

# Types

1 byte.  $\rightarrow 8 \text{ bits}$

$$2^8 = 256 / 2 = 128$$

$$0 - 255$$

$$-128 - 127$$

{ unsigned variable }

# A Type Is A Set

```
int n;
```

- When you declare that a variable has a certain type, you are saying that the values the variable can have are elements of a certain set
- *A type is a set of values*
  - plus a low-level representation
  - plus a collection of operations that can be applied to those values

# Today: A Tour Of Types

- There are too many to cover them all
- Instead, a short tour of the type menagerie
- Most ways you can construct a set in mathematics are also ways to construct a type in some programming language
- We will organize the tour around that connection

# Outline

- Type Menagerie
  - Primitive types
  - Constructed types
- Uses For Types
  - Type annotations and type inference
  - Type checking
  - Type equivalence issues

String in Java → predefined  
→ but not primitive

int x, { int is primitive  
Student s, { Student constructed as  
class

# Primitive vs. Constructed Types

- Any type that a program can use but cannot define for itself is a *primitive type* in the language
- Any type that a program can define for itself (using the primitive types) is a *constructed type*
- Some primitive types in ML: **int**, **real**, **char**
  - An ML program cannot define a type named **int** that works like the predefined **int**
- A constructed type: **int list**
  - Defined using the primitive type **int** and the **list** type constructor

# Primitive Types

- The definition of a language says what the primitive types are
- Some languages define the primitive types more strictly than others:
  - Some define the primitive types exactly (Java)
  - Others leave some wiggle room—the primitive types may be different sets in different implementations of the language (C, ML)

# Comparing Integral Types

C:

**char**

*← consider int.*

**unsigned char**

**short int**

**unsigned short int**

**int**

**unsigned int**

**long int**

**unsigned long int**

No standard implementation,  
but longer sizes must  
provide at least as much  
range as shorter sizes.

Java:

**byte** (1-byte signed)

**char** (2-byte unsigned)

**short** (2-byte signed)

**int** (4-byte signed)

**long** (8-byte signed)

Scheme:

**integer**

Integers of unbounded range

# Issues

- What sets do the primitive types signify?
  - How much is part of the language specification, how much left up to the implementation?
  - If necessary, how can a program find out? (INT\_MAX in C, **Int.maxInt** in ML, etc.)
- What operations are supported?
  - Detailed definitions: rounding, exceptions, etc.
- The choice of representation is a critical part of these decisions



# Outline

- Type Menagerie
  - Primitive types
  - **Constructed types**
- Uses For Types
  - Type annotations and type inference
  - Type checking
  - Type equivalence issues

# Constructed Types

- Additional types defined using the language
- Today: enumerations, tuples, arrays, strings, lists, unions, subtypes, and function types
- For each one, there is connection between how *sets* are defined mathematically, and how *types* are defined in programming languages

# Making Sets by Enumeration

- Mathematically, we can construct sets by just listing all the elements:

$$S = \{a, b, c\}$$

# Making Types by Enumeration

- Many languages support *enumerated types*:

C: `enum coin {penny, nickel, dime, quarter};`

Ada: `type GENDER is (MALE, FEMALE);`

Pascal: `type primaryColors = (red, green, blue);`

ML: `datatype day = M | Tu | W | Th | F | Sa | Su;`

- These define a new type (= set)
- They also define a collection of named constants of that type (= elements)

# Representing Enumeration Values

- A common representation is to treat the values of an enumeration as small integers
- This may even be exposed to the programmer, as it is in C:

```
enum coin { penny = 1, nickel = 5, dime = 10, quarter = 25 };
```

```
enum escapes { BELL = '\a', BACKSPACE = '\b', TAB = '\t',  
               NEWLINE = '\n', VTAB = '\v', RETURN = '\r' };
```

# Operations on Enumeration Values

*day → book*

- Equality test:

```
fun isWeekend x = (x = Sa or else x = Su) ;
```

- If the integer nature of the representation is exposed, a language will allow some or all integer operations:

Pascal:            `for C := red to blue do P(C)`

C:                `int x = penny + nickel + dime;`

# Making Sets by Tupling

- The Cartesian product of two or more sets defines sets of tuples:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \underline{X} \times \underline{Y} \\ &= \{(x, y) \mid x \in X \wedge y \in Y\} \end{aligned}$$

# Making Types by Tupling

*tuple → seq*

- Some languages support pure tuples:

```
fun get1 (x : real * real) = #1 x;
```

- Many others support record types, which are just tuples with named fields:

C:

```
struct complex {  
    double rp;  
    double ip;  
};
```


ML:

```
type complex = {  
    rp:real,  
    ip:real  
};  
fun getip (x : complex) = #ip x;
```

*Handwritten pink arrows point from the ML code to the C code, indicating a mapping or comparison between the two.*




# Representing Tuple Values



□ A common representation is to just place the elements **side-by-side in memory**

□ But there are lots of details:

- in what order? (typical → According to the order?)
- with “holes” to align elements (e.g. on word boundaries) in memory?
- is any or all of this visible to the programmer?



