



Some languages are *not* regular

When is a language is regular?

if we are able to construct one of the following: DFA *or* NFA *or* ϵ -NFA *or* regular expression

When is it not?

If we can show that no FA can be built for a language



How to prove languages are *not* regular?

What if we cannot come up with any FA?

- A) Can it be language that is not regular?
- B) Or is it that we tried wrong approaches?

How do we *decisively* prove that a language is not regular?

"The hardest thing of all is to find a black cat in a dark room, especially if there is no cat!" -Confucius





Example of a non-regular language

Let $L = \{w \mid w \text{ is of the form } 0^n 1^n, \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$

- Hypothesis: L is not regular
- Intuitive rationale: How do you keep track of a running count in an FA?
- A more formal rationale:
 - By contradiction, if L is regular then there should exist a DFA for L .
 - Let k = number of states in that DFA.
 - Consider the special word $w = 0^k 1^k \Rightarrow w \in L$
 - DFA is in some state p_i , after consuming the first i symbols in w



Rationale...

- Let $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be the sequence of states that the DFA should have visited after consuming the first k symbols in w which is 0^k
- But there are only k states in the DFA!
- \implies at least one state should repeat somewhere along the path (by  +  Principle)
- \implies Let the repeating state be $p_i = p_j$ for $i < j$
- \implies We can fool the DFA by inputting $0^{(k-(j-i))}1^k$ and still get it to accept (note: $k-(j-i)$ is at most $k-1$).
- \implies DFA accepts strings w with unequal number of 0s and 1s, implying that the DFA is wrong!



The Pumping Lemma for Regular Languages



A technique that is used to show
that a given language is not
regular



Pumping Lemma for Regular Languages

Let L be a regular language

Then there exists some constant N such that for every string $w \in L$ s.t. $|w| \geq N$, there exists a way to break w into three parts, $w = xyz$, such that:

1. $y \neq \varepsilon$
2. $|xy| \leq N$
3. For all $k \geq 0$, all strings of the form $xy^kz \in L$

This clause should hold for all regular languages.

Definition: N is called the “Pumping Lemma Constant”