\tau\}_{\tau \geq t}) \text{ for } x \in \{a, \ell, c\}$$

Distributions (vector of probabilities):

Policy functions: Aggregate prices are hidden as inputs, i.e.

$$x_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) = *(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}, \{r_\tau, w_\tau\}_{\tau \geq t}) \text{ for } x \in \{a, \ell, c\}$$

- Distributions (vector of probabilities):
  - 1. Beginning-of-period:  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it-1}$  and  $a_{it-1}$

• Policy functions: Aggregate prices are hidden as inputs, i.e.

$$x_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) = *(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}, \{r_\tau, w_\tau\}_{\tau \geq t}) \text{ for } x \in \{a, \ell, c\}$$

- Distributions (vector of probabilities):
  - 1. Beginning-of-period:  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it-1}$  and  $a_{it-1}$
  - 2. Productivity transition:  $\mathbf{D}_t = \Pi_z \underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it}$  and  $a_{it-1}$

## Distributions and aggregates

Policy functions: Aggregate prices are hidden as inputs, i.e.

$$x_{t}^{*}(\beta_{i}, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) = *(\beta_{i}, z_{it}, a_{it-1}, \{r_{\tau}, w_{\tau}\}_{\tau > t}) \text{ for } x \in \{a, \ell, c\}$$

- Distributions (vector of probabilities):
  - 1. Beginning-of-period:  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it-1}$  and  $a_{it-1}$
  - 2. Productivity transition:  $\mathbf{D}_t = \Pi_z \underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it}$  and  $a_{it-1}$
  - 3. Savings transition:  $\underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{t+1} = \Lambda_t \boldsymbol{D}_t$  where again

$$\Lambda_t = \Lambda\left(\left\{r_\tau, w_\tau\right\}_{\tau \geq t}\right)$$

## Distributions and aggregates

Policy functions: Aggregate prices are hidden as inputs, i.e.

$$x_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) = *(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}, \{r_\tau, w_\tau\}_{\tau \geq t}) \text{ for } x \in \{a, \ell, c\}$$

- Distributions (vector of probabilities):
  - 1. Beginning-of-period:  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it-1}$  and  $a_{it-1}$
  - 2. Productivity transition:  $\mathbf{D}_t = \Pi_z \underline{\mathbf{D}}_t$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it}$  and  $a_{it-1}$
  - 3. Savings transition:  $\underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{t+1} = \Lambda_t \boldsymbol{D}_t$  where again

$$\Lambda_t = \Lambda\left(\left\{r_\tau, w_\tau\right\}_{\tau \geq t}\right)$$

Aggregate consumption and savings:

$$X_t^{hh} = \int x_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) d\mathbf{D}_t = X^{hh} \left( \left\{ r_\tau, w_\tau \right\}_{\tau \geq t}, \underline{\mathbf{D}}_0 \right) \text{ for } x \in \left\{ a, \ell, c \right\}$$

## **Equation system**

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_t^K - F_K(K_{t-1}, L_t) \\ w_t - F_L(K_{t-1}, L_t) \\ r_t - (r_t^K - \delta) \\ A_t - K_t \\ \boldsymbol{D}_t - \Pi_z \underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_t \\ \underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{t+1} - \Lambda_t \boldsymbol{D}_t \\ A_t - A_t^{hh} \\ L_t - L_t^{hh} \\ \forall t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}, \text{ given } \underline{\boldsymbol{D}}_0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

where 
$$K_{-1}=\int a_{it-1}d{m {\cal D}}_0$$

- 1. Perfect forsight wrt. aggregate variables
- 2. **Stationary equilibrium:** Time-constant solution.
- 3. **Transition path:** Time-varying solution due to e.g. initial conditions or temporary deviations of exogenous variables.

#### Solution method

- Must be solved numerically:
- Household problem:
  - 1. Discretize and evaluate with interpolation
  - 2. Make recursion until convergence
- Transition path:
  - 1. Find the stationary equilibrium
  - 2. Find Jacobian around stationary equilibrium (next time)
  - 3. Solve using quasi-Newton solver (next time)

## Solution of household problem

Beginning-of-period value function:

$$\underline{v}_t(\beta_i, z_{it-1}, a_{it-1}) = \mathbb{E}\left[v_t(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) \mid \beta_i, z_{it-1}, a_{it-1}\right]$$

## Solution of household problem

Beginning-of-period value function:

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{t}(\beta_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{it-1}, \mathbf{a}_{it-1}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{v}_{t}(\beta_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{it}, \mathbf{a}_{it-1}) \mid \beta_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{it-1}, \mathbf{a}_{it-1}\right]$$