# Example: ANSI C



The members of a structure have addresses increasing in the **order of their declarations**. A non-field member of a structure is aligned at an addressing boundary depending on its type; therefore, there may be **unnamed holes** in a structure. If a pointer to a structure is cast to the type of a pointer to its first member, the result refers to the first member...

Adjacent field members of structures are packed into implementation-dependent storage units in an implementation-dependent direction...

*The C Programming Language*, 2nd ed.  
Brian W. Kernighan and Dennis M. Ritchie

# Operations on Tuple Values


- Selection, of course:

C: **x.ip**

ML: **#ip x**

- Other operations depending on how much of the representation is exposed:

```
C:  double y = *((double *) &x);  
    struct person {  
        char *firstname;  
        char *lastname;  
    } p1 = {"marcia", "brady"};
```



# Sets Of Vectors <sup>→ lists</sup>

- Fixed-size vectors:

$$S = X^n \text{ (n value of } x \text{ type?)} \\ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \forall i. x_i \in X\}$$

- Arbitrary-size vectors: (Dynamic Array?)

$$S = X^* \\ = \bigcup_i X^i$$

↓  
no defined length or  
coordinate to data

# Types Related To Vectors

- Arrays, strings and lists
- Like tuples, but with many variations
- One example: indexes
  - What are the index values?
  - Is the array size fixed at compile time?

# Index Values

- Java, C, C++:
  - First element of an array **a** is **a[0]**
  - Indexes are always integers starting from 0
- Pascal is more flexible:
  - Various index types are possible: integers, characters, enumerations, subranges
  - Starting index chosen by the programmer
  - Ending index too: size is fixed at compile time

# Pascal Array Example

```
type
    LetterCount = array['a'..'z'] of Integer;
var
    Counts: LetterCount;

begin
    Counts['a'] = 1
    etc.
```

# Types Related To Vectors

## □ Many variations on vector-related types:

What are the index values?

Is array size fixed at compile time (part of static type)?

What operations are supported?

Is redimensioning possible at runtime? (change array size)

Are multiple dimensions allowed?

Is a higher-dimensional array the same as an array of arrays?

What is the order of elements in memory?

Is there a separate type for strings (not just array of characters)?

Is there a separate type for lists?



# Making Sets by Union

- We can make a new set by taking the union of existing sets:

$$S = X \cup Y$$

# Making Types by Union

- Many languages support union types:

C:

```
union element {  
    int i;  
    float f;  
};
```

ML:

```
datatype element =  
    I of int |  
    F of real;
```

# Representing Union Values

- You can have the two representations overlap each other in memory

```
union element {  
    int i;  
    char *p;  
} u; /* sizeof(u) ==  
      max(sizeof(u.i), sizeof(u.p)) */
```

- This representation may or may not be exposed to the programmer

# Strictly Typed Unions


- In ML, all you can do with a union is extract the contents
- And you have to say what to do with each type of value in the union:

```
datatype element =  
  I of int |  
  F of real;
```

```
fun getReal (F x) = x  
  | getReal (I x) = real x;
```

# Loosely Typed Unions

- Some languages expose the details of union implementation
- Programs can take advantage of the fact that the specific type of a value is lost:



```
union element {  
    int i;  
    float f;  
};  
  
union element e;  
e.i = 100;  
float x = e.f;
```

# What ANSI C Says About This

A union may be thought of as a structure all of whose members begin at offset 0 and whose size is sufficient to contain any of its members. At most one of the members can be stored in a union at any time. If a pointer to a union is cast to the type of a pointer to a member, the result refers to that member.

In general, a member of a union may not be inspected unless the value of the union has been assigned using that same member.

