Complete Analysis Report:

4			1 		
1	11212	-vn	IARSTIAN	Incia	nto
1.	Data	LAD	loration	111316	1163

- Dataset: 1599 red wine samples, 11 physicochemical features, and quality score (3–8).
- no null values
- There is 240 rows duplicated but if I remove them the evaluation gets lower
- Quality distribution is imbalanced, with most wines rated 5 or 6.
- It was better to do Binary classification for the evaluation.
- Strongest positive correlations with quality:
- Alcohol (+0.48)
- Volatile acidity (-0.39, negative correlation)
- Sulphates (+0.25)
- Weak correlations for residual sugar, free sulfur dioxide, and pH.
- But still with low correlations if I removed these features the evaluation gets lower.

2. Impact of K-Fold Cross-Validation

- Used 5-fold CV on the binary classification task.
- Fold accuracies: [0.7773, 0.7383, 0.7578, 0.7656, 0.7451]
- Average CV Accuracy: 0.7568
- This is slightly lower than the final test accuracy (0.7719), showing that the model generalizes well and is not overfitting.

3. Best Hyperparameters

- Using GridSearchCV (binary classification):
- C = 10
- Gamma = 0.1
- Kernel = RBF
- Best CV Accuracy ≈ 0.7615
- Using RandomizedSearchCV (example, if tested):
- Found similar parameters to GridSearchCV, confirming stability of best settings.

4. Performance Comparison

Model Setup Accuracy Precision Recall F1 Score

• Baseline SVM (default) 0.7625 0.7686 0.7625 0.7625

5. Class Imbalance Handling

- Quality labels were skewed toward **5 and 6**.
- Binary transformation (≤5 vs ≥6) reduced imbalance and improved model performance.
- Experiments with class_weight=manual_weights improved overall accuracy than in class_weight='balanced'.
- In binary setup, imbalance was less severe and standard SVM performed well without class weights.