

Roll No's & Year: _____

Date: _____

Group No: _____

Weekly Rubrics for Evaluation of Engineering Exploration

1. ABSTRACT

S.No.	Characteristics to be assessed	Excellent (Marks 2)	Good (Marks 1)	Average (Marks 0.5)	Poor (Marks-0)	Marks
1	Introductory Statement	Clear, concise, engaging; Describes, connects the topic to literature and purpose of work	Clear, but not engaging; Attempts to connect to literature	Unclear; Doesn't connect to literature	Missing	
2	Purpose	Clear, concise, and relevant	Clear but not concise; Might contain irrelevant or unimportant information; lacks specifics	Unclear; Contains irrelevant or unimportant information	Missing	
3	Methods	All relevant methods are stated using the correct scientific terminology	Methods are partially incomplete or use layman's terms	Section is absent or not relevant to the project	Missing	
4	Professional Writing	Writing appropriate for the profession; Defines all acronyms at first use; Appropriate verb tense (present/past tense) when talking about the study, may use future tense for the contribution to the Project.	Few grammatical errors or typos; Mixed verb tense	Many grammatical errors, typos but they do not impede understanding; inappropriate verb tense	Grammatical errors, typos impede understanding; inappropriate verb tense	
5	Conclusions	Describes the correlation between the project and its results, and the conclusions, anticipated or final, that they draw from the project	Reasonable conclusions from the data presented were connected to project goals	Conclusion is unclear.	Missing	
Total Marks (10)						

Evaluator's Name & Signature with date: