OBSEQUIES OF MY LADY MARY (I):

UNPUBLISHED EARLY SYRIAC PALIMPSEST FRAGMENTS FROM THE BRITISH LIBRARY (BL, ADD 17.137, NO. 2)

CHRISTA MÜLLER-KESSLER Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena

ABSTRACT

The Syriac palimpsest folios listed under Add 17.137, no. 2 in Wright's Catalogue of the Syriac Manuscripts in the British Museum have been described as deriving from the Obsequies of My Lady Mary. This attribution has never been questioned afterwards. Although a specimen consisting of only one column of a single folio was published a few years ago, the remaining text on the folio and the other five have been left unedited. It was recently understood that under this sub shelfmark number two divergent manuscripts are hidden. One manuscript surviving only in two folios contains the Obsequies and is written in an elegant Estrangela script (ca. 5th cent.), while the other, in a much bolder script type, shows Jacob of Serugh's Homily on the Presentation in the Temple (ca. 6^{th} cent.), one of the few palimpsest and earliest text examples of this author. Only the folios with the Obsequies are edited here, which offer noteworthy textual additions and a selection of diverse variants that are not accounted for by the Christian Palestinian Aramaic and much later Ethiopic transmissions.

1. RESEARCH HISTORY AND TEXT

William Wright describes the palimpsest fragments under Add 17.137, no. 2 in his catalogue of the Syriac Manuscripts acquired by the British Museum since 1838 in the following way: "Six leaves from a manuscript, written in two columns, in a fine Estrangělā of the vth or vith cent. From what is legible on fol. 9 a, it appears that they belonged to the apocryphal work entitled 'the Obsequies of my Lady Mary,' בסבים גיבוא, כיובר."1 He did not include any text samples of these folios in his book Contributions to the Apocryphal Literature published shortly before.² Upon consultation of the six palimpsest folios in Syriac just for the sake of comparison with the recently published Christian Palestinian Aramaic transmission, it emerged that this primary description by Wright for no. 2 in Add 17.137 was not accurate for the content of all folios. It soon became quite clear that underneath the upper text (Hymns for the Vigil) one could definitely detect two differently-sized hands of two divergent early Estrangela scripts that did not match as one would expect within a single manuscript. This fact not only escaped Wright, who might obviously have had some doubts concerning all folios,³ but also Andrea Schmidt, who recently described all the Syriac palimpsest manuscripts in

¹ W. Wright, Catalogue of the Syriac Manuscripts in the British Museum Acquired Since the Year 1838, vol. 1 (London: Trustees of the British Museum, 1870), 369 [no. 465]; A. Baumstark, Geschichte der syrischen Literatur mit Ausschluß der christlich-palästinensischen Texte (Bonn: Marcus und Webers, 1922), 98 n. 7. He only covers the available manuscripts of the five-book cycle in the British Library from Deir al-Suryan, since none from other provenances were known at his time or have surfaced in the meantime. The only disadvantage of Baumstark's very comprehensive description is that he never indicates if a manuscript is a palimpsest.

²W. Wright, *Contributions to the Apocryphal Literature of the New Testament* (London: Williams and Norgate, 1865).

³ Wright, *Catalogue*, vol. 1, 369–370. Baumstark, *Geschichte der syrischen Literatur*, 98 n. 7 relied on Wright without ever seeing the original.

the British Library,⁴ and also Stephen Shoemaker, who published just column (b) of the recto of fol. 9 some years ago without scrutinizing the deviating scribal hands on the other folios. Concerning these vellum pages, Shoemaker states the following: "The remaining folios are indeed largely illegible, and while it is possible to identify their content with this Dormition apocryphon, they are not sufficiently legible for any meaningful edition and translation."⁵

In the smaller and elegant *Estrangela* hand (ca. 5th cent.) on two folios one can make out the *Obsequies of My Lady Mary*, the Syriac title given to the *Liber Requiei Mariae*, but in the larger and bolder type on the remaining four folios is found the *Homily on the Presentation in the Temple* by Jacob of Serugh (ca. 6th cent.). This discovery came as surprise as it happens to be one of the few and earliest palimpsest examples for this popular fifth- to sixth-century Syriac author so far, whose texts circulated widely.⁶ In his recycling of the vellum leaves the twelfth-century scribe of the Syriac upper text⁷ was not very particular as to what he selected from the dismembered manuscripts.⁸ He did not adhere to the original sequence of the

⁴ A. Schmidt, "Syriac Palimpsests in the British Library," in V. Somers (ed.), *Palimpsestes et éditions de textes: les textes littéraires* (Louvain: Peeters, 2009), 161–186, esp. 170, still follows the entries in Wright, *Catalogue*, vol. 1.

⁵ S. J. Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments from Palimpsests in the Schøyen Collection and the British Library," *Le Muséon* 124 (2011), 259–278, esp. 261.

⁶ Along with this early palimpsest witness survived another early palimpsest (6th–7th cent.) with three folios and their adjoining stubs containing the *Ninth Homily of Joseph* in Sinai, Arabic 514, fol. 96, 98–99; see G. Kessel, "Undertexts of Sinai, Arabic 514," in KatIkon (https://sinai.library.ucla.edu; accessed 4 August 2019). There is another palimpsest (6th–7th cent.) recorded in Wright, *Catalogue*, vol. 1, 251, no. 312, 8c (Add 14.512).

⁸ It was only described as *hymns* in the index of the British Museum collection numbers by Wright, *Catalogue*, vol. 3, 1230, but under the manuscript entry [no. 465] no title for the content of the upper manuscript is listed.

folios and separated them by cutting each folio in half. Through this procedure both lower manuscript texts were disarranged and follow a different sequence than the upper text, i.e. that the top and bottom fragments of the two manuscripts are sometimes combined into one folio and the script of the lower text may appear in an upside down fashion in contrast to the upper text. Neither did the scribe keep the former obverse and reverse sides from the original manuscripts. Only fol. 8, 9, and 11 remained unseparated in this dismembering process. Fol. 10 belongs to two different paragraphs of Jacob of Serugh's Homily. On fol. 9 the upper text script is flipped by 180 degrees to the underlying text. It should also be pointed out that the texture of all six vellum leaves and their trimmed halves looks very much alike. The script of the lower text is generally very much faded except for fol. 9. Consequently, this made it a bit cumbersome to obtain a result for the correct order of the two former manuscript sequences and their content. This obviously misled Wright and his successors into assigning the folios to one single manuscript of the Obsequies. The established attribution that was oddly neither questioned nor checked for over one hundred and fifty years, although the palaeographic features pointed to other textual affiliations. Apart from the content, such palaeographic peculiarities are always the primary telling points to determine a specific palimpsest manuscript.

