CSE 6324-001 17th November 2020

Iteration 3 Review for Team 7

By Team 1 Harsh Chaludia & Deep Patel

Issues	Finder	Severity	Status	Defect Type	Defect Description
UML diagram missing	Deep	major	missing	Implementation Defect	The demo was good, but a UML diagram was missing as per the implementation mentioned as per the rubric.
The point "how the product is different from the competitors" is missing.	Harsh	minor	missing	Documentation Defect	The document does not present the competitors and also how they are different from their competitors.
The demo link in the deliverables is not working.	Harsh	minor	wrong	Documentation Defect	The demo link under the code section of the written deliverables is not working.
Missing the realistic plan for how and when to deal with top risks.	Deep	Open issue	missing	Planning Defect	One generalized solution for all the risks and the answer to the solution is missing general planning for each risk.
The accuracies obtained on the algorithms took a lot of time in hours.	Harsh	minor	missing	Implementation Defect	The dataset used, could have been optimized by just taking a part of the dataset, and not the entire one so as to fasten up the iteration deliverables.
The project mentor mentioned a lot about issues which the team needs to work on.	Deep	minor	missing	Communication Defect	The mentor and the team had a lot going on during the presentation. Maybe because there was some communication gap which was misunderstood by the team, and were followed up with more options to take on the final iteration.

The above-mentioned defects were found that were relevant to the presentation of the what the team was pitching.