

Contents

1	Small K groups	2
1.1	Grothendieck group K_0	2
1.2	Whitehead group K_1	3
1.3	K_2	6
2	Higher K theory	6
2.1	Quillen plus construction	6
2.2	Quillen Q construction	6
2.3	Waldhausen construction	6
	Appendices	6
A	Projective modules	6
B	Vector bundles	9
C	Categories	10
C.1	Abelian Categories	10
C.1.1	Examples	12
C.1.2	Important results	14
C.2	Derived categories	14
C.3	Exact categories	14
C.4	Triangulated categories	14
	References	14

Algebraic K-Theory

Bhoris Dhanjal

August 22, 2024

1 Small K groups

The category of finitely generated projective modules is the main object of study in algebraic K-theory. This is largely motivated by the following theorem due to Swan [Swa62] which relates algebraic K-theory to topological K-theory.

Theorem 1.1 (Swan's theorem). *There exists an equivalence of categories between $\text{Vect}(X)$ the category of vector bundles over a compact, Hausdorff space X and finitely generated projective $C(X)$ modules. With the cross section functor.*

Proof. content...

□

1.1 Grothendieck group K_0

The big picture idea that Grothendieck had was that of a free completion of a commutative monoid. Commutative monoids occurred in nature very often as finitely generated projective modules/vector bundles.

This is a fairly natural approach which results in a Free-Forgetful adjoint pair between CMon and Ab . We will refer to K book for most of the definitions [WS13]

Proposition 1.1 (Group completion functor). *Assign $(A, +) \in \text{CMon}$ to*

$$K_0(A) \in \text{Grp}$$

by taking the free group on symbols $[a]$ for $a \in A$ and quotienting the monoidal relations $[m + n] = [m] + [n]$.

The mapping is an injection iff the monoid is cancellative.

Proposition 1.2 (Mayer-Vietoris for group completions). *content...*

Definition 1.1 (K_0 for a ring A). *Consider the isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective modules over A . This forms a commutative monoid so consider its group completion $K_0(A)$*

Proposition 1.3 (Eilenberg Swindle). *K_0 for many abelian categories are trivial. If we consider R^∞ as a infinitely generated free module over a ring R if $P \oplus Q \equiv R^n$ then*

$$P \oplus R^\infty \cong P \oplus (Q \oplus P) \oplus (Q \oplus P) \oplus \cdots \equiv (P \oplus Q) \oplus (P \oplus Q) \oplus \cdots \equiv R^\infty$$

but this relation would imply $[P] = 0$ for all projectives.

This extends to higher K groups with an analogue that demonstrates the Quillen K space contracts, see V.1.9 in [WS13].

Definition 1.2 (Morita equivalence for rings and). *content...*

Proposition 1.4. *If A is a PID then $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$*

Proof. *content...*

□

Definition 1.3 (K_0 for abelian category \mathcal{A}). *$K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by $[A]$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and a relation of $[A] = [A'] + [A'']$ for all*

$$0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$$

short exact

Definition 1.4 (Relative K_0 groups).

1.2 Whitehead group K_1

Definition 1.5 (Whitehead group for a ring). $K_1 = \frac{GL(A)}{[GL(A):GL(A)]}$ Where $GL(A)$ denotes the colimit of $GL_n(A)$ with GL_n realized as a subgroup of GL_{n+1} by placing the matrix in the top left corner.

Lemma 1.2 (Whitehead). *content...*

Proposition 1.5.

$$[GL(A) : GL(A)] = E[A]$$

Proof. content... □

Definition 1.6. $SK_1(A) := \ker \det$

Where, $\det : K_1(A) \rightarrow A^*$. We have a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow SK_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow 0$$

Lemma 1.3. For E.D. A we have $SL_n(A) = EL_n(A)$ for all n .

