

# Module 10

## Coding and Testing

# Lesson 23 Code Review

## Specific Instructional Objectives

At the end of this lesson the student would be able to:

- Identify the necessity of coding standards.
- Differentiate between coding standards and coding guidelines.
- State what code review is.
- Explain what clean room testing is.
- Explain the necessity of properly documenting software.
- Differentiate between internal documentation and external documentation.
- Explain what is testing.
- Explain the aim of testing.
- Differentiate between verification and validation.
- Explain why random selection of test cases is not effective.
- Differentiate between functional testing and structural testing.

## Coding

Good software development organizations normally require their programmers to adhere to some well-defined and standard style of coding called coding standards. Most software development organizations formulate their own coding standards that suit them most, and require their engineers to follow these standards rigorously. The purpose of requiring all engineers of an organization to adhere to a standard style of coding is the following:

- A coding standard gives a uniform appearance to the codes written by different engineers.
- It enhances code understanding.
- It encourages good programming practices.

A coding standard lists several rules to be followed during coding, such as the way variables are to be named, the way the code is to be laid out, error return conventions, etc.

## Coding standards and guidelines

Good software development organizations usually develop their own coding standards and guidelines depending on what best suits their organization and the type of products they develop.

The following are some representative coding standards.

**Rules for limiting the use of global:** These rules list what types of data can be declared global and what cannot.

**Contents of the headers preceding codes for different modules:** The information contained in the headers of different modules should be standard for an organization. The exact format in which the header information is organized in the header can also be specified. The following are some standard header data:

- Name of the module.
- Date on which the module was created.
- Author's name.
- Modification history.
- Synopsis of the module.
- Different functions supported, along with their input/output parameters.
- Global variables accessed/modified by the module.

**Naming conventions for global variables, local variables, and constant identifiers:** A possible naming convention can be that global variable names always start with a capital letter, local variable names are made of small letters, and constant names are always capital letters.

**Error return conventions and exception handling mechanisms:** The way error conditions are reported by different functions in a program are handled should be standard within an organization. For example, different functions while encountering an error condition should either return a 0 or 1 consistently.

The following are some representative coding guidelines recommended by many software development organizations.

**Do not use a coding style that is too clever or too difficult to understand:** Code should be easy to understand. Many inexperienced engineers actually take pride in writing cryptic and incomprehensible code. Clever coding can obscure meaning of the code and hamper understanding. It also makes maintenance difficult.

**Avoid obscure side effects:** The side effects of a function call include modification of parameters passed by reference, modification of global variables, and I/O operations. An obscure side effect is one that is not obvious from a casual examination of the code. Obscure side effects make it difficult to understand a piece of code. For example, if a global variable is changed obscurely in a called module or some file I/O is performed which is difficult to infer from the function's name and header information, it becomes difficult for anybody trying to understand the code.

**Do not use an identifier for multiple purposes:** Programmers often use the same identifier to denote several temporary entities. For example, some

programmers use a temporary loop variable for computing and a storing the final result. The rationale that is usually given by these programmers for such multiple uses of variables is memory efficiency, e.g. three variables use up three memory locations, whereas the same variable used in three different ways uses just one memory location. However, there are several things wrong with this approach and hence should be avoided. Some of the problems caused by use of variables for multiple purposes as follows:

- Each variable should be given a descriptive name indicating its purpose. This is not possible if an identifier is used for multiple purposes. Use of a variable for multiple purposes can lead to confusion and make it difficult for somebody trying to read and understand the code.
- Use of variables for multiple purposes usually makes future enhancements more difficult.

**The code should be well-documented:** As a rule of thumb, there must be at least one comment line on the average for every three-source line.

**The length of any function should not exceed 10 source lines:** A function that is very lengthy is usually very difficult to understand as it probably carries out many different functions. For the same reason, lengthy functions are likely to have disproportionately larger number of bugs.

**Do not use goto statements:** Use of goto statements makes a program unstructured and makes it very difficult to understand.

## Code review

Code review for a module is carried out after the module is successfully compiled and the all the syntax errors have been eliminated. Code reviews are extremely cost-effective strategies for reduction in coding errors and to produce high quality code. Normally, two types of reviews are carried out on the code of a module. These two types code review techniques are code inspection and code walk through.

### Code Walk Throughs

Code walk through is an informal code analysis technique. In this technique, after a module has been coded, successfully compiled and all syntax errors eliminated. A few members of the development team are given the code few days before the walk through meeting to read and understand code. Each member selects some test cases and simulates execution of the code by hand (i.e. trace execution through each statement and function execution). The main objectives of the walk through are to discover the algorithmic and logical errors in the code. The members note down their findings to discuss these in a walk through meeting where the coder of the module is present.

Even though a code walk through is an informal analysis technique, several guidelines have evolved over the years for making this naïve but useful analysis technique more effective. Of course, these guidelines are based on personal experience, common sense, and several subjective factors. Therefore, these guidelines should be considered as examples rather than accepted as rules to be applied dogmatically. Some of these guidelines are the following.

- The team performing code walk through should not be either too big or too small. Ideally, it should consist of between three to seven members.
- Discussion should focus on discovery of errors and not on how to fix the discovered errors.
- In order to foster cooperation and to avoid the feeling among engineers that they are being evaluated in the code walk through meeting, managers should not attend the walk through meetings.

### **Code Inspection**

In contrast to code walk through, the aim of code inspection is to discover some common types of errors caused due to oversight and improper programming. In other words, during code inspection the code is examined for the presence of certain kinds of errors, in contrast to the hand simulation of code execution done in code walk throughs. For instance, consider the classical error of writing a procedure that modifies a formal parameter while the calling routine calls that procedure with a constant actual parameter. It is more likely that such an error will be discovered by looking for these kinds of mistakes in the code, rather than by simply hand simulating execution of the procedure. In addition to the commonly made errors, adherence to coding standards is also checked during code inspection. Good software development companies collect statistics regarding different types of errors commonly committed by their engineers and identify the type of errors most frequently committed. Such a list of commonly committed errors can be used during code inspection to look out for possible errors.

