A Handbook of Statistical Analyses Using R — 3rd Edition

Torsten Hothorn and Brian S. Everitt



CHAPTER 14

Analyzing Longitudinal Data II – Generalized Estimation Equations and Linear Mixed Effect Models: Treating Respiratory Illness and Epileptic Seizures

- 14.1 Introduction
- 14.2 Methods for Non-normal Distributions
- 14.3 Analysis Using R: GEE

14.3.1 Beat the Blues Revisited

To use the gee function, package gee (Carey et al., 2013) has to be installed and attached:

R> library("gee")

The gee function is used in a similar way to the lme function met in Chapter 12 with the addition of the features of the glm function that specify the appropriate error distribution for the response and the implied link function, and an argument to specify the structure of the working correlation matrix. Here we will fit an independence structure and then an exchangeable structure. The R code for fitting generalized estimation equations to the BtheB_long data (as constructed in Chapter 12) with identity working correlation matrix is as follows (note that the gee function assumes the rows of the data.frame BtheB_long to be ordered with respect to subjects):

The summary method can be used to inspect the fitted models; the results are shown in Figures 14.1 and 14.2.

R> summary(btb_gee)

```
Model:
 Variance to Mean Relation: Gaussian
Correlation Structure:
                             Independent
Coefficients:
            Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
                         1.4833 2.41
0.0564 10.32
(Intercept)
               3.569
0.582
                                               2.2695
                                               0.0916
                                                         6.355
bdi.pre
trtBtheB
              -3.237
                         1.1296
                                   -2.87
                                               1.7746
                                                        -1.824
length>6m
              1.458
                         1.1380
                                   1.28
drugYes
              -3.741
                          1.1766
                                   -3.18
                                               1.7827
Estimated Scale Parameter: 79.3
```

Figure 14.1 R output of the summary method for the btb_gee model (slightly abbreviated).

```
R> summary(btb_gee1)
Model:
 Link:
                                Identity
 Variance to Mean Relation: Gaussian
 Correlation Structure:
                               Exchangeable
Coefficients:
             Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
               3.023 2.3039 1.3122 2.2320 1.3544
0.648 0.0823 7.8741 0.0835 7.7583
(Intercept)
bdi.pre
trtBtheB
                -2.169
                            1.7664 -1.2281
                                                   1.7361 -1.2495
                            1.7309 -0.0643
1.8257 -1.6430
                                                  1.5509 -0.0718
1.7316 -1.7323
length>6m
               -0.111
drugYes
               -3.000
Estimated Scale Parameter: 81.7
```

Figure 14.2 R output of the summary method for the btb_gee1 model (slightly abbreviated).

14.3.2 Respiratory Illness

The baseline status, i.e., the status for month == 0, will enter the models as an explanatory variable and thus we have to rearrange the *data.frame* respiratory in order to create a new variable baseline:

```
R> resp$nstat <- as.numeric(resp$status == "good")
R> resp$month <- resp$month[, drop = TRUE]
The new variable nstat is simply a dummy coding for a status. Now we can use the data resp to fit a logistic regret.</pre>
```

The new variable nstat is simply a dummy coding for a poor respiratory status. Now we can use the data resp to fit a logistic regression model and GEE models with an independent and an exchangeable correlation structure as follows.

```
R> resp_glm <- glm(status ~ centre + trt + gender + baseline</pre>
        + age, data = resp, family = "binomial")
R> resp_gee1 <- gee(nstat ~ centre + trt + gender + baseline
        + age, data = resp, family = "binomial", id = subject,
        corstr = "independence", scale.fix = TRUE,
        scale.value = 1)
R> resp_gee2 <- gee(nstat ~ centre + trt + gender + baseline</pre>
        + age, data = resp, family = "binomial", id = subject,
        corstr = "exchangeable", scale.fix = TRUE,
        scale.value = 1)
R> summary(resp_glm)
Call:
glm(formula = status ~ centre + trt + gender + baseline + age,
    family = "binomial", data = resp)
Deviance Residuals:
  Min
          1Q Median
                          30
                                 Max
-2.315 -0.855 0.434
                       0.895
                               1.925
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
            -0.90017
(Intercept)
                       0.33765
centre2
             0.67160
                       0.23957
                                  2.80
                                         0.0051
                       0.23684
trttrt
             1.29922
                                  5.49
                                       4.1e-08
             0.11924
                       0.29467
gendermale
                                  0.40
                                         0.6857
baselinegood 1.88203
                                  7.80
                       0.24129
                                       6.2e-15
            -0.01817
                       0.00886
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 608.93 on 443 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 483.22 on 438 degrees of freedom
AIC: 495.2
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Figure 14.3 R output of the summary method for the resp_glm model.

The estimated treatment effect taken from the exchangeable structure GEE model is 1.299 which, using the robust standard errors, has an associated 95% confidence interval

R> summary(resp_gee1)

