EnOS: a Holistic Framework for Conducting Scientific Evaluations of OpenStack

Adrien Lebre
The DISCOVERY Initiative



Cloud Resource Management Systems have significantly evolved to become complex ecosystems

More and more features (bare-metals, VMs, containers... application life-cycle management, ... automation, control loops...)

Research PoCs vs. Production Systems

A Huge Gap that needs to be filled, but...

"Just good enough to publish a good paper"

CloudControl WS June 2017


Francesco Lo Presti; -),


Research PoCs vs. Production Systems

A Huge Gap that needs to be filled, but...

OpenStack

 Industry standard for creating public and private clouds






- A rich (and complex) ecosystem
 - 20 Millions of LoC, 164 services, some services are composed of subservices (e.g. nova-scheduler, nova-conductor, ...)


OpenStack


 Industry standard for creating public and private clouds






- A rich (and complex) ecosystem
 - 20 Millions of LoC, 164 services, some services are composed of subservices (e.g. nova-scheduler, nova-conductor, ...)









How could we favour the scientific community to be involved in OpenStack, like it has been once done for Linux.

EnOS

Experimental Environment for OpenStack

- Motivation: help developers/researchers
 - Understand how OpenStack runs and identify possible improvements
 - Make new proposals and evaluate them under multiple setups/topologies
- Conducting performance analyses in a scientific and reproducible manner
 - Automation
 At small and large-scale
 Under different network topologies (traffic shaping)
 Between different releases
 With any kind of benchmarks
- Workflow
 - 1. Book and provision servers
 - 2. Deploy OpenStack
 - 3. Perform benchmarks
 - 4. Collect and save metrics
 - 5. Visualize & share



\$ enos deploy

\$ enos bench

\$ enos backup

EnOS deploy Resource/Topology Description

```
$ cat ./basic.yml
resources:
   clusterA:
      control: 1
      network: 1
   clusterB:
      compute: 50
$ enos deploy -f basic.yml
```

```
$ cat ./advanced.yml
resources:
    clusterA:
        control: 1
        network: 1
        nova-conductor: 5
    clusterB:
        compute: 50

$ enos deploy -f advanced.yml
```

```
$ cat ./network-topo.yml
resources:
grp1:
    clusterA:
      control: 1
      network: 1
      nova-conductor: 5
grp2:
    clusterB:
      compute: 50
network constraints:
  src: qrp1
    dst: grp2
    delay: 100ms
    rate: 10Gbit
    loss: 0%
    symetric: true
$ enos deploy -f network-topo.yml
```

EnOS deploy - Under the Hood

- 1. Provider gets 2 nodes on clusterA, 50 nodes on clusterB and returns node's IP addresses
- 2. EnOS provisions nodes with Docker daemons
- 3. EnOS installs OpenStack using Kolla
- 4. EnOS sets up bare necessities (flavors, cirros image, router, ...)
- 5. EnOS applies network constraints between grp1 and grp2 using to
- Provider to get testbed resources
 - Resources: anything running a Docker daemon and EnOS can SSH to + some IPs
 - Existing Provider: Vagrant (VBox/Libvirt), Grid'5000, Chameleon, OpenStack
 ~500 LoC each
- Kolla to deploy OpenStack over testbed resources (containerised based deployment)
- TC to apply network constraints (Linux Netem)

EnOS bench

Benchmarks description

```
$ cat ./run.yml
rally:
    args:
        concurrency: 5
        times: 100
    scenarios:
        - name: boot and list servers
        file: nova-boot-list-cc.yml
        osprofiler: true
        -...
shaker: ...
$ enos bench --workload=run.yml
```

- Under the hood
 - Rally: control plane benchmark
 - Shaker: data plane benchmark
 - OSProfiler: code profiling
 - Monitoring stack: cAdvisor/Collectd to collect CPU/RAM/Network consumption per service/node/cluster

EnOS backup

- EnOS backup produces a tarball with
 - Rally/Shaker reports
 - InfluxDB database with cAdvisor/collectd measures
 - OpenStack logs
 - OS Profiler traces



Further information: http://enos.readthedocs.io

EnOS backup


- EnOS backup produces a tarball with
 - Rally/Shaker reports
 - InfluxDB database with cAdvisor/collectd measures
 - OpenStack logs
 - OS Profiler traces



Further information: http://enos.readthedocs.io


EnOS backup

- EnOS backup produces a tarball with
 - Rally/Shaker reports
 - InfluxDB database with cAdvisor/collectd measures
 - OpenStack logs



Further information: http://enos.readthedocs.io


Let's see an example...