Following is a list of some classical programming errors which can be checked during code inspection:

- Use of uninitialized variables.
- Jumps into loops.
- Nonterminating loops.
- Incompatible assignments.
- Array indices out of bounds.
- Improper storage allocation and deallocation.

- Mismatches between actual and formal parameter in procedure calls.
- Use of incorrect logical operators or incorrect precedence among operators.
- Improper modification of loop variables.
- Comparison of equality of floating point variables, etc.

## Clean room testing

Clean room testing was pioneered by IBM. This type of testing relies heavily on walk throughs, inspection, and formal verification. The programmers are not allowed to test any of their code by executing the code other than doing some syntax testing using a compiler. The software development philosophy is based on avoiding software defects by using a rigorous inspection process. The objective of this software is zero-defect software.

The name 'clean room' was derived from the analogy with semi-conductor fabrication units. In these units (clean rooms), defects are avoided by manufacturing in ultra-clean atmosphere. In this kind of development, inspections to check the consistency of the components with their specifications has replaced unit-testing.

This technique reportedly produces documentation and code that is more reliable and maintainable than other development methods relying heavily on code execution-based testing.

The clean room approach to software development is based on five characteristics:

- **Formal specification:** The software to be developed is formally specified. A state-transition model which shows system responses to stimuli is used to express the specification.
- **Incremental development:** The software is partitioned into increments which are developed and validated separately using the clean room process. These increments are specified, with customer input, at an early stage in the process.
- **Structured programming:** Only a limited number of control and data abstraction constructs are used. The program development process is process of stepwise refinement of the specification.
- **Static verification:** The developed software is statically verified using rigorous software inspections. There is no unit or module testing process for code components.

- **Statistical testing of the system:** The integrated software increment is tested statistically to determine its reliability. These statistical tests are based on the operational profile which is developed in parallel with the system specification.

The main problem with this approach is that testing effort is increased as walk throughs, inspection, and verification are time-consuming.

## Software documentation

When various kinds of software products are developed then not only the executable files and the source code are developed but also various kinds of documents such as users' manual, software requirements specification (SRS) documents, design documents, test documents, installation manual, etc are also developed as part of any software engineering process. All these documents are a vital part of good software development practice. Good documents are very useful and serve the following purposes:

- Good documents enhance understandability and maintainability of a software product. They reduce the effort and time required for maintenance.
- Use documents help the users in effectively using the system.
- Good documents help in effectively handling the manpower turnover problem. Even when an engineer leaves the organization, and a new engineer comes in, he can build up the required knowledge easily.
- Production of good documents helps the manager in effectively tracking the progress of the project. The project manager knows that measurable progress is achieved if a piece of work is done and the required documents have been produced and reviewed.

Different types of software documents can broadly be classified into the following:

- Internal documentation
- External documentation

Internal documentation is the code comprehension features provided as part of the source code itself. Internal documentation is provided through appropriate module headers and comments embedded in the source code. Internal documentation is also provided through the useful variable names, module and function headers, code indentation, code structuring, use of enumerated types and constant identifiers, use of user-defined data types, etc. Careful experiments



suggest that out of all types of internal documentation meaningful variable names is most useful in understanding the code. This is of course in contrast to the common expectation that code commenting would be the most useful. The research finding is obviously true when comments are written without thought. For example, the following style of code commenting does not in any way help in understanding the code.

```
a = 10;      /* a made 10 */
```

But even when code is carefully commented, meaningful variable names still are more helpful in understanding a piece of code. Good software development organizations usually ensure good internal documentation by appropriately formulating their coding standards and coding guidelines.

External documentation is provided through various types of supporting documents such as users' manual, software requirements specification document, design document, test documents, etc. A systematic software development style ensures that all these documents are produced in an orderly fashion.

## Program Testing

Testing a program consists of providing the program with a set of test inputs (or test cases) and observing if the program behaves as expected. If the program fails to behave as expected, then the conditions under which failure occurs are noted for later debugging and correction.

Some commonly used terms associated with testing are:

- **Failure:** This is a manifestation of an error (or defect or bug). But, the mere presence of an error may not necessarily lead to a failure.
- **Test case:** This is the triplet [I,S,O], where I is the data input to the system, S is the state of the system at which the data is input, and O is the expected output of the system.
- **Test suite:** This is the set of all test cases with which a given software product is to be tested.

## Aim of testing

The aim of the testing process is to identify all defects existing in a software product. However for most practical systems, even after satisfactorily carrying out the testing phase, it is not possible to guarantee that the software is error free. This is because of the fact that the input data domain of most software products is very large. It is not practical to test the software exhaustively with respect to each value that the input data may assume. Even with this practical limitation of the testing process, the importance of testing should not be underestimated. It must be remembered that testing does expose many defects existing in a

software product. Thus testing provides a practical way of reducing defects in a system and increasing the users' confidence in a developed system.

## Differentiate between verification and validation.

Verification is the process of determining whether the output of one phase of software development conforms to that of its previous phase, whereas validation is the process of determining whether a fully developed system conforms to its requirements specification. Thus while verification is concerned with phase containment of errors, the aim of validation is that the final product be error free.

## Design of test cases

Exhaustive testing of almost any non-trivial system is impractical due to the fact that the domain of input data values to most practical software systems is either extremely large or infinite. Therefore, we must design an optional test suite that is of reasonable size and can uncover as many errors existing in the system as possible. Actually, if test cases are selected randomly, many of these randomly selected test cases do not contribute to the significance of the test suite, i.e. they do not detect any additional defects not already being detected by other test cases in the suite. Thus, the number of random test cases in a test suite is, in general, not an indication of the effectiveness of the testing. In other words, testing a system using a large collection of test cases that are selected at random does not guarantee that all (or even most) of the errors in the system will be uncovered. Consider the following example code segment which finds the greater of two integer values  $x$  and  $y$ . This code segment has a simple programming error.

```
    If ( $x > y$ )      max =  $x$ ;  
    else           max =  $x$ ;
```

For the above code segment, the test suite,  $\{(x=3, y=2); (x=2, y=3)\}$  can detect the error, whereas a larger test suite  $\{(x=3, y=2); (x=4, y=3); (x=5, y=1)\}$  does not detect the error. So, it would be incorrect to say that a larger test suite would always detect more errors than a smaller one, unless of course the larger test suite has also been carefully designed. This implies that the test suite should be carefully designed than picked randomly. Therefore, systematic approaches should be followed to design an optimal test suite. In an optimal test suite, each test case is designed to detect different errors.