Proof. With elementary row and column operations arrange the matrix so that the element with the smallest norm is in the top right position. And using elementary row operations reduce it to a matrix with a unit in the top left and 0s in the rest of the first column and first row. Proceeding similarly for the remaining $n - 1 \times n - 1$ matrix left we reduce it down to a matrix of the form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Now apply Whiteheads lemma □

Theorem 1.4 (Suslin). $E_n(A)$ normal in $GL_n(A)$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. content... □

We will now move towards Horrocks's theorem. We follow Lang's book which recounts Vaserstein's proof of Quillen-Suslin [Lan02].

Definition 1.7 (Unimodular row). For a ring A , an element of A^n is said to be a unimodular row if its components generate A .

Alternatively it can be useful to view a unimodular row as an element of $M_{1 \times n}(A)$ as such it represents a surjective linear map $A^n \rightarrow A$.

Definition 1.8 (Equivalence of unimodular rows). For unimodular rows $v, w \in A^n$ we say $v \sim w$ if $\exists M \in GL_n(A)$ such that $Mv = w$.

Definition 1.9 (Unimodular extension property). *Given a unimodular row $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in A^n$ if we can construct an invertible $n \times n$ matrix with v in the first column we say v has the unimodular extension property.*

Proposition 1.6. *Over a PID A two unimodular rows in A^n are equivalent.*

Proof. We will instead show that any unimodular row is equivalent to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. \square

Proposition 1.7. *Over a local ring A any two unimodular rows are equivalent*

Proof. Use the fact that projective modules over local rings are free. \square

Theorem 1.5 (Horrocks' theorem). *If (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring then for any arbitrary unimodular row $v(x)$ in $A[x]^n$ such that one of its component elements has leading coefficient 1 implies that v has the unimodular extension property. Furthermore, any such v is equivalent to $v(0)$.*

Proof. Recall that for a local ring $x \notin \mathfrak{m} \iff x$ is a unit.

When $n = 1, 2$ there is nothing to prove. Assume $n \geq 3$.

Without loss of generality, we take $v_1(x)$ with degree d among components with leading coefficient 1 and $\deg v_i < d$, for $i \neq 1$. We shall induct on d .

By unimodularity we know there exists $w(x) \in A[x]^n$ such that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i v_i = 1$$

So we can say that not all of the coefficients of v_2, \dots, v_n can lie in \mathfrak{m} . For if it were the case, then reduced mod \mathfrak{m} we arrive at a contradiction since we assumed v_1 has leading coefficient 1 and $w_1 v_1$ wouldn't have a constant residue.

Once again without loss of generality, assume some coefficient of $v_2(x)$ does not lie in \mathfrak{m} , and as such is a unit.

Now consider the ideal I generated by the leading coefficients of $w_1 v_1 + w_2 v_2$ of degree $< d$.

I contains the coefficients of v_2 this can be inductively found when $w_1 = 0, w_2 = 1$ we get the coefficient of the x^m term where $\deg v_2 = m$. Using repeatedly different choices of polynomials we are done.

Since I has a unit which means it generates A . And consequently implies that there was some choice of polynomial $y_1 v_1 + y_2 v_2$ of degree $< d$ with leading coefficient 1.

The the appropriate row actions we can obtain this in some component of v . Repeating this process until we get $d = 0$ finishes the proof.

Now because of $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i v_i = 1$ there must be some constant term not in m and unital as such. So $v(0) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0) \sim v$ as seen above. \square

Definition 1.10. *Stably free*

Theorem 1.6 (Hilbert-Serre). *Every f.g. module over $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is stably free.*

Theorem 1.7 (Quillen-Suslin). *content...*

1.3 K_2

2 Higher K theory

2.1 Quillen plus construction

2.2 Quillen Q construction

2.3 Waldhausen construction

Appendices


A Projective modules

Recall a **free module** of rank n is one that is isomorphic to n direct sums of its underlying ring. And homomorphisms from free modules to other modules are determined by the image of their generators, i.e. free objects are left adjoints to forgetful functors.¹

A module P is said to be **projective** if it satisfies the following lifting property, every morphism from P to N factors through an epi into N . Note

¹This holds in free monoids $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Mon}}(F(X), M) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(X, U(M))$ where $F(X)$ denotes the free monoid generated by elements from the set X and $U(M)$ is the underlying set of a monoid M , refer to [Awo10, p. 208]

that the lift need not be unique this is *not* an UMP



Lemma A.1 (Free modules are projective).

