

```
[[questions]]
```

```
type = "ShortAnswer"
```

```
prompt.prompt = ""
```

Imagine using a third-party function whose implementation you don't know, but whose type signature is this:

```
...
```

```
fn mystery<T>(x: T) -> T {
```

```
  // ????
```

```
}
```

Then you call `mystery` like this:

```
...
```

```
let y = mystery(3);
```

```
...
```

Then, the value of `y` must be :

```
"""
```

```
answer.answer = "3"
```

```
context = ""
```

The only possible function that has the signature `T -> T` is the identity function:

```
...
```

```
fn mystery<T>(x: T) -> T {
```

```
  x
```

```
}
```

```
...
```

The function could of course panic or print, but the return value can only be the input.

`mystery` does not know

what type `T` is, so there is no way for `mystery` to generate or mutate a value of `T`.

See [Theorems for free!](<https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/99370.99404>) for more examples of this idea.

****3 really is the correct answer!****

```
"""
```

```
[[questions]]
```

```
id = "40ae0cfe-3567-4d05-b0d9-54d612a2d654"
```

```
type = "Tracing"
```

```
prompt.program = ""
```

```
fn print_slice<T>(mut v: Span<T>) {
```

```
  while let Option::Some(x) = v.pop_front() {
```

```
    println!("{}", x);
```

```
  }
```

```
}
```

```
fn main() {
```

```
  let arr = array![1, 2, 3, 4];
```

```
  print_slice(arr.span().slice(1, 3));
```

```
}
```

```
"""
```

```
answer.doesCompile = false
```

```
answer.lineNumber = 3
```

```
context = ""
```

If a type is generic (like `T`), we cannot assume anything about it, including the ability to display it. Therefore `println!("{x}")` is invalid because `x: @T`.

```
""
```

```
[[questions]]
```

```
id = "694bb2d0-f2e6-4b0b-a3e7-2d9f9e8b3d09"
```

```
type = "Tracing"
```

```
prompt.program = ""
```

```
#[derive(Drop)]
```

```
struct Point<T> {
```

```
    x: T,
```

```
    y: T
```

```
}
```

```
#[generate_trait]
```

```
impl PointImpl of PointTrait {
```

```
    fn f(self: Point<u32>) -> u32 {
```

```
        self.y
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

```
#[generate_trait]
```

```
impl PointImplGeneric<T> of PointTraitGeneric<T> {
```

```
    fn f(self: Point<T>) -> T {
```

```
        self.x
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

```
fn main() {
```

```
    let p: Point<u32> = Point { x: 1, y: 2 };
```

```
    println!("{}", p.f());
```

```
}
```

```
""
```

```
answer.doesCompile = false
```

```
answer.lineNumber = 23
```

```
context = ""
```

These definitions of `f` conflict, and there is no way for to determine which `f` should be used when `p.f()` is called. Therefore this is a compiler error.

Moreover, the generic implementation would require `T` to be droppable, as `self.y` is dropped when the function returns.

```
""
```

```
[[questions]]
```

```
type = "Tracing"
```

```
prompt.prompt = ""
```

```
#[derive(Drop)]
```

```
struct Wallet<T>{
```

```
    balance: T,
```

```

    address: W
}
fn main() {
    let mut account = Wallet{ balance: 10, address: '0xAbDeFG' };
    print!("{}", account.balance);
    print!("{}", account.address);
}
"""

```

answer.doesCompile = false

context = """

The code fails to compile because the struct `Wallet` is defined with a single generic type `T`, but the `address` field uses an undefined type `W`. To fix this, you need to introduce another generic type `W` in the struct definition to represent the type of the `address` field. To fix the code,

you can modify the struct definition as follows:

```

```rust
struct Wallet<T, W> {
 balance: T,
 address: W,
}
...
"""

```