Chapter 5 Network Layer: Control Plane (Part I)

A note on the use of these PowerPoint slides:


We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

For a revision history, see the slide note for this page.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

All material copyright 1996-2023 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved



Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach

8th edition Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Pearson, 2020

Network layer control plane: our goals

- •understand principles behind network control plane:
 - traditional routing algorithms
 - SDN controllers
 - network management, configuration

- instantiation, implementation in the Internet:
 - OSPF, BGP
 - OpenFlow, ODL and ONOS controllers
 - Internet Control Message
 Protocol: ICMP
 - SNMP, YANG/NETCONF

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control MessageProtocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Network-layer functions

- forwarding: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
 - routing: determine route taken by packets from source to destination

data plane


control plane

Two approaches to structuring network control plane:

- per-router control (traditional)
- logically centralized control (software defined networking)


Per-router control plane

Individual routing algorithm components in each and every router interact in the control plane




Software-Defined Networking (SDN) control plane


Remote controller computes, installs forwarding tables in routers



Per-router control plane



SDN control plane



Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control MessageProtocol




- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG


Routing protocols

Routing protocol goal: determine "good" paths (equivalently, routes), from sending hosts to receiving host, through network of routers

- path: sequence of routers packets traverse from given initial source host to final destination host
- "good": least "cost", "fastest", "least congested"
- routing: a "top-10" networking challenge!



Graph abstraction: link costs



 $c_{a,b}$: cost of *direct* link connecting a and b e.g., $c_{w,z} = 5$, $c_{u,z} = \infty$


cost defined by network operator: could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

graph: G = (N, E)

N: set of routers = $\{u, v, w, x, y, z\}$

E: set of links = { (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) }

Routing algorithm classification



decentralized: iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors

- routers initially only know link costs to attached neighbors
- ("distance vector") algorithms

global or decentralized information?

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control MessageProtocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

- centralized: network topology, link costs known to all nodes
 - accomplished via "link state broadcast"
 - all nodes have same info single source shortest path
- computes least cost paths from one node ("source") to all other nodes
 - gives *forwarding table* for that node
- iterative: after *k* iterations, know least cost path to *k* destinations


notation

- $c_{x,y}$: direct link cost from node x to y; = ∞ if not direct neighbors
- D(v): current estimate of cost of least-cost-path from source to destination v
- p(v): predecessor node along path from source to v
- N': set of nodes whose leastcost-path definitively known

Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

```
1 Initialization:
   N' = \{u\}
                                 /* compute least cost path from u to all other nodes */
   for all nodes v
     if v adjacent to u
                                 /* u initially knows direct-path-cost only to direct neighbors
       then D(v) = c_{u,v}
                                                                                          */
                                 /* but may not be minimum cost!
    else D(v) = \infty
   Loop
     find w not in N' such that D(w) is a minimum
     add w to N'
     update D(v) for all v adjacent to w and not in N':
         D(v) = \min \left( D(v), D(w) + c_{w,v} \right)
     /* new least-path-cost to v is either old least-cost-path to v or known
      least-cost-path to w plus direct-cost from w to v */
15 until all nodes in N'
```


		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	1,u	∞	∞
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						



Initialization (step 0):


For all a: if a adjacent to u then $D(a) = c_{u,a}$

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	UX)					
2						
3						
4						
5						



- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add a to N'

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	UX	2,u	4,x		2,x	∞
2						
3						
4						
5						




- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N'*
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N':

$$D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$$

$$D(v) = min (D(v), D(x) + c_{x,v}) = min(2, 1+2) = 2$$


 $D(w) = min (D(w), D(x) + c_{x,w}) = min (5, 1+3) = 4$
 $D(y) = min (D(y), D(x) + c_{x,y}) = min(inf, 1+1) = 2$

		V	W	X	<u>(y)</u>	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,U	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,tJ	4,x		(2,X)	∞
2	uxy					
3						
4						
5						



- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add a to N'

			V	W	X	У	Z
St	ер	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
	0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
	1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
	2	uxy	2,u	3,y			4 ,y
	3			•			
	4						
	-5						




- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N'*
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N':

$$D(b) = \min (D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$$

$$D(w) = min (D(w), D(y) + c_{y,w}) = min (4, 2+1) = 3$$


 $D(z) = min (D(z), D(y) + c_{y,z}) = min(inf, 2+2) = 4$

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	Ø(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	/ 2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	/ 2,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
2	uxy /	(2,u)	3,y			4,y
3	uxyv		· •			
4						
5						



- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add a to N'