Proof. Consider the preimages of images of basis of P in N , that lie in M . Then map basis elements from P into these preimages. \square


Proposition A.1 (Equivalent definitions of projectivity). *TFAE,*

1. P is projective.
2. For all epi's between $M \twoheadrightarrow N$, the induced map $\text{Hom}(P, g) : \text{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, N)$ sending $f \mapsto g \circ f$ for $g : M \rightarrow N$ and $f : P \rightarrow M$ is an epi.
3. For some epi from a free module F to P , $\text{Hom}(P, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, P)$ is an epi.
4. There exists Q s.t. $P \oplus Q$ is free
5. Short exact sequences of the form $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ split, i.e. isomorphic to another short exact where middle term is $A \oplus P$ ²

Proof. 1 \iff 2 is restatement of definitions.

2 \implies 3 also just substitution.

3 \implies 4 consider a map in the preimage of identity in $\text{Hom}(P, P)$ which is a splitting (inverse) of the epi F into P ,



²In general any epis into projective objects split (i.e. have an inverse).

Now we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker g \rightarrow F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$, and also $f \circ g$ is idempotent so it naturally admits a decomposition $F = \text{Im}(f \circ g) \oplus \text{Ker}(f \circ g)$ ³ $= \text{Im}(g) \oplus \text{Ker}(g)$ the first by the 1st isomorphism theorem and the second by f being a mono.

4 \implies 2 simply as $\text{hom}(P \oplus Q, -) = \text{hom}(P, -) \oplus \text{hom}(Q, -)$

1 \iff 5 should be clear from above.

□

Theorem A.2 (Proj. fin. generated modules over local rings are free).

Proof. pick a minimal set of generators and see its residue classes in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as the basis of it as a vector space over R/\mathfrak{m} .

Now as for some free module F , $F = \varphi(M) \oplus K$ for some K and some homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow F$, (by defn of projective module), we get

$$M/\mathfrak{m}M \cong F/\mathfrak{m}F = (R/\mathfrak{m})^n \cong R^n \otimes R/\mathfrak{m} \cong F \otimes R/\mathfrak{m} \cong (\varphi(M) \oplus K) \otimes R/\mathfrak{m}$$

Finally we get $M/\mathfrak{m}M \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M \oplus K/\mathfrak{m}K \implies K = \mathfrak{m}K \implies K = 0$ by Nakayama □

This holds for not necessarily finitely generated modules too refer to [Mat87, Th. 2.5] .

Proposition A.2. *If M is a finitely presented module over a Noetherian ring R (prime ideals fin gen) then TFAE*

1. M is projective.
2. M localized at maximal ideals is free.
3. A finite set of elements $\{x_i\}^n$ in R generate R such that $M[x_i^{-1}]$ is free over $R[x_i^{-1}]$.

This proceeds just from the previous result.

Theorem A.3 (Quillen–Suslin). *Every finitely generated projective module over a polynomial algebra is free.*

³For some idempotent e , $1 - e$ is also an idempotent and images under these two mappings decompose any module, furthermore image of $1 - e$ is just kernel of e

B Vector bundles

More detailed exposition can be found in [MS74]. We define the basics as needed for Swam's theorem. We understand all maps as continuous functions.

Definition B.1 (Vector bundle). *A real n dimensional vector bundle is a triple (E, p, B) . Which consists of a continuous map $p : E \rightarrow B$ from the total space E to the base space B . Such that for all $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$ the fibre of b has a real/complex vector space structure. Along with the following property of local trivialization*

1. *For any $b \in B$ there exists a open $U \subset B$ along with a homeomorphism*

$$h : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$$

such that for all $c \in U$ the map through h defines an isomorphism between F_c and \mathbb{R}^n .

