MEETING

COMMISSION ON PUBLIC INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION

1 CENTRE STREET, 19TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NEW YORK
SEPTEMBER 17, 2007
10:00 A.M.

```
2
    PRESENT:
1
    BETSY GOTBAUM,
3
        Public Advocate, Chairperson
4
    BRIAN ANDERSON,
5
        Commissioner, Dept. of Records & Info. Svcs.
6
    GAIL BREWER,
        Council Member
7
8
    ANTHONY CROWELL,
        Mayor's Office of Operations
9
    TAL GOLOMB,
10
        Corporation Counsel
11
    CRAIG HAMMERMAN,
        Public Advocate Appointee
12
    EMILY JOHNSON,
13
        Dept. Of Information, Technology & Telecomm.
14
    BRIAN KASZUBA,
15
        Deputy General Counsel, Public Advocate's Office
16
    EMILY RUBINSTEIN,
17
        Mayor's Office of Operations
18
19
20
    Also Present:
21
22
    Gene Russianoff
23
24
25
```

3 P R O C E E D I N G S 1 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Good morning. Αt 4 this time I'd like to officially convene the 5 September 17, 2007 public meeting of the Commission of Public Information and Communication. 6 At this time I ask that the roll call 7 8 be taken. We'll start to my left. Please state 9 your name and who you represent, for the record. 10 MS. BREWER: I'm Gale Brewer, and I 11 represent the City Council. 12 MR. ANDERSON: Brian Anderson, 13 Commissioner of the Department of Records. 14 MR. GOLOMB: Tal Golomb, Corporation 15 Counsel. 16 MR. CROWELL: Anthony Crowell, representing the Office of Operations. 17 18 MS. JOHNSON: Emily Johnson, 19 representing DOITT. 20 MS. RUBINSTEIN: Emily Rubinstein, 21 representing the Mayor's Office of Operations. 22 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: I'd like to welcome all of you and thank you for coming to this 23 24 meeting of the Commission of Public Information and 25 Communication; or COPIC, as we'll refer to it.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

Special thanks to the Mayor and his administration for sending representatives. I'd also like to thank Speaker Quinn for appointing Council Member Gale Brewer as the City Council's representative.

Council Member Brewer has long been active with COPIC and has done a stellar job as head of the Council of Technology and Government Committee.

Thanks to Craig Haberman for agreeing to be my appointee to COPIC. Here he is.

(Indicating.)

Craig has served as District Manager of Brooklyn Community Board 6, and has exhibited strong leadership in the area of information technology and will be an asset to this commission.

in the City Charter Revision. Its mission is to help make city government more transparent to the citizens and to encourage the public to become more actively involved in government.

Although COPIC has never been properly funded, it has had some important accomplishments. The commission was instrumental in the creation of

Comm. On Public Info & Communication Crosswalks in 1990, which became WNYC.

In 1993, it published a Public Data

Directory of computerized information produced or maintained by city agencies, through the help of private funding.

Finally, in 1998, COPIC was last convened under Mark Green, and it produced a guide to the New York State FOIL and Open Meetings Law, through Public Advocate funds.

Since that time, there has been a surge of new technologies in our daily lives, from online search engines to instant messaging, and government agencies are relying on computers more than ever before.

It is because of this constant change in technology that COPIC is now more important than ever to insure that the government is doing everything possible to take advantage of the new ways it can communicate with the citizens of this city.

New Yorkers today need access to public information just as badly as they did two decades ago when COPIC was first formed. With the changes in technology, we have a unique opportunity to

That's why we are here today. As Chair, I wish to work with the members of the Commission to discuss substantive issues that need to be addressed, and that COPIC can have a real impact on.

COPIC is instrumental for FOIL. One issue I would like to hear discussion on is how COPIC can be instrumental when it comes to FOIL, freedom of information.

I believe COPIC can play a role in helping to mainstream and update FOIL rules in New York City.

There is a Mayor's executive order from 1991 that's called the "Uniform Rules and Regulations for all City Agencies Pertaining to the Administration of FOIL."

However, many agencies have promulgated their own FOIL rules, and this order has never been updated to take into account technology advancements and to comply with changes to FOIL.

COPIC members can make recommendations and see to it that this is fixed.

COPIC members can also lead training

Comm. On Public Info & Communication sessions for media, public agency heads and agency staff on proper records retention, disclosure and FOIL.

In 2006, a State law was passed to broaden the authority of courts to award attorney fees when government agencies failed to comply with FOIL.

Now, the court has the discretionary authority to award costs and reasonable attorney fees when the agency had no reasonable basis for denying access to records, or when the agency failed to comply with the time limits for responding to a request, no matter how many members of the general public are affected by the noncompliance.

It is in the best interest of the City to shore up FOIL practices immediately, to avoid potential costly payouts in legal fees. COPIC can help save the City money by leading these training sessions.