Through the help of a number of word combinations from fol. 6 bottom, 7 top, 8, 10, and 11, the identification with a homily composed by Jacob of Serugh was made possible by

⁹ This oversight can hardly be blamed only on Wright considering the amount of material he had to sight, attribute, and describe for his catalogue of the Syriac manuscripts in three volumes in a rather short period of time and without technical means for such diverse and difficult-to-read palimpsest texts. It is also rather peculiar that presently it seems to be a habit to search and hunt for new material in the most remote places, while enough unidentified and unedited texts await their publication in open access libraries.

Sebastian Brock.¹⁰ This implies that two thirds of the manuscript running under shelf mark BL, Add 17.137, no. 2 constitute one of earliest text witness of Jacob of Serugh's *Homily on the Presentation in the Temple*, displaying a faithful text with some variations to the younger transmission.¹¹

The remaining third of the manuscript with two folios contains the *Obsequies of My Lady Mary*. The top part of fol. 6 and the bottom one of fol. 7 join into one folio, and with fol. 9 they form a very early Syriac *Obsequies* version (ca. 5th cent.) along with British Library, Add 14.665, fol. 21–24, still mostly unedited. There are no paragraph divisions or enlarged letters visible to indicate a new section as twice in Add 14.665, fol. 22r [G1 § 33] and 21v [G1 § 39; E1 §73]. The right hand column (a) on fol. 9 recto happens to have a rather interesting section. Here an additional unattested passage was inserted before

¹⁰ After gleaning some catchwords from five fragments, I sent them to Sebastian Brock, for I had suspected the authorship of Jacob of Serugh on account of the combination of Jacob in connection with the lyre. In the end it turned out to be an additional passage from the *Obsequies* for § 101 according to the Ethiopic counting, yet the other four folios belonged to this *Homily* by Jacob of Serugh. Thanks to Sebastian Brock's generous help I could invest most of my time in assigning the folios of both manuscripts to their correct sequence during my research stay at the British Library in the spring of 2019.

¹¹ Initially, the sorting of the folios tended at the beginning to be rather tricky when it came to the establishing the correct sequence of the *Homily* due to the faint script and the mixing of top and bottom parts by the scribe of the upper text. The full description with some text samples is presented in C. Müller-Kessler, "Jacob of Serugh's Homily on the Presentation in the Temple in an Early Syriac Palimpsest (BL, Add 17.137, no. 2)," *ARAM* 32 (2020) [in press].

¹² See Wright, *Contributions*, 13–15. The text of the four fragments of BL, Add 14.665, fol. 21–24 are in preparation by me. It might take some time, since the reading of the partially faint script is quite difficult on these vellum sheets. A disturbing error occurred in another article on the *Dormition* when citing Add 14.665. It should read there Add 14.665 for 16.445 on p. 85 and n. 22 in C. Müller-Kessler, "An Overlooked Christian Palestinian Aramaic Witness of the Dormition of Mary in *Codex Climaci Rescriptus* (CCR IV)," *Collectanea Christiana Orientalia* 16 (2019), 81–98.

paragraph 99¹³, which deals with the sexual relationship between men and women and the negligence of their work duties on account of this distraction. It is reminiscent of Romans 1:26–27, but it cannot be claimed to be an allusion or even a citation of this Bible passage, since a connotation to homosexual relationships cannot be clearly understood from it. Just the final sentence shows a similar expressed threat place when a similar expressed threat allows are the company of the

The diversity in the transmission of this Marian apocryphon of the five-book (only attested in the Ethiopic text witnesses) or palm version or as termed in Syriac *Obsequies* from the fifth- and sixth-centuries witnesses becomes here quite visible, since the Syriac text transmission often deviates considerately from the Christian Palestinian Aramaic one (CP2)¹⁵ and from the thousand years younger Ethiopic sources (E1)¹⁶, neither of which contains this addition. The translations into Christian Palestinian Aramaic, Ethiopic, and Syriac from a Greek *Vorlage* have to be taken as independent of each other. How much can be accounted for by redactional interpolation

¹³ The Ethiopic version (E1) is chosen for the subdivision of the Syriac text, since there are no obvious text divisions noticeable in the Syriac transmission.

¹⁴ I would like to thank Nestor Kavvadas (University of Tübingen) for drawing my attention to this textual similarity. He suggested the reading marriage' in fol. 9ra6 and some better translations in the additional section (§ 98). I am grateful also to the two peer reviewers, who pointed out some textual corrections in the reading, which could be verified in time for publication.

¹⁵ See the recent publication by C. Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses of the «Dormition of Mary» in Christian Palestinian Aramaic. Palimpsests from the Cairo Genizah (Taylor-Schechter Collection) and the New Finds in St Catherine's Monastery," *Apocrypha* 29 (2018), 69–95, esp. 87–89 (= CP2).

¹⁶ See V. Arras, De Transitu Mariae apocrypha aethiopice I (CSCO 342/343; scriptores Aethopici 66/67; Louvain: Secrétariat du CorpusSCO, 1973), 38 (Latin). The abbreviation system follows M. van Esbroeck, "Les textes littéraires sur l'Assomption avant le Xe siècle," in F. Bovon (ed.), Les actes apocryphes des Apôtres (Geneva: Labor et Fides, 1981), 265–285.

cannot be judged by means of the still fragmentary early Syriac versions. Other transmissions such as the Coptic, Georgian, Gaelic-Irish, and Latin do not help to clarify much on this matter.¹⁷

To demonstrate the divergence of this early Syriac text with the *Obsequies* from other text witnesses it is important that the text should be presented at first in reliable readings of the legible text parts.¹⁸

2. Content of manuscript(s) British Library, Add 17.137, no. 2 [Wright, *Catalogue* no. 465]¹⁹

Sequence of the folios in BL, Add 17.137, no. 2 according to the upper manuscript text with the *Hymns for the Vigil* ²⁰:

¹⁷ For the relevant editions of these transmissions see the comprehensive overview in S. J. Shoemaker, *Ancient Traditions of the Virgin Mary's Dormition and Assumption* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002), 419–428.