A trivial vector bundle is one in which the total space $E = B \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with p just the trivial projection mapping.

Definition B.2 (Vector bundle isomorphisms). *Two vector bundles (E_1, p, B) and (E_2, p_2, B) are considered isomorphic if there exists a homeomorphism between their total spaces h such that the below diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{h} & E_2 \\ & \searrow p_1 & \swarrow p_2 \\ & B & \end{array}$$

and also if h induces a vector space isomorphism for each fibre.

Definition B.3 (Sections of a vector bundle). *For a topological vector bundle (E, p, B) a section refers to a map $s : B \rightarrow E$ such that $p \circ s = 1_B$ where 1_B denotes the identity map on B .*

These sections equivalently are a homomorphism of vector bundles from the trivial line bundle $(B \times \mathbb{R}, \pi_B, B) \rightarrow (E, p, B)$

C Categories

C.1 Abelian Categories

There is a chain of conditions regarding ‘abelian’-ness of categories which is roughly understood as follows,

$$\mathbf{Abelian} \subseteq \mathbf{Pre-Abelian} \subseteq \mathbf{Additive} \subseteq \mathbf{Ab-Enriched}$$

The motivation behind them is to have categories which resemble algebras.

Ab-Enriched categories are categories such that for objects $A, B \in \mathbf{C}$ the external hom set $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ has the structure of an abelian group, furthermore it has a well defined notion of composition (which is bilinear due to the monoidal product in Ab), $\text{Hom}(A, B) \otimes \text{Hom}(B, C) = \text{Hom}(A, C)$.

Proposition C.1. *In Ab-Enriched categories initial and terminal objects coincide (it is often called the zero object)*

Proof. Let \mathbf{C} be an Ab-Enriched category. Note that the Hom-sets between objects have ‘zero morphisms’, i.e. arrows in the Hom-set which behave like the additive identity in the Ab group induced by it. In particular for $0_{A,B} \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ we have the property that if $f : B \rightarrow C$ then $f \circ 0_{A,B} = 0_{A,C}$ and $g : A \rightarrow D$ then $0_{A,B} \circ g = 0_{D,B}$.

Now suppose $0 \in \mathbf{C}$ is initial so there is a unique morphism $0 \rightarrow 0$ so in its Hom-set its both the additive inverse and the identity. So for any $f : X \rightarrow 0$ we can say that by the zero morphism property $f = 0$ so also 0 is terminal. \square

Proposition C.2. *In Ab-Enriched categories finite coproducts coincide with finite products (i.e. biproducts) ⁴*

Proof. Let \mathbf{C} be an Ab-enriched category and $A, B \in \mathbf{C}$ consider the product $A \times B$, which is determined by the following UMP,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & \swarrow x_1 & \downarrow u & \searrow x_2 & \\ A & \xleftarrow{p_1} & A \times B & \xrightarrow{p_2} & B \end{array}$$

⁴This also holds over categories enriched over commutative monoids.

Consider A and B in place of X in the diagram. By the UMP we have $q_1 : A \rightarrow A \times B, q_2 : B \rightarrow A \times B$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & & & & B \\
 \downarrow 1_A & \searrow q_1 & & \swarrow q_2 & \downarrow 1_B \\
 & & A \times B & & \\
 & \swarrow p_1 & & \searrow p_2 & \\
 A & & & & B
 \end{array}$$

So $p_1 q_1 = 1_A$ and $p_2 q_2 = 1_B$ also $p_1 q_2 = p_2 q_1 = 0$.

Now note that $q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2 = 1_{A \times B}$ as $p_1(q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2) = p_1$ and $p_2(q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2) = p_2$. Claim this q_1, q_2 determine a coproduct $A + B$.