```
Model:
 Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
Correlation Structure:
                             Independent
Coefficients:
             Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
(Intercept)
              -0.9002
                          0.33765 -2.666
0.23957 2.803
                                                 0.460
0.357
                                                          -1.956
                                                           1.882
               0.6716
centre2
               1.2992
                          0.23684
                                     5.486
                                                 0.351
                                                           3.704
trttrt
gendermale
               0.1192
                          0.29467
                                     0.405
baselinegood
               1.8820
                          0.24129
                                     7.800
                                                  0.350
                                                           5.376
              -0.0182
                          0.00886 -2.049
                                                  0.013
                                                          -1.397
Estimated Scale Parameter: 1
```

Figure 14.4 R output of the summary method for the resp_gee1 model (slightly abbreviated).

```
R> summary(resp_gee2)
Model:
 Link:
                            Logit
 Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
                           Exchangeable
 Correlation Structure:
Coefficients:
             Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
                       0.4785 -1.881
(Intercept)
             -0.9002
                                              0.460
                                                      -1.956
              0.6716
                         0.3395
                                  1.978
                                               0.357
                                                       1.882
centre2
               1.2992
                         0.3356
                                  3.871
                                               0.351
trttrt
gendermale
               0.1192
                         0.4176
                                  0.286
                                               0.443
                                                        0.269
baselinegood
              1.8820
                         0.3419
                                  5.504
                                               0.350
                                                       5.376
              -0.0182
                         0.0126
                                 -1.446
                                               0.013
                                                       -1.397
Estimated Scale Parameter: 1
```

Figure 14.5 R output of the summary method for the resp_gee2 model (slightly abbreviated).

```
[1] 0.612 1.987
```

These values reflect effects on the log-odds scale. Interpretation becomes simpler if we exponentiate the values to get the effects in terms of odds. This gives a treatment effect of 3.666 and a 95% confidence interval of

The odds of achieving a 'good' respiratory status with the active treatment is between about twice and seven times the corresponding odds for the placebo.

14.3.3 Epilepsy

Moving on to the count data in epilepsy from Table ??, we begin by calculating the means and variances of the number of seizures for all interactions between treatment and period:

```
R> data("epilepsy", package = "HSAUR3")
R> itp <- interaction(epilepsy$treatment, epilepsy$period)
R> tapply(epilepsy$seizure.rate, itp, mean)
    placebo.1 Progabide.1 placebo.2 Progabide.2 placebo.3
```

```
9.36 8.58 8.29 8.42 8.79
Progabide.3 placebo.4 Progabide.4
8.13 7.96 6.71
```

R> tapply(epilepsy\$seizure.rate, itp, var)

```
placebo.1 Progabide.1 placebo.2 Progabide.2 placebo.3
102.8 332.7 66.7 140.7 215.3
Progabide.3 placebo.4 Progabide.4
193.0 58.2 126.9
```

Some of the variances are considerably larger than the corresponding means, which for a Poisson variable may suggest that overdispersion may be a problem, see Chapter 7.

We can now fit a Poisson regression model to the data assuming independence using the glm function. We also use the GEE approach to fit an independence structure, followed by an exchangeable structure using the following R code:

As usual we inspect the fitted models using the summary method, the results are given in Figures 14.8, 14.9, 14.10, and 14.11.




Figure 14.6 Boxplots of numbers of seizures in each two-week period post randomization for placebo and active treatments.

14.4 Analysis Using R: Random Effects

As an example of using generalized mixed models for the analysis of longitudinal data with a non-normal response, the following logistic model will be fitted to the respiratory illness data

```
logit(P(status = good)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1treatment + \beta_2time + \beta_3gender
+ \beta_4age + \beta_5centre + \beta_6baseline + u
```

where u is a subject-specific random effect.

The necessary R code for fitting the model using the glmer function from package lme4 (Bates and Sarkar, 2014, Bates, 2005) is:

```
R> library("lme4")
```




Figure 14.7 Boxplots of log of numbers of seizures in each two-week period post randomization for placebo and active treatments.

