



UNIT-I - MATHEMATICAL LOGIC

PART-B

- ① Show that $(\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg P$ is a tautology.

P	q	$P \rightarrow q$	$\neg P$	$\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow q)$	$(\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg P$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

Since last column contains only truth value T,
it is a tautology.

- ② Without using truth table, prove the following:

$$(P \wedge (P \leftrightarrow Q)) \rightarrow Q = T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \wedge (P \leftrightarrow Q) \rightarrow Q &\Rightarrow [P \wedge ((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee P))] \rightarrow Q \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (P \wedge (\neg Q \vee P)) \wedge (\neg P \vee Q) \rightarrow Q \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [P \wedge (\neg P \vee Q)] \rightarrow Q
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [(P \wedge \neg P) \vee (P \wedge Q)] \rightarrow Q \because P \wedge (\neg Q \vee P) = P.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [F \vee (P \wedge Q)] \rightarrow Q$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P \wedge Q \rightarrow Q$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg(P \wedge Q) \vee Q$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee Q$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee (\neg Q \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee T$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



(2)

- ③ Construct the truth table for the following compound proposition: $(\neg P \leftrightarrow \neg Q) \leftrightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow R)$

P	Q	R	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \leftrightarrow \neg Q$	$Q \leftrightarrow R$	$(\neg P \leftrightarrow \neg Q) \leftrightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow R)$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

- ④ Prove that $(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$ is a tautology.

P	q	$\neg P$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg P$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Since the last column contains only T, it is a tautology.

- ⑤ Prove: $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r \equiv (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) &\Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee r \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \neg(p \vee q) \vee r \\
 &\Leftrightarrow p \vee q \rightarrow r.
 \end{aligned}$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



(3)

- ⑥ Prove that $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$ without using truth table.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R) &\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \rightarrow (\neg Q \vee R)) \Leftrightarrow \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (\neg(\neg P)) \vee (\neg(\neg Q \vee R)) \Leftrightarrow \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \neg\neg P \vee (\neg(\neg Q \vee R)) \Leftrightarrow \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg(\neg Q)) \vee R \Leftrightarrow \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved.

- ⑦ Show that $(\neg q \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$ is a tautology.

P	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$P \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \wedge (P \rightarrow q)$	$(\neg q \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

- ⑧ Construct the truth table for $(\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r)$.

P	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$	$q \leftrightarrow r$	$(\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r)$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T



SRM UNIVERSITY



(4)

⑨ Show that $(\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \wedge R)) \vee (Q \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow R$

$$(\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \wedge R)) \vee (Q \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge R)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \wedge R)) \vee ((Q \vee P) \wedge R)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ((\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \wedge R) \vee ((Q \vee P) \wedge R)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ((\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (Q \vee P)) \wedge R$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\neg (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \vee Q)) \wedge R$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T \wedge R \Leftrightarrow R$$

⑩ Show that $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow Q \Rightarrow P \vee Q$

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow Q \Rightarrow (\neg P \vee Q) \rightarrow Q$$

$$\Rightarrow \neg (\neg P \vee Q) \vee Q$$

$$\Rightarrow (P \wedge \neg Q) \vee Q$$

$$\Rightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee Q)$$

$$\Rightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge T$$

$$\Rightarrow P \vee Q$$

⑪ Derive by using CP rule, $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)$ from $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$, $Q \rightarrow (R \rightarrow S)$.

Step No.	Statement	Reason
1.	P	P (additional)
2.	$P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$	P, 1
3.	$Q \rightarrow R$	T, 1, 2 and modus ponens
4.	$\neg Q \vee R$	T, 3
5.	$Q \rightarrow (R \rightarrow S)$	P
6.	$\neg Q \vee (R \rightarrow S)$	T, 5
7.	$\neg Q \vee (R \wedge (R \rightarrow S))$	T, 4, 6
8.	$\neg Q \vee S$	T, 7
9.	$Q \rightarrow S$	T, 8
10.	$P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)$	T, 9 and CP rule.



