CACHE IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

- Phase 3 code is in the file sim cache.cpp
- The cache parameters specifications with a sample input are present in CacheParameters.pdf
- cache.cpp has cache implementation only, this can be used to test the cache alone (use this file for easy reference of the code)

Two levels of cache with the following properties has been added to the pipeline simulator:

- Both levels follow **LRU** replacement policy
- Non Inclusive policy is implemented
- write back, write allocate for both levels
- No write buffer (so stores wait until the write operation is complete and hence may cause stalls like the loads due to the data access delays)

A BRIEF EXPLANATION OF THE CODE

class block

It is an augmentation of an integer (word = 4 bytes) array to store a
block of words (size of a block is user defined), tag, age (stores the
age of the block), dbit (dirty bit), vbit (valid bit) and methods to
access and modify these variables.

class set

- Contains an **array of blocks**(the size of this array is the associativity and is given by the user), and methods to set parameters

^{*}This document gives details about the cache policies, brief description of code and their implementation. It also explains stalls that are caused by the changes made to the simulator with examples

- Important Methods:
 - **searchTag**: searches for the block with given tag
 - getFreeBlk
 - **setage**, **getMaxAge**, **getLRU**: These three methods help identify the LRU block in a set

LRU is implemented as follows Consider a set with blocks A B C D

Initially...cache empty

	А	В	С	D
vbit	0	0	0	0
age	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

A is asked

	А	В	С	D
vbit	1	0	0	0
age	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

D accessed

	А	В	С	D
vbit	1	0	0	1
age	1	N/A	N/A	0

B accessed

	А	В	С	D
vbit	1	1	0	1
age	2	0	N/A	1

^{*}The following tables are not diagrammatic representations of sets. they just give the status of valid bits and age of the blocks

D accessed

	А	В	С	D
vbit	1	1	0	1
age	3	1	N/A	0

Now if asked for LRU, A is returned

class Cache

Methods :

- setCacheParam
- find: finds a block by resolving addresses into tag,index and offset
- Insert: gets tag,index,offset for the block to be inserted Goes to the appropriate set using index and searches for a free block, if free block is not available Uses LRU and chooses a block for eviction, Now the chosen block is filled with the incoming block contents and the tag,dirty bit valid bit ,age are updated accordingly
- update: used only when a block which is evicted from a cache level above to it is dirty and present in the current level of cache, that block contents are updated in the current level

class CacheCtrl

contains methods

to check and set the cache parameters to manage eviction of blocks

^{*}As age is an integer the upper limit to it is INT_MAX

- evictToNext (method for an intermediate cache level)
- evictToMem(method for the last level of cache)
- updateMem (to write values into Main memory) to implement reads and writes
 - read (address is given as an input)
 - write (input : value to be written and destination address)

Using all the above mentioned methods cache is organised by the CacheCtrl

CACHE ORGANISATION (Non inclusivity, write back and write allocate)

For 2 levels of cache, cache management is done by cache controller

Any request goes to L1 cache first

- 1. Hit read or write (set age, incase of a write dirty bit is set)
- 2. Miss go to L2 cache

In L2 cache

- 1. Hit
 - read or write(set age, incase of a write dirty bit is set)
 - copy the block (in case of write, block is copied after write (write allocate)) into a Free block or LRU block (if free block is not available) in L1
 - A copy of the block still remains in L2
 - Now for the copied block in L1
 - update tag
 - incase of write allocation it is enough to set the dirty bit in L2 cache, no need in L1 cache.

- For any block valid bit needs to be set only when the block is being used for the first time
- Age of the block is set (it is made MRU) only when processor accesses the block not when the cache controller accesses it, So here age remains same
- 2. Miss go to Main memory

On Miss in both the levels the block is brought from the main memory(if it is a write request first a write is performed in the main memory itself and then the block is brought(write allocate), so no need to set the dirty bit) and allocated in both L1 and L2. And the block parameters tag, age, dbit and vbit are updated accordingly

When a block is being evicted from the L1 cache: Write back policy If it is not dirty nothing is done

If it is dirty L2 is checked for the evicted block. If L2 has it, then its contents are updated with evicted blocks contents and the L2 cache block is set as dirty. else updation of contents is done directly in main memory

When the block is being evicted from L2 cache: Write back policy Updation of contents is done in main memory incase of a dirty block

When the process ends both cache levels are cleared and if any block is dirty it is updated in the main memory

INCORPORATING CACHE INTO THE SIMULATOR

In the previous version of simulator all the 5 MIPS pipeline stages are assumed to be taking 1 cycle to complete, which is actually not true for the

MEM stage, memory access without cache takes 10s to 100s of cycles depending on the speed of the memory. This leads to extra stalls in the pipeline

In this version this the memory access time is considered and cache is included so that at least some stalls can be avoided by speeding up the data service

L1 cache latency, L2 cache latency and Memory latency are understood as follows:

L1 cache latency: L1 hit time

L2 cache latency: L1 miss + L2 hit time

Memory latency: L1 miss + L2 miss + memory access time

All the overhead caused by evictions, allocations (whatever happens to manage the cache except servicing the data to the process) are ignored

STALLS are explained with some examples as follows:

1 stall = 1 cycle (same convention as in the previous version of the simulator)

L1 access latency = 2 cycles L2 access latency = 4 cycles Memory access latency = 10 cycles

The above values are used for examples.

For any load/store:

On a L1 cache hit the pipeline stalls by 1 cycle (i.e 1 stall)
L2 cache hit the pipeline stalls by 2 cycles (2 stalls)
Both miss 9 cycles (9 stalls)

on the top of any other stalls caused by the hazards

Following are few examples:

Example 1:

```
lw $t1,0($s0) // L2 hit3 STALLS (access delay)1 STALL (data hazard)
```

add \$t2,\$t1,\$t3 // no memory access so MEM stage completes in 1

Cycle

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11
IF	ID/RF	EX		MEM						
	STALL	STALL	STALL STALL IF ID/RF				EX	MEM	WB	

Example 2:

```
lw $t1,0($s0)  // L1 hit
1 STALL (delayed data access)
add $t2,$t3,$t4  // no memory access
1 STALL ( RAW on the registers indicated)
beq $t1,$0, target  // no memory access
1 STALL
```

^{*}branch target and decision both made in ID/R. reason for the stall due to data hazard in this case is explained in phase 2 readme

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9
IF	ID/RF	EX	MEM		WB			
	STALL	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
			STALL	IF	ID/RF	EX	MEM	WB

Example 3:

```
lw $t1,0($s0) // Memory access9 STALLS2 STALLS ( data hazard)beq $t1,$0, target
```

C1	C2	C3	9 cycles	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17
IF	ID/RF	EX	MEM		WB			
	STALL	STALL	9 STALLS	IF	ID/RF	EX	MEM	WB

Example 4:

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9
IF	ID/RF	EX		ME	WB			
	STALL	STALL	STALL	IF	ID/RF	EX	MEM	WB

*Two minor changes have been done to the previous version of simulator And uploaded as pipeline2.cpp. Changes are indicated with "// changed" at that line in the code.