I think we can all agree that money the City saves in legal fees can be much better spent in other areas.

These are just a couple of idea I

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

believe COPIC can work on. I'm looking forward to

hearing more ideas from you during this meeting. I

hope we can leave here today with a working agenda

for moving forward with COPIC.

As I mentioned before, due to lack of proper funding, our task will not be easy.

However, that doesn't mean we cannot accomplish some great things.

This would be the first commission that succeeded under similar circumstances. Like the Voter Assistance Commission, which the Mayor worked to revitalize despite if having no funding for years, COPIC can achieve success.

Again, thank you for coming today.

At this time, I would like to move to the next item on the agenda and turn the floor over to Emily Rubinstein, from the Mayor's Office of Operations, to give a presentation.

MS. RUBINSTEIN: Thank you so much.

I'll pass these out.

(Indicating.)

MR. CROWELL: We thought we'd give a short presentation on all the ways in which the City has evolved with technology, in terms of

Comm. On Public Info & Communication giving information to the public and how it generates and disseminates it.

MS. RUBINSTEIN: Some of the information you may already know, but I just wanted to highlight all the things the City is doing to provide public access to all the information out there.

So, the first slide. The City leverages its existing FOIL. You have 311, NYC.gov and NYCTV. And by leveraging these things and improving them in the past two years, we have created easy access to information, improved customer service and enhanced performance recording.

I'll go into details. NYC.gov provides access to over 60 city agencies. Through 311 it receives 40,000 calls a day, which is very impressive. There's translation services into different languages, and as you probably know, NYCTV provides coverage of mayoral and City Council activities.

One of the other exciting opportunities we have online is our mapping technologies; and these have improved incredibly over the past two

Comm. On Public Info & Communication
years. We provide residents with access to New
York City map, and you're able to... information
about public transportation, schools, fire houses,
hospitals et cetera, in your neighborhood; and you
can choose from a whole list of items that you want
to highlight. It makes it really easy to use, easy
to navigate.

Two new tools that have come out, probably in the past year or so, is the New York City Business Express and Access NYC. These are two tools that are serving different clientele, but have improved customer service enormously by helping the public navigate through City processes and programs that are available to them.

First of all, Business Express is an interactive website that allows you to figure out what permits and licenses you need to, say, open a restaurant.

Right now it's just focused on owning restaurants, but we're expanding on that to other kinds of businesses, so that small business centers, et cetera, can more easily find out what kind of paperwork they need to fill out, forms, et cetera and to find them to fill them out.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

It also has provided incentives to businesses that are available.

Access NYC is a free online service that helps individuals figure out what programs they are eligible for. So rather than having to go to ten different places to figure out what you might be eligible for, this is an easy wizard that tells you whether or not you would be access eligible for benefits, health care insurance, et cetera.

It's very easy to use. I tried it out.

13 Not bad.

Last, we have, over the past years, very much made an effort to improve those recording mechanisms we have for reflecting to the public how the City is doing.

The Mayor's management report is issued twice a year. We've made great strides in streamlining it, making the information in it easy to understand, accessible and has really relevant information for everyone in bite size bullets, et cetera.

Local Law 47 provides reports on information coming into 311. That's available

Comm. On Public Info & Communication online and passed out to various stakeholders.

Essentially, the printout summarizes, by agency and subject area, on the information that's coming into 311; the number of calls and also how that breaks up in terms of, are people calling about potholes, or about noise, et cetera.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Much discussion, you can imagine.

MS. JOHNSON: There are other tools reflecting our performance, the citywide accountability program, which is CAPSTAT, on line, that allow you to access performance indicators for each agency; and it provides a wide variety of information.

We're constantly looking to improve that tool and make it more meaningful and user friendly.

Next, we have another mapping tool which is great. It is neighborhood statistics, and it breaks down by community board, information related to safety in a neighborhood. It's organized -- you can see on the tabs -- rather than by agency, it's really by subject area.

Health, education, human services,

Comm. On Public Info & Communication infrastructure, et cetera, and you're able to look at the statistics in your neighborhood and see how it is performing.

Last, I wanted to point out that there are all these tools available, and one thing we are thinking about is to create a portal to all of these outlets for the public; and we are looking over the next year to aggregate that into one site where we can access them.

That's one possibility the Mayor's Office of Operations can work with you to help.

Thank you very much. Let me know if you have questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think that the site fits into separate entities. I do think that their site is user-friendly, in terms of parents, et cetera. Next year they are doing a site for teachers; pretty impressive. It has a name. I forget the acronym.

MS. JOHNSON: I know NYC.gov has different sites for businesses.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: This was an entity of its own.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: We'll go to a discussion by Commission members and the proposed rules to govern COPIC.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We have a couple of suggestions. My experience with COPIC goes back to the days of the Crosswalks. That's a long time ago.