SRM UNIVERSITY



(5)

- (12) Show that RVS is a valid conclusion from the premises
 CVD , $CVD \rightarrow \neg H$, $\neg H \rightarrow (A \wedge B)$ and $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (RVS)$

Step No.	Statement	Reason
1.	$CVD \rightarrow \neg H$	P
2.	$\neg H \rightarrow (A \wedge B)$	P
3.	$CVD \rightarrow (A \wedge B)$	T, 1, 2.
4.	CVD	P
5.	$A \wedge B$	T, 3, 4
6.	$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (RVS)$	P
7.	RVS	T, 5, 6.

- (13) Show that (avb) follows logically from the premises
 $p \vee q$, $(p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r$, $\neg r \rightarrow (s \wedge t)$ and $(s \wedge t) \rightarrow (avb)$

Answer Same as Qn (12).

In (12) replace C by p R by a
 D by q S by b .

H by $\neg r$

A by s

B by t

- (14) Prove $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r \equiv (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$ by proving the equivalence of dual.

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow r \equiv (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$\text{ii)} \quad \neg(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv (\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$$

Dual of the equivalence is

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv (\neg p \wedge r) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



$$\text{L.H.S.} = (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \wedge \neg R, \text{ by DeMorgan's law.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\neg P \wedge \neg R) \vee (\neg Q \wedge \neg R), \text{ by Distributive law} \\ &\equiv \text{R.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

- (15) Prove: $\neg((\neg P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)) \vee (P \wedge Q) \equiv p$. by proving the equivalence of dual.

The dual of the given equivalence is

$$\neg((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg Q)) \wedge (P \vee Q) \equiv p.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= \neg(\neg P \vee (\neg Q \wedge \neg \neg Q)) \wedge (P \vee Q). \text{ (by distributive law)} \\ &= \neg(\neg P \vee F) \wedge (P \vee Q), \text{ by complement law.} \\ &\equiv \neg(\neg P) \wedge (P \vee Q), \text{ by identity law.} \\ &\equiv P \wedge (P \vee Q) \\ &\equiv P \text{ (by absorption law).} \end{aligned}$$

- (16) Show that (avb) follows logically from the premises

$$P \vee Q, (P \vee Q) \rightarrow T, \neg T \rightarrow (S \wedge \neg T) \text{ and } (S \wedge \neg T) \rightarrow (avb).$$

Step ①	Statement	Reason.
(1)	$(P \vee Q) \rightarrow \neg T$	P.
(2)	$\neg T \rightarrow (S \wedge \neg T)$	P.
(3)	$(P \vee Q) \rightarrow (S \wedge \neg T)$	T (1,2)
(4)	$(S \wedge \neg T) \rightarrow avb$	P.
(5)	$P \vee Q \rightarrow avb$	T (3,4)
(6)	$P \vee Q$	P
(7)	avb	T (5,6)



SRM UNIVERSITY



⑦

PART-C

- ① without using truth table, prove that

$$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \wedge (p \wedge q)) \equiv p \wedge q.$$

($\neg p \vee q$) T T T

$(p \wedge (p \wedge q))$ T T T

$$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \wedge (p \wedge q)) \equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \wedge p) \wedge q \quad (\text{by Associative law})$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \wedge q) \quad (\text{by Idempotent law})$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \quad (\text{commutative law})$$

$$\equiv ((p \wedge q) \wedge \neg p) \vee ((p \wedge q) \wedge q) \quad (\text{Distributive law})$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge (p \wedge q)) \vee (p \wedge (q \wedge q)) \quad (\text{Commutative law})$$

$$\equiv ((\neg p \wedge p) \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q)$$

$$\equiv (F \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q)$$

$$\equiv F \vee (p \wedge q)$$

$$\equiv p \wedge q \quad (\text{Dominant law}).$$

- ② using truth table, show that $((p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow r$ is a tautology.

P	q	r	p ∨ q	p → r	q → r	(p ∨ q) ∧ (p → r) ∧ (q → r)	A → r
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	F	T

Since last column contains only T, it is a tautology.