			V	W	X	У	Z
S	tep	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
	0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
	1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
	2	uxy	(2,u)	3,y			4 ,y
	3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
	4						-
	5						




- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add a to N'
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N':

$$D(b) = \min (D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$$


$$D(w) = min(D(w), D(v) + c_{v,w}) = min(3, 2+3) = 3$$

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2 ,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
2	uxy	2,u	3,y			4,y
3	uxyv		<u>3,y</u>			4,y
4	uxyvw					
5						



- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add a to N'

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
2	uxy	(2,u)	3,y			4 ,y
3	uxyv		<u>3,y</u>			4 ,y
4	uxyvw					4,y
5						




- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N'*
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N':

$$D(b) = \min (D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$$


$$D(z) = min (D(z), D(w) + c_{w,z}) = min (4, 3+5) = 4$$

			V	W	X	У	Z
Ste	ер	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
	0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
	1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
	2	uxy	(2,u)	3,4			4 ,y
	3	uxyv		<u>3,y</u>			4,y
	4	uxyvw					<u>4,y</u>
	5	UXVVWZ					




- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N'*


		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,x)	∞
2	uxy	2,u	3,y			4 ,y
3	uxyv		<u>3,y</u>			4,y
4	uxyvw					<u>4,y</u>
5	UXVVW7					



- 8 Loop
- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add a to N'
- update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min (D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$





resulting least-cost-path tree from u:



resulting forwarding table in u:

destination	outgoing link	
V	(u,v) —	route from <i>u</i> to <i>v</i> directly
X	(u,x)	
У	(u,x)	route from u to all
W	(u,x)	other destinations
X	(u,x)	via <i>x</i>





notes:

- construct least-cost-path tree by tracing predecessor nodes
- ties can exist (can be broken arbitrarily)

Dijkstra's algorithm: discussion

algorithm complexity: *n* nodes

- each of n iteration: need to check all nodes, w, not in N
- n(n+1)/2 comparisons: $O(n^2)$ complexity
- more efficient implementations possible: O(nlogn)


message complexity:


- each router must broadcast its link state information to other n routers
- efficient (and interesting!) broadcast algorithms: O(n) link crossings to disseminate a broadcast message from one source
- each router's message crosses O(n) links: overall message complexity: $O(n^2)$


Dijkstra's algorithm: oscillations possible

- when link costs depend on traffic volume, route oscillations possible
- sample scenario:
 - routing to destination a, traffic entering at d, c, e with rates 1, e (<1), 1
 - link costs are directional, and volume-dependent

Instable - route changes may occur if traffic change







initially

given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs

given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap


- introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control MessageProtocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG


Distance vector algorithm

Based on *Bellman-Ford* (BF) equation (dynamic programming):



Bellman-Ford Example

Suppose that u's neighboring nodes, x,v,w, know that for destination z:



Bellman-Ford equation says:

$$D_{u}(z) = \min \{ c_{u,v} + D_{v}(z), c_{u,x} + D_{x}(z), c_{u,w} + D_{w}(z) \}$$

$$= \min \{ 2 + 5, 1 + 3, 5 + 3 \} = 4$$

node achieving minimum (x) is next hop on estimated leastcost path to destination (z)

Distance vector algorithm

key idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from any neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

$$D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_{v} \{c_{x,v} + D_v(y)\}$$
 for each node $y \in N$

• under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ converge to the actual least cost $d_x(y)$

Distance vector algorithm:

each node:

wait for (change in local link cost or msg from neighbor)

recompute DV estimates using DV received from neighbor

if DV to any destination has changed, *notify* neighbors

iterative, asynchronous: each local iteration caused by:

- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor


distributed, self-stopping: each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes

- neighbors then notify their neighbors – only if necessary
- no notification received, no actions taken!

Distance vector: example



- All nodes have distance estimates to nearest neighbors (only)
- All nodes send their local distance vector to their neighbors




Distance vector example: iteration




All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors






- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors






- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors






- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors






- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors





- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors



.... and so on

Let's next take a look at the iterative computations at nodes

-a-

-d-

t=1

b receives DVs from a, c, e

DV in a:

 $D_a(a)=0$

$$D_{a}(b) = 8$$

$$D_a(c) = \infty$$

 $D_a(d) = 1$

$$D_a(e) = \infty$$

$$D_a(f) = \infty$$

$$D_a(g) = \infty$$

$$D_a(h) = \infty$$

$$D_a(i) = \infty$$

DV in b:


$$D_b(a) = 8$$
 $D_b(f) = \infty$
 $D_b(c) = 1$ $D_b(g) = \infty$

$$D_b(d) = \infty$$
 $D_b(h) = \infty$

$$D_b(e) = 1$$
 $D_b(i) = \infty$

-b-

e-



DV in c:

$$D_c(a) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(b) = 1$$

$$D_{c}(c) = 0$$

$$D_c(d) = \infty$$

$$D_c(e) = \infty$$

$$D_c(f) = \infty$$

$$D_c(g) = \infty$$

$$D_c(h) = \infty$$

$$D_c(i) = \infty$$

DV in e:

$$D_e(a) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(b) = 1$$

$$D_e(c) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(d) = 1$$

$$D_e(e) = 0$$

$$D_e(f) = 1$$

$$D_e(g) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(h) = 1$$

$$D_e(i) = \infty$$

t=1

b receives DVs from a, c, e, computes:

DV in a:

$$D_{a}(a)=0$$

$$D_{a}(b) = 8$$

$$D_{a}(c) = \infty$$

$$D_{a}(d) = 1$$


$$D_{a}(e) = \infty$$

$$D_{a}(f) = \infty$$

$$D_{a}(g) = \infty$$


$$D_{a}(h) = \infty$$

$$D_{a}(i) = \infty$$



DV in b:

$$\begin{array}{ll} D_b(a) = 8 & D_b(f) = \infty \\ D_b(c) = 1 & D_b(g) = \infty \\ D_b(d) = \infty & D_b(h) = \infty \\ D_b(e) = 1 & D_b(i) = \infty \end{array}$$



$D_{c}(a) = \infty$ $D_{c}(b) = 1$ $D_{c}(c) = 0$

DV in c:

$$D_{c}(d) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(e) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(f) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(g) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(h) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(i) = \infty$$

DV in e:

$$D_{e}(a) = \infty$$
 $D_{e}(b) = 1$
 $D_{e}(c) = \infty$
 $D_{e}(d) = 1$
 $D_{e}(e) = 0$
 $D_{e}(f) = 1$
 $D_{e}(g) = \infty$
 $D_{e}(h) = 1$

 $D_{o}(i) = \infty$

$$D_b(a) = \min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(a), c_{b,c} + D_c(a), c_{b,e} + D_e(a)\} = \min\{8, \infty, \infty\} = 8$$

$$D_b(c) = \min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(c), c_{b,c} + D_c(c), c_{b,e} + D_e(c)\} = \min\{\infty, 1, \infty\} = 1$$

$$D_b(d) = min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(d), c_{b,c} + D_c(d), c_{b,e} + D_e(d)\} = min\{9,2,\infty\} = 2$$

$$D_b(e) = min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(e), c_{b,c} + D_c(e), c_{b,e} + D_e(e)\} = min\{\infty, \infty, 1\} = 1$$

$$D_b(f) = \min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(f), c_{b,c} + D_c(f), c_{b,e} + D_e(f)\} = \min\{\infty, \infty, 2\} = 2$$

$$D_b(g) = \min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(g), c_{b,c} + D_c(g), c_{b,e} + D_e(g)\} = \min\{\infty, \infty, \infty\} = \infty$$

$$D_b(h) = \min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(h), c_{b,c} + D_c(h), c_{b,e} + D_e(h)\} = \min\{\infty, \infty, 2\} = 2$$

$$D_b(i) = \min\{c_{b,a} + D_a(i), c_{b,c} + D_c(i), c_{b,e} + D_e(i)\} = \min\{\infty, \infty, \infty\} = \infty$$

DV in b:

$$D_b(a) = 8$$
 $D_b(f) = 2$
 $D_b(c) = 1$ $D_b(g) = \infty$
 $D_b(d) = 2$ $D_b(h) = 2$
 $D_b(e) = 1$ $D_b(i) = \infty$

t=1

c receives DVs from b

DV in a:

 $D_a(a)=0$ $D_a(b) = 8$

$$D_a(c) = \infty$$

$$D_{a}(d) = 1$$

$$D_a(e) = \infty$$

$$D_a(f) = \infty$$

$$D_a(g) = \infty$$

$$D_a(h) = \infty$$

$$D_a(i) = \infty$$

DV in b:

$$D_b(a) = 8$$
 $D_b(f) = \infty$
 $D_b(c) = 1$ $D_b(g) = \infty$

$$D_b(d) = \infty$$
 $D_b(h) = \infty$

$$D_b(e) = 1$$
 $D_b(i) = \infty$

DV in c:

$$D_c(a) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(b) = 1$$

$$D_{c}(c) = 0$$

$$D_c(d) = \infty$$

$$D_c(e) = \infty$$

$$D_c(f) = \infty$$

$$D_c(g) = \infty$$

$$D_c(h) = \infty$$

$$D_c(i) = \infty$$

DV in e:

$$D_e(a) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(b) = 1$$

$$D_e(c) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(d) = 1$$


$$D_{e}(e) = 0$$

$$D_{e}(f) = 1$$

$$D_e(g) = \infty$$

$$D_e(h) = 1$$

$$D_e(i) = \infty$$



DV in b:

$$D_b(a) = 8$$
 $D_b(f) = \infty$
 $D_b(c) = 1$ $D_b(g) = \infty$
 $D_b(d) = \infty$ $D_b(h) = \infty$
 $D_b(e) = 1$ $D_b(i) = \infty$

compute

DV in c:

 $D_c(a) = \infty$ $D_c(b) = 1$

 $D_c(c) = 0$

 $D_c(d) = \infty$

 $D_c(e) = \infty$

 $D_c(f) = \infty$

 $D_c(g) = \infty$

 $D_c(h) = \infty$

 $D_c(i) = \infty$



t=1

c receives DVs from b computes:

$$D_c(a) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(a)\} = 1 + 8 = 9$$

$$D_c(b) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(b)\} = 1 + 0 = 1$$

$$D_c(d) = min\{c_{c,b}+D_b(d)\} = 1+ \infty = \infty$$

$$D_c(e) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(e)\} = 1 + 1 = 2$$

$$D_c(f) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(f)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$$

$$D_c(g) = \min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(g)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$$

$$D_c(h) = min\{c_{bc,b} + D_b(h)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$$

$$D_c(i) = \min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(i)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$$

DV in c:

$$D_{c}(a) = 9$$

$$D_{c}(b) = 1$$

$$D_c(c) = 0$$

$$D_c(d) = 2$$

$$D_c(e) = \infty$$

$$D_c(f) = \infty$$

$$D_c(g) = \infty$$

$$D_c(h) = \infty$$

$$D_c(i) = \infty$$

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive/

-a-

DV in b:

$$D_b(a) = 8 D_b(f) = \infty$$

$$D_b(c) = 1 D_b(g) = \infty$$

$$D_b(d) = \infty D_b(h) = \infty$$

$$D_b(e) = 1 D_b(i) = \infty$$

DV in e:

$$D_e(a) = \infty$$

 $D_e(b) = 1$

$$D_e(c) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(d) = 1$$

$$D_{e}(e) = 0$$

$$D_e(f) = 1$$

$$D_e(g) = \infty$$

$$D_{e}(h) = 1$$

$$D_e(i) = \infty$$



t=1

e receives DVs from b, d, f, h

DV in d:

$$D_{c}(a) = 1$$

$$D_c(b) = \infty$$

$$D_c(c) = \infty$$

$$D_c(d) = 0$$

$$D_{c}(e) = 1$$

$$D_c(f) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(g) = 1$$

$$D_c(h) = \infty$$

$$D_c(i) = \infty$$

DV in h:

$$D_c(a) = \infty$$

$$D_c(b) = \infty$$

$$D_c(c) = \infty$$

$$D_c(d) = \infty$$


$$D_{c}(e) = 1$$

$$D_c(f) = \infty$$

$$D_c(g) = 1$$

$$D_{c}(h) = 0$$

$$D_c(i) = 1$$



Q: what is new DV computed in e at

DV in f:

$$D_c(a) = \infty$$

$$D_c(b) = \infty$$

$$D_c(c) = \infty$$

$$D_c(d) = \infty$$

$$D_{c}(e) = 1$$

$$D_c(f)=0$$

$$D_c(g) = \infty$$


$$D_c(h) = \infty$$

$$D_c(i) = 1$$

Distance vector: state information diffusion

Iterative communication, computation steps diffuses information through network:


- t=0 c's state at t=0 is at c only
- c's state at t=0 has propagated to b, and may influence distance vector computations up to **1** hop away, i.e., at b
- c's state at t=0 may now influence distance vector computations up to 2 hops away, i.e., at b and now at a, e as well
- c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to **3** hops away, i.e., at d, f, h
- c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to 4 hops away, i.e., at g, i



Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates local DV
- if DV changes, notify neighbors




"good news travels fast"

 t_0 : y detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its neighbors.

 t_1 : z receives update from y, updates its DV, computes new least cost to x, sends its neighbors its DV.

t₂: y receives z's update, updates its DV. y's least costs do not change, so y does not send a message to z.