```
R> resp_lmer <- glmer(status ~ baseline + month +</pre>
       trt + gender + age + centre + (1 | subject),
       family = binomial(), data = resp)
R> exp(fixef(resp_lmer))
 (Intercept) baselinegood
                                 month.L
                                               month.Q
       0.191
                    21.954
                                   0.816
                                                  0.972
     month.C
                    trttrt
                              gendermale
                                                   age
       0.701
                     8.725
                                   1.269
                                                  0.975
     centre2
       2.825
```

The significance of the effects as estimated by this random effects model and by the GEE model described in Section 14.3.2 is generally similar. But as expected from our previous discussion the estimated coefficients are substantially larger. While the estimated effect of treatment on a randomly sampled

R> summary(epilepsy_glm) glm(formula = fm, family = "poisson", data = epilepsy) Deviance Residuals: 30 Min 10 Median Max -4.436 -1.403 -0.503 0.484 12.322 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|) (Intercept) -0.130616 0.135619 -0.96 0.3355 0.000509 base 0.022652 44.48 < 2e-16 0.022740 0.004024 5.65 1.6e-08 age trtProgabide -0.152701 0.047805 -3.19 0.0014 (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1) Null deviance: 2521.75 on 235 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 958.46 on 232 degrees of freedom AIC: 1732

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

Figure 14.8 R output of the summary method for the epilepsy_glm model.

```
R> summary(epilepsy_gee1)
Model:
 Link:
                            Logarithm
 Variance to Mean Relation: Poisson
                           Independent
 Correlation Structure:
Coefficients:
             Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
                      0.135619 -0.963
             -0.1306
                                           0.36515
                                                      -0.358
(Intercept)
              0.0227
                       0.000509
                                 44.476
                                            0.00124
                                                      18.332
base
               0.0227
                       0.004024
                                  5.651
                                            0.01158
trtProgabide -0.1527
                        0.047805
                                  -3.194
                                            0.17111
Estimated Scale Parameter: 1
```

Figure 14.9 R output of the summary method for the epilepsy_gee1 model (slightly abbreviated).

individual, given the set of observed covariates, is estimated by the marginal model using GEE to increase the log-odds of being disease free by 1.299, the corresponding estimate from the random effects model is 2.166. These are not inconsistent results but reflect the fact that the models are estimating different parameters. The random effects estimate is conditional upon the patient's random effect, a quantity that is rarely known in practice. Were we to examine the log-odds of the average predicted probabilities with and without treatment

R> summary(epilepsy_gee2)

```
...
Model:
Link:
Variance to Mean Relation: Poisson
Correlation Structure:
                       Exchangeable
Coefficients:
          (Intercept)
base
            0.0227
                    0.005947
                             3.824
                                      0.01158
                                               1.964
age
                            -2.161
trtProgabide -0.1527
                   0.070655
                                      0.17111
                                              -0.892
Estimated Scale Parameter: 1
```

Figure 14.10 R output of the summary method for the epilepsy_gee2 model (slightly abbreviated).

R> summary(epilepsy_gee3)

```
Model:
                             Logarithm
 Variance to Mean Relation: Poisson
 Correlation Structure:
                             Exchangeable
Coefficients:
             Estimate Naive S.E. Naive z Robust S.E. Robust z
                           0.4522 -0.289
0.0017 13.339
(Intercept)
              -0.1306
                                               0.36515
                                                          -0.358
base
               0.0227
0.0227
                                               0.00124
                                                          18.332
                           0.0134
                                    1.695
                                               0.01158
                                                          1.964
age
trtProgabide -0.1527
                           0.1594
                                   -0.958
                                               0.17111
                                                          -0.892
Estimated Scale Parameter: 5.09
```

Figure 14.11 R output of the summary method for the epilepsy_gee3 model (slightly abbreviated).

(averaged over the random effects) this would give an estimate comparable to that estimated within the marginal model.

age centre2

R> summary(resp_lmer) Fixed effects: Estimate Std. Error z -1.6546 0.7762 3.0890 0.5986 -0.2035 0.2796 -0.0282 0.2791 (Intercept)baseline goodmonth.L month.Q month.C-0.3557 0.2808 0.5516 trttrt 2.1662 3.93 8.6e-05 0.2384 -0.0256 1.0385 0.6661 0.0199 0.5418 0.720 0.200 0.055 0.36 gendermale -1.28 1.92

Figure 14.12 R output of the summary method for the resp_lmer model (abbreviated).

Bibliography

- Bates, D. (2005), "Fitting linear mixed models in R," R News, 5, 27-30, URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/.
- Bates, D. and Sarkar, D. (2014), *lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using S4 Classes*, URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4, R package version 1.1-5.
- Carey, V. J., Lumley, T., and Ripley, B. D. (2013), *gee: Generalized Estimation Equation Solver*, URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gee, R package version 4.13-18.