SRM UNIVERSITY



(3) Show that $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow S), (Q \wedge M) \wedge (S \rightarrow N), \neg(M \wedge N)$ and $(P \rightarrow R) \Rightarrow \neg P$

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow S)$	Rule P
(2)	$P \rightarrow Q$	Rule T ($P \wedge Q \Rightarrow P$)
(3)	$R \rightarrow S$	Rule T ($P \wedge Q \Rightarrow Q$)
(4)	$(Q \wedge M) \rightarrow$	Rule P.
(5)	$Q \rightarrow M$	Rule T
(6)	$S \rightarrow N$	Rule T
(7)	$P \rightarrow M$	Rule T (1, 4)
(8)	$R \rightarrow N$	Rule T (1, 4)
(9)	$P \rightarrow R$	Rule P
(10)	$P \rightarrow N$	Rule T (9, 8)
(11)	$\neg N \rightarrow \neg P$	Rule T
(12)	$\neg M \rightarrow \neg P$	Rule T (7)
(13)	$(\neg M \vee \neg N) \rightarrow \neg P$	Rule T
(14)	$\neg(M \wedge N) \rightarrow \neg P$	Rule T
(15)	$\neg(M \wedge N)$	Rule P.
(16)	$\neg P$	Rule T (15, 14)

(4) without using truth table prove that

$$\neg P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \vee r).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \neg P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) &\Rightarrow \neg P \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r) \\
 &\Rightarrow (P \vee \neg q) \vee r \\
 &\Rightarrow (\neg q \vee P) \vee r \\
 &\Rightarrow \neg q \vee (p \vee r) \\
 &\Rightarrow q \rightarrow (p \vee r)
 \end{aligned}$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



⑤ Show that $(S \rightarrow \neg q, S \vee R, \neg R, \neg R \leftrightarrow q) \Rightarrow \neg P$. by indirect method.
 $\neg \rightarrow \neg q, \neg \vee S, S \rightarrow \neg q, P \rightarrow q \Rightarrow \neg P$

To use the indirect method, we shall include $\neg \neg P \equiv P$ as an additional premise and prove a contradiction.

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	P	P. (additional)
(2)	$P \rightarrow q$	P
(3)	\neg	T (1,2)
(4)	$\neg \rightarrow \neg q$	P
(5)	$S \rightarrow \neg q$	P ($\neg \rightarrow \neg q$) isn't working
(6)	$(\neg \vee S) \rightarrow \neg q$	T (4,5) q is false
(7)	$\neg \vee S$	P
(8)	$\neg q$	T (6,7) q is false
(9)	$\neg \wedge \neg q$	T (3,8)
(10)	F	T

⑥ Prove the following equivalence by proving the equivalences of dual. $(P \wedge (P \leftrightarrow q)) \rightarrow q \equiv T$.

$$\text{ii)} P \wedge ((P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow P)) \rightarrow q \equiv T$$

$$P \wedge ((\neg P \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee P)) \rightarrow q \equiv T$$

$$\neg (P \wedge ((\neg P \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee P))) \vee q \equiv T$$

\therefore Dual of equivalences is

$$\neg (P \vee ((\neg P \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \wedge P))) \wedge q \equiv F$$

$$\text{L.H.S} \equiv \neg [C P \vee (\neg P \wedge q)] \vee (\neg q \wedge P) \quad (\text{by associative law})$$

$$\equiv \neg [(\neg \wedge (P \vee q)) \vee (\neg q \wedge P)] \wedge q \quad (\text{by distributive and complement laws})$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &\equiv \neg [(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg q \wedge p)] \wedge q \quad (\text{identity law}) \\
 &\equiv \neg [((\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg q) \wedge ((\neg p \vee q) \vee p)] \wedge q \quad (\text{distributive law}) \\
 &\equiv \neg [(\neg p \vee \top) \wedge (\neg p \vee q)] \wedge q \\
 &\equiv \neg (\neg (\neg p \vee q)) \wedge q \quad (\text{dominant law}) \\
 &\equiv \neg (\neg p \vee q) \wedge q \quad (\text{by De Morgan's law}) \\
 &\equiv \neg p \wedge \top \quad (\text{by complement law}) \\
 &\equiv \neg p \quad (\text{by dominant law}).
 \end{aligned}$$

⑦ Show that $(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s), (q \rightarrow t) \wedge (s \rightarrow u), \neg(t \wedge u)$
and $(P \rightarrow r) \Rightarrow \neg P$.

