

# Overview of the Shared Task on Detecting Racial Hoaxes in Code-Mixed Hindi-English Social Media Data

**Bharathi Raja Chakravarthi<sup>1</sup>, Prasanna Kumar Kumaresan<sup>1</sup>, Shanu Dhawale<sup>1</sup>,  
Saranya Rajiakodi<sup>2</sup>, Sajeetha Thavareesan<sup>3</sup>,  
Subalalitha Chinnaudayar Navaneethakrishnan<sup>4</sup>, Durairaj Thenmozhi<sup>5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science, University of Galway, Ireland

<sup>2</sup>Central University of Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computing, Eastern University, Sri Lanka

<sup>4</sup>Department of Computer Science & Engineering,  
SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>5</sup>Sri Sivasubramaniya Nadar College of Engineering, Tamil Nadu, India

**Correspondence:** [bharathi.raja@universityofgalway.ie](mailto:bharathi.raja@universityofgalway.ie)

## Abstract

The widespread use of social media has made it easier for false information to proliferate, particularly racially motivated hoaxes that can encourage violence and hatred. Such content is frequently shared in code-mixed languages in multilingual nations like India, which presents special difficulties for automated detection systems because of the casual language, erratic grammar, and rich cultural background. The shared task on detecting racial hoaxes in code-mixed social media data aims to identify the racial hoaxes in Hindi-English data. It is a binary classification task with more than 5,000 labeled instances. A total of 11 teams participated in the task, and the results are evaluated using the macro-F1 score. The team that employed XLM-RoBERTa secured the first position in the task.

## 1 Introduction

In today's world, social networks play a vital role in how people get their information, but they also make it easier for false claims to spread quickly (Chakravarthi, 2024; Lopez, 2022). One serious kind of fake story is racial hoaxes, where people wrongly blame a particular person or community for a crime or incident simply because of their race, religion, or background. What makes these hoaxes really dangerous is that they create and spread unfair stereotypes, divide people, and can create real trouble, such as fights or community clashes. The problem becomes even harder to deal with in a diverse country like India, where people often mix languages like Hindi and English in their on-line posts, so even tech tools have a hard time spotting these misleading claims.

To take a step toward solving this problem, a shared task called "Detecting Racial Hoaxes in

Code-Mixed Hindi-English Social Media Data" was launched as part of the LT-EDI Workshop 2025. As part of this task, a new dataset called HoaxMixPlus was introduced. It includes 5,105 YouTube comments written in a mix of Hindi and English, each carefully marked to show whether it contains a racial hoax. This data set reflects how complex and sensitive language can be when people of different backgrounds talk online. Unlike general fake news detection (Sivanaiah et al., 2022; Katariya et al., 2022; De et al., 2021), this task focuses on more subtle signs such as blaming someone without proof, hinting at accusations without saying them outright, or using words that carry hidden meaning. Spotting these patterns requires systems that can detect deeper language cues and the context behind the words.

The primary goal of the task is to benchmark and encourage the development of robust classification models capable of identifying racial hoaxes in a low-resource and highly informal setting. The availability of HoaxMixPlus serves not only as a starting point for building such models but also as a valuable contribution to the field of computational social science, particularly in understanding and mitigating the spread of race-based misinformation in South Asian digital ecosystems. Participants were expected to take a step toward tackling this issue related to code switching, informality, implicit bias, and contextual ambiguity.

11 teams from universities and research labs participated in the shared task, trying a variety of methods - from simple machine learning models to more advanced systems such as transformers, multilingual word embeddings, and fine-tuned large language models. This overview paper brings together a summary of the dataset, how the task was de-

signed, how the results were measured, and the different techniques used by the teams. The insights gained from this task can help move the field forward, especially in creating more ethical and culturally sensitive language tools to spot misinformation in code-mixed, low-resource settings.

## 2 Related Work

In recent years, the rise of social networks has led to an explosion in code-mixed communication, especially in regions that are linguistically diverse like India. Hindi, an official and widely spoken language in North India (Srivastava et al., 2020), is traditionally written in Devanagari script. However, informal online interactions have adopted a code-mixed setup in which users express their personal thoughts and emotions. These pose significant challenges for automated detection of abusive language and hate speech. Traditional corpora and models, trained on monolingual and structured data, often fall short when faced with the informal grammar, intentional misspellings, and hybrid syntax typical of code-mixed texts (Vijay et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018; Nayak and Joshi, 2022; Dey and Fung, 2014). Detecting nuanced social phenomena like hate speech, especially when it is unstructured code-mixed language, more than just large dataset it requires deeply annotated corpora, careful curation, and time-intensive fact-checking processes.

Although some progress has been made in this direction—for example, Italian social media texts (Bosco et al., 2023), multilingual datasets in Italian, Spanish, and French annotated in racial hoax for immigrant stereotype (Bourgeade et al., 2023), English dataset named StereoSet (Nadeem et al., 2021) are some of the novel corpus. However, access to similarly robust and diverse datasets for Indian code-switched scenarios remains limited. As a result, researchers working in this area face considerable challenges not only in developing accurate detection models, but also in constructing the foundational datasets needed to reflect the complex socio-linguistic realities of code-mixed data and bias online.

The development of Hindi-English code-mixed datasets for abusive language and hate speech detection presents significant challenges, as outlined in prior studies (Bohra et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018; Nayak and Joshi, 2022; Dey and Fung, 2014). Unlike general hate speech, racial hoaxes demand fine-grained analysis of implicit and explicit biases

targeting specific social groups. Transformer-based models have been applied in related contexts, such as Bangla-English sentiment analysis on YouTube (Kar and Jana, 2024), and Swahili-English political misinformation (Amol et al., 2024). Approaches such as BiLSTM-CRF architectures (Bhattu et al., 2020) and transformer-based models like HingBERT, HingRoBERTa and HingGPT—pre-trained (Nayak and Joshi, 2022) have shown promise for POS tagging and sentiment classification in code-mixed data. Novel language augmentation strategies, including word-level interleaving and Latin-script resource integration (Sharma et al., 2022; Takawane et al., 2023), further enhance classification performance combined with dedicated lexicon for Latin-script Hindi-English words, combined with fine-tuned Multilingual BERT and MuRIL models, effectively tackles the unique challenges presented by Hindi-English code-mixed datasets. These innovations collectively highlight the evolving methodologies and underscore the importance of tailored pre-training and annotation in handling the complexity of Hindi-English code-mixed text.

## 3 Task Description

The Racial Hoax Detection task, featured at LT-EDI@LDK 2025<sup>1</sup>, challenges participants to develop automated systems capable of identifying racial hoaxes within code-mixed Hindi-English social media content. Racial hoaxes refer to false statements that use misleading information to falsely accuse people or groups because of their social, ethnic, or religious backgrounds, including caste, nationality, or religion. The task involves classifying user-generated comments, primarily sourced from YouTube, into two categories: those containing racial hoaxes (positive) and non-racial hoaxes (negative). The binary classification process becomes challenging because the data contains code-switching, informal language, and sociopolitical complexities. Participants are provided with a labeled dataset and are expected to submit predictions on a held-out test set. The evaluation metric for this task is the macro-averaged F1 score, which balances performance across both classes. The research goal of this task focuses on developing multilingual and low-resource natural language processing while prioritizing ethical AI applications for misinformation detection.

<sup>1</sup><https://codalab.lisn.upsaclay.fr/competitions/21885>

## 4 Dataset Descriptions

The HoaxMixPlus dataset consists of 5,105 YouTube comments that are code-mixed Hindi-English to help detect and classify racial hoaxes in social media discourse. Racial hoaxes refer to false or fabricated claims that target people or groups based on their social or ethnic identity, such as caste, religion, or nationality. The dataset was created by scraping over 210,000 YouTube comments from socio-politically relevant videos using targeted keywords such as Dalit, CAA-NRC, Manipur, Rohingyas, Khalistan, and Kerala Story. We selected these keywords to ensure a diverse collection of comments that accurately reflected real-world discourse on potentially sensitive topics. The Polyglot language detection library performed two functions: it eliminated code-mixed Hindi-English comments, and it eliminated all non-Hindi-English code-mixed data and monolingual content. The comments received binary classification labels of Positive (Racial Hoax) for false accusations and fabricated stories, and stereotypes against social groups and Negative (Non-Racial Hoax) for neutral, factual, or non-misleading content.

Table 1: Data Distribution of HoaxMixPlus

Class	Train	Validation	Test
Racial Hoax (Positive) state	741	247	247
Non Racial Hoax (Negative) state	2,320	775	775
Total	3,061	1,022	1,022
Total Dataset	5,105		

Native Hindi-speaking annotators performed the annotations while using a custom GPT-4 chatbot for consistency and guidance. The team resolved ambiguous cases by reaching majority consensus, and Krippendorff’s alpha ( $\alpha = 0.747$ ) measured the inter-annotator agreement at substantial levels. The dataset contains different code-switching patterns, which include intra-sentential switching (within a sentence), inter-sentential switching (between sentences), and tag switching (inserting single words or short phrases from another language), with most comments written in Latin script using Hindi grammar and English vocabulary or vice versa. Table 1 shows that the final dataset contains 5,105 comments with 152,250 tokens and 17,314 unique tokens after removing emojis and URLs and filtering out short and overly long comments. The dataset contains 3,061 training examples and 1,022 validation and 1,022 test examples, which maintain the label distribution. The dataset received per-

formance enhancement through transliteration to Devanagari script and language tagging (EN, HI, OOV) and lexicon-based spelling correction and disambiguation using a curated racial hoax knowledge base.

## 5 Methodology

The Racial Hoax Detection task participants employed different methods to solve the problem while working toward precisely identifying racially motivated misinformation in noisy Hindi-English social media comments. The task required systems to process code-switching linguistic complexity together with the delicate sociopolitical elements that frequently appear in racial hoaxes. The systems needed to maintain strong natural language understanding capabilities while being sensitive to cultural details, which standard sentiment and hate speech detection pipelines typically miss. The binary classification framework of the task required models to achieve both high precision and reliability because false positives and false negatives had significant real-world consequences.

The majority of teams began their architecture with advanced multilingual transformer models. The team selected XLM-RoBERTa, MuRIL, mBERT, and DeBERTa models because these models showed excellent cross-lingual performance and worked well in low-resource conditions. The pre-processing pipelines adapted the input data through techniques that included Devanagari script transliteration and EN, HI, and OOV language tagging and stopword handling, and social media noise removal of emojis, URLs, and usernames. The systems either concentrated on syntactic cleaning or used semantic features and handcrafted lexicons to detect hoax-related patterns. The team applied data augmentation techniques, which included synonym replacement, back-translation, and adversarial examples, to enhance model generalizability and reduce the imbalance between hoax and non-hoax examples. The distinguishing factor between submissions was their effective combination of linguistic heuristics with ensemble strategies and domain-specific knowledge bases.

The CUET’s **White\_Walkers** (Rahman et al., 2025b) team established their system using XLM-RoBERTa as one of its key contributions. The team started by freezing the lower transformer layers during the initial training period to maintain general language representations before fine-tuning the

Table 2: Ranklist of Hindi-English

S.No.	Team Name	Run	macro F1	Rank
1	CUET’s_White_Walkers (Rahman et al., 2025b)	2	0.75	1
2	Hope_for_best (Yadav et al., 2025)	-	0.72	2
3	KCRL	-	0.71	3
4	HoaxTerminators (Rabbani et al., 2025)	3	0.70	4
5	Hinterwelt (Rahman et al., 2025a)	1	0.69	5
6	Belo Abhigyan	-	0.68	6
7	KEC-Elite-Analysts (Subramanian et al., 2025)	1	0.68	6
8	DII5143A (Yadav and Singh, 2025)	3	0.67	7
9	EM-26 (Achamaleh et al., 2025)	-	0.63	8
10	Squad	1	0.58	9
11	CVF_NITT	-	0.43	10