## Functional testing vs. Structural testing

In the black-box testing approach, test cases are designed using only the functional specification of the software, i.e. without any knowledge of the internal structure of the software. For this reason, black-box testing is known as functional testing.

On the other hand, in the white-box testing approach, designing test cases requires thorough knowledge about the internal structure of software, and therefore the white-box testing is called structural testing..

# Module 10

## Coding and Testing

# Lesson 24

## Black-Box Testing

## Specific Instructional Objectives

At the end of this lesson the student would be able to:

- Differentiate between testing in the large and testing in the small.
- Explain what unit testing.
- Explain what black box testing is.
- Identify equivalence classes for any given problem.
- Explain what is meant by boundary value analysis.
- Design test cases corresponding to equivalence class testing and boundary value analysis for any given problem.

## Testing in the large vs. testing in the small

Software products are normally tested first at the individual component (or unit) level. This is referred to as testing in the small. After testing all the components individually, the components are slowly integrated and tested at each level of integration (integration testing). Finally, the fully integrated system is tested (called system testing). Integration and system testing are known as testing in the large.

## Unit testing


Unit testing is undertaken after a module has been coded and successfully reviewed. Unit testing (or module testing) is the testing of different units (or modules) of a system in isolation.

In order to test a single module, a complete environment is needed to provide all that is necessary for execution of the module. That is, besides the module under test itself, the following steps are needed in order to be able to test the module:

- The procedures belonging to other modules that the module under test calls.
- Nonlocal data structures that the module accesses.
- A procedure to call the functions of the module under test with appropriate parameters.

Modules required to provide the necessary environment (which either call or are called by the module under test) is usually not available until they too have been unit tested, stubs and drivers are designed to provide the complete environment for a module. The role of stub and driver modules is pictorially shown in fig. 10.1. A stub procedure is a dummy procedure that has the same I/O parameters as the given procedure but has a highly simplified behavior. For example, a stub procedure may produce the expected behavior using a simple table lookup

mechanism. A driver module contains the nonlocal data structures accessed by the module under test, and would also have the code to call the different functions of the module with appropriate parameter values.



**Fig. 10.1:** Unit testing with the help of driver and stub modules

## Black box testing

In the black-box testing, test cases are designed from an examination of the input/output values only and no knowledge of design, or code is required. The following are the two main approaches to designing black box test cases.

- Equivalence class partitioning
- Boundary value analysis

### Equivalence Class Partitioning

In this approach, the domain of input values to a program is partitioned into a set of equivalence classes. This partitioning is done such that the behavior of the program is similar for every input data belonging to the same equivalence class. The main idea behind defining the equivalence classes is that testing the code with any one value belonging to an equivalence class is as good as testing the software with any other value belonging to that equivalence class. Equivalence classes for a software can be designed by examining the input data and output data. The following are some general guidelines for designing the equivalence classes:

1. If the input data values to a system can be specified by a range of values, then one valid and two invalid equivalence classes should be defined.
2. If the input data assumes values from a set of discrete members of some domain, then one equivalence class for valid input values and another equivalence class for invalid input values should be defined.

**Example#1:** For a software that computes the square root of an input integer which can assume values in the range of 0 to 5000, there are three equivalence classes: The set of negative integers, the set of integers in the range of 0 and 5000, and the integers larger than 5000. Therefore, the test cases must include representatives for each of the three equivalence classes and a possible test set can be: {-5,500,6000}.

**Example#2:** Design the black-box test suite for the following program. The program computes the intersection point of two straight lines and displays the result. It reads two integer pairs (m1, c1) and (m2, c2) defining the two straight lines of the form  $y=mx + c$ .

The equivalence classes are the following:

- Parallel lines ( $m_1=m_2, c_1 \neq c_2$ )
- Intersecting lines ( $m_1 \neq m_2$ )
- Coincident lines ( $m_1=m_2, c_1=c_2$ )

Now, selecting one representative value from each equivalence class, the test suit (2, 2) (2, 5), (5, 5) (7, 7), (10, 10) (10, 10) are obtained.

### Boundary Value Analysis

A type of programming error frequently occurs at the boundaries of different equivalence classes of inputs. The reason behind such errors might purely be due to psychological factors. Programmers often fail to see the special processing required by the input values that lie at the boundary of the different equivalence classes. For example, programmers may improperly use  $<$  instead of  $\leq$ , or conversely  $\leq$  for  $<$ . Boundary value analysis leads to selection of test cases at the boundaries of the different equivalence classes.

**Example:** For a function that computes the square root of integer values in the range of 0 and 5000, the test cases must include the following values: {0, -1,5000,5001}.



## Test cases for equivalence class testing and boundary value analysis for a problem

Let's consider a function that computes the square root of integer values in the range of 0 and 5000. For this particular problem, test cases corresponding to equivalence class testing and boundary value analysis have been found out earlier.

# Module 10

## Coding and Testing

# Lesson 25

## White-Box Testing


## Specific Instructional Objectives

At the end of this lesson the student would be able to:

- In the context of white box testing strategy, differentiate between stronger testing and complementary testing.
- Design statement coverage test cases for a code segment.
- Design branch coverage test cases for a code segment.
- Design condition coverage test cases for a code segment .
- Design path coverage test cases for a code segment.
- Draw control flow graph for any program.
- Identify the linear independent paths.
- Compute cyclomatic complexity from any control flow graph.
- Explain data flow-based testing.
- Explain mutation testing.