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$	P
(2)	$P \rightarrow q$	T (1, Simplification)
(3)	$r \rightarrow s$	T (1)
(4)	$(q \rightarrow t) \wedge (s \rightarrow u)$	P
(5)	$q \rightarrow t$	T (4)
(6)	$s \rightarrow u$	T (5)
(7)	$P \rightarrow t$	T (2, 5)
(8)	$r \rightarrow u$	T (3, 6)
(9)	$P \rightarrow r$	P
(10)	$P \rightarrow u$	T (9, 8)
(11)	$\neg t \rightarrow \neg p$	T (7)
(12)	$\neg u \rightarrow \neg p$	T (10)
(13)	$(\neg t \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow \neg p$	T (11, 12)
(14)	$\neg(t \wedge u) \rightarrow \neg p$	T (13)
(15)	$\neg(t \wedge u)$	P
(16)	$\neg p$	T (14, 15 Modus Ponens)



SRM UNIVERSITY



(ii)

- ⑧ Show that $(a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c), \neg(b \wedge c), (\neg a) \Rightarrow d$

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$(a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c)$	P
(2)	$a \rightarrow b$	T (1)
(3)	$a \rightarrow c$	T (1)
(4)	$\neg b \rightarrow \neg a$	T (2, Contrapositive)
(5)	$\neg c \rightarrow \neg a$	T (3, Contrapositive)
(6)	$(\neg b \vee \neg c) \rightarrow \neg a$	T (4, 5)
(7)	$\neg(b \wedge c) \rightarrow \neg a$	T (Demorgan's law)
(8)	$\neg(b \wedge c)$	P
(9)	$\neg a$	T (7, 8)
(10)	$\neg a$	P
(11)	$(\neg a) \wedge \neg a$	T (9, 10)
(12)	$(\neg a \wedge \neg a) \vee (a \wedge \neg a)$	T (11)
(13)	$(\neg a \wedge \neg a) \vee F$	T (12)
(14)	$\neg a$	T (13)
(15)	d	T (14).

- ⑨ Give a direct proof for the implication
 $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow s), (\neg r \vee p), q \Rightarrow r \rightarrow s.$

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$\neg r \vee p$	P.
(2)	$r \rightarrow p$	T (1)
(3)	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow s)$	P
(4)	$r \rightarrow (q \rightarrow s)$	T (2, 3)
(5)	$\neg r \vee (\neg q \vee s)$	T (4).



SRM UNIVERSITY



(12)

<u>Step</u>	<u>Statement</u>	<u>Reason</u>
(6)	q	P
(7)	$q \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg q \vee s)$	T (5,6)
(8)	$\neg q \wedge (\neg r \vee s)$	T (7,8)
(9)	$\neg r \vee s$	T (8)
(10)	$r \rightarrow s$	T (9)

- (10) Derive $P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow s)$ using the CP rule (if necessary) from the premises $P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ and $q \rightarrow (r \rightarrow s)$.

We shall assume P as an additional premise.

<u>Step</u>	<u>Statement</u>	<u>Reason</u>
(1)	P	Rule P (Additional)
(2)	$P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	P
(3)	$q \rightarrow r$	T (1,2)
(4)	$\neg q \vee r$	T (3)
(5)	$q \rightarrow (r \rightarrow s)$	P
(6)	$\neg q \vee (r \rightarrow s)$	T (5)
(7)	$\neg q \vee s$	T, 7 (Modus Ponens)
(8)	$q \rightarrow s$	T (8)
(9)	$P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow s)$	T (9) CP rule.

- (11) Use the indirect method to show that

$$\neg r \rightarrow \neg p, \neg r \vee s, s \rightarrow \neg q, p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg p$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



(13)

To use indirect method, we will include $\neg\neg P \equiv P$ as an additional premise and prove a contradiction.

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	P	Rule P (Additional)
(2)	$P \rightarrow q$	P
(3)	q	T (1,2)
(4)	$\neg q$	P
(5)	$s \rightarrow \neg q$	P
(6)	$(\neg s) \rightarrow \neg q$	T (4,5)
(7)	$\neg\neg s$	P
(8)	$\neg q$	T (6,7)
(9)	$q \wedge \neg q$	T (3,8)
(10)	F	T (9).

