

DBMS Assignment-6

K.V.S. Bhargadway

195CS047

- 1) The table is not in 1NF because the attribute courses contains more than value for ex: ID-1, courses \rightarrow 'DBMS, OS' it is not in 2NF it can't be in 2NF & 3NF

converted table:

| ID | Name | Age | Location | Courses |
|----|--------|-----|------------|---------|
| 1 | Sachin | 22 | Delhi | OS |
| 1 | Sachin | 22 | Delhi | DBMS |
| 2 | Ram | 22 | Jamshedpur | DAA |
| 2 | Ram | 22 | Jamshedpur | DBMS |
| 3 | Mike | 23 | Chennai | ML |
| 3 | Mike | 23 | Chennai | OS |
| 4 | Sameer | 21 | Bengaluru | DAA |
| 4 | Sameer | 21 | Bengaluru | ML |
| 5 | Vijay | 22 | Mumbai | ML |
| 5 | Vijay | 22 | Mumbai | DBMS |

→ The above table is in 1NF

→ Prime Attributes: ID, name

Non-prime Attributes: Age & location, courses

→ $ID \rightarrow Age, location, courses$

→ The second table mentioned in the question is in 1NF

2) This is not in 2NF because there

a) is partial dependency $\{Duty-shift-ID\} \rightarrow Duty-shift$. for a table to be in 2NF all the non key attributes should be functionally dependent on entire primary key.

→ The primary key is $\{Emp-ID, Duty-shift-ID\}$

But $\{Duty-shift-ID\} \rightarrow Duty-shift$,

hence partial dependency exists

2NF could be primary key

2NF could be primary key

| Emp-ID | Duty-shift-ID | Name | Age |
|--------|---------------|--------|-----|
| 101 | 1 | Anun | 26 |
| 102 | 2 | Bobby | 22 |
| 103 | 3 | Suresh | 32 |
| 104 | 1 | Sita | 24 |

Name, age are non-prime attributes

P₁

| Duty-shift-ID | Duty-shift |
|---------------|------------|
| 1 | Morning |
| 2 | Afternoon |
| 3 | Night |

Duty-shift is non prime attribute.

6) This is not in 2NF because there exists partial dependency

$\{ \text{project-ID} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{proj-Name} \}$

The primary key is $\{ \text{Emp-ID}, \text{project-ID} \}$

All the non-prime attributes Name, proj-Name, No.-of-hours should be completely depend on primary key

| Emp-ID | Project-ID | Name | No-of-Hours |
|--------|------------|----------|-------------|
| 123 | Prj-21 | Ajay | 10 |
| 321 | Prj-45 | chane | 15 |
| 546 | Prj-24 | Rajesh | 23 |
| 765 | Prj-11 | Abhishek | 16 |

{ Emp-ID, Project-ID } → primary key

| Project-ID | Proj-Name |
|------------|-------------------|
| Prj-21 | Speech-system |
| Prj-45 | HR system |
| Prj-24 | Automatic tickets |
| Prj-11 | NLP |

[Project-ID] → primary key

- 3) Not in 3NF there exists transitive
 a) dependency between {cust-address}
 & {cust-loc} on a non-primary
 key which is {cust-postcode}.
 3NF could be

| Cust-ID | Cust-Name | Cust-Postcode |
|---------|-----------|---------------|
| 25 | Dell | 560037 |
| 45 | Lenovo | 560046 |
| 89 | Acer | 210067 |
| 90 | Samsung | 450078 |

{cust-ID} → primary key

| Cust-postcode | Cust-Address | Cust-loc |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| 560037 | Whitefield | Bangalore |
| 560046 | Marathahalli | Bangalore |
| 210067 | Bandra | Mumbai |
| 450076 | Delhi Central | Delhi |

{cust-postcode} → primary key

b) Here there exists transitive dependency so it is not in 3NF

{ Contractor } \rightarrow { fee }

There should be no transitive dependency in 3NF

{ Building } \rightarrow primary key

{ Contractor, Builder, fee } \rightarrow non-prime attributes

3NF could be

| Building | Contractor | Builder |
|----------|------------|-------------|
| B-2156 | Taylor | Prestige |
| B-3765 | sandeep | Hiranandani |
| B-4567 | vishaka | Tata |

primary key - { Contractor, fee }

| Contractor | fee |
|------------|---------|
| Taylor | 2567891 |
| Sandeep | 3567356 |
| Vishaka | 4567990 |