*The C Programming Language*, 2nd ed.  
Brian W. Kernighan and Dennis M. Ritchie

# A Middle Way: Variant Records

- Union where specific type is linked to the value of a field (“*discriminated union*”)
- A variety of languages including Ada and Modula-2

# Ada Variant Record Example

```
type DEVICE is (PRINTER, DISK);
```

```
type PERIPHERAL(Unit: DEVICE) is
  record
    HoursWorking: INTEGER;
    case Unit is
      when PRINTER =>
        Line_count: INTEGER;
      when DISK =>
        Cylinder: INTEGER;
        Track: INTEGER;
    end case;
  end record;
```



*Same with  
Java inheritance*



# Making Subsets

- We can define the subset selected by any predicate  $P$ :

$$S = \{x \in X \mid P(x)\}$$

# Making Subtypes

- Some languages support subtypes, with more or less generality

- Less general: Pascal subranges

```
type digit = 0..9;
```

- More general: Ada subtypes

```
subtype DIGIT is INTEGER range 0..9;
```

```
subtype WEEKDAY is DAY range MON..FRI;
```

- Most general: Lisp types with predicates

# Example: Ada Subtypes

```
type DEVICE is (PRINTER, DISK);

type PERIPHERAL(Unit: DEVICE) is
  record
    HoursWorking: INTEGER;
    case Unit is
      when PRINTER =>
        Line_count: INTEGER;
      when DISK =>
        Cylinder: INTEGER;
        Track: INTEGER;
    end case;
  end record;

subtype DISK_UNIT is PERIPHERAL(DISK);
```

# Example: Lisp Types with Predicates

```
(declare (type integer x))
```

```
(declare (type (or null cons) x))
```



```
(declare (type (and number (not integer)) x))
```

```
(declare (type (and integer (satisfies evenp)) x))
```

# Representing Subtype Values

- Usually, we just use the same representation for the subtype as for the supertype
- Questions:
  - Do you try to shorten it if you can? Does  **$x: 1..9$**  take the same space as  **$x: \text{Integer}$** ?
  - Do you enforce the subtyping? Is  **$x := 10$**  legal? What about  **$x := x + 1$** ?

# Operations on Subtype Values

- Usually, supports all the same operations that are supported on the supertype
- And perhaps additional operations that would not make sense on the supertype:  
`function toDigit(x: Digit): Char;`
- Important meditation:

A subtype is a subset of values, but it can support a superset of operations.

# A Word About Classes

*After making*

- This is a key idea of object-oriented programming
- In class-based object-oriented languages, a *class* can be a type: data and operations on that data, bundled together
- A *subclass* is a subtype: it includes a subset of the objects, but supports a superset of the operations
- More about this in Chapter 13

# ✧ Making Sets of Functions

- We can define the set of functions with a given domain and range:

$$S = D \rightarrow R$$

$$= \{f \mid \text{dom } f = D \wedge \text{ran } f = R\}$$

Handwritten example:

$$S = \frac{\text{int} \times \text{int}}{() } \rightarrow \frac{\text{int}}{\text{R-}} \{x_1 - 1 \div \text{div } 1 \text{ mod } \}$$



# Making Types of Functions

- Most languages have some notion of the type of a function:

C: 

```
int f(char a, char b) {  
    return a==b;  
}
```

ML: 

```
fun f(a:char, b:char) = (a = b) ;
```

# Operations on Function Values

- Of course, we need to *call* functions
- We have taken it for granted that other types of values could be passed as parameters, bound to variables, and so on
- Can't take that for granted with function values: many languages support nothing beyond function call
- We will see more operations in ML

# Outline

## □ Type Menagerie

- Primitive types
- Constructed types

## □ Uses For Types

- Type annotations and type inference
- Type checking
- Type equivalence issues

type      variable      value

int      int      X      1, 10, 25

function.      int ~~int~~ → int      f      +, -, ~~if~~

fun.      add      (a, b) = a + b

call it  
or  
pass in another  
function.

list.

int, bool, char

# Type Annotations

*before runtime.*

- Many languages require, or at least allow, type annotations on variables, functions, ...
- The programmer uses them to supply **static** **type** information to the language system
- They are also a form of documentation, and make programs easier for people to read
- Part of the language is syntax for describing types (think of **\***, **->** and **list** in ML)

# Intrinsic Types

- Some languages use naming conventions to declare the types of variables
  - Dialects of BASIC: **\$\$** is a string
  - Dialects of Fortran: **I** is an integer
- Like explicit annotations, these supply static type information to the language system and the human reader

# Extreme Type Inference

- ML takes type inference to extremes
- *If anything about type is not known,* ~~Infers~~ a static type for every expression and for every function
- Usually requires no annotations