To the credit, it was actually Nat

Leventhal and others during the 89 Charter, Eric

Lane, who suggested this particular mechanism for

-- the word "Internet" did not exist at the time.

As you know, I'm a huge supporter of the activities that NYC.gov listed.

The question is, for today, having seen what it was like -- at one point there were eight staff members when COPIC first existed. And, of course, during the Giuliani/Green era, it was World War III.

And so there was no funding and it limped along. We did produce some materials, but it was really very rudimentary. There are so many issues that today could be part of a discussion.

One of them is, in terms of governing, how do we keep the information so it is available

Comm. On Public Info & Communication to the public? Even what we are doing sounds like a small group here today; but if people understand that this is a mechanism for discussing how the public can communicate, they would participate.

I love to see that we have a stenographer or some other way of keeping notes for COPIC meetings, whatever the possibility is.

Funding is involved, I assume, for that.

Once we have minutes, they need to be approved just like Robert Rules of Order; and they need to be done within a couple of weeks, it seems to me, in order to comply with the open meetings law. That will be by motion.

And we also need to discuss where we would post notices for future meetings and have a discussion on that. The City Record certainly covers it, but it would be good to work with -- again, this being an open meeting, the discussion for the City of New York, convincing some of the blogs and/or newspapers to cover COPIC and publish the fact that they exist.

When we had hearings in the Technology

Committee on openness and COPIC -- at one point we

did have one during the budget -- needless to say,

Comm. On Public Info & Communication the newspapers, for their own reasons, are interested in this topic. I think it's mostly because of the FOIL issue, but there's a lot of interest from in their participation.

I guess I have a couple of suggestions and others. We would like to have a stenographer or other appropriate method for keeping minutes to make sure they are publishable within two weeks, or at least marked "Draft" if they haven't been released and approved by the body.

We would certainly like to have a discussion where we would, hopefully, have some notice, including the City Record, but we would love to have other locations, too. Those are my three suggestions for COPIC.

Any discussion?

MR. HAMMERMAN: The Charter Revision Commission, the Voter Assistance Commission, all these other commissions seem to have their own individual dropdown listing on NYC.gov.

Would it be possible to set up a COPIC selection on that menu, as well, and then post information there?

It is a very popular website, and we

```
17
             Comm. On Public Info & Communication
1
2
    might as well take advantage.
3
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The City Council
4
    website should do the same.
5
                CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Anybody else?
6
                 MR. CROWELL: I think a website would
7
    be sort of a good foundation.
8
                CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Let's go to the
    real part, the lack of funding.
9
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You want a
11
    second on it? Is there any discussion of these
12
    particular --
13
                MR. HAMMERMAN: On the point of the
14
    stenographer, would it be possible to webcast the
15
    meetings, video them and broadcast them on WNYC or
16
    another outlet?
17
                 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Maybe. It is a
18
    funding issue.
19
                MR. HAMMERMAN: With all the talent and
20
    wisdom and experience together at this table, maybe
21
    it could be done in-house.
22
                 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: "Stenographer"
    sounds very old fashioned.
23
24
                 Do we need a second on this?
25
                MR. HAMMERMAN: I second.
```

18 Comm. On Public Info & Communication 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We need to vote. 3 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: All in favor, say 4 "Aye." 5 (A chorus of "Ayes.") 6 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Lack of funding. 7 Gale, you know the history. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It was only funded at the very beginning of '90; and it was 9 10 somehow tied into the Crosswalks funding, if I 11 remember. 12 It was Such a long time ago. It was 13 not funded. Basically, we ran it out of the enlarged Public Advocate's office, because there 14 15 was more staff at the time. It never had a budget. 16 It is hard to know what be you involved, in terms of a budget. Certainly, in 17 18 order to pull it together, obviously some of the 19 things just mentioned even now about the budget 20 would be helpful. The Public Advocate would be 21 helpful. CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: I think it's 22 23

22 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: I think it's
23 something we ought to talk about. If you can't
24 hire a staff to run it, what are we going to do?
25 If we need the funding, how do we get it?

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

MR. HAMMERMAN: Do we have any idea what the bare-bones budget would look like? The overhead expenses, that might help determine what direction we look at.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: What it says is, you have an executive director, a council, and any other officers necessary to carry out the functions. It's very vague.

MR. CROWELL: What about using an existing staff, the way the Voter Assistance Commission did?

13 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Something to 14 assist you with?

MR. CROWELL: I'm talking about within the Public Advocate's office, people you could dedicate.

This is how we did it with the Voter
Assistance Commission. When Mayor Bloomberg came
in, the Voter Assistance Commission had a similar
problem. There was just an office manager who just
passed that information.

But then we hired an executive director, and what we do is part of -- there's an independent entity administered through DCAS. DCAS

Comm. On Public Info & Communication has no role in any policy decisions, but they sort of serve them. It's a service similar to what DCAS had for OATH.

The Public Advocate's office could be similar to that, serving -- you are the Chair. You could, similarly, host that. You could dedicate staff.

Originally what we did, all of ex-officios offered their staff to work collectively on back issues, until we had developed a program that then, sort of, said, "There is a programmatic need." Then we hired an executive director.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Gale, isn't that what happened previously?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Crosswalks was administered -- I don't know who administered it, in 1989-1990 it might have been DCAS. At the time, that a came from that agency. There was no Public Advocate at that time, so it was in between.

The Public Advocate, when I was there, did it ourselves. It was not extremely successful. I do think it's possible to work with the agencies to see if there isn't some support from the staff.

research.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: If you have the experience where it's worked, we could try it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: A lot is

MR. CROWELL: You take it from there.

It took us, from the time Mayor Bloomberg started in January of 2002, I think we hired our executive director, finally, I think, two years in. It might have been -- probably two years.

COUNCIL PERSON BREWER: (Inaudible.)

MR. CROWELL: We did the video voter guide. Our choice as to who to hire was informed, because it was the person to run a community access television station previously. So she came in to do that and lead the change on the video voter guide.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: I think that's something we should consider. Should we make a notion?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We can move to say we will work with existing staff and try to put it together in a much more accelerated fashion. We don't have two and a half years. A much more accelerated fashion, using staff from other -- to

Comm. On Public Info & Communication figure out what kind of staff we need.

Using existing agency staff -- we could probably call on other agency staff if we needed it.

MR. HAMMERMAN: It's been my experience, banging our heads against the wall to get an increase in our budget, that's probably going to be a tough angle to come from.

One of the ways we have been lately working around that is, first of all, in our community board we formed a...organization and, second of all, we're using that entity status to leverage some grants.

In fact, we get one through DORIS to pay for our website, and things of that nature.

It's the local government records grant from New York State. Indeed, it has really helped us leverage a considerable amount of resources available.

I suggest we explore those avenues, as well as outside funding from the State, federal or City agencies that may have competitive grants that we can apply for. It seems somewhat ironic.

At the same time, what I would be

Comm. On Public Info & Communication concerned about is, to be able to provide that money on an ongoing basis, how COPIC could become self sustaining.

I suggest that can always be our core mission. When it comes to budgeting, anything we do, COPIC is not a revenue-generating entity, so we need to be concerned with where the money is coming from in the future, even if we get it today.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The idea is to try to have either a formal 50133 or a pass-through 50133.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: We could use it.

It's absolutely right. We've got have to have -what are we doing here? We need a clear program
before we can even begin to think about it. I
think that's absolutely right, the model of that is a good one.

WNYC is privately owned.

MR. CROWELL: We have good news on that for you. Corporation Counsel has rendered an opinion. That came up in my conversation with Brian. On Friday afternoon, they told us they think it would devolve to NYC-TV. We would just need to delegate that. They will put something in

Comm. On Public Info & Communication writing.

MR. GOLOMB: It seems clear from the history of the Charter Revision what role they want to play on this board. Now that WNYC has changed, in some things... be satisfied that they could fill that role.

There are A lot of things in the Charter where you have the Board of Estimate or different stuff where we have to interpret, what do they really mean when the entity changes without going back and having a referendum every few years when things do change.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Does the Mayor's Office have appointments?

MR. CROWELL: We will make appointments. We didn't have enough time in the past week or so.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Do you want recommendations?

MR. CROWELL: You could give us recommendations. I think we have to sit down and look at what's needed, in terms of requirements, a community board member and a media member.

Given that a community affairs unit or

Comm. On Public Info & Communication a community assistance unit, I suspect there will be lots of people who will emerge from our commissioner, and then media.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The other day on CUNY TV, with Brian Lehrer moderating, there were four or five topics. Believe it or not, it talked about COPIC, new media and public access.

You night want to look at that particular show. It was probably repeated a few times. It was unbelievable. It was an interesting group. Brian would know, and so would CUNY TV. They were fabulous.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Shall we move to the substance and direction of COPIC?

First is establishing a timetable to hold Charter-mandated public hearings.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We need to figure out what we are doing. We had those hearings. People show up because everybody has ideas about government, communicating with the public. One of the issues would be which direction to go in before we have the hearing.

It would be great to have some of the folks going to be appointed. I think they have

Comm. On Public Info & Communication some great ideas, and I think that would be the first step, to have those folks appointed and have a meeting with everybody who's a member of COPIC, to come up with some ideas.

Mayor Bloomberg's office has done a great job with public access, not such in the past. The question would be, are there any other ideas?