¹⁸The reading could be partially achieved with the help of an ultraviolet lamp, actually a LED torch, and despite the unfavourable light conditions of the British Library Reading Rooms. Reading palimpsest texts has its special laws. The best time to work on such difficult palimpsests is a time late in the afternoon, when the sunlight is not too bright, and probably contains more ultraviolet rays than in the morning, and a dark environment; see also the older method used by Nigel Wilson in R. Netz and W. Noel, The Archimedes Codex: Revealing the Secrets of the World's Greatest Palimpsest (London: Phoenix, 2008), 221, ph. 11b. Such working conditions were possible in the old National Library of Russia in St. Petersburg and Westminster College, Cambridge. The overhead artificial light in modern libraries hinders the reading and librarians often do not have an understanding for the special needs of a palimpsest reader. The simple employment of ultraviolet lamps and a dark room would be sufficient and less expensive than the modern multispectral imaging, which also has its limitations, and not all readings can be solved with this modern form of technology.

¹⁹ Both scripts on the folios are rather difficult to read, even with the help of an ultraviolet light, especially on folios 6–8, 10–11.

²⁰ In the modern bound volume the top half-fragments of all six folios are arranged upside down for the upper script!

fol. 6r top, ll. 1–15	Obsequies §§ 101–102
fol. 6r bottom, ll. 16–26	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 6v top, ll. 1–15	Obsequies §§ 102–103
fol. 6v bottom, ll. 16–26	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 7r top, ll. 1–15	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. $7r[v]^{21}$ bottom, ll.	Obsequies §§ 101–102
16–26	
fol. 7v top, ll. 1–15	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 7v[r] bottom, ll. 16–	Obsequies §§ 103–104
26	
fol. 8r	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 8v	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 9r	Obsequies §§ 98–100
fol. 9v	Obsequies §§ 100–101
fol. 10r	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 10v	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 11r	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of Serugh
fol. 11v	Homily on the Presentation by Jacob of
	Serugh ²²

Distribution of the underlying texts on the six folios:

fol. 6r top, ll. 1–15 Obsequies	fol. 7r top, ll. 1–15 Homily	fol. 8r, ll. 1–26 Homily	fol. 9r ll. 1– 27/26 Obsequies	fol. 10r, ll. 1–15 <i>Homily</i> (a) ²³	fol. 11r, ll. 1–26 Homily
bottom, ll. 16–26 Homily	r [v] bottom, ll. 16–26 Obsequies			ll. 16–26 Homily (b)	

²¹ For the upper text it is the verso side, but for the lower text it is the recto one indicated by square brackets.

²² The details of the *Homily on the Presentation in the Temple* by Jacob of Serugh is edited separately in Müller-Kessler, "Jacob of Serugh's Homily". The text on these folios cannot be easily read and requires special reading technologies. At first only the contextual sequence could be roughly established.

²³ The text on this folio derives from two non-consecutive sections.

fol. 6v	fol. 7v	fol. 8v,	fol. 9v,	fol. 10v,	fol. 11v,
top,	top,	ll. 1–26	ll. 1–27	ll. 1–15	ll. 1–26
ll. 1–15	11. 1–15	Homily	Obsequies	Homily	Homily
Obsequies	Homily		-	(a)	
bottom,	v [r]			ll. 16–26	
ll. 16–26	bottom,			Homily	
Homily	11. 16-26			(b)	
	Obsequies			, ,	

b) Original sequence of the folios for the underlying text in BL, Add 17.137, no. 2(A) containing the *Obsequies*

```
fol. 9r $\ \S$\ 98-100(beginning)$ fol. 9v^{24} $\$\ 100(middle)-101(beginning)$ fol. 6r top, ll. 1-15 + $\$\ 101(end)-102(middle)$ fol. 7r [v]<sup>25</sup> bottom, ll. 16-26 fol. 6v top, ll. 1-15 + $\$\$\ 102(final words)-104(beginning)$ fol. 7v [r] bottom, ll. 16-26
```

The measures of the cut down vellum folios are approximately 27,2 x 20,8 cm, having a short gap between the separate top and bottom fragments on the mounted and restored paper leaves. No line rulings are visible. The text is written on an area of 20,2 x 16,5 cm in two columns, with 25 to 27 lines per column. Each line is 0,4 cm apart, in a very fine and elegant *Estrangela* hand, most probably dating to the ca. 5th cent. The lines are not justified on the left hand side of the columns nor are any line fillers detectable. Some letters show pronounced early forms, such as a very large *gamal* and *ṣadeh*, and *he, waw*, and *mim* have open shapes. The left loop of the *taw* is at times squeezed. Some words are stained and therefore illegible. On the joined folio consisting of fol. 6 top + fol. 7, the bottom script is often too effaced to be legible in a number of lines.

²⁴ The upper text is flipped by 180 degrees in contrast to the lower text.

²⁵ See n. 21.

3. LANGUAGE TRAITS

The spellings and morphological forms in the fifth- and sixth-century manuscripts often do not conform to the Classical Syriac as presented in the standard reference grammars by Theodor Nöldeke²⁶ or Rubens Duval²⁷ and earlier ones. The missing *quiescent alaph* in $\[Omega]$ others' (§ 98, 101) is one of these salient features.²⁸ This also applies to the randomly occurring *plene* spelling in $\[Omega]$ on account' (§ 99, 100, 101), which cannot be explained only by the filling of space, as here in the case for the *Obsequies* manuscript.²⁹

Noteworthy are a number of nouns appearing in the absolute state in the genitive construction for either the *nomen* regens or nomen rectum: 'a Shabbat's rest' (§ 100); 'a wink of an eye' (§ 100); 'a what of the flood' (§ 102).

The verb in the perfect masculine plural can occur without ending מלץ גבבנץ מסם כנת גלא שבל סוגם כנת 'those who did

²⁶ T. Nöldeke, Kurzgefasste syrische Grammatik (Leipzig: Tauchnitz, 1898), XXXII, who speaks there concerning the language and orthography of a fixed form in the excellent manuscripts for the fifth century. Working with random various very good manuscripts of the fifth and sixth centuries leaves a different impression. In the meantime, this has been pointed out by several Syriac scholars and should carry more weight, since one should not consider this diversity improper Classical Syriac or even classify such spellings as scribal mistakes or slips.

²⁷ R. Duval, *Traité de grammaire syriaque* (Paris: Vieweg, 1881).

²⁸ See L. van Rompay, "Some Preliminary Remarks on the Origins of Classical Syriac as a Standard Language," in G. Goldenberg and S. Raz (ed.), *Semitic and Cushitic Studies* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1994), 70–89, esp. 75.

²⁹ See for various early spellings and deviations in early Syriac Gospel texts in F. C. Burkitt, Evangelion da-mepharreshe, vol. 2 Introduction and Notes (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1904), 39–78; M. D. Koster, The Peshitta of Exodus: The Development of its Text in the Course of Fifteen Centuries (Assen, 1977), 94–95; S. P. Brock, "Some Diachronic Features of Classical Syriac," in M. F. J. Baasten and W. T. H. van Peursen (ed.), Hamlet on the Hill: Greek and Semitic Studies Presented to Semitic and Greek Studies Presented to Professor T. Muraoka on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday (Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta, 118; Louvain: Peeters, 2003), 95–111, esp. 96–98; D. G. K. Taylor, The Syriac Versions of the De Spiritu Sancto by Basil of Caesarea (CSCO 576; Scriptores Syri 228; Louvain: Peeters, 1999), 183–195.

something without being humble and justifying something' (§ 98). Such usage seems to be quite regular in the early Syriac manuscripts of the fifth- and sixth-century.³⁰ Note also the masculine form معمد 'persuade' instead of an expected feminine معمد (§ 99). Particular are the spellings of the participle masculine plural without *yod*: معمد 'those who are reclining' (§ 101).

The rarely attested derived noun Kalak 'calmness', in the Lexicon Syriacum.³¹ First readings and hapax legomena are always problematic to establish and should be rightly treated hesitatingly.

Of considerable interest is the frequent appearance of the very rare and unusual Greek lexeme raloh for 'shoot, branch' instead of the Aramaic alternatives. Here it occurs in the combination raloh 'olive-branch' (§ 102), which is also employed for palm-shoot in the other Syriac Obsequies version from BL, Add 14.665, where it is now attested thrice in succession raloh raloh raloh and 'and take the palm-shoot from this pinnate' (§76); and has a fand take the palm-shoot from this palm-shoot' (§ 76); and has a fand he carries that palm-shoot' (§ 77). To runknown reasons realoh never made it into the Thesaurus Syriacus, nor is it consequently recorded in any other Syriac dictionary, on does it appear in the language

³⁰ See on more examples Taylor, *The Syriac Versions of the De Spiritu Sancto*, 191.

³¹ E. Sachau, *Inedita Syriaca* (Halle: Buchhandlung des Waisenhauses, 1870), 45:9; C. Brockelmann, *Lexicon Syriacum* (Halle: Niemeyer, 1928), 779a; not recorded in R. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1879–1891), but entered in the *Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon* as col. 4162!.

³² Wright, Contributions, 15.

³³ Additional reading not in Wright, *Contributions*.

³⁴ Additional reading not in Wright, *Contributions*.

³⁵ There one finds only the homograph مراكة 'derision' from the verbal root in *Pael هله*, e.g., in E. Castelli, *Lexicon Syriacum* (Göttingen: Dieterich, 1788), 901; Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, col. 4448; J. Payne Smith, *A Compendious Syriac Dictionary* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1903), 607b; 614a [verbal root]; Brockelmann, *Lexicon Syriacum*, 825b [only verbal

lists as Bar Bahlūl or Bar Ali. Therefore it was not discussed by Imanuel Löw in the Flora der Juden in his very comprehensive chapter on the Palmaceae or his earlier work Aramäische Pflanzennamen.³⁶ This applies also to the special studies on Greek loanwords, including the recent one by Aaron Butts.³⁷ Now with the occurrence of five attestations in two independent early fifth-century Syriac manuscripts it can be considered securely established. It is an obvious loan from the Greek word $\theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \delta \zeta^{38}$ with the emphatic ending added to the nominal Greek ending -os by elision of the former omicron in Svriac and is comparable to other Greek loanwords and their treatment in Syriac, e.g. κωραζ τάχσις, κωραζ τόμος, κωραζ τύπος, κωίας πόρος.³⁹ One has to consider κωλολ more a foreign word (Fremdwort) than a loanword as it was only integrated into these two texts from their dependent Greek "Vorlage". Apart from this example no other Greek borrowings are to be noted, leaving aside the very early inherited $\alpha = \pi \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} \sigma \alpha \iota^{40}$ and the long before integrated

_

root for the Afel. followed by the Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon]; T. Audo, Dictionnaire de la langue chaldéenne, vol. 2 (Mosul: Imprimerie des Pères Dominicains, 1897), 625b [verbal root and derived noun]. The verbal root and its derivations give a bit the impression as only being attested in the lexical lists and then being integrated into the dictionaries. In the latest Syriac dictionary by M. Sokoloff, Syriac Lexicon (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2009) one looks in vain for both homographs.

³⁶ I. Löw, *Flora der Juden*, vol. 2 (Wien: A Kohut Memorial Foundation Inc., 1924), 302–362; I. Löw, *Aramaeische Pflanzennamen* (Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann, 1881).

³⁷ A. Butts, Language Change in the Wake of the Empire (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2016).

³⁸ At first suggested by Shoemaker, *Ancient Tradition*, 330 n. 136. The Greek lexicon by H. G. Liddell and R. Scott, *A Greek-English Lexicon* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1897 [reprint]), 782b understands it as 1) 'young shoot, young branch' and 2) θαλλοί 'palm leaves' attested only in the plural.

³⁹ See S. P. Brock, "Greek Words in Syriac," *Scripta Classica Israelica* 15 (1996), 251–262, esp. 254.

⁴⁰ This derived verb from Greek is a lexical feature of Middle Aramaic, from a stage of the Hellenistic impact on the Aramaic language, where Greek was the language of the learned, therefore this loan is an early

common particles and ... A similar situation exists for the Christian Palestinian Aramaic transmission, which employs another special technical term borrowed from the Greek "Vorlage" 'g:ps ἀγάπας 'memorials' (§ 98).⁴¹

4. TEXT AND TRANSLATION

BL, Add 17.137, fol. 9ra — §§ 98–99 (unpublished)⁴²

1.	スピッスゴリ ノ スゴッ	other men and women,
2.	مرك <i>دعدة خ</i> رك	those who did something
3.	cea els sel	without being humble
4.	סוגם מנכ . אמ	and justifying something. But
5.	בבוא ויא מופא	men, however, renounced
6.	രത് 🗠 രവ രരത	that marriage,
7.	مصلح عمد برساد:	which God had placed on all
8.	ב ג, אשא מכמלומ	human-beings. And in an
9.	בשנישאים ביש דיש דיש	unnatural way they made
		use. ⁴³
10.	, നാം . വരന	Inasmuch they forsook
11.	<i>.</i> സറ ്രധ ച്ച ററന	their wives, and one

inheritance into Middle Aramaic and its successive dialects, except for Mandaic (only the noun *py's'* 'persuasion' as a late technical term) and Talmudic Aramaic. The Mandaean scribal schools and the Babylonian academies were outside of direct Hellenistic influence.

⁴¹ See Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses," 86–87.

⁴² Most of column (b) was published by Shoemaker 2011, 267, but column (a) and the reverse were left unread.

⁴³ Only this passage nearly agrees with Romans 1:27 סבמגת גלא מבץ

12.	علا سه سالم ۵۵۵	by one they went into
13.	. במנו האואים	a forced intercourse.44
14.	≺ുത ⁴⁵ []ത ≺ ട ്ടാ	And women do this []
15.	حدي ښه د تعدم	that, what
16.	מסי, בבנימטי	they abandoned (for) their
		work,
17.	שוע מידה אידש	the hate of pagans ⁴⁶ []
18.	מברים אף [יי] אף לישהשט	and of them upon47
19.	ארל ,äm פרבצ	were having intercourse
20.	العر كدامهم . مولك	as with their husbands. On
		account
21.	<u>്</u> വത ച ്ച പ്രത	account of this they also will
21.22.	מוח שר רנוח המשאר במשאר המשאר .	
		of this they also will
22.	. بملعاء محمد عملهم	of this they also will receive torment for ever.
22.	. بملعاء محمد عملهم	of this they also will receive torment for ever. (99) These (things) are what
22.23.	נחבלה אמיטה בלבות . מל ג'אמיל למים	of this they also will receive torment for ever. (99) These (things) are what Jesus said to
22.23.24.	הפרו המומד לבומי מרו האמני למני מחר האמני למני	of this they also will receive torment for ever. (99) These (things) are what Jesus said to them. He gave them a
22.23.24.	הפרו המומד לבומי מרו האמני למני מחר האמני למני	of this they also will receive torment for ever. (99) These (things) are what Jesus said to them. He gave them a a way so that they could pass

⁴⁵ Letters are stained.

⁴⁶ Letters are not clearly discernable.

⁴⁷ Letters are stained.

27. من مملح عمد الدراي namely, they saw these (things). [Bu]t each

fol. 9rb — §§ 99–100 (published)⁴⁸

1.	Cowns 40 Joyek	was taken from them,
2.	. گىختەم ⁵⁰ كە د	Jesus and Michael.51
3.	معدمن لحنم	And he forsook ⁵² Mary
4.	. بحناه لم بحشاءاه	and the Apostles on earth,
5.	. ₂₃ owan or in The	so that they will be of the
		same mind.
6.	המשאשה הקש ₂₄ ת צורשם	And at once those, who were
		in torment,
7.	*محه محته محه	cried out and sought an

⁴⁸ Most of column (b) was published by Shoemaker 2011, 267, but column (a) and the reverse were left unread.

⁴⁹ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267: \(\Delta_{\text{...}\times}\).

⁵¹ This passage differs from the Ethiopic in so far as that both Jesus and Michael are separated from the Apostles, and not only Jesus. It is comparable to a similar understanding in version CP2 *mhyn' wmyk'yl rhqw npšhwn* 'the Saviour and Michael removed themselves' (Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses," 86).

⁵² In CP2 both Jesus and Michael are forsaking Mary.

⁵³ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267: [26]. Additions are always debatable, especially if there does not exist an established text basis.

⁵⁴ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267:

⁵⁵ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267: *מגיים..... *.

		intercession
8.	$oldsymbol{c}$, ج $oldsymbol{c}$ م $oldsymbol{c}$ م $oldsymbol{c}$ م $oldsymbol{c}$ م $oldsymbol{c}$	by Mary and said,
9.	משובת נוחמו אולא	'Mary, the light and the
		mother
10.	רנים איזא הלוסמז	of light; Mary, the life
11.	מאכמ ועבא . היבק	and the mother ⁵⁷ of life;
		Mary,
12.	בנותא גגמבא גלבנה	the golden lamp ⁵⁸ , who bore
13.	للمن حاك 55 . هنم	the one bearing all ⁶⁰ ; Mary,
14.	מיוא מאכם ונכיא	the Lady and the Mother of
		the Lord
15. r	التي مال 61 . منعز ملحلا؛	of all; Mary, the queen ⁶² ,
16.	و ماده رعله الماده	and the mother of our King ⁶³
		and our God.

⁵⁷ Only pronominal suffix singular masculine instead of plural.

⁵⁸ CP2 has instead *mnrt' dqwst'* 'the lamp of truth' (Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses," 86). E1 has both by taking it as 'Mary, golden lamp, you who carries every true lamp' (Arras, *De Transitu*, 58 [Eth] and 38 [Lat]).

⁵⁹ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267: nil.

⁶⁰ Obviously 'true lamp' was omitted in Syr. The phrase 'who bore the one bearing every true lamp' is missing in CP2.

⁶¹ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267: إذ]هاحة[i].

⁶² Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267 translates 'our queen' despite the Syr text having only حلحه' 'the queen' as in CP2 (Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses," 87), but in E1 it reads 'our queen' (Arras, *De Transitu*, 58 [Eth] and 38 [Lat]).

⁶³ This addition with 'our king' is also found in CP2 (Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses," 88), but is completely omitted in E1 (Arras, *De Transitu*, 58 [Eth] and 38 [Lat]).