OpenStack WANWide


NFV/Fog/Edge WANWide OpenStack Cloud Infrastructures

 Telcos viewpoint: Computation/Storage resources are deployed in network Points of Presence (aka. PoP)




NFV/Fog/Edge WANWide OpenStack Cloud Infrastructures


 Telcos viewpoint: Computation/Storage resources are deployed in network Points of Presence (aka. PoP)



OpenStack WANWide


- A Single OpenStack to operate remote compute resources deployed at the edge
 - All control services are deployed into the master.
 - The communication bus is deployed across all locations (i.e., through each server composing the infrastructure)
- Pros: simple
- Cons:
 - security management for RPC message and port, Single Point of Failure...
 - Scalability
 - Network latency/throughput impacts on functional behavior and performance degradations.





Latency impact

```
$ cat ./wan-exp1.yml
resources:
 grp1:
  clusterA:
      control: 1
 grp2:
   clusterA:
    compute: 10
network constraints:
 delay: 0ms # 10ms, 25ms, 50ms,
 100ms loss: 0%
 rate: 10Gbit
 src: grp1
 dest: grp2
 symetric: true
 enos deploy -f wan-expl.yml
```






Experiments performed on top of Grid'5000 and Chameleon

Latency Impact – Control Plane (Rally Metrics)

```
$ cat ./run.yml
rally:
                                                       70
 args:
  concurrency: 1
                                                     Compltetion Time (s)
8 0 0 0
  times: 20
 scenarios:
  file:nova-boot-and-associate-floating-ip.yml
  file:nova-boot-and-delete.yml
  file:nova-boot-and-add-secgroup.yml
  file:nova-pause-and-unpause.yml
shaker: ...
                                                       20
 enos bench --workload=run.yml
                                                               25
```




Latency Impact – Control Plane (OSProfiler Metrics)

HTML View



Sequence Diagram





Latency Impact – Data Plane (Shaker Metrics)

```
$ cat ./run.yml

rally: ...
shaker:
   file: openstack/dense_13_east_west.yml
   file: openstack/full_13_east_west.yml

$ enos bench --workload=run.yml
```





Ping response time is twice the RTT (which corresponds to the normal workflow)


Latency Impact – Data Plane (Shaker Metrics)

- DVR: Distributed Virtual Routing
 - L3 forwarding/NAT distributed to the compute nodes

```
$ cat ./wan-exp2.yml

resources: ...
network_constraints: ...
kolla:
    enable_neutron_dvr: true


$ enos deploy -f wan-exp2.yml
```




Latency Impact – Data Plane (Shaker Metrics)



With DVR (LAN RTT)







Proposed for Scalability issues but critical for WAN deployments

OpenStack Performance Characterisation (still an on-going action)

- Scalability: OpenStack to manage 1000 nodes and more...
- WANWide deployment
 - Latency impact Network degradations (loss impact)
 - More advanced deployment strategies
- On-going actions
 - short term: understanding gathered results
 - mid-term: automated Performance Regression Testing



Single controller, multiple compute nodes



multiple controller, multiple compute nodes, multiple regions

Takeaway message 1

- OpenStack is no more a monolithic system but rather an ecosystem of building blocks to operate virtualised infrastructures.
- EnOS, A tool for diving into OpenStack and performing scientific investigations
 - First reproducible results regarding OpenStack performance (Scalability: OpenStack Summit Barcelona 2016, Latency impact: OpenStack Summit Boston 2017, EnOS: general overview CCGRID 2017)
 - Should enable the Scientific community to make scientific contributions while favouring transfers to OpenStack
 - We have been doing that for several software stacks (Linux, hadoop...)
 - There is room for improvement!

Takeaway message 2

- OpenStack for operating massively distributed infrastructures
 - Just the premisses yet but we believe it should enable our community to move forward faster while preventing us to reinvent the wheel!
 - Strong interests from telcos and other initiatives (ETSI MEC, OpenEdgeComputing initiative...)
 - Fog/Edge Massively Distributed Cloud WG: debate and investigate how OpenStack can address Fog/Edge Computing use-cases https://wiki.openstack.org/wiki/Fog_Edge_Massively_Distributed_Clouds

openstack.

http://beyondtheclouds.github.io



Thanks to all Discovery colleagues and OpenStack Folks