I do think having somebody from the news media would help. Between the blog world and the newspaper world, not to mention television, there's also the issue of public access channels.

We have wonderful ones in our city. I always thought there may be a role for them, in addition to doing their regular programming.

It was actually the... commissioner's suggestion, not mine. On the public access channel, he suggested more "banding," whatever the word. CNN does it, with hurricane in Florida. He's always felt there should be a lot more of that going on, not only with public access, but with regular cable and the TV stations.

MR. CROWELL: It's a scroller or ticker; you get two programs at once.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And you get

Comm. On Public Info & Communication information about the City. Hopefully, not just a crisis. They do it in a lot of other cities, in Florida, but not here.

Little things like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I would assume that the idea was given by the city government. He says in Florida, it is a huge way of communicating for the public. Something simple like that would go a great way.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Who does that?

Even today, on high rise safety, or whatever. It could be anything. That kind of thing. Many other ideas could come up. That's the kind of issue, something simple, the lawyers and others on the FOIL issue, another whole discussion.

I would love to see something simple like this idea implemented. It would be great.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: You are making a suggestion for the next meeting?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Everyone who is appointed attends, and perhaps we could publish a proposed agenda in advance, getting people's ideas on that. And then, as soon as we can, do a public hearing.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: What about the idea of producing a new public data directory? Is that necessary with all of the --

MR. HAMMERMAN: It seems to me -- that is something I was quite interested in seeing, being somebody who is a power user of 311, and somebody who relies on all of this information.

It can be quite dizzying to try and navigate the various systems, and so I'm really intrigued that the Mayor's Office has taken it on to create a public access portal.

The notion of a data directory is a bit dated, but translates well into this more current version of a public access portal.

If we can somehow combine forces, that this could be a joint venture between the Mayor's Office and COPIC, then we could satisfy our core mission and at the same time, perhaps giving the Mayor's Office a broader perspective from outside of the Mayor's Office on how the data is being used and how people are seeking it. The collective experiences are something of value we can bring to the table.

MS. JOHNSON: I'll be happy to talk

Graduate Center.

29 Comm. On Public Info & Communication 1 2 more about that. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Who does OASIS 4 NYC? 5 MS. RUBINSTEIN: Is that Buildings? 6 MR. CROWELL: No. COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That's 7 8 phenomenal. 9 MR. HAMMERMAN: Gene would know. 10 MR. RUSSIANOFF: It started with us. 11 Maybe the CUNY Graduate Center. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It's an example 13 of extremely good -- Probably it's Gene Russianoff 14 somewhere, off in realty. That's an example. 15 MS. JOHNSON: I'm not familiar with it, 16 offhand. 17 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: What is it? 18 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You can go 19 there. It's a mapping program. Every building in 20 the City of New York, and it tells you about that 21 building, and the violations, and who built it, who 22 lives in it and everything. 23 It is all, of course, originated 24 with... and is now part of... empire at the CUNY

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

My point is to involve some of these other folks in whatever has developed. Incredible.

MR. HAMMERMAN: I try to support the government resources by using it.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Can we talk about FOIL?

MR. CROWELL: What we are doing now, we have what I consider to be a pretty good operation, in terms of using the Law Department as a central resource for all questions that come up on FOIL. There's a person named Steve Goldent who's the City's resident expert on FOIL, and we certainly have taken the opportunity to make sure that our general counsel and the Freedom of Information Law officers have all the information about the latest developments.

There are regular meetings at the Corporation Counsel's office. There's one on October 25, for example, where these things are imparted to them.

And certainly, when FOIL appeals happen, there's always a cognizance about the new requirements, in terms of making sure everything is fully disclosed, to avoid something being Article

Comm. On Public Info & Communication
78 and going to court, and running the risk of having attorney fees.

That provision of law is fairly new, about the attorney's fees. I don't know the extent to which attorney's fees have been required to be paid by the City agencies. I have not heard of any.

There aren't that many FOIL cases that go to court. I can look into that. It's a rather new provision, so not that many. I can tell you that the Law Department is very, very diligent in making sure when appeals go to court, that they try to get as much out there and review what was given out, to try to settle any cases; in case there was something withheld that shouldn't have been. I know that could be the case.

It is an important issue to bring up.

I'm not terribly concerned, given the kind of steps
that the Law Department is taking on.

As a matter for FOIL, generally, it's good to tell people how to make a FOIL request, what to expect. The Committee on Open Government has been a resource for everyone, in terms of getting opinions on freedom of information laws,

Comm. On Public Info & Communication and the applicability of the freedom of information law, and giving guidance, as well, to the public.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think the issue is for some people, what they're trying to accomplish, which makes it hard on your staff. "I want everything to do with horses," for example.