## White box testing

One white-box testing strategy is said to be *stronger than* another strategy, if all types of errors detected by the first testing strategy is also detected by the second testing strategy, and the second testing strategy additionally detects some more types of errors. When two testing strategies detect errors that are different at least with respect to some types of errors, then they are called *complementary*. The concepts of stronger and complementary testing are schematically illustrated in fig. 10.2.



**Fig. 10.2:** Stronger and complementary testing strategies

## Statement coverage

The statement coverage strategy aims to design test cases so that every statement in a program is executed at least once. The principal idea governing the statement coverage strategy is that unless a statement is executed, it is very hard to determine if an error exists in that statement. Unless a statement is executed, it is very difficult to observe whether it causes failure due to some illegal memory access, wrong result computation, etc. However, executing some statement once and observing that it behaves properly for that input value is no guarantee that it will behave correctly for all input values. In the following, designing of test cases using the statement coverage strategy have been shown.

**Example:** Consider the Euclid's GCD computation algorithm:

```
int compute_gcd(x, y)
    int x, y;
    {
        1  while (x != y){
        2      if (x > y) then
        3          x = x - y;
        4      else y = y - x;
        5  }
        6  return x;
    }
```

By choosing the test set  $\{(x=3, y=3), (x=4, y=3), (x=3, y=4)\}$ , we can exercise the program such that all statements are executed at least once.

## Branch coverage

In the branch coverage-based testing strategy, test cases are designed to make each branch condition to assume true and false values in turn. Branch testing is also known as edge testing as in this testing scheme, each edge of a program's control flow graph is traversed at least once.

It is obvious that branch testing guarantees statement coverage and thus is a stronger testing strategy compared to the statement coverage-based testing. For Euclid's GCD computation algorithm, the test cases for branch coverage can be  $\{(x=3, y=3), (x=3, y=2), (x=4, y=3), (x=3, y=4)\}$ .

## Condition coverage

In this structural testing, test cases are designed to make each component of a composite conditional expression to assume both true and false values. For example, in the conditional expression  $((c1.and.c2).or.c3)$ , the components  $c1$ ,  $c2$  and  $c3$  are each made to assume both true and false values. Branch testing is

probably the simplest condition testing strategy where only the compound conditions appearing in the different branch statements are made to assume the true and false values. Thus, condition testing is a stronger testing strategy than branch testing and branch testing is stronger testing strategy than the statement coverage-based testing. For a composite conditional expression of  $n$  components, for condition coverage,  $2^n$  test cases are required. Thus, for condition coverage, the number of test cases increases exponentially with the number of component conditions. Therefore, a condition coverage-based testing technique is practical only if  $n$  (the number of conditions) is small.

## Path coverage

The path coverage-based testing strategy requires us to design test cases such that all linearly independent paths in the program are executed at least once. A linearly independent path can be defined in terms of the control flow graph (CFG) of a program.

## Control Flow Graph (CFG)

A control flow graph describes the sequence in which the different instructions of a program get executed. In other words, a control flow graph describes how the control flows through the program. In order to draw the control flow graph of a program, all the statements of a program must be numbered first. The different numbered statements serve as nodes of the control flow graph (as shown in fig. 10.3). An edge from one node to another node exists if the execution of the statement representing the first node can result in the transfer of control to the other node.

The CFG for any program can be easily drawn by knowing how to represent the sequence, selection, and iteration type of statements in the CFG. After all, a program is made up from these types of statements. Fig. 10.3 summarizes how the CFG for these three types of statements can be drawn. It is important to note that for the iteration type of constructs such as the while construct, the loop condition is tested only at the beginning of the loop and therefore the control flow from the last statement of the loop is always to the top of the loop. Using these basic ideas, the CFG of Euclid's GCD computation algorithm can be drawn as shown in fig. 10.4.

**Sequence:**


1. a=5;
2. b=a^2-1;



(a)

**Selection:**


1. if(a>b)
2. c=3;
3. else c=5;
4. c=c\*c;



(b)


**Iteration:**

1. while(a>b){
2. b=b-1;
3. b=b\*a;}
4. c=a+b;



(c)

**Fig. 10.3:** CFG for (a) sequence, (b) selection, and (c) iteration type of constructs



**Fig. 10.4:** Control flow diagram

### Path

A path through a program is a node and edge sequence from the starting node to a terminal node of the control flow graph of a program. There can be more than one terminal node in a program. Writing test cases to cover all the paths of a typical program is impractical. For this reason, the path-coverage testing does not require coverage of all paths but only coverage of linearly independent paths.

### Linearly independent path

A linearly independent path is any path through the program that introduces at least one new edge that is not included in any other linearly independent paths. If a path has one new node compared to all other linearly independent paths, then the path is also linearly independent. This is because, any path having a new node automatically implies that it has a new edge. Thus, a path that is subpath of another path is not considered to be a linearly independent path.



## Control flow graph

In order to understand the path coverage-based testing strategy, it is very much necessary to understand the control flow graph (CFG) of a program. Control flow graph (CFG) of a program has been discussed earlier.

## Linearly independent path

The path-coverage testing does not require coverage of all paths but only coverage of linearly independent paths. Linearly independent paths have been discussed earlier.

## Cyclomatic complexity

For more complicated programs it is not easy to determine the number of independent paths of the program. McCabe's cyclomatic complexity defines an upper bound for the number of linearly independent paths through a program. Also, the McCabe's cyclomatic complexity is very simple to compute. Thus, the McCabe's cyclomatic complexity metric provides a practical way of determining the maximum number of linearly independent paths in a program. Though the McCabe's metric does not directly identify the linearly independent paths, but it informs approximately how many paths to look for.

There are three different ways to compute the cyclomatic complexity. The answers computed by the three methods are guaranteed to agree.

### Method 1:

Given a control flow graph  $G$  of a program, the cyclomatic complexity  $V(G)$  can be computed as:

$$V(G) = E - N + 2$$

where  $N$  is the number of nodes of the control flow graph and  $E$  is the number of edges in the control flow graph.