model on HoaxMixPlus. The team implemented learning rate scheduling and early stopping techniques to prevent the model from overfitting on the unbalanced dataset. The **Hope\_for\_best** (Yadav et al., 2025) team concentrated their strategy on MuRIL which represents a transformer model trained on Indian languages. The preprocessing began with an intense method to clean and normalize the code-mixed text. The model received transliteration and language tagging to standardize the inputs before undergoing stratified class balancing training. The model concentrated on preserving stability against social media noise and unclear sentence structures.

The team **EM-26** (Achamaleh et al., 2025) employed mBERT’s multilingual features in their approach. The team employed traditional fine-tuning together with lexicon-aware normalization in their approach. The team focused on Hindi words with inconsistent spellings and transliterations through normalization techniques and token filtering to prepare training inputs. The team studied multiple approaches to control model complexity through dropout and attention masking techniques. **CVF\_NITT** implemented an ensemble-based architecture through weighted softmax aggregation of XLM-R, mBERT, and MuRIL outputs. The ensemble received advantages from a strong preprocessing pipeline, which eliminated emojis and expanded contractions and standardized slang. They also implemented a language-model-agnostic token weighting scheme to amplify social cues linked with misinformation.

**KCRL** introduced a multi-model ensemble that merged predictions from XLM-RoBERTa, MuRIL, and DeBERTa. Their work emphasized cross-

verification through handcrafted stereotype dictionaries and explored variations in token-level input formatting. They also curated a preprocessing module to identify and standardize acronyms, which are often misleading or misused in racial hoax discourse. The team **Hoax Terminators** (Rabbani et al., 2025) submitted three variations of their system. Their first run combined MuRIL and XLM-R in a straightforward ensemble using language-tagged inputs and transliterated text. The second run used a DeBERTa-based model, which incorporated a racial hoax lexicon to introduce inductive bias during learning. The third run used mBERT with strong data augmentation techniques such as synonym injection and entity replacement to simulate social media noise and test robustness. The team **DII5143A** (Yadav and Singh, 2025) examined three runs through the BaCoHoax framework. The first configuration used a MuRIL-based backbone trained on clean, length-filtered samples from HoaxMixPlus. The second version incorporated interleaved language tags at the word level and leveraged linguistic cues via attention masking. Their final submission utilized DeBERTa, coupled with character-level embeddings and a stereotype term dictionary that informed contextual weightings during classification.

Altogether, these varied methodologies illustrate how teams tailored their systems not only to meet the task’s technical challenges but also to address its social and linguistic intricacies. The strong use of domain knowledge whether in the form of handcrafted features, curated lexicons, or transliteration-informed pipelines proved essential in bridging the gap between model generalization and task-specific sensitivity. These contributions underscore a grow-



ing maturity in how the NLP community is approaching misinformation detection, especially in multilingual, code-mixed, and ethically charged contexts.

## 6 Result and Discussion

There were 11 participating teams who applied various approaches to tackle racial hoax detection in noisy Hindi-English code-mixed social media comments. Table 2 displays the final rank list for our shared task. We identified to rank the teams basis the Macro F1 evaluation metric. The scores are displayed are in the descending order of macro F1 score. The Team CUET’s White\_Walkers’ staged fine-tuning of XLM-R achieved Rank 1 with goog implementation of training techniques. The Hope\_for\_best stability-focused MuRIL model with preprocessing texts with stratified class balanced training. The Team DII5143A second run used language tags at the word level followed by character level embeddings and stereotype term dictionary in the third run providing new set of linguistic features. The Team KCRL followed the ensemble method from XLM-RoBERTa, MuRIL, and DeBERTa which was unique approach. The same ensemble method was followed by Team Hoax Terminators with their first run a combination of MuRIL and XLM-R. Most teams leveraged multilingual transformer models XLM-RoBERTa, MuRIL, mBERT, DeBERTa, with preprocessing pipelines addressing code-switching complexities through transliteration, language tagging, and noise reduction. The team EM-26 adopted a methodology that integrated traditional fine-tuning with lexicon-aware normalization. Their approach specifically addressed inconsistencies in the spelling and transliteration of Hindi words by employing normalization strategies and token filtering during the preparation of training data.