MR. CROWELL: We did have one. We were very helpful.

council member brewer: "I want everything to do with horses." That's a hard one to figure out. You work on it. Of course, they get frustrated, and they called everyone else trying to get the same information.

Those are the kinds of discussions that would be helpful; maybe it makes it easier on your end. And at the same time, the public feels that there may be different procedures. I have no idea. You do the best you can.

Often in this city, FOIL is a great deal of information. Maybe your life could be made easier and your staff by having clearer guidelines that mandate open access. I don't know.

There's one issue for the newspapers and another issue for the public. I don't know

Comm. On Public Info & Communication which is more time consuming or which is responded to. I have no idea.

I think most of them are worked on a timely basis, but you have to tell people you will get back to them. They send me a copy very quickly.

MR. HAMMERMAN: That goes back to what the Public Advocate said in her opening remarks about the -- 1991 -- is that something that -- they would update?

MR. CROWELL: I think the Law

Department has issued clear guidance in legal

memorandum to... officers. I'll look at that

executive order to see the extent to which it -
some agencies have codified rules, but mostly off

the same template. Everything, of course, is

trumped by the public officer's law, because that

is gospel on how it is to be administered.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: I have one particular specific question, which is, how is the Department of Education covered? In what way is it covered?

MR. CROWELL: Like any other agency, they are subject to public officer's law, in which

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

FOIL is codified. They have FOIL requests and they're covered in the same exact manner -- a public entity, correct.

MR. KASZUBA: (Inaudible.)

MR. CROWELL: Individual FOIL requests don't go through the Law Department. If there is an issue on whether something should be disclosed or not, you would call the Law Department for guidance.

"I have a document that looks like this, I have a request like that; do I give it out? Do I redact this? Is there an issue of personal privacy for a member of the public? Is it something with a contract award? Am I somehow infringing on a law enforcement investigation that may be ongoing?"

These are very common issues, and you have to be sensitive to very specific issues that are in some documents; and some documents may be documents released to the public, and so you rerelease them. It is a simple as that.

You have to look at each document with specificity to determine whether it was disclosed -- or responsive, and to what extent all

Comm. On Public Info & Communication of it or a portion is disclosable.

MR. GOLOMB: The Law Department gives ongoing counsel to City agencies on all sorts of things that might apply to that particular agency. It is not that something will get fixed in time and that's it. New things come up constantly to get reviewed.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think if we could provide an education campaign on the issue, if nothing else. I get a lot of calls from people who applied for FOIL, and I find where is in the tracking system.

I don't know if it is possible to track it on your part. These are some of the ideas.

It's like with 311, to the credit of the commissioner, there was an effort with 311 to "close the loop."

I applied for my pothole, and you know when the pothole is fixed, when the man arrives with the truck and it gets fixed. But it would be good to know that on March 2 it is going to be fixed, and on March 2 it is fixed. And so you don't have 311 being called, "When is my pothole going to be fixed?"

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

MR. CROWELL: Not online?

3 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It will be

online. It will be listed as "pothole fixed

5 March 2," or whatever.

MR. CROWELL: FOIL is what I consider a legal matter. I think It is very difficult to put a specific time on things. You suddenly discover a new document, and "Wow, it's a lot of stuff. If I gave it out am I hurting anybody?"

In general, you send letters out to people and tell them when it's reasonable to expect when the documents are going to be disclosed, if something is to be disclosed.

The only other thing is, I have a concern about giving the public -- we take efforts to protect -- if an individual makes a FOIL request, you don't want to out somebody. There's lots of different variables.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The City Council disclosure... every two minutes...

MR. CROWELL: That's provided for by statute.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: In terms of the issue of training sessions, is there interest in

25

37 Comm. On Public Info & Communication 1 2 the part of members here to do that? 3 MR. CROWELL: Training sessions for the public or internal staff, or both? 4 5 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: I think for the internal staff of agencies, is first. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I don't know if 8 the Bar Association does that sometimes. I don't 9 know. 10 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: How do they do it 11 for the public? 12 MR. CROWELL: I know we did two 13 training sessions for the record access officers. 14 We can certainly do that again. I'm not sure. We 15 should look to the Committee on Open Government to 16 see if they have online resources in that vein. We can certainly look to see about 17 18 The Law Department does send out memos. update. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: There might be 20 ways, either through television or webcast or web 21 information. It could be clear. I have a file. Again, ways to think about it for the public. 22 23 You get the people who do it all the

time, and they are knowledgeable. Then you have

some people who do it once in a while.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

MR. HAMMERMAN: When you think about the public and what kinds of training sessions would be helpful, what kind of subjects come to mind?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The only one that comes to mind right now is those horses.

MR. HAMMERMAN: You would be helping the public on how to access information from city government?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: What happens is, people will ask for everything to do with horses, give it to me. Or the DEP, o4 in my building, about asbestos removal. I get that all the time.

I thought there might be a better way to help them get information. I assume that agencies get that, agency staff, and people tell me that. It is not a huge problem. The purpose, to me, is to make government accessible.

MR. HAMMERMAN: Certainly, it should help organize the way the requests are submitted. It may increase the load, as far as how many they receive. If they can handle it efficiently, that shouldn't be an issue.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: In March, 2008, there was a book called "Open Sunshine Week." The

Comm. On Public Info & Communication question would be, what public education campaign could COPIC do during this particular weekend, and leading up to it, what could we do? Suggestions and recommendations.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: One of the topics is the Esther Fuchs issue. When she was in charge of the Charter, she had a list that she shared with me of all the publications. She did not put it on the agenda for the public. It was complicated and it was not the right time.

I'm a big believer in reports, but not if it's not necessary. That would be another topic to consider. Again, we would have to work on it in advance. For an open session week, a lot of the issues, perhaps, could be part of an open hearing. It would be a great time during that month or week.

MR. CROWELL: I think that's a great idea about the government documents that are required but perhaps have been superseded by other documents or outlived their usefulness, due to changes in other laws. It's a great idea. I would be very supportive of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It's something that might, in fact, as a public record report

becomes... working to do something that translates a past report into something that could be current. Again, I don't know what that could be. There are lots of ways of thinking about it. It could be a website or a blog. I don't know. All the possibilities are worth a discussion.

MR. HAMMERMAN: Does it exist anywhere some kind of central compilation of all of the reports mandated to be produced by agencies?

MR. CROWELL: Sure.

MR. HAMMERMAN: I know the record's retention schedule --

MR. CROWELL: Right now, it's mandated by local law, all the reports that are publicly issued by agencies have to be sent electronically to Commission Anderson so the Department of Records can post them online.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: There's more. What Esther put together, which I have a copy, is something --

MR. CROWELL: I was on that commission.

I love this idea. It is good government. We divert so many staff resources in producing reports that aren't maximizing -- we could actually improve

Comm. On Public Info & Communication other reports with better information by --

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: There is a full compilation. We could match it with what's on his site. This is a discussion. The site is wonderful. A huge amount of work. It's well done. Not everybody participates as they should. That would be another topic.

THE SPEAKER: Compliance?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It's a good topic. Both the list that exists, perhaps updated, and how local laws work and how it could be publicized in a way that is helpful to people to know the reports are there. We know, because we are part of the discussion.

MR. CROWELL: We could pick up on where the Commission left off on this work and do it here. It could be very thoughtful and we all had learned a whole lot from it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And include -some electronic possibilities, something people
would see, something that was visual, in addition
to audible would be interesting.

MR. HAMMERMAN: Would you envision perhaps inviting all of the agencies that are

Comm. On Public Info & Communication mandated to submit reports to comment on the timeliness and the formats and information they are providing?

MR. CROWELL: Perhaps. We have done a lot of work. I would have to look at what the work looks like. There is a fairly lengthy list. We should just look at that, and see. We should see the extent to which agencies have already commented on this; and you can ask agencies.

Or, you could have staff solicit agency input up front, and then have a baseline of information and then ask questions or invite certain ones in. There's a lot of interest in a lot reports.

MR. HAMMERMAN: What is the mechanism for changing mandates?

MR. CROWELL: Local law, generally.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The issue is always how much to put online and how much to do written. These are issues that can be discussed today. It is a hard challenge.

Every time you change the MMR, there is a big discussion. I don't know any more what is right or wrong, but the public sunshine is the

Comm. On Public Info & Communication whole purpose of it.

3 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: It would be good 4 to get a list.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CROWELL: I'll package it and get it over.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: The other issue is, I think the Council had a hearing where the issue of availability of the municipal broadcasting resources, and the fact that many franchises will come due in 2008.

council Member Brewer: There's an ongoing franchise regarding Verizon, and you are quite familiar with it. We could talk more, more discussion; and then in 2008 is the cable. I guess they are kind of merging, timewise and substantively.

Of course, there's a big national discussion going on. The question is -- I'm a big supporter, and to make sure I have and municipal and public and all the other ways in which schools and libraries, so many good things from that initial cable franchise.

If we would have input, it's up to us to decide. There's another communication aspect of

Comm. On Public Info & Communication this body. There's also the Land Use Committee of the City Council.

MS. RUBINSTEIN: We look for the cable renewals and anything that this committee could audit; and to raise awareness, how much benefit citizens get from that resource would be great.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We need more discussion. There's always a question of -- this is coming up with Verizon -- has done great work with the schools and libraries, and now what's next?

Maybe there's for City Eye Net extensive. There are so many aspects to this.

It's a very hard, complicated issue for the public to get its hands around. I think the more discussion the better. I don't know if community boards will discuss it.