17.	بعفيع جلح صعد	Persuade your Son on our
		behalf
18.	נדינם ל קמוי	to give us some rest.'
19.	ملعل . محلك صلع	And because of these
20.	manci lefico	(things) it was said to Peter
21.	שטיקט ביניזיתןט	and Andreas and John
22.	رخه بحستاه مرمماعاه	and all the Apostles, 'What
23.	محنيهم کے مہاہمہ	do you say about these
		(things)? ³⁶⁵
24.	מכעגא אלענה,	(100) And at once our
25.	mar eios ond	Saviour appeared to them
		and came to
26.	രം. ⁶⁷ ,ന്	that place of torment
fol. 9	Ova — § 100 (unpublished	1)
1.	200 and isoka	and said to you, Where

⁶⁴ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267: One needs here an active participle with the suffixed independent pronoun (present tense). The omitted *yod* by Shoemaker is visible.

did you proclaim that

which was taught to you?

matter,

אבוולם בהם מבוב

سل . مما عاملاهم،

2.

3.

⁶⁵ The CPA has here a longer addition, which is absent from the Syriac transmission, see Müller-Kessler, "Three Early Witnesses," 88–89.

⁶⁷ Shoemaker, "New Syriac Dormition Fragments," 267:\L.

	For did
Klos abese in	you not hear of all,
रव्हांक्षे यः व्यक्षक्र	which I denied while they
	were driven
له معلم يعضم خهه .	to me and that word?
Kla. sham free	And I was treated with
	contempt
שן קרטט נקשה	and had no idea,
والمعز معرية	since for our Lord I
מסיק ביוז: ונכוא	was not able with a wink
ת משמה הלו נהיו	of an eye ⁶⁸ not to turn upon
حلا حجه ن ض محل	her (= the earth) inhabitants
	and upon
ئے <i>برہے ہ</i> م مرہے،	the sinners, those who had
	sinned against me.
אושב דל דלד	But I did not do
91000 Tofa Ga	these (things), since it was
[]a ~amel~	against them and []
~ vy 62 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	their signs will thus come.
wiro and suko	You shall move this,
لام ^{نم} رس [···]	[] these (things) you
	تحفاظ عدد حداهوب لر معطی حجہ شه م معیم کے سمت شه م دریم اللہ محمد محمد حسد احداث محمد حسد احداث محمد حلا محمد محمد حل احداث محمد حل اللہ محمد

⁶⁸ محلم المنا can be taken as a fixed expression, therefore the absolute state in عبر, see Nöldeke, *Kurzgefasste syrische Grammatik*, 149. It can be compared to constructions to describe material 'made of'.

20.	4/4 CO91:27	did not do, unless
21.	معصانا بديهم	your own hearing
22.	[.] בגא מכבל ובמולא.	and bringing in greatness.
23.	κ ω κ ω M^{2}	On account of this, see,
24.	ageingo sin	you are repaid as
25.	−ω [. ~oω] ~oγ.⊐-	you did [to them]. Thus
26.69	* ^0~ [] \(\tau_0 \)	the kindness to you,
27.	مله مهل المحتوس	but because of the tears

fol. 9vb — §§ 100–101 (unpublished)

1.	ومحكمله ووعلمتم	of Michael and of my holy
2.	מנשא מנכום אכ,	Apostles, and of Mary, my
		Mother,
3.	ingo omo pe	who went and saw you.
4.	معصم کے معصرہ	And he persuaded us on
		behalf of you
5.	הציבים במשן המשונו	so that there will be rest for
		you
6.	יזייז הדוןט האשיע	day and night, which
7.	چەم. لاسىي، لاغد ە	is one and a Shabbat's rest.'

⁶⁹ *...* The Syriac diverges here considerately, but this is also the case for the early Latin and Gaelic-Irish versions, see also Shoemaker, *Ancient Traditions*, 345 n. 161.

⁷⁰ On the *plene* spellings in fifth- and sixth-century Syriac manuscripts, see Brock, "Some Diachronic Features," 96–97.

		⁽¹⁰¹⁾ And
8.	באני מלי ומו מני	after these (things) our Lord
		gave a sign
9.	مسهماء بحبرها	to the angels to open
10.	معلملاه . مدندل	the earth, and they were
		hurled
11.	مايد بديناءه فمر	inside, and the Apostles went
12.	leiran. mon Lok	to Paradise. They were
13.	هاما بجيه، بحبايد	near the tree of life,
14.	62 4000 gry . 62 62	near from here. But
15.	לבן אכינחם באשעם	there was Abraham and
		Isaac,
16.	محمدد حمر حلسه[]	Isaac, and Jacob with all
16. 17.	מוצא הכא באני מוצא הכא באני	,
	-	and Jacob with all
17.	יין אים לאט עק ו יי	and Jacob with all the others. And after
17. 18.	באז לפטיד עק ₁ ן""] אם לאט עקייה	and Jacob with all the others. And after our Saviour [] him, who was
17.18.19.	ביוץ ביוקט אים ביים ביים ביים ביים ביים ביים ביים	and Jacob with all the others. And after our Saviour [] him, who was among the dead, and
17.18.19.20.	ستنه محر حلان [] ⁷¹ س فامع تحر حمد هنده مهد حمد مین حدانهه	and Jacob with all the others. And after our Saviour [] him, who was among the dead, and he also hid them in Paradise,
17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	ستنه محر حدان [] ⁷¹ اس فامع تحر حدد حداد مهد حصر مین حفاتهم محمد مین حفاتهم	and Jacob with all the others. And after our Saviour [] him, who was among the dead, and he also hid them in Paradise, as they had been
17.18.19.20.21.22.	ستنه محر حالان [] ⁷¹ الله فامع تحر حمد حمد مهد حمد مال حفاته ممد مال حفاته ممد مال حفاته ممد مال حفاته ممد حداته	and Jacob with all the others. And after our Saviour [] him, who was among the dead, and he also hid them in Paradise, as they had been in their life. And

 $^{^{71}\,\}mathrm{The}$ lower script is here very much erased by an additional correction in the upper script.