• **Envelope theorem:** Differentiate with fixed  $a_t$  choice

$$\underline{v}_{a,t}(\beta_i, z_{it-1}, a_{it-1}) \equiv \frac{\partial \underline{v}_t}{\partial a_{it-1}} = \mathbb{E}\left[ (1+r_t)c_{it}^{-\sigma} \mid \beta_i, z_{it-1}, a_{it-1} \right]$$

## Solution of household problem

Beginning-of-period value function:

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{t}(\beta_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{it-1}, \mathbf{a}_{it-1}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{v}_{t}(\beta_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{it}, \mathbf{a}_{it-1}) \mid \beta_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{it-1}, \mathbf{a}_{it-1}\right]$$

• **Envelope theorem:** Differentiate with fixed  $a_t$  choice

$$\underline{v}_{a,t}(\beta_i, z_{it-1}, a_{it-1}) \equiv \frac{\partial \underline{v}_t}{\partial a_{it-1}} = \mathbb{E}\left[ (1 + r_t) c_{it}^{-\sigma} \mid \beta_i, z_{it-1}, a_{it-1} \right]$$

- **EGM:** Separately for each  $\beta_i$  and  $z_{it}$ 
  - 1. Find solution from FOC for each  $\tilde{a}_{it}$  in exogenous grid

$$\tilde{c}_{it}^{-\sigma} = \beta_i \underline{v}_{a,t+1}(\beta_i, z_{it}, \tilde{a}_{it}) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{c}_{it} = \left(\beta_i \underline{v}_{a,t+1}(\beta_i, z_{it}, \tilde{a}_{it})\right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}$$

- 2. Calculate endogenous grid  $\tilde{m}_{it} = \tilde{a}_{it} + \tilde{c}_{it}$
- 3. Interpolate at  $m_{it} = (1 + r_t)a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} + \Pi_t$  to get optimal  $a_{it}$
- 4. Enforce constraint by  $a_{it} = \max\{a_{it}, 0\}$
- 5. Consumption is  $c_{it} = m_{it} a_{it}$

## Market clearing

- Capital market:  $K_t = A_t = \int a_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) d\mathbf{D}_t$
- Labor market:  $L_t = \int \ell_t^*(\beta_i, z_{it}, a_{it-1}) d\mathbf{D}_t = \int z_{it} d\mathbf{D}_t = 1$
- Goods market:  $Y_t = C_t^{hh} + I_t$
- Walras: Capital and labor market clears ⇒ goods market clears

$$C_t^{hh} + I_t = \int c_{it}^* d\mathbf{D}_t + [K_t - (1 - \delta)K_{t-1}]$$

$$= \int [(1 + r_t)a_{it-1} + w_t z_{it} - a_{it}] d\mathbf{D}_t$$

$$= [(1 + r_t)K_{t-1} + w_t L_t - K_t] + [K_t - (1 - \delta)K_{t-1}]$$

$$= r_t^K K_{t-1} + w_t L_t$$

$$= Y_t$$

**Stationary Equilibrium** 

## Stationary equilibrium - equation system

The **stationary equilibrium** satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_{ss}^{K} - (\alpha \Gamma_{ss}(K_{ss}/L_{ss})^{\alpha-1}) \\ w_{ss} - (1-\alpha)\Gamma_{ss}(K_{ss}/L_{ss})^{\alpha} \\ r_{ss} - (r_{ss}^{K} - \delta) \\ A_{ss} - K_{ss} \\ D_{ss} - \Pi'_{z} \underline{D}_{ss} \\ \underline{D}_{ss} - \Lambda'_{ss} D_{ss} \\ A_{ss} - A_{ss}^{hh} \\ L_{ss} - L_{ss}^{hh} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

**Note I:** Households still move around »inside« the distribution due to idiosyncratic shocks

**Note II:** Steady state for aggregates (quantities and prices) and the distribution as such

## Stationary equilibrium - more verbal definition

### For a given $\Gamma_{ss}$

- 1. Quantities  $K_{ss}$  and  $L_{ss}$ ,
- 2. prices  $r_{ss}$  and  $w_{ss}$  (always  $\Pi_{ss} = 0$ ),
- 3. the distribution  $D_{ss}$  over  $\beta_i$ ,  $z_{it}$  and  $a_{it-1}$
- 4. and the policy functions  $a_{ss}^*$ ,  $\ell_{ss}^*$  and  $c_{ss}^*$