## 7 Conclusion

We presented the first ever shared task on detecting racial hoaxes in code-mixed Hindi-english social media data. We expect this task to have a great influence on low resource languages especially in the NLP domain because we received a variety of submissions with various methodologies. The most successful submissions applied were model finetuning with good training strategies, ensemble based methods and addressing lexicon aware normaliza-

tion for code mixed Hindi-English words. The prediction evaluation was evaluated with a macro F1 score. The task showcased improvement in training techniques leveraging domain knowledge through tailored features and informed processing approaches has been key to enhancing model performance on specialized tasks reducing the gap between model generalization and task specific language sensitivity.

## Acknowledgments

Author Bharathi Raja Chakravarthi was funded by a research grant from Research Ireland under grant number SFI/12/RC/2289\_P2 (Insight). This work is also supported by the Centre for Research Training in Artificial Intelligence grant number SFI/18/CRT/6223, as well as a grant from the College of Science and Engineering, University of Galway, Ireland.

## References

- Tewodros Achamaleh, Fatima Uroosa, Nida Hafeez, Abiola T. O., Mikiyas Mebiratu, Sara Getachew, Grigori Sidorov, and Rolando Quintero. 2025. Em-26@It-edi 2025: Detecting racial hoaxes in code-mixed social media data. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).
- Cynthia Amol, Lilian Wanzare, and James Obuhuma. 2024. Politikweli: A Swahili-English code-switched Twitter political misinformation classification dataset. In *Speech and Language Technologies for Low-Resource Languages*, pages 3–17, Cham. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- S Nagesh Bhattu, Satya Krishna Nunna, Durvasula VLN Somayajulu, and Binay Pradhan. 2020. Improving code-mixed POS tagging using code-mixed embeddings. *ACM Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing (TALLIP)*, 19(4):1–31.
- Aditya Bohra, Deepanshu Vijay, Vinay Singh, Syed Sarfaraz Akhtar, and Manish Shrivastava. 2018. [A dataset of Hindi-English code-mixed social media text for hate speech detection](#). In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Computational Modeling of People’s Opinions, Personality, and Emotions in Social Media*, pages 36–41, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Cristina Bosco, Viviana Patti, Simona Frenda, Alessandra Teresa Cignarella, Marinella Paciello, and Francesca D’Errico. 2023. Detecting racial stereotypes: An italian social media corpus where psychology meets nlp. *Information Processing & Management*, 60(1):103118.

