

Iteration 2 Review for Team 7

By Team 1

Harsh Chaludia & Deep Patel

Issues	Finder	Severity	Status	Defect Type	Defect Description
UML diagram missing	Deep	major	missing	Implementation Defect	The demo was good, but a UML diagram was missing as per the implementation mentioned as per the rubric.
The point “how the product is different from the competitors” could have been more elaborative.	Harsh	minor	missing	Documentation Defect	The document does not present the selection of challengers from the Code Search Net Challenge and neither compares their approach to get more details about the differences and the improvements they have made.
Unable to find the exact team’s contribution in some combined contributed files in the Git repository.	Harsh	minor	wrong	Code Defect	Regarding the code organization, there was a link to one of the files https://github.com/rifatarefin/semantic-code-search/blob/mav2/src/models/model.py in which there are two contributors. It would have been better if the team member’s and 3rd person’s contributions were distinguishable.
Missing test cases documentation.	Deep	Open issue	missing	Test Defect	The demo link presented in the written deliverables was not working, and there was no documentation or records of test cases.
Critical Deliverables for the said project have not been documented properly.	Harsh	minor	missing	Documentation Defect	The was no information included about the deliverables for further stages.

The above-mentioned defects were found that were relevant to the presentation of the what the team was pitching.