- (12) Show that b can be derived from the premises $a \rightarrow b$, $c \rightarrow b$, $d \rightarrow (\neg c \vee d)$ by the indirect method.

Let us include $\neg b$ as an additional premise and prove a contradiction.

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$a \rightarrow b$	P
(2)	$c \rightarrow b$	P
(3)	$(\neg c) \rightarrow b$	T (1,2)
(4)	$d \rightarrow (\neg c \vee d)$	P
(5)	$d \rightarrow b$	T (3,4)
(6)	d	P
(7)	b	T (5,6)
(8)	$\neg b$	P (additional)
(9)	$b \wedge \neg b$	T (7,8)
(10)	F	T (9).



SRM UNIVERSITY



14

- (13) Using indirect method of Proof, derive $P \rightarrow \neg S$ from the premise $P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$, $Q \rightarrow \neg P$, $S \rightarrow \neg R$, P .

Let us include $\neg(P \rightarrow \neg S)$ as an additional premise and prove a contradiction.

$$\text{ii)} \neg(P \rightarrow \neg S) \Rightarrow \neg(\neg P \vee \neg S) = P \wedge S$$

Hence the additional premise is $P \wedge S$

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$	P
(2)	P	P
(3)	$Q \vee R$	T (1,2)
(4)	$P \wedge S$	P (additional)
(5)	S	T (4)
(6)	$S \rightarrow \neg R$	P
(7)	$\neg R$	T (5,6)
(8)	Q	T (3,7) Disjunctive Syllogism
(9)	$Q \rightarrow \neg P$	P
(10)	$\neg P$	T (8,9)
(11)	$P \wedge \neg P$	T (2,10)
(12)	F	T (11)

- (14) Prove that the premises are inconsistent.

$$P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R, S \rightarrow \neg R + P \wedge S$$

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$P \rightarrow Q$	P
(2)	$Q \rightarrow R$	P
(3)	$P \rightarrow R$	T (1,2)
(4)	$S \rightarrow \neg R$	P



SRM UNIVERSITY



(15)

<u>Step</u>	<u>Statement</u>	<u>Reason</u>
(5)	$\neg r \rightarrow \neg s$	T (4) contrapositive
(6)	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg s$	T (2,5)
(7)	$\neg q \vee \neg s$	T (6)
(8)	$\neg (\neg q \wedge s)$	T (7)
(9)	$\neg q \wedge s$	P
(10)	$(\neg q \wedge s) \wedge \neg (\neg q \wedge s)$	T (8,9)
(11)	F	T, (10)

- (15) Prove that the premise $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$, $d \rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$ and (and) are inconsistent.

<u>Step</u>	<u>Statement</u>	<u>Reason</u>
(1)	and	P
(2)	a	T (1)
(3)	d	T (1)
(4)	$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$	P
(5)	$b \rightarrow c$	T (2,4)
(6)	$\neg b \vee c$	T (5)
(7)	$d \rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$	P
(8)	$\neg(b \wedge \neg c) \rightarrow \neg d$	T (7, contra positive)
(9)	$\neg b \vee c \rightarrow \neg d$	(T,8)
(10)	$\neg d$	(T,6,9)
(11)	$d \wedge \neg d$	(T,3,10)
(12)	F	T, 11.

Hence the given premises are inconsistent.



SRM UNIVERSITY



(16)

(16) Show that the following premises are inconsistent.

- (i) If Jack misses many classes through illness, then he fails high School.
- (ii) If Jack fails high school, then he is uneducated.
- (iii) If Jack reads a lot of books, then he is not uneducated.
- (iv) Jack misses many classes through illness and reads a lot of books.

Let P : Jack misses many classes.

Q : Jack fails high School.

R : Jack reads a lot of books.

S : Jack is uneducated.

The premises are

$$P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow S, R \rightarrow \neg S \text{ and } P \wedge R.$$

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$P \rightarrow Q$	P
(2)	$Q \rightarrow S$	P
(3)	$P \rightarrow S$	T(1,2)
(4)	$R \rightarrow \neg S$	P
(5)	$S \rightarrow \neg R$	T(4, contrapositive)
(6)	$P \rightarrow \neg R$	T(3,5)
(7)	$\neg P \vee \neg R$	T(6)
(8)	$\neg(P \wedge R)$	T(7)
(9)	$P \wedge R$	P.
(10)	$(P \wedge R) \wedge \neg(P \wedge R)$	T(8,9)
(11)	F	T(10)

∴ The premises are inconsistent.