#### are such that

- 1. Household maximize expected utility (policy functions)
- 2. Firms maximize profits (prices)
- 3.  $D_{ss}$  is the invariant distribution implied by the household problem
- 4. Mutual fund balance sheet is satisfied
- 5. The capital market clears
- 6. The labor market clears
- 7. The goods market clears

## Direct implementation

### **Root-finding problem** in $K_{ss}$ with the objective function:

- 1. Set  $L_{ss} = 1$  (and  $\Pi_{ss} = 0$ )
- 2. Calculate  $r_{ss} = \alpha \Gamma_{ss} (K_{ss})^{\alpha-1} \delta$  and  $w_{ss} = (1 \alpha) \Gamma_{ss} (K_{ss})^{\alpha}$
- 3. Solve infinite horizon household problem backwards, i.e. find  $a_{ss}^*$
- 4. Simulate households forwards until convergence, i.e. find  $oldsymbol{D}_{ss}$
- 5. Return  $K_{ss} \boldsymbol{a}_{ss}^{*\prime} \boldsymbol{D}_{ss}$

## **Direct implementation (alternative)**

### **Root-finding problem** in $r_{ss}$ with the objective function:

- 1. Set  $L_{ss} = 1$  (and  $\Pi_{ss} = 0$ )
- 2. Calculate  $K_{ss} = \left(\frac{r_{ss} + \delta}{\alpha \Gamma_{ss}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha 1}}$  and  $w_{ss} = (1 \alpha)\Gamma_{ss}(K_{ss})^{\alpha}$
- 3. Solve infinite horizon household problem backwards, i.e. find  $\boldsymbol{a}_{ss}^*$
- 4. Simulate households forwards until convergence, i.e. find  $oldsymbol{D}_{ss}$
- 5. Return  $K_{ss} \boldsymbol{a}_{ss}^{*\prime} \boldsymbol{D}_{ss}$

## Indirect implementation

- 1. Choose  $r_{ss}$  and  $w_{ss}$
- 2. Solve infinite horizon household problem backwards, i.e. find  $a_{ss}^*$
- 3. Simulate households forwards until convergence, i.e. find  $\boldsymbol{D}_{ss}$
- 4. Set  $K_{ss} = \boldsymbol{a}_{ss}^{*\prime} \boldsymbol{D}_{ss}$
- 5. Set  $L_{ss}=1$  (and  $\Pi_{ss}=0$ )
- 6. Set  $\Gamma_{ss} = \frac{w_{ss}}{(1-\alpha)(K_{ss})^{\alpha}}$
- 7. Set  $r_{ss}^k = \alpha \Gamma_{ss} (K_{ss})^{\alpha 1}$
- 8. Set  $\delta = r_{ss}^k r_{ss}$

# Code

## Calibration

- Preferences:  $u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$ 
  - 1. Discount factors:  $\beta \in \{0.965, 0.975, 0.985\}$  in equal pop. shares
  - 2. Relative risk aversion:  $\sigma = 2$

#### Income:

- 1. AR(1):  $\rho_z = 0.95$
- 2. Std.:  $\sigma_{\psi} = 0.30 \sqrt{(1 \rho_{z}^{2})}$
- Technology:  $F(K, L) = \Gamma K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$ 
  - 1. Capital share:  $\alpha = 0.36$ 
    - 2. TFP:  $\Gamma_{ss} = 1.082$
    - 3. Depreciation:  $\delta = 0.193$

#### Steady state:


- 1. Prices:  $r_{ss} = 0.01$  and  $w_{ss} = 1$
- 2. Quantities:  $K_{ss}/Y_{ss} = 1.776$


## **Consumption function**

• Euler-equation still necessary for  $a_{it} > 0$ :


$$c_{it}^{-\sigma} = \beta_i (1 + r_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}_t \left[ c_{it+1}^{-\sigma} \right]$$

- Precautionary saving:
  - 1. Low consumption for low cash-on-hand  $\rightarrow$  buffer-stock target
  - 2. Steep slope for low cash-on-hand  $\rightarrow$  high MPC






## Low vs. high $\beta_i$



## Distribution, $D_t$

- Productivity: Marginal distribution over only z<sub>it</sub>
- **Savings:** Marginal distribution over  $a_{it}$  cond. on  $\beta_i$



#### Drivers of wealth inequality:

- 1. Stochastic income
- 2. Heterogeneous patience  $\rightarrow$  savings behavior

## Steady state interest rate

Representative agent / complete markets:

