

## Radioactive Decay

### CBE 5790 (Autumn 2018)

Radioactive decay is believed to be a truly stochastic (random) process. Consider radon as an example. The half-life of the radon isotope  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  is 3.8235 days, meaning that given some quantity of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  we expect approximately half of the atoms to decay in slightly less than 4 days; however, if we look at a single atom of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  there is no way to know whether or not that particular atom will decay during any given time period.

In general, given the half-life  $t_{1/2}$  of a radioactive element, the mean lifetime  $\tau$  is

$$\tau = \frac{t_{1/2}}{\ln(2)} \quad (1)$$

There's nothing special about the half-life of a radioactive isotope – we could just as easily talk about quarter-life or tenth-life or etc. In general, given the  $1/m^{\text{th}}$  life of a radioactive isotope,  $t_{1/m}$ , the mean lifetime  $\tau$  is

$$\tau = \frac{t_{1/m}}{\ln(m)} \quad \text{where } m > 1 \quad (2)$$

### A continuous deterministic model of radioactive decay

Suppose at time zero we have  $n_0$  atoms of a radioactive element with half life  $t_{1/2}$ . As you may have learned in introductory physics, radioactive decay is described by a simple exponential model:

$$n = n_0 e^{-t/\tau} = n_0 2^{-t/t_{1/2}} \quad (3)$$

This model predicts that  $n$  decreases smoothly and continuously with time, in exponential fashion, approaching but never quite reaching zero. Note that this model is semi-empirical (because  $t_{1/2}$  is determined experimentally), continuous ( $t$  and  $n$  are treated as continuous variables), and deterministic (for given  $n_0$  and  $t_{1/2}$ , there is one and only one possible plot of  $n$  vs.  $t$ ). No need to run multiple simulations – a single simulation of the model will suffice. This model works very well for most applications, which upon reflection is somewhat surprising – because it's a complete fantasy! Think about it –  $n$  is actually a discrete variable, not continuous, and in fact all of the atoms eventually will decay in a finite time and the variation is neither smooth nor predictable – it is stochastic. The differences between continuous-deterministic and discrete-stochastic approaches become apparent when  $n_0$  is small.

### A discrete stochastic model of radioactive decay

Once we've calculated  $\tau$  (generally from half-life data, since this is what is commonly available) we can rearrange eq 2 to compute the fraction  $1/m$  of radioactive atoms expected to “survive” (i.e., not decay) during any general time interval  $t_{1/m}$ :

$$\frac{1}{m} = \exp\left(-\frac{t_{1/m}}{\tau}\right) \quad (4)$$

For the stochastic model, we start by choosing a time step size  $t_{1/m}$  and then apply eq 4 to calculate the expected proportion ( $1/m$ ) that does not decay during this interval. For a single radioactive atom,  $1/m$  is

thus the *probability* that it will not decay during the specified time step. An interesting feature of radioactive decay is that it is a constant-probability process – the probability of decay during a given time interval is the same for all atoms and does not vary with time or with the concentration (proportion) of radioactive nuclei. Given  $n$  remaining radioactive atoms at any time  $t$ , the `binomial` function in the `random` module of the `numpy` package for Python can be used to generate probabilities for  $n$  trials, each with probability  $1/m$  of “success” (no decay) and probability  $(1-1/m)$  of decaying during the next  $t_{1/m}$  time step.

References:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radon>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Half-life>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exponential\\_decay](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exponential_decay)

The Python program below simulates the decay of radon 222 ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ) in two ways: using a deterministic continuous-variable model and using a stochastic discrete-variable model. You can download this program (radioactive\_decay.py) from the Python Examples page in Carmen.

```
1 # -*- coding: utf-8 -*- |
2 """
3 Comparison of different models for radioactive decay
4 James F. Rathman
5 Created: 2015-07-27
6 Revised: 2016-09-04
7 """
8
9 import numpy as np
10 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
11
12 nAtomsZero = 20 # number of radioactive atoms at time zero
13 halfLife = 3.8235 * 24 # halflife of radon isotope  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  (hr)
14 meanLifetime = halfLife / np.log(2)
15 maxTime = 5 * meanLifetime # simulation time limit (hr)
16
17 #Deterministic continuous-variable model
18 time = np.linspace(0, maxTime, num = 50)
19 nAtoms = nAtomsZero * np.power(2, -time / halfLife)
20 plt.plot(time, nAtoms, 'r--', label = 'deterministic')
21
22 #Stochastic discrete-variable model
23 timeStep = 5.0 # time step size for stochastic model (hr)
24 time = np.arange(0., maxTime, timeStep)
25 probNotDecay = np.exp(-timeStep / meanLifetime) #probability of NOT decaying
26 nAtoms = np.zeros(time.size, dtype = np.int)
27 nAtoms[0] = nAtomsZero
28 plt.hold(True)
29
30 for i in range(1, time.size):
31     #calculate number that remain (i.e., do not decay)
32     nAtoms[i] = np.random.binomial(nAtoms[i-1], probNotDecay)
33
34 #stair step plot to properly show that nAtoms is discrete, not continuous
35 plt.step(time, nAtoms, 'b-', label = 'stochastic')
36
37
38 #Add title, axis labels and legend
39 plt.title('Radioactive decay of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ')
40 plt.xlabel('time (hr)')
41 plt.ylabel('number')
42 plt.legend(loc = 'best')
43 plt.hold(False)
```