26. حمه محمد the time. And again

27. there was Elisabeth,

fol. 6ra top + fol. 7ra [v] bottom — §§ 101–102 (unpublished)

1. המש [.] אכם געבו (the mother of Mar John,

2. ما محمدیک the baptist ...

3. [۵] بەقتىك ھۆ[۵] places for women, they

remain[ed]

4. المارية [...] على المارية المارية

5. هم [م]مه who wer[e] there

6. ∠ ma[...] ma[.] ... [...] those,

7. عصحه حمله who mingled, because

8. ממש גיאל of our Saviour, since there

9. בארב חלב were again those

10. ملت . ماهد small children; those,

11. אור אין who on account of this,

[our] Lord,

12. منصار] مما on account of [our] Saviour,

behold

13. מעום ובכיא להכיול and saw as the wonder

14. פאסינעלא בבגא פל of the ways was made from

15. his [wo]rks. For all the

⁷² Surface on the vellum is scratched off.

16.	תזי∱סטַ־ז עש <u>ה</u> הו וָיד	souls of the Christians ⁷³ ,
17.	לבו ל ונסחיו לקט	those, who pass from
18.	שום מים מיםל	this world before
19.	ובל בבוע מלה	all things, those
20.	ונבשמפבא ב[בטב]ש	who are reclining ⁷⁴ in [the
		bosom]
21.	גאכומק 10 אישות	of Abraham and Isaac
22.	٥٤٠حمهد ٥٤٥٠٤*	and Jacob. And David
23.	Rese was kapeks	brought up calmness
24.	حصلا ن ه* . مسر[ب ۲۸]	with his harp. ⁷⁵ (102) And [we
		also] saw
25.	بجمعامه منهاه مرمسا	Enoch and that olive
26.	<i>ത്വ് പ</i> ര് . <i>പ്യപ</i>	branch. That one, which she
fol. 6rb top + fol. 7rb [v] bottom — § 102 (unpublished)		
1.	[] ~ \sim []	[] Enoch []
2.	[]ച പ്രഹ. തി	it. The dove .[]
3.	[]	[]
4.	لانه [صةمحم]	her [in the days]
5.	⊀റത [] <u>പ</u> ച <i>പ്പു</i>	of the flood [Noah] had
6.	המשו <i>ושל הומין [אוד</i>]	[sent] the dove to Paradise

 ⁷³ E1 has 'good people'.
 ⁷⁴ בשאמבט is spelled here without *yod*.
 ⁷⁵ *...* is an addition not found in E1.

7.	warren 74x4"	to ask the eldest
8.	במ ג'אבמת, מ	of76 of his father, where
		our
9.	,೧೧೧೩/८၁ (ಟ್ರಾ (೨)/८३	Lord al[so] saved by his
		hands
10.	eia bis ocfl	the earth on account of
11.	<i>بخد نظ غلا</i> ند . بردمه	the dove. She went to the
		earth,
12.	dian Kirkli	because there was no earth
13.	لنه . محد سالنه	for her. And after she had
		gone,
14.	حهه مرمسا لهابحه	she asked Enoch and there
15.	്] ഗരമ്മ്	[]. and she returned
16.	להא נהנו בו כבוכן	to Noah, when she had
17.	لعلا حلين . ملاهد	nothing on her. And again
18.	י ב[נינה] הוה נופנוף .	Noah [sent her] a second
		time.
19.	بالحده بالدحيس	At once she went and asked
20.	≺യി≺ാ ≺ ന ാ . √ഡ	Enoch. And he saw that
		God
21.	هي. لکه محدنه	had saved the earth and
		she stayed behind.

⁷⁶ The genealogy is not clear here. It could be wr 'brother' missing in the illegible space. Noah was, however, according to Genesis 8:23–28 the great grandfather of Enoch.

22.	49712 407091 0W	That olive-branch
23.	ישובי שי האומי	is a sign for him. And he
		said
24.	سرم عامد . سا	to him, 'He brought the
		dove
25.	w7 [···]	[] to him
26.	[]	[]

fol. 6va top + fol. 7va [r] bottom — \$\$ 102–103 (unpublished)

1.	275 ML1. EPPL	had. Since as he
2.	<i>പ്പൂപ്പ</i> ്യത്വ [പ്പയ]	heard the trees
3.	ومعهد مهمه برطء	which were not with you.'
4.	הל המיפאן ו אהם	(103) And he ⁷⁷ said to the
		mourners ⁷⁸ ,
5.	الانحذاء م حد مربع	'Do not wonder about these
		(things),
6.	enefector corre	which you have prepared
		yourselves
7.	えばん えごり	on this earth,
8.	ومحالحت المحالي	*and a promise of virtues
9.	הלו האם לש	of these (things)* ⁷⁹ , which

 $^{^{77}\,\}mathrm{E}1$ has 'the Lord'.

 $^{^{78}\,\}mathrm{E1}$ has only 'them'.

⁷⁹ E1 has for *...* 'then you will find a better inheritance'.

10.	. مىلىلا مىلى جى	you did not set up.'
11.	חשוםב אמדי ל [.]שבם	And again he said to us, '
12.	[] < <u>ר</u> יבה	thus []
13.	محل محية, []حيم	and my whole [] body,
14.	704140170	and until I will bring
15.	യ ≺[…]	[] to him
16.	כנות האכיות לח .	something and said to him.
17.	معلم من لسب	And our Lord went up onto
		a cloud
18.	. הסודר ב שמלמשל הלוםם	and called Paul to him,
19.	مصملام دعيت	and he was taken up with a
		cloud
20.	لاتباص كالده لاتعتم	to heaven. And Satan went
21.	Kidikl Cashal	with you to the place
22.	10 ar. 100 ra	and said, 'Oh, son ⁸⁰ ,
23.	ראתז תמלתז מזם	the Son of God, who came
24.	را صعده . مختلے	into the world and interceded
		for us,
25.	[]. מבו	he believed []
26.	[] ~ha=n	the grace []

⁸⁰ E1 has 'Jesus'.

fol. 6vb top + fol. 7vb [r] bottom — $\S\S$ 103–104 (unpublished)