SRM UNIVERSITY



17

(17) Show that the following set of premises is inconsistent.

- (i) If Rama gets his degree, he will go for a job.
- (ii) If he goes for a job, he will get married soon.
- (iii) If he goes for a higher study, he will not get married.
- (iv) Rama gets his degree and goes for a higher study.

Let the statements be symbolised as follows:

P : Rama gets his degree.

Q : He will go for a job.

R : He will get married soon.

S : He goes for higher study.

∴ The premises are

$$P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R, S \rightarrow \neg R, P \wedge S$$

Step	Statement	Reason.
(1)	$P \rightarrow Q$	P
(2)	$Q \rightarrow R$	P
(3)	$P \rightarrow R$	T (1,2).
(4)	$P \wedge S$	P
(5)	P	T (4)
(6)	S	T (4)
(7)	$S \rightarrow \neg R$	P
(8)	$\neg R$	T (6,7)
(9)	R	T (5,3)
(10)	$R \wedge \neg R$	T (8,9)
(11)	F	T

∴ The premises are inconsistent.



SRM UNIVERSITY



20

- (2) construct an argument using rules of inferences to show that if A work hard then either B or C will enjoy themselves. If B enjoy himself, then A will not work hard. If D enjoy himself then C will not. Therefore, if A works hard, D will not enjoy himself.

Let p : A work hard

q : B enjoy him self

r : C enjoy him self

s : D enjoy him self

The premises are $P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$ (P \rightarrow Q \vee R) $Q \rightarrow \neg P$ (Q \rightarrow $\neg P$) $R \rightarrow \neg S$ (R \rightarrow $\neg S$) $P \rightarrow S$ (P \rightarrow S)

$$P \rightarrow (Q \vee R), Q \rightarrow \neg P, R \rightarrow \neg S \Rightarrow P \rightarrow S$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



(21)

We shall assume P as an additional premise (CP rule).

Step	Statement	Reason
(1)	$P \rightarrow (q \vee r)$	P
(2)	P	P (additional)
(3)	$q \vee r$	T (1,2)
(4)	$\neg q \rightarrow r$	T (3, equivalence)
(5)	$\neg r \rightarrow q$	T (4, contrapositive)
(6)	$s \rightarrow \neg r$	P
(7)	$s \rightarrow q$	T (5,6)
(8)	$\neg r \rightarrow \neg p$	P
(9)	$s \rightarrow \neg p$	T (7,8)
(10)	$P \rightarrow \neg s$	T (9, contrapositive)

— .



(23) Prove by mathematical induction that

$$1 \cdot 2 + 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 + \dots + n(n+1)(n+2) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{4}$$

Let $P(n) : 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + \dots + n(n+1)(n+2) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{4}$

Now, $P(1) : 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 6$

$$\frac{1}{4} (1+1)(1+2)(1+3) = \frac{1}{4} \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \\ = 6$$

$\therefore L.H.S = R.H.S.$

$P(1)$ is true.

Assume $P(n)$ is true for $n=k$.

(ii) $P(k)$ is true.

$$P(k) : 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + \dots + k(k+1)(k+2) = \frac{k(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}{4}$$



SRM UNIVERSITY



23

Now, we prove $P(n)$ is true for $n=k+1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(k+1) &= \underbrace{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + \dots + k(k+1)(k+2)}_{P(k)} + (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) \\
 &= P(k) + (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} k(k+1)(k+2)(k+3) + (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) \\
 &= (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) \left[\frac{k}{4} + 1 \right] \\
 &= (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) \left(\frac{k+4}{4} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P(k+1) = \frac{1}{4} (k+1)((k+1)+1)((k+1)+2)((k+1)+3).$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.

$\Rightarrow P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Q4 use mathematical induction to show that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{n}, \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

$$\text{Let } P(n) : \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{n}$$

~~To~~ To Prove $P(n)$ is true for $n=2$

$$L.H.S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = 1.707$$

$$R.H.S = \sqrt{2} = 1.414$$

$$\therefore L.H.S > R.H.S.$$

$\Rightarrow P(1)$ is true.