- Tom Bourgeade, Alessandra Teresa Cignarella, Simona Frenda, Mario Laurent, Wolfgang Schmeisser-Nieto, Farah Benamara, Cristina Bosco, Véronique Moriceau, Viviana Patti, and Mariona Taulé. 2023. A multilingual dataset of racial stereotypes in social media conversational threads. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EACL 2023*, pages 686–696.
- Bharathi Raja Chakravarthi. 2024. Detection of homophobia and transphobia in youtube comments. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 18(1):49–68.
- Arkadipta De, Dibyanayan Bandyopadhyay, Baban Gain, and Asif Ekbal. 2021. A transformer-based approach to multilingual fake news detection in low-resource languages. *Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing*, 21(1):1–20.
- Anik Dey and Pascale Fung. 2014. A hindi-english code-switching corpus. In *LREC*, pages 2410–2413.
- Arunava Kar and Angshuman Jana. 2024. Evaluating YouTube video via sentiment analysis: A case study in code-mixed Bangla-English context. In *Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*, pages 428–437, Cham. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Piyush Katariya, Vedika Gupta, Rohan Arora, Adarsh Kumar, Shreya Dhingra, Qin Xin, and Jude Hemanth. 2022. A deep neural network-based approach for fake news detection in regional language. *International Journal of Web Information Systems*, 18(5/6):286–309.
- Ritesh Kumar, Aishwarya N. Reganti, Akshit Bhatta, and Tushar Maheshwari. 2018. [Aggression-annotated corpus of Hindi-English code-mixed data](#). In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018)*, Miyazaki, Japan. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Lori Kido Lopez. 2022. *Race and Digital Media: An Introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Moin Nadeem, Anna Bethke, and Siva Reddy. 2021. [StereoSet: Measuring stereotypical bias in pretrained language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 5356–5371, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ravindra Nayak and Raviraj Joshi. 2022. [L3Cube-HingCorpus and HingBERT: A code mixed Hindi-English dataset and BERT language models](#). In *Proceedings of the WILDRE-6 Workshop within the 13th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 7–12, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Abrar Hafiz Rabbani, Diganta Das Droba, Momtazul Arefin Labib, Samia Rahman, and Hasan Murad. 2025. Hoax terminators@lt-edi 2025: Charbert’s dominance over llm models in the detection of racial hoaxes in code-mixed hindi-english social media data. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).
- Md. Abdur Rahman, MD AL AMIN, Sabik Aftahee, and Md Ashiqur Rahman. 2025a. Hinterwelt@lt-edi 2025: A transformer-based approach for identifying racial hoaxes in code-mixed hindi-english social media narratives. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).
- Md Mizanur Rahman, Jidan Al Abrar, Md Siddikul Imam Kawser, Ariful Islam, Md. Mubasshir Naib, and Hasan Murad. 2025b. Cuet’s\_white\_walkers@lt-edi 2025: Racial hoax detection in code-mixed on social media data. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).
- Arushi Sharma, Anubha Kabra, and Minni Jain. 2022. [Ceasing hate with MoH: Hate Speech Detection in Hindi-English code-switched language](#). *Information Processing & Management*, 59(1):102760.
- Rajalakshmi Sivanaiah, Nishaanth Ramanathan, Shajith Hameed, Rahul Rajagopalan, Angel Deborah Suseelan, and Mirnalinee Thanka Nadar Thanagathai. 2022. Fake news detection in low-resource languages. In *International conference on speech and language technologies for low-resource languages*, pages 324–331. Springer.
- Abhishek Srivastava, Kalika Bali, and Monojit Choudhury. 2020. [Understanding script-mixing: A case study of Hindi-English bilingual Twitter users](#). In *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Computational Approaches to Code Switching*, pages 36–44, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Malliga Subramanian, Aruna A, Amudhavan M, Jahaganapathi S, and Kogilavani S V. 2025. Kec-elite-analysts@lt-edi 2025: Leveraging deep learning for racial hoax detection in code-mixed hindi-english tweets. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).
- Gauri Takawane, Abhishek Phaltankar, Varad Patwardhan, Aryan Patil, Raviraj Joshi, and Mukta S. Takalikar. 2023. [Language augmentation approach for code-mixed text classification](#). *Natural Language Processing Journal*, 5:100042.
- Deepanshu Vijay, Aditya Bohra, Vinay Singh, Syed Sarfaraz Akhtar, and Manish Shrivastava. 2018. [Corpus](#)

creation and emotion prediction for Hindi-English code-mixed social media text. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 128–135, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Abhishek Singh Yadav, Deepawali Sharma, Aakash Singh, and Vivek Kumar Singh. 2025. Hope\_for\_best@lt-edi 2025: Detecting racial hoaxes in code-mixed hindi-english social media data using a multi-phase fine-tuning strategy. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).

Ashok Yadav and Vrijendra Singh. 2025. Dll5143a@lt-edi 2025: Bias-aware detection of racial hoaxes in code-mixed social media data (bacohoax). In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Italy. Fifth Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge (LDK2025).