MR. HAMMERMAN: I didn't anticipate it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We were also
involved. I was there for all the hearings on
Cable Vision and Time Warner.

I think people sort of take it for granted. Then we didn't. This is brand new, has to everywhere, don't cherry pick. Today everybody

work.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

says, "I have cable." What's the big deal?

It would be good to have some

discussion along those lines and how it's

incredibly important. We would love to do

something different and not have to do all that

We have to come up with some new ideas as to where the franchise could go, in terms of area, territory. That would be great. It's a banner idea, just one example. There are many.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Does anybody else want to bring up any other topic or additional ideas or items we should talk about?

Do we have an agenda for the next meeting? We have to think about that.

MR. HAMMERMAN: How often did you envision doing this? Weekly?

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Gale, again I have to rely on you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think we met quarterly. Perhaps we could do that. If March is a good jumping off place for a real hearing on some of these topics. We could take a couple more meetings this fall.

Comm. On Public Info & Communication

MR. CROWELL: I agree. The report thing alone requires a lot of work. You would want a professional presentation to the public about the range of information that's out there now, what is required to be out there.

I would just harken back to the Charter Commission did it this way, and without committing anyone to how to do it currently.

Is this the direction to go, and sort of deleting from local law those reports that aren't as useful and bolstering current reports and combining reports, whatever it may be.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Isn't there pretty much a blueprint for that?

MR. CROWELL: I think so?

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Somebody has to pull it all together.

MR. CROWELL: It requires a fresh look. We could report back to you. In the next week I'll send you that commission's work. And then step on the FOIL that I promised. We will undertake that quickly, and get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It listed all the publications with the capstone. There wasn't a

Comm. On Public Info & Communication technology response to it. That was something more innovative, and that was something we could get input on.

MR. CROWELL: I think that's a good idea. It's an alternate way to minimize cost and staff time.

MR. CROWELL: I think our goal is to enhance information to the public. But if something is not useful --

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: There may be a way of doing it more creatively, getting the information out. I think the newspapers -- it would be great to have somebody from the media. They could do a lot more. That was the discussion with Brian Lehrer.

Just to get you to cover community
board meetings in Brooklyn or Manhattan is like
pulling teeth. Just to say it is happening.
Certainly, with city government, it's hard to find
out. There is no media coverage of some of these
coming-up programs.

Similarly, with some of these reports

Comm. On Public Info & Communication or whatever we want to call them, how could the media cover them?

I believe in NYC-TV. Between that and the City Council, they could do more.

MR. GOLOMB: The blogs changed that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: How could the city government interact more with Gotbaum and the whole long list? I don't know the answer to that. Putting something on these reports in a way of getting blogs to participate would be great.

Somebody from the media who understands blogs would be great, whomever you select.

MR. CROWELL: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: There was a huge discussion about ethnic media, how it needs to play a role in city government and doesn't. There are eight Chinese newspapers, we know that already. They are there for a whole series of reasons, but definitely government was part of it. It's not covered by the representative newspapers.

CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Should we aim for mid-November? That will give us two months to work on this?

MR. CROWELL: Even before that, but

```
49
             Comm. On Public Info & Communication
1
2
    that's fine.
3
                MR. HAMMERMAN: We would get to see it
4
    before.
5
                 MR. CROWELL: I'll have my crew put
6
    something together.
                CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Anything else?
7
8
    Any other items?
9
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We have a lot of
10
    agenda for mid-November. We're got report issues,
11
    trying to think how it could be presented for a
12
    hearing, the FOIL issues. If you have
    appointments... for November, it takes time to get
13
14
    new appointments, too.
15
                 MR. CROWELL: No advice and consent.
16
    It would just be a review.
17
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That takes time.
18
    If we could have your new appointments by then,
19
    that would be great, a big addition. Maybe ideas
20
    about a portal down the line. It would be a huge
21
    deal.
22
                MR. HAMMERMAN: I would love to work on
23
    that.
24
                CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Maybe we could
25
    look to March for a public hearing with this,
```

```
50
             Comm. On Public Info & Communication
1
2
    focussing on it.
3
                  MR. CROWELL: A hearing/forum.
4
                MR. KASZUBA: How quickly could we
5
    establish a website for posting the minutes to
6
    comply with state law?
7
                MS. JOHNSON: We will let you know.
8
                 CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Motion to
9
    adjourn, then?
10
                 MR. CROWELL: Motion.
11
                CHAIRPERSON GOTBAUM: Thank you very
12
    much.
13
                (Time noted: 11:17 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I, Jeffrey Shapiro, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I reported the proceedings in the within-entitled matter, on Monday, September 17, 2007, at One Centre Street, New York, New York, and that this is an accurate transcription of these proceedings. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this ____ day of ____, 2007. JEFFREY SHAPIRO