For the CFG of example shown in fig. 10.4,  $E=7$  and  $N=6$ . Therefore, the cyclomatic complexity =  $7-6+2 = 3$ .

### Method 2:

An alternative way of computing the cyclomatic complexity of a program from an inspection of its control flow graph is as follows:

$$V(G) = \text{Total number of bounded areas} + 1$$

In the program's control flow graph  $G$ , any region enclosed by nodes and edges can be called as a bounded area. This is an easy way to determine the McCabe's cyclomatic complexity. But, what if the graph  $G$  is not

planar, i.e. however you draw the graph, two or more edges intersect? Actually, it can be shown that structured programs always yield planar graphs. But, presence of GOTO's can easily add intersecting edges. Therefore, for non-structured programs, this way of computing the McCabe's cyclomatic complexity cannot be used.

The number of bounded areas increases with the number of decision paths and loops. Therefore, the McCabe's metric provides a quantitative measure of testing difficulty and the ultimate reliability. For the CFG example shown in fig. 10.4, from a visual examination of the CFG the number of bounded areas is 2. Therefore the cyclomatic complexity, computing with this method is also  $2+1 = 3$ . This method provides a very easy way of computing the cyclomatic complexity of CFGs, just from a visual examination of the CFG. On the other hand, the other method of computing CFGs is more amenable to automation, i.e. it can be easily coded into a program which can be used to determine the cyclomatic complexities of arbitrary CFGs.

### Method 3:

The cyclomatic complexity of a program can also be easily computed by computing the number of decision statements of the program. If  $N$  is the number of decision statement of a program, then the McCabe's metric is equal to  $N+1$ .

## Data flow-based testing

Data flow-based testing method selects test paths of a program according to the locations of the definitions and uses of different variables in a program.

For a statement numbered  $S$ , let

**DEF(S) = {X/statement S contains a definition of X}, and**  
**USES(S) = {X/statement S contains a use of X}**

For the statement  $s : a=b+c ;$ , DEF(S) = {a}. USES(S) = {b, c}. The definition of variable X at statement S is said to be live at statement S1, if there exists a path from statement S to statement S1 which does not contain any definition of X.

The *definition-use chain* (or DU chain) of a variable X is of form [X, S, S1], where S and S1 are statement numbers, such that  $X \in \text{DEF}(S)$  and  $X \in \text{USES}(S1)$ , and the definition of X in the statement S is live at statement S1. One simple data flow testing strategy is to require that every DU chain be covered at least once. Data flow testing strategies are useful for selecting test paths of a program containing nested if and loop statements.

## Mutation testing

In mutation testing, the software is first tested by using an initial test suite built up from the different white box testing strategies. After the initial testing is complete, mutation testing is taken up. The idea behind mutation testing is to make few arbitrary changes to a program at a time. Each time the program is changed, it is called as a mutated program and the change effected is called as a mutant. A mutated program is tested against the full test suite of the program. If there exists at least one test case in the test suite for which a mutant gives an incorrect result, then the mutant is said to be dead. If a mutant remains alive even after all the test cases have been exhausted, the test data is enhanced to kill the mutant. The process of generation and killing of mutants can be automated by predefining a set of primitive changes that can be applied to the program. These primitive changes can be alterations such as changing an arithmetic operator, changing the value of a constant, changing a data type, etc. A major disadvantage of the mutation-based testing approach is that it is computationally very expensive, since a large number of possible mutants can be generated.

Since mutation testing generates a large number of mutants and requires us to check each mutant with the full test suite, it is not suitable for manual testing. Mutation testing should be used in conjunction of some testing tool which would run all the test cases automatically.

# Module 10

## Coding and Testing

# Lesson 26

## Debugging, Integration and System Testing

## Specific Instructional Objectives

At the end of this lesson the student would be able to:

- Explain why debugging is needed.
- Explain three approaches of debugging.
- Explain three guidelines for effective debugging.
- Explain what is meant by a program analysis tool.
- Explain the functions of a static program analysis tool.
- Explain the functions of a dynamic program analysis tool.
- Explain the type of failures detected by integration testing.
- Identify four types of integration test approaches and explain them.
- Differentiate between phased and incremental testing in the context of integration testing.
- What are three types of system testing? Differentiate among them.
- Identify nine types of performance tests that can be performed to check whether the system meets the non-functional requirements identified in the SRS document.
- Explain what is meant by error seeding.
- Explain what functions are performed by regression testing.

## Need for debugging

Once errors are identified in a program code, it is necessary to first identify the precise program statements responsible for the errors and then to fix them. Identifying errors in a program code and then fix them up are known as debugging.

## Debugging approaches

The following are some of the approaches popularly adopted by programmers for debugging.

### **Brute Force Method:**

This is the most common method of debugging but is the least efficient method. In this approach, the program is loaded with print statements to print the intermediate values with the hope that some of the printed values will help to identify the statement in error. This approach becomes more systematic with the use of a symbolic debugger (also called a source code debugger), because values of different variables can be easily checked and break points and watch points can be easily set to test the values of variables effortlessly.

### **Backtracking:**

This is also a fairly common approach. In this approach, beginning from the statement at which an error symptom has been observed, the source code is traced backwards until the error is discovered. Unfortunately, as the number of source lines to be traced back increases, the number of potential backward paths increases and may become unmanageably large thus limiting the use of this approach.

### **Cause Elimination Method:**

In this approach, a list of causes which could possibly have contributed to the error symptom is developed and tests are conducted to eliminate each. A related technique of identification of the error from the error symptom is the software fault tree analysis.

### **Program Slicing:**

This technique is similar to back tracking. Here the search space is reduced by defining slices. A slice of a program for a particular variable at a particular statement is the set of source lines preceding this statement that can influence the value of that variable [Mund2002].