Derived from aggregate Euler-equation

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta (1 + r_{t+1}) C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \Rightarrow C_{ss}^{-\sigma} = \beta (1 + r_{ss}) C_{ss}^{-\sigma} \Leftrightarrow \beta = \frac{1}{1 + r_{ss}}$$

## Steady state interest rate

#### Representative agent / complete markets:

Derived from aggregate Euler-equation

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta (1 + r_{t+1}) C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \Rightarrow C_{ss}^{-\sigma} = \beta (1 + r_{ss}) C_{ss}^{-\sigma} \Leftrightarrow \beta = \frac{1}{1 + r_{ss}}$$

- Heterogeneous agents: No such equation exists
  - 1. Euler-equation replaced by asset market clearing condition
  - 2. Idiosyncratic income risk affects the steady state interest rate

$\sigma_{\psi}$	PE ( $r_{ss} = 1\%$ ), $A^{hh}$	GE, r <sub>ss</sub>	GE, A <sup>hh</sup>
0.09	2.78	1.00%	2.78
0.14	7.39	0.12%	2.97
0.19	13.68	-1.11%	3.30

Partial Equilibrium: Same interest rate.

**General Equilibrium**: Capital+labor market clearing.

**Calibration** 

## How to choose parameters?

 External calibration: Set subset of parameters to the standard values in the literature or directly from data estimates (e.g. income process)

## How to choose parameters?

- External calibration: Set subset of parameters to the standard values in the literature or directly from data estimates (e.g. income process)
- Internal calibration: Set remaining parameters so the model fit a number of chosen macro-level and/or micro-level targets based on empirical estimates
  - 1. Informal: Roughly match targets by hand
  - 2. Formal:
    - 2a. Solve root-finding problem
    - 2b. Minimize a squared loss function
  - 3. **Estimation:** Formal with squared loss function + standard errors

## How to choose parameters?

- External calibration: Set subset of parameters to the standard values in the literature or directly from data estimates (e.g. income process)
- Internal calibration: Set remaining parameters so the model fit a number of chosen macro-level and/or micro-level targets based on empirical estimates
  - 1. Informal: Roughly match targets by hand
  - 2. Formal:
    - 2a. Solve root-finding problem
    - 2b. Minimize a squared loss function
  - 3. **Estimation:** Formal with squared loss function + standard errors
- Complication: We must always solve for the steady state for each guess of the parameters to be calibrated

## Exercises

#### Exercise: HANCGovModel

- No production. No physical savings instrument
- Households: Get stochastic endowment z<sub>it</sub> of consumption good
- Government:
  - 1. Choose government spending
  - 2. Collect taxes,  $\tau_t$ , proportional to endowment
  - 3. Bonds: Pays 1 consumption good next period. Price is  $p_t^B < 1$

$$p_t^B B_t = B_{t-1} + G_t - \int \tau_t z_{it} d\mathbf{D}_t$$

#### **Exercise: Households**

#### Households:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(z_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{c_{it}} \frac{c_{it}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ v_{it+1}(z_{it+1}, a_{it}) \right] \\ \text{s.t. } p_t^B a_{it} + c_{it} &= a_{it-1} + (1-\tau_t) z_{it} \geq 0 \\ &\log z_{it+1} = \rho_z \log z_{it} + \psi_{it+1} \ , \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}), \ \mathbb{E}[z_{it}] = 1 \end{aligned}$$

#### **Euler-equation**:

$$c_t^{-\sigma} = \beta \frac{\underline{v}_{a,t+1}(z_{it}, a_{it})}{p_t^B}$$

#### **Envelope condition:**

$$\underline{v}_{a,t}(z_{it-1},a_{it-1})=c_{it}^{-\sigma}$$

#### **Exercise: Questions**

- 1. Define the stationary equilibrium
- 2. Solve and simulate the household problem with  $p_{ss}^B = 0.975$  and  $\tau_{ss} = 0.12$ .
- 3. Find the stationary equilibrium with  $G_{ss} = 0.10$  and  $\tau_{ss} = 0.12$ .
- 4. What happens for  $\tau_{ss} \in (0.11, 0.15)$ ?
- 5. When is average household utility maximized?

Summary

## Summary and next week

- Today:
  - 1. The concept of a stationary equilibrium
  - 2. Introduction to the GEModelTools package
- Next week: Transition path
- Homework:
  - Work on completing the HANCGovModel exercise (solution in repository folder HANCGovModel)
  - Read documentation for GEModelTools (except on linearized solution and simulation)
  - 3. Glance at Auclert et. al. (2021) and Kirkby (2017)