

INTRODUCTION

This assignment was an attempt to build a Classifier to perform Sentiment Analysis/ Opinion Mining (i.e. to determine whether a given review has a positive/negative tone) using a Naive Bayes Classifier with the “**naive**” assumption being that “every pair of features are independent to each other” which is of course, an over simplified assumption, but is nonetheless faster than other more sophisticated methods.

The dataset given was a corpus of movie reviews split into training and test set files respectively, in which the training test files were used only for the purposes of calculating all the required **prior** probabilities as well as the **likelihood** functions (i.e. to pre-calculate $P(a_i|v_j)$), since the Bayesian approach to classifying the new instance aims to assign the most probable target value, v_{MAP} .

$$v_{MAP} = \underset{v_j \in V}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(v_j|a_1, a_2 \dots a_n)$$

Using Bayes Theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_{MAP} &= \underset{v_j \in V}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P(a_1, a_2 \dots a_n|v_j)P(v_j)}{P(a_1, a_2 \dots a_n)} \\ &= \underset{v_j \in V}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(a_1, a_2 \dots a_n|v_j)P(v_j) \end{aligned}$$

Now since $P(a_1, a_2 \dots a_n|v_j) = \prod_i P(a_i|v_j)$. due to the naive assumption, the Naive Bayes Classifier outputs

$$v_{NB} = \underset{v_j \in V}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(v_j) \prod_i P(a_i|v_j)$$

wherein there are only two v_j (true or false).

In this case, a small optimization can be made by considering each word in the corpus to have simply occurred or not occurred (i.e. we “**binarize**” the occurrences of the words as occurred/not occurred) since we only need to predict whether there is a positive sentiment or not and this was how the Binary Naive Bayes was implemented.

Also, there were some words with high frequency which appeared in almost all documents (movie reviews) which doesn't help much in predicting the overall sentiment of a review, these are called **stopwords** and removing them before processing led to slightly better results.

RESULTS

Positive Sentiment (Results in %)

		No Stopwords	Short Stopwords	Long Stopwords
Naive Bayes	<i>Accuracy</i>	81.36	82.64	82.29
	<i>Precision</i>	85.90	86.56	86.15
	<i>Recall</i>	75.03	77.26	76.96
	<i>F-Score</i>	80.10	81.65	81.29
Binary Naive Bayes	<i>Accuracy</i>	82.99	83.79	83.35
	<i>Precision</i>	87.23	87.23	86.53
	<i>Recall</i>	77.30	79.18	79.01
	<i>F-Score</i>	81.97	83.01	82.59

Negative Sentiment (Results in %)

		No Stopwords	Short Stopwords	Long Stopwords
Naive Bayes	<i>Accuracy</i>	81.36	82.64	82.29
	<i>Precision</i>	77.84	79.47	79.18
	<i>Recall</i>	87.69	88.01	87.62
	<i>F-Score</i>	82.47	83.52	83.19
Binary Naive Bayes	<i>Accuracy</i>	82.99	83.79	83.35
	<i>Precision</i>	79.62	80.94	80.69
	<i>Recall</i>	88.68	88.41	87.70
	<i>F-Score</i>	83.91	84.51	84.05

UNDERSTANDING OF RESULTS

It is observed that: -

- Accuracy is the same for both positive and negative sentiments
- Binarizing the Occurrence of words slightly improves the performance of the classifier
- Removing few Stopwords (Short Stopwords) slightly optimizes the performance of the classifier as compared to not removing Stopwords at all.
- However, removing more Stopwords (Long Stopwords) again starts to reduce the performance of the classifier as compared to removing just a few Stopwords.

INTERPRETATION & REASONING OF RESULTS

- The Accuracy is expected to be the same since it is simply the ratio of the total number of correct classifications (true positives as well as true negatives) to the total number of samples which would not matter whether the sample was a true positive/negative, as long as it is correctly classified.
- Binarizing the occurrence of the words seems to work better since ***more importance is given to whether the words appear or not, rather than its frequencies.***
- Removing a few Stopwords actually helped in ***removing some redundant highly occurring words which probably did not help in determining the sentiment*** of the document.
- However, removing more Stopwords could have probably resulted in some ***important words/ informative words having been removed from the document (movie review), thus skewing the prior probability distribution wrongly*** and hence, leading to slightly lower performance.

CODE DOCUMENTATION

Code documentation is done at
<SentimentAnalysis/Docs/htmlDocs/MainDocsAnnotated.html>