## **Debugging guidelines**

Debugging is often carried out by programmers based on their ingenuity. The following are some general guidelines for effective debugging:

- Many times debugging requires a thorough understanding of the program design. Trying to debug based on a partial understanding of the system design and implementation may require an inordinate amount of effort to be put into debugging even simple problems.
- Debugging may sometimes even require full redesign of the system. In such cases, a common mistakes that novice programmers often make is attempting not to fix the error but its symptoms.
- One must be beware of the possibility that an error correction may introduce new errors. Therefore after every round of error-fixing, regression testing must be carried out.

## **Program analysis tools**

A program analysis tool means an automated tool that takes the source code or the executable code of a program as input and produces reports regarding several important characteristics of the program, such as its size, complexity, adequacy of commenting, adherence to programming standards, etc. We can classify these into two broad categories of program analysis tools:

- Static Analysis tools
- Dynamic Analysis tools

## Static program analysis tools

Static analysis tool is also a program analysis tool. It assesses and computes various characteristics of a software product without executing it. Typically, static analysis tools analyze some structural representation of a program to arrive at certain analytical conclusions, e.g. that some structural properties hold. The structural properties that are usually analyzed are:

- Whether the coding standards have been adhered to?
- Certain programming errors such as uninitialized variables and mismatch between actual and formal parameters, variables that are declared but never used are also checked.

Code walk throughs and code inspections might be considered as static analysis methods. But, the term static program analysis is used to denote automated analysis tools. So, a compiler can be considered to be a static program analysis tool.

## Dynamic program analysis tools

Dynamic program analysis techniques require the program to be executed and its actual behavior recorded. A dynamic analyzer usually instruments the code (i.e. adds additional statements in the source code to collect program execution traces). The instrumented code when executed allows us to record the behavior of the software for different test cases. After the software has been tested with its full test suite and its behavior recorded, the dynamic analysis tool carries out a post execution analysis and produces reports which describe the structural coverage that has been achieved by the complete test suite for the program. For example, the post execution dynamic analysis report might provide data on extent statement, branch and path coverage achieved.

Normally the dynamic analysis results are reported in the form of a histogram or a pie chart to describe the structural coverage achieved for different modules of the program. The output of a dynamic analysis tool can be stored and printed easily and provides evidence that thorough testing has been done. The dynamic analysis results the extent of testing performed in white-box mode. If the testing coverage is not satisfactory more test cases can be designed and added to the test suite. Further, dynamic analysis results can help to eliminate redundant test cases from the test suite.



## Integration testing

The primary objective of integration testing is to test the module interfaces, i.e. there are no errors in the parameter passing, when one module invokes another module. During integration testing, different modules of a system are integrated in a planned manner using an integration plan. The integration plan specifies the steps and the order in which modules are combined to realize the full system. After each integration step, the partially integrated system is tested. An important factor that guides the integration plan is the module dependency graph. The structure chart (or module dependency graph) denotes the order in which different modules call each other. By examining the structure chart the integration plan can be developed.

## Integration test approaches

There are four types of integration testing approaches. Any one (or a mixture) of the following approaches can be used to develop the integration test plan. Those approaches are the following:

- Big bang approach
- Top-down approach
- Bottom-up approach
- Mixed-approach

### Big-Bang Integration Testing

It is the simplest integration testing approach, where all the modules making up a system are integrated in a single step. In simple words, all the modules of the system are simply put together and tested. However, this technique is practicable only for very small systems. The main problem with this approach is that once an error is found during the integration testing, it is very difficult to localize the error as the error may potentially belong to any of the modules being integrated. Therefore, debugging errors reported during big bang integration testing are very expensive to fix.

### Bottom-Up Integration Testing

In bottom-up testing, each subsystem is tested separately and then the full system is tested. A subsystem might consist of many modules which communicate among each other through well-defined interfaces. The primary purpose of testing each subsystem is to test the interfaces among various modules making up the subsystem. Both control and data interfaces are tested. The test cases must be carefully chosen to exercise the interfaces in all possible manners.

Large software systems normally require several levels of subsystem testing; lower-level subsystems are successively combined to form higher-level subsystems. A principal advantage of bottom-up integration testing is that several disjoint subsystems can be tested simultaneously. In a pure bottom-up testing no stubs are required, only test-drivers are required. A disadvantage of bottom-up testing is the complexity that occurs when the system is made up of a large number of small subsystems. The extreme case corresponds to the big-bang approach.

### **Top-Down Integration Testing**

Top-down integration testing starts with the main routine and one or two subordinate routines in the system. After the top-level 'skeleton' has been tested, the immediately subroutines of the 'skeleton' are combined with it and tested. Top-down integration testing approach requires the use of program stubs to simulate the effect of lower-level routines that are called by the routines under test. A pure top-down integration does not require any driver routines. A disadvantage of the top-down integration testing approach is that in the absence of lower-level routines, many times it may become difficult to exercise the top-level routines in the desired manner since the lower-level routines perform several low-level functions such as I/O.

### **Mixed Integration Testing**

A mixed (also called sandwiched) integration testing follows a combination of top-down and bottom-up testing approaches. In top-down approach, testing can start only after the top-level modules have been coded and unit tested. Similarly, bottom-up testing can start only after the bottom level modules are ready. The mixed approach overcomes this shortcoming of the top-down and bottom-up approaches. In the mixed testing approaches, testing can start as and when modules become available. Therefore, this is one of the most commonly used integration testing approaches.

### **Phased vs. incremental testing**

The different integration testing strategies are either phased or incremental. A comparison of these two strategies is as follows:

- In incremental integration testing, only one new module is added to the partial system each time.
- In phased integration, a group of related modules are added to the partial system each time.

Phased integration requires less number of integration steps compared to the incremental integration approach. However, when failures are detected, it is easier to debug the system in the incremental testing approach since it is known

that the error is caused by addition of a single module. In fact, [big bang testing](#) is a degenerate case of the phased integration testing approach.

## System testing

System tests are designed to validate a fully developed system to assure that it meets its requirements. There are essentially three main kinds of system testing:

- **Alpha Testing.** Alpha testing refers to the system testing carried out by the test team within the developing organization.
- **Beta testing.** Beta testing is the system testing performed by a select group of friendly customers.
- **Acceptance Testing.** Acceptance testing is the system testing performed by the customer to determine whether he should accept the delivery of the system.

In each of the above types of tests, various kinds of test cases are designed by referring to the SRS document. Broadly, these tests can be classified into functionality and performance tests. The functionality tests test the functionality of the software to check whether it satisfies the functional requirements as documented in the SRS document. The performance tests test the conformance of the system with the nonfunctional requirements of the system.

## Performance testing

Performance testing is carried out to check whether the system needs the non-functional requirements identified in the SRS document. There are several types of performance testing. Among of them nine types are discussed below. The types of performance testing to be carried out on a system depend on the different non-functional requirements of the system documented in the SRS document. All performance tests can be considered as black-box tests.

- Stress testing
- Volume testing
- Configuration testing
- Compatibility testing
- Regression testing
- Recovery testing
- Maintenance testing
- Documentation testing
- Usability testing

## **Stress Testing**

Stress testing is also known as endurance testing. Stress testing evaluates system performance when it is stressed for short periods of time. Stress tests are black box tests which are designed to impose a range of abnormal and even illegal input conditions so as to stress the capabilities of the software. Input data volume, input data rate, processing time, utilization of memory, etc. are tested beyond the designed capacity. For example, suppose an operating system is supposed to support 15 multiprogrammed jobs, the system is stressed by attempting to run 15 or more jobs simultaneously. A real-time system might be tested to determine the effect of simultaneous arrival of several high-priority interrupts.

Stress testing is especially important for systems that usually operate below the maximum capacity but are severely stressed at some peak demand hours. For example, if the non-functional requirement specification states that the response time should not be more than 20 secs per transaction when 60 concurrent users are working, then during the stress testing the response time is checked with 60 users working simultaneously.

## **Volume Testing**

It is especially important to check whether the data structures (arrays, queues, stacks, etc.) have been designed to successfully extraordinary situations. For example, a compiler might be tested to check whether the symbol table overflows when a very large program is compiled.

## **Configuration Testing**

This is used to analyze system behavior in various hardware and software configurations specified in the requirements. Sometimes systems are built in variable configurations for different users. For instance, we might define a minimal system to serve a single user, and other extension configurations to serve additional users. The system is configured in each of the required configurations and it is checked if the system behaves correctly in all required configurations.

## **Compatibility Testing**

This type of testing is required when the system interfaces with other types of systems. Compatibility aims to check whether the interface functions perform as required. For instance, if the system needs to communicate with a large database system to retrieve information, compatibility testing is required to test the speed and accuracy of data retrieval.

## **Regression Testing**

This type of testing is required when the system being tested is an upgradation of an already existing system to fix some bugs or enhance functionality, performance, etc. Regression testing is the practice of running an old test suite after each change to the system or after each bug fix to ensure that no new bug has been introduced due to the change or the bug fix. However, if only a few statements are changed, then the entire test suite need not be run - only those test cases that test the functions that are likely to be affected by the change need to be run.

## **Recovery Testing**

Recovery testing tests the response of the system to the presence of faults, or loss of power, devices, services, data, etc. The system is subjected to the loss of the mentioned resources (as applicable and discussed in the SRS document) and it is checked if the system recovers satisfactorily. For example, the printer can be disconnected to check if the system hangs. Or, the power may be shut down to check the extent of data loss and corruption.

## **Maintenance Testing**

This testing addresses the diagnostic programs, and other procedures that are required to be developed to help maintenance of the system. It is verified that the artifacts exist and they perform properly.

## **Documentation Testing**

It is checked that the required user manual, maintenance manuals, and technical manuals exist and are consistent. If the requirements specify the types of audience for which a specific manual should be designed, then the manual is checked for compliance.

## **Usability Testing**

Usability testing concerns checking the user interface to see if it meets all user requirements concerning the user interface. During usability testing, the display screens, report formats, and other aspects relating to the user interface requirements are tested.

## **Error seeding**

Sometimes the customer might specify the maximum number of allowable errors that may be present in the delivered system. These are often expressed in terms of maximum number of allowable errors per line of source code. Error seed can be used to estimate the number of residual errors in a system.

Error seeding, as the name implies, seeds the code with some known errors. In other words, some artificial errors are introduced into the program artificially. The number of these seeded errors detected in the course of the standard testing procedure is determined. These values in conjunction with the number of unseeded errors detected can be used to predict:

- The number of errors remaining in the product.
- The effectiveness of the testing strategy.

Let  $N$  be the total number of defects in the system and let  $n$  of these defects be found by testing.

Let  $S$  be the total number of seeded defects, and let  $s$  of these defects be found during testing.

$$n/N = s/S$$

or

$$N = S \times n/s$$

Defects still remaining after testing =  $N - n = n \times (S - s) / s$

Error seeding works satisfactorily only if the kind of seeded errors matches closely with the kind of defects that actually exist. However, it is difficult to predict the types of errors that exist in a software. To some extent, the different categories of errors that remain can be estimated to a first approximation by analyzing historical data of similar projects. Due to the shortcoming that the types of seeded errors should match closely with the types of errors actually existing in the code, error seeding is useful only to a moderate extent.

## Regression testing

Regression testing does not belong to either unit test, integration test, or system testing. Instead, it is a separate dimension to these three forms of testing. The functionality of regression testing has been discussed earlier.

**The following questions have been designed to test the objectives identified for this module:**

1. What are the different ways of documenting program code? Which of these is usually the most useful while understanding a piece of code?
2. What is a coding standard? Identify the problems that might occur if the engineers of an organization do not adhere to any coding standard.