Assume $P(n)$ is true for $n=k$.

(ii) $P(k)$ is true.

$$P(k) : \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} > \sqrt{k} - 0$$



To Prove $P(n)$ is true for $n=k+1$

$$\begin{aligned} P(k+1) &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}}_{P(k)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \\ &= P(k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \\ &> \sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \quad \text{by } ① \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} &= \frac{\sqrt{k(k+1)} + 1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{k} \cdot \sqrt{k+1} + 1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \\ &> \frac{k+1}{\sqrt{k+1}} > \sqrt{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.
 $\Rightarrow P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

- (25) use mathematical induction to prove that $3^n + 7^n - 2$ is divisible by 8, for $n \geq 1$.

Let $P(n) : 3^n + 7^n - 2$ is divisible by 8.

To Prove $P(1)$ is true

$$P(1) = 3^1 + 7^1 - 2 = 8 \text{ is divisible by 8.}$$

$\therefore P(1)$ is true.

Assume $P(n)$ is true for $n=k$.

i.e.) $P(k)$ is divisible by 8.

$3^k + 7^k - 2$ is divisible by 8.

$$\Rightarrow 3^k + 7^k - 2 = 8t \text{ (say) (multiple of 8)}$$

$t \rightarrow \text{constant}$



SRM UNIVERSITY



25

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(k+1) &= 3^{k+1} + 7^{k+1} - 2 \\
 &= 3 \cdot 3^k + 7 \cdot 7^k - 2 \\
 &= 3(8t - 7^k + 2) + 7 \cdot 7^k - 2 \\
 &= 24t - 3 \cdot 7^k + 6 + 7 \cdot 7^k - 2 \\
 &= 24t + 4 \cdot 7^k + 4 \\
 &\geq 8 \left(3t + \frac{7^k + 1}{2} \right) \\
 &= \text{multiple of } 8 = \text{divisible by } 8.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.

$\therefore P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

(26) Use mathematical induction to show that $n! \geq 2^{n-1}$, for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$

Let $P(n) : n! \geq 2^{n-1}$

To Prove $P(1)$ is true:

$$P(1) : 1! \geq 2^{1-1}$$

$$1 \geq 2^0 \\ 1 \geq 1 \quad \text{which is true.}$$

Assume $P(n)$ is true for $n=k$.

$$P(k) : k! \geq 2^{k-1} \quad (1)$$

To Prove $P(n)$ is true for $n=k+1$

$$P(k+1) : (k+1)! = (k+1) \cdot k! \geq (k+1) 2^{k-1} \quad \text{by (1)}$$

$$\geq 2 \cdot 2^{k-1}, \text{ since } k+1 \geq 2$$

$$\geq 2^{(k+1)-1}$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.

$\Rightarrow P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.



SRM UNIVERSITY



(26)

(27) Prove by mathematical induction, $a^n - b^n$ is divisible by $a-b$.

Let $P(n) : a^n - b^n$.

To prove $P(1)$ is true :

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot P(1) : a^1 - b^1 &= a-b \\ &= \text{is divisible by } a-b. \\ \therefore P(1) &\text{ is true.} \end{aligned}$$

Assume $P(n)$ is true for $n=k$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(k) : a^k - b^k &\text{ is divisible by } a-b. \\ \Rightarrow a^k - b^k &= (a-b)t = \text{multiple of } a-b. \\ a^k &= b^k + (a-b)t - \textcircled{1} \end{aligned}$$

To prove $P(k+1)$ is true

$$\begin{aligned} P(k+1) &= a^{k+1} - b^{k+1} \\ &= a \cdot a^k - b^{k+1} \\ &= a(b^k + (a-b)t) - b^{k+1} \quad \text{by } \textcircled{1}, \\ &= a \cdot b^k + a(a-b)t - \cancel{a} b^{k+1} \\ &= a \cdot b^k + a(a-b)t - b \cdot b^k \\ &= (a-b) \cdot b^k + a(a-b)t \\ &= (a-b)(b^k + at) \\ &= \text{multiple of } a-b \\ &= \text{divisible by } a-b. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.

$\Rightarrow P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

— x —.