DV: Link-Cost Changes and Link Failure



Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- "bad news travels slow" count-to-infinity problem:




- y sees direct link to x has new cost 60, but z has said it has a path at cost of 5. So y computes "my new cost to x will be 6, via z); notifies z of new cost of 6 to x.
- z learns that path to x via y has new cost 6, so z computes "my new cost to x will be 7 via y), notifies y of new cost of 7 to x.
- y learns that path to x via z has new cost 7, so y computes "my new cost to x will be 8 via y), notifies z of new cost of 8 to x.
- z learns that path to x via y has new cost 8, so z computes "my new cost to x will be 9 via y), notifies y of new cost of 9 to x.


• • •

• see text for solutions. *Distributed algorithms are tricky!*

DV: Routing Loop




DV: Poisoned Reversed



- If Z routes through Y to get to destination X, then Z will advertise to Y that its distance to X is infinity.
- Z will continue telling this "little white lie" to Y as long as it routes to X via Y.
- Since Y believes that Z has no path to X, Y will never attempt to route to X via Z.

DV: Poisoned Reversed



Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

message complexity

LS: n routers, $O(n^2)$ messages sent

DV: exchange between neighbors; convergence time varies

speed of convergence

LS: $O(n^2)$ algorithm, $O(n^2)$ messages

may have oscillations

DV: convergence time varies

- may have routing loops
- count-to-infinity problem

robustness: what happens if router malfunctions, or is compromised?

LS:

- router can advertise incorrect link cost
- each router computes only its own table

DV:

- DV router can advertise incorrect path cost ("I have a really low-cost path to everywhere"): black-holing
- each router's DV is used by others: error propagate thru network

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control MessageProtocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Making routing scalable

our routing study thus far - idealized

- all routers identical
- network "flat"

... not true in practice

scale: billions of destinations:

- can't store all destinations in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy:

- Internet: a network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

Internet approach to scalable routing

aggregate routers into regions known as "autonomous systems" (AS) (a.k.a. "domains")

intra-AS (aka "intra-domain"): routing among routers within same AS ("network")


- all routers in AS must run same intradomain protocol
- routers in different AS can run different intra-domain routing protocols
- gateway router: at "edge" of its own AS, has link(s) to router(s) in other AS'es



inter-AS (aka "inter-domain"): routing among AS'es

 gateways perform inter-domain routing (as well as intra-domain routing)

Interconnected ASes




Inter-AS routing: a role in intradomain forwarding

- suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
- router should forward packet to gateway router in AS1, but which one?

AS1 inter-domain routing must:

- 1. learn which destinations reachable through AS2, which through AS3
- 2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1



Intra-AS routing: routing within an AS

most common intra-AS routing protocols:


- RIP: Routing Information Protocol [RFC 1723]
 - classic DV: DVs exchanged every 30 secs
 - no longer widely used
- EIGRP: Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol
 - DV based
 - formerly Cisco-proprietary for decades (became open in 2013 [RFC 7868])
- OSPF: Open Shortest Path First [RFC 2328]
 - link-state routing
 - IS-IS protocol (ISO standard, not RFC standard) essentially same as OSPF

OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) routing

- "open": publicly available
- classic link-state
 - each router floods OSPF link-state advertisements (directly over IP rather than using TCP/UDP) to all other routers in entire AS
 - multiple link costs metrics possible: bandwidth, delay
 - each router has full topology, uses Dijkstra's algorithm to compute forwarding table
- security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)

Hierarchical OSPF

- two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone.
 - link-state advertisements flooded only in area, or backbone
 - each node has detailed area topology; only knows direction to reach other destinations




Network layer: "control plane" roadmap


- introduction
- routing protocols
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control MessageProtocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Interconnected ASes






inter-AS (aka "inter-domain"): routing among AS'es

Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- BGP (Border Gateway Protocol): the de facto inter-domain routing protocol
 - "glue that holds the Internet together"
- allows subnet to advertise its existence, and the destinations it can reach, to rest of Internet: "I am here, here is who I can reach, and how"
- BGP provides each AS a means to:
 - obtain destination network reachability info from neighboring ASes (eBGP)
 - determine routes to other networks based on reachability information and policy
 - propagate reachability information to all AS-internal routers (iBGP)
 - advertise (to neighboring networks) destination reachability info

Additional Chapter 5 slides

Distance vector: another example



Distance vector: another example