3. What is the difference between coding standards and coding guidelines? Why are these considered as important in a software development organization?
4. Write down five important coding standards.
5. Write down five important coding guidelines.
6. What do you mean by side effects of a function call? Why are obscure side effects undesirable?
7. What is meant by code review? Why is it required to be completed before performing integration and system testing?
8. Identify the type of errors that can be detected during code walk throughs.
9. Identify the type of errors that can be detected during code inspection.
10. What is clean room testing?
11. Why is it important to properly document a software product?
12. Differentiate between the external and internal documentation of a software product.
13. Identify the necessity of testing of a software product.
14. Distinguish between error and failure. Testing detects which of these two? Justify it.
15. Differentiate between verification and validation in the context of software testing.
16. Is random selection of test cases effective? Justify.
17. Write down major differences between functional testing and structural testing.
18. Do you agree with the statement: "The effectiveness of a testing suite in detecting errors in a system can be determined by examining the number of test cases in the suite". Justify your answer.
19. What are driver and stub modules in the context of unit testing of a software product?
20. Given a software and its requirements specification document, how can black-box test suites for this software be designed?
21. Identify two guidelines for the design of equivalence classes for a problem.
22. Explain why boundary value analysis is so important for the design of black box test suite for a problem.
23. Compare the features of stronger testing with the features of complementary testing.

24. Which is strongest structural testing technique among statement coverage-based testing, branch coverage-based testing, and condition coverage-based testing? Why?
25. Discuss how does control flow graph (CFG) of a problem help in understanding of path coverage based testing strategy.
26. Draw the control flow graph for the following function named find-maximum. From the control flow graph, determine its Cyclomatic complexity.

```

int find-maximum(int i, int j, int k)
{
    int max;

    if(i>j) then
        if(i>k) then max = i;
        else max = k;
        else if(j>k) max = j;
        else max = k;
    return(max);
}

```

27. What is the difference between path and linearly independent path in terms of control flow graph (CFG) of a problem?
28. Define a metric form which the upper bound for the number of linearly independent paths of a program can be computed.
29. Consider the following C function named bin-search:

**/\* num is the number the function searches in a presorted integer array arr \*/**

```

int bin_search(int num)
{
    int min, max;
    min = 0;
    max = 100;
    while(min!=max){
        if (arr[(min+max)/2]>num)
            max = (min+max)/2;
        else if(arr[(min+max)/2]<num)
            min = (min+max)/2;
        else return((min+max)/2); }
    return(-1);
}

```

Determine the cyclomatic complexity of the above problem.



30. What is meant by data flow-based testing approach?
31. What are the advantages of performing mutation testing upon a software product?
32. Write down three general guidelines for performing effective debugging.
33. Distinguish between the static and dynamic analysis of a program. How are static and dynamic program analysis results useful?
34. What do you understand by the term integration testing? What are the different types of integration testing methods that can be used to carry out integration testing of a large software product?
35. Do you agree with the following statement: "System testing can be considered as a pure black-box test." Justify your answer.
36. What do you understand by performance testing? Write down the different types of performance testing.
37. What is meant by error seeding?
38. Explain the necessity of performing regression testing.

**Mark all options which are true.**

1. The side effects of a function call include

- ☐ modification of parameters passed by reference
- ☐ modification of global variables
- ☐ modification of I/O operations
- ☐ all of the above

2. Code review for a module is carried out

- ☐ as soon as skeletal code written
- ☐ before the module is successfully compiled
- ☐ after the module is successfully compiled and all the syntax errors have been eliminated
- ☐ before the module is successfully compiled and all the syntax errors have been eliminated

3. An important factor that guides the integration plan for integration testing is

- ☐ ER diagram
- ☐ data flow diagram
- ☐ structure chart
- ☐ none of the above

**4.** An integration testing approach, where all the modules making up a system are integrated in a single step is known as

- ☐ top-down integration testing
- ☐ bottom-up integration testing
- ☐ big-bang integration testing
- ☐ mixed integration testing

**5.** An integration testing approach, where testing can start whenever modules become available is known as

- ☐ top-down integration testing
- ☐ bottom-up integration testing
- ☐ big-bang integration testing
- ☐ mixed integration testing

**6.** When a system interfaces with other types of systems then that time the testing that will be required is

- ☐ volume testing
- ☐ configuration testing
- ☐ compatibility testing
- ☐ maintenance testing

**7.** When a system being tested is an upgradation of an already existing system to fix some bugs or enhance functionality, performance, etc. then the testing required to be performed is:

- ☐ documentation testing
- ☐ regression testing
- ☐ maintenance testing
- ☐ recovery testing

**8.** Error seed can be used

- ☐ to estimate the total number of defects in the system
- ☐ to estimate the total number of seeded defects in a system
- ☐ to estimate the number of residual errors in a system
- ☐ none of the above

**9.** Test summary report comprises of

- ☐ the total number of tests that have been applied to a subsystem
- ☐ how many tests have been successful
- ☐ how many tests have been unsuccessful
- ☐ all of the above

**Mark the following as either True or False. Justify your answer.**

1. Coding standards are synonyms for coding guidelines.
2. During code inspection, you detect errors whereas during code testing you detect failures.
3. Out of all types of internal documentation (i.e. provided in the source code), careful commenting is most useful.
4. Error and failure are synonymous in software testing terminology.
5. Software verification and validation are synonyms terms.
6. The effectiveness of a test suite in detecting errors in a system can be determined by counting the number of test cases in the suite.
7. The number of test cases required for statement coverage-based testing of a program can be greater than those required for path coverage-based testing of the same program.
8. Condition testing strategy is a stronger testing strategy than branch testing strategy.
9. A program can have more than one linearly independent path.
10. Once the McCabe's Cyclomatic complexity of a program has been determined, it is very easy to identify all the linearly independent paths of the program.
11. Introduction of additional edges and nodes in the CFG due to introduction of sequence types of statements in the program can increase the cyclomatic complexity of the program.
12. A pure top-down integration testing does not require the use of any stub modules.
13. Adherence to coding standards is checked during the system testing stage.
14. Development of suitable driver and stub functions are essential for carrying out effective system testing of a product.
15. System testing can be considered as a white box testing.
16. The main purpose of integration testing is to find design errors.