

# CS222 Homework 6

## NP and Computational Intractability

Exercises for Algorithm Design and Analysis by Li Jiang, 2018 Autumn Semester

- 潘佳萌
- 516030910510

## 1 Problem 1

### 1.1 Question

For each of the two questions below, decide whether the answer is (i) "Yes," (ii) "No," or (iii) "Unknown, because it would resolve the question of whether  $P = NP$ ." Give a brief explanation of your answer.

- (a) Let's define the decision version of the Interval Scheduling Problem from Chapter 4 as follows: Given a collection of intervals on a time-line, and a bound  $k$ , does the collection contain a subset of nonoverlapping intervals of size at least  $k$ ? Question: Is it the case that Interval Scheduling  $\leq_p$  Vertex Cover?
- (b) Question: Is it the case that Independent Set  $\leq_p$  Interval Scheduling?

### 1.2 Answer

- (a) Yes. Vertex cover is a NP-complete problem, and for every problem  $X \in NP$ ,  $X \leq_p NP$ . Because Interval Scheduling problem is a P problem, and Interval Scheduling  $\in NP$ , Interval Scheduling  $\leq_p$  Vertex Cover.
- (b) Unknown, because it would resolve the question of whether  $P = NP$ . Vertex cover  $\equiv$  Independent Set  $\Rightarrow$  Vertex Cover  $\leq_p$  Interval Scheduling  $\Rightarrow$  Interval Scheduling  $\equiv$  Vertex Cover  $\Rightarrow P=NP$

## 2 Problem 2

### 2.1 Question

PARTITION : Given a finite set  $A$  and a size  $s(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $a \in A$ , is there a subset  $A' \subseteq A$  such that  $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a)$ ?

SUBSET SUM: Given a finite set  $A$ , a size  $s(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $a \in A$  and an integer  $B$ , is there a subset  $A' \subseteq A$  such that  $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = B$ ?

KNAPSACK: Given a finite set  $A$ , a size  $s(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a value  $v(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $a \in A$  and integers  $B$  and  $K$ , is there a subset  $A' \subseteq A$  such that  $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) \leq B$  and  $\sum_{a \in A'} v(a) \geq K$

- (a) Prove PARTITION  $\leq_p$  SUBSET SUM.
- (b) Prove SUBSET SUM  $\leq_p$  KNAPSACK.

### 2.2 Answer

- (a) let  $B = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a)$ , then we can use the black box which solves SUBSET SUM to solve PARTITION, so that PARTITION  $\leq_p$  SUBSET SUM.
- (b) let  $v = s$  and  $B = K$ , then KNAPSACK is  $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) \leq B$  and  $\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) \geq B \Rightarrow \sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = B$ , so that SUBSET SUM  $\leq_p$  KNAPSACK.

### 3.1 Question

Since the 3-Dimensional Matching Problem is NP-complete, it is natural to expect that the corresponding 4-Dimensional Matching Problem is at least as hard. Let us define 4-Dimensional Matching as follows. Given sets  $W, X, Y$ , and  $Z$ , each of size  $n$ , and a collection  $C$  of ordered 4-tuples of the form  $w_i; x_j; y_k; z_l$ , do there exist  $n$  4-tuples from  $C$  so that no two have an element in common?

Prove that 4-Dimensional Matching is NP-complete.

### 3.2 Answer

We only need to prove 3-Dimensional Matching  $\leq_p$  4-Dimensional Matching.

Define sets  $W, X, Y, Z$  of size  $n$ , and a collection  $C'$  of 4-tuple, which  $(x_j, y_k, z_l) \in C$ , and for  $i$  in  $\text{range}(1, n)$ , there is a 4-tuple  $(w_i, x_j, y_k, z_l)$ . If  $A = (x_j, y_k, z_l) \in C$ , assign  $f(A) = (w_j, x_j, y_k, z_l) \in C'$ , if  $B = (w_i, x_j, y_k, z_l) \in C'$ , assign  $f'(B) = (x_j, y_k, z_l) \in C$ .

$\forall i \in (0, n)$ , if  $A_i$  in  $C$ , then  $A'_i$  in  $C'$ , similarly, if  $B_i$  in  $C'$ , then  $f'(B)$  in  $C$ , so if there is a perfect 4-Dimensional matching, then we can solve the 3-Dimensional matching, then 3-Dimensional Matching  $\leq_p$  4-Dimensional Matching, so that 4-Dimensional Matching is a NP-complete problem.

## 4 Problem 4

### 4.1 Question

You are given a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with weights  $w_e$  on its edges  $e \in E$ . The weights can be negative or positive. The Zero-Weight-Cycle Problem is to decide if there is a simple cycle in  $G$  so that the sum of the edge weights on this cycle is exactly 0.

Prove that this problem is NP-complete.

### 4.2 Answer

We can calculate whether the sum of the edge weights on this cycle is 0, so Zero-Weight-Cycle Problem is a NP problem.

Subset sum problem is a NP-complete problem, we only need to prove Subset sum  $\leq_p$  Zero-Weight-Cycle.

For Zero-Weight-Cycle problem, there is  $n$  nodes, and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i = W$ ,  $w_n = -W$ , so the problem can be reduced to whether is a subset of size  $n-1$ , whose weight is added up to  $W$ . For any cycle if it contains  $w_n$ , and the left weight is added up to  $W$  so that it is a Zero-Weight-Cycle, then the subset without  $w_n$  solves the Subset sum problem. Therefore, Subset sum  $\leq_p$  Zero-Weight-Cycle, and Zero-Weight-Cycle is a NP-complete problem.