1.	سهديت ضلحت ره	in all creation
2.	תיזהרי הגואס [···]	[] to the Lord, into the
		hands
3.	്പ് ~ായ് []	[] them as
4.	നമ്മ <i>:</i> പ്രവ ൃ[]	[] to this one, whose name is
5.	[en]r[]	[Paul], who []
6.	סומ ומלכלש שמי	before fighting with me
7.	بعر معاصر[]	[] For those
8.	۵۵۵ جمعة بجع[]	[] was fitting
9.	~വ[] പ്രവം[]	[]
10.	corpopus Tes	[] [] because they fought
11.	[]	[]
12.	[]	[]
13.	[] عينة,	[] my body
14.	حمله إن [] مم لام	you take him in []
15.	ەسلاملىغى خىدر مې[.]	And he will fight with me and
		.[.]
16.	യംഗാര യാന്തപ്പ് []	[] he brought him up and
		showed him
17.	حل محدم . ملامد	all things. (104) And again
18.	യെവച യ പുപ	he went to Paul

19.	-2 κl 2 γκ	as if not being prepared,
20.	שאב משוש מינ	as for battle with him.
21.	w mzyrk []	[] found for him
22.	مرباح []	[] concerning you
23.	[] KO KYZ	a reason []
24.	ಎ ನ್ [] ನ	not [] I for him
25.	בי בני מי נישה	from afore time, since he
		responded.
26.	מט זיין מש	For he in that manner

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arras, V. De Transitu Mariae apocrypha aethiopice I. CSCO 342/343, scriptores Aethopici 66/67. Louvain: Secrétariat du CorpusSCO, 1973.
- Audo, T. *Dictionnaire de la langue chaldéenne*, vol. 2. Mosul: Imprimerie des Pères Dominicains, 1897.
- Baumstark, A. Geschichte der syrischen Literatur mit Ausschluß der christlich-palästinensischen Texte. Bonn: Marcus und Webers, 1922.
- Brock, S. P. "Greek Words in Syriac." Scripta Classica Israelica 15 (1996), 251–262.
- Brock, S. P. "Some Diachronic Features of Classical Syriac." In Hamlet on the Hill: Greek and Semitic Studies Presented to Semitic and Greek Studies Presented to Professor T. Muraoka on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 118, ed. M. F. J. Baasten and W. T. H. van Peursen. Louvain: Peeters, 2003, 95–111.
- Brockelmann, C. Lexicon Syriacum. Halle: Niemeyer, 1928.
- Burkitt, F. C. Evangelion da-mepharreshe, vol. 2 Introduction and Notes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1904.

- Butts, A. Language Change in the Wake of the Empire. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2016.
- Castelli, E. Lexicon Syriacum. Göttingen: Dieterich, 1788.
- Duval, R. Traité de grammaire syriaque. Paris: Vieweg, 1881.
- Esbroeck, M. van. "Les textes littéraires sur l'Assomption avant le Xe siècle." In *Les actes apocryphes des Apôtres*, ed. F. Bovon. Geneva: Labor et Fides, 1981, 265–285.
- Jastrow, M. A Dictionary of the Targumim, the Talmud Bahli and Jerushalmi, and the Midrashic Literature. London: Luzac, 1876-1903.
- Kessel, G. "Undertexts of Sinai, Arabic 514." In Katlkon (https://sinai.library.ucla.edu).
- Koster, M. D. The Peshitta of Exodus: The Development of its Text in the Course of Fifteen Centuries. Assen: Van Gorcum, 1977.
- Liddell, H. G. and R. Scott. *A Greek-English Lexicon*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1897 [reprint].
- Löw, I. *Flora der Juden*, vol. 2. Wien: A Kohut Memorial Foundation Inc., 1924.
- Löw, I. Aramaeische Pflanzennamen. Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann, 1881.
- Müller-Kessler, C. "Three Early Witnesses of the «Dormition of Mary» in Christian Palestinian Aramaic. Palimpsests from the Cairo Genizah (Taylor-Schechter Collection) and the New Finds in St Catherine's Monastery." *Aportypha* 29 (2018), 69–95.
- Müller-Kessler, C. "An Overlooked Christian Palestinian Aramaic Witness of the Dormition of Mary in *Codex Climaci Rescriptus* (CCR IV)." *Collectanea Christiana Orientalia* 16 (2019), 81–98.
- Müller-Kessler, C. "Jacob of Serugh's Homily on the Presentation in the Temple in an Early Syriac Palimpsest (BL, Add 17.137, no. 2)." *ARAM* 32 (2020) [in press].
- Netz, R. and W. Noel. *The Archimedes Codex: Revealing the Secrets of the World's Greatest Palimpsest.* London: Phoenix, 2008.

- Nöldeke, T. Kurzgefasste syrische Grammatik. Leipzig: Tauchnitz, 1898.
- Payne Smith, J. A Compendious Syriac Dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1903.
- Payne Smith, R. *Thesaurus Syriacus*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1879–1901.
- Rompay, L. van. "Some Preliminary Remarks on the Origins of Classical Syriac as a Standard Language." In *Semitic and Cushitic Studies*, ed. G. Goldenberg and S. Raz. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1994, 70–89.
- Sachau, E. *Inedita Syriaca*. Halle: Buchhandlung des Waisenhauses, 1870.
- Schmidt, A. "Syriac Palimpsests in the British Library." In *Palimpsestes et éditions de textes: les textes littéraires*, ed. V. Somers. Louvain: Peeters, 2009, 161–186.
- Shoemaker, S. J. Ancient Traditions of the Virgin Mary's Dormition and Assumption. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Shoemaker, S. J. "New Syriac Dormition Fragments from Palimpsests in the Schøyen Collection and the British Library." *Le Muséon* 124 (2011), 259–278.
- Sokoloff, M. A Dictionary of Jewish Palestinian Aramaic from the Byzantine Period. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan, 1991).
- Sokoloff, M. Syriac Lexicon. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2009.
- Tal, A. Samaritan Aramaic Dictionary. Leiden: Brill, 2000.
- Taylor, D. G. K. *The Syriac Versions of the De Spiritu Sancto by Basil of Caesarea*. CSCO 576. Scriptores Syri 228. Louvain: Peeters, 1999.
- Wright, W. Contributions to the Apocryphal Literature of the New Testament. London: Williams and Norgate, 1865.
- Wright, W. Catalogue of the Syriac Manuscripts in the British Museum Acquired Since the Year 1838, vol. 1. London: Trustees of the British Museum, 1870.