# Simple Type Inference

- Most languages require some simple kinds of type inference
- Constants usually have static types
  - Java: `10` has type `int`, `10L` has type `long`
- Expressions may have static types, inferred from operators and types of operands
  - Java: if `a` is `double`, `a*0` is `double` (`0.0`)

# Outline

- Type Menagerie
  - Primitive types
  - Constructed types
- Uses For Types
  - Type annotations and type inference
  - **Type checking**
  - Type equivalence issues



# Static Type Checking

- Static type checking determines a type for everything before running the program: variables, functions, expressions, everything
- Compile-time error messages when static types are not consistent
  - Operators: `1+"abc"`
  - Functions: `round("abc")`
  - Statements: `if "abc" then ...`
- Most modern languages are statically typed

# Dynamic Typing

- In some languages, programs are not statically type-checked before being run
- They are usually still *dynamically* type-checked
- At runtime, the language system checks that operands are of suitable types for operators

# Example: Lisp

- This Lisp function adds two numbers:

```
(defun f (a b) (+ a b))
```

- It won't work if **a** or **b** is not a number
- An improper call, like **(f nil nil)**, is not caught at compile time
- It is caught at runtime – that is dynamic typing

# It Still Uses Types

- Although dynamic typing does not type everything at compile time, it still uses types
- In a way, it uses them even more than static typing
- It needs to have types to check at runtime
- So the language system must store type information with values in memory

# Static And Dynamic Typing

- Not quite a black-and-white picture
- Statically typed languages often use some dynamic typing
  - Subtypes can cause this
  - Everything is typed at compile time, but compile-time type may have subtypes
  - At runtime, it may be necessary to check a value's membership in a subtype
  - This problem arises in object-oriented languages especially – more in Chapter 13

# Static And Dynamic Typing

- Dynamically typed languages often use some static typing
  - Static types can be inferred for parts of Lisp programs, using constant types and declarations
  - Lisp compilers can use static type information to generate better code, eliminating runtime type checks

# Explicit Runtime Type Tests

- Some languages allow explicit runtime type tests:
  - Java: test object type with **instanceof** operator
  - Modula-3: branch on object type with **typecase** statement
- These require type information to be present at runtime, even when the language is mostly statically typed

# Strong Typing, Weak Typing

- The purpose of type-checking is to prevent the application of operations to incorrect types of operands
- In some languages, like ML and Java, the type-checking is thorough enough to guarantee this—that's *strong typing*
- Many languages (like C) fall short of this: there are holes in the type system that add flexibility but weaken the guarantee

$b = x$  → change to  $int\ x$



# Outline

- Type Menagerie
  - Primitive types
  - Constructed types
- Uses For Types
  - Type declarations and inference
  - Static and dynamic typing
  - Type equivalence issues

# Type Equivalence

int x = "a" ???

- When are two types the same?
- An important question for static and dynamic type checking
- For instance, a language might permit **a := b** if **b** has “the same” type as **a**
- Different languages decide type equivalence in different ways

# Type Equivalence

- ① ☐ *Name equivalence*: types are the same if and only if they have the same name
- ② ☐ *Structural equivalence*: types are the same if and only if they are built from the same primitive types using the same type constructors in the same order
- ☐ Not the only two ways to decide equivalence, just the two easiest to explain
- ☐ Languages often use odd variations or combinations

# Type Equivalence Example

```
type irpair1 = int * real;  
type irpair2 = int * real;  
fun f(x:irpair1) = #1 x;
```

- What happens if you try to pass **f** a parameter of type **irpair2**?
  - Name equivalence does not permit this:  
**irpair2** and **irpair1** are different names
  - Structural equivalence does permit this, since the types are constructed identically
- ML does permit it

# Type Equivalence Example

**var**

```
Counts1: array['a'..'z'] of Integer;  
Counts2: array['a'..'z'] of Integer;
```

- What happens if you try to assign **Counts1** to **Counts2**?
  - Name equivalence does not permit this: the types of **Counts1** and **Counts2** are unnamed
  - Structural equivalence does permit this, since the types are constructed identically
- Most Pascal systems do not permit it

# Conclusion

- A key question for type systems: how much of the representation is exposed?
- Some programmers prefer languages like C that expose many implementation details
  - They offer the power to cut through type abstractions, when it is useful or efficient or fun to do so
- Others prefer languages like ML that hide all implementation details (*abstract types*)
  - Clean, mathematical interfaces make it easier to write correct programs, and to prove them correct