We wish to show the following UMP holds for some arbitrary $C \in \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{r_1} & C & \xleftarrow{r_2} & B \\
 \downarrow 1_A & \searrow q_1 & \uparrow f & \swarrow q_2 & \downarrow 1_B \\
 & & A \times B & & \\
 & \swarrow p_1 & & \searrow p_2 & \\
 A & & & & B
 \end{array}$$

Define $f : A \times B \rightarrow C$ as $f = r_1 p_1 + r_2 p_2$. Now $f q_1 = r_1$ and $f q_2 = r_2$ if we show uniqueness of f we are done.

Say f' then $(f - f') 1_{A \times B} = (f - f')(q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2) = 0$. So $f = f'$.

□

Definition C.1 (Additive category). *An Ab-Enriched category which has all finite coproducts.*

Functors between additive categories are called *additive functors*. And can be realized as functors which preserve additivity of homomorphisms between modules, $F(f + g) = F(f) + F(g)$.

Before proceeding further it is important to think about kernels and cokernels in the categorical sense.

Definition C.2 (Kernel). *A kernel is a pullback of a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ and the unique morphism from $0 \rightarrow B$. Provided initials and pullbacks exist.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\ker f & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B
\end{array}$$

The intuition behind this definition is that alternatively it is seen as an equalizer of a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ and the unique zero morphism $0_{A,B}$. The kernel object is the part of the domain that is 'going to zero'.⁵

Definition C.3 (Pre-abelian categories). *An additive category with all morphism having kernels and cokernels.*

The above definition is equivalent to saying a pre-abelian category is a Ab-Enriched category with all finite limits and colimits. This is a consequence to the fact that categories have finite limits iff it has finite products and equalizers [Awo10, Prop. 5.21]. And we know equalizers exist because equalizers of two morphisms is just the kernel of $f - g$.

Definition C.4 (Abelian category). *Pre-additive categories for which each mono is a kernel and each epic is a cokernel.*

Largely the purpose of abelian categories were motivated by wanting to generalize homological methods and to unify various (co)homology theories. It was defined in the modern formulation by Grothendieck in his Tohoku paper [?]. We never directly reference this paper for its mathematical content but it is interesting from a historical perspective.

C.1.1 Examples

Some examples of abelian categories are as follows,

1. **The category of modules.**
2. **Category of representations of a group**

⁵A minor point to note is that in the case of Ab-Enrichments the 'zero' in the Hom-sets isn't a terminal, its Hom-set specific. When you assume a Ab-Enriched category has a initial 0 however this matches up with our intuition.

3. Category of sheaves of abelian groups on some topological space.

Definition C.5 (Presheaf). *For a category C a presheaf is any functor $F : C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$.*

In particular in the case for a topological space X a presheaf of groups (or any algebraic object) on X (in truth the set of the lattice of open sets of X ordered by inclusion) is a some contravariant functor F which sends open sets $U \subseteq X$ to some $F(U)$, it respects inclusions (i.e. there for open sets $V \subseteq U$ is a natural transformation $\rho_{UV} : F(U) \rightarrow F(V)$ in the form of a restriction). Furthermore, function composition, unitals and empty sets going to empty sets hold (to make it a category). Note that all these notions of presheaves are really just a special case of the categorical definition where the sheaf of groups is really just a group object in the categorical presheaf.

Definition C.6 (Sheaf of sets on a topology). *A sheaf of a topology X is a presheaf which satisfies two additional properties, for open sets $U \in X$ and open covers U_i of U*

- (a) (Locality) **A section**, i.e. an element $s \in F(U)$ goes to zero restricted at U_i for all i implies $s = 0$.
- (b) (Gluing) If there is a collection of sections $s_i \in F(U_i)$ such that $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for all i, j then there is some $s \in F(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ for all i .

These two conditions can be written in short as just saying we require $F(U)$ to be the equalizer for the following diagram

$$\prod_{i \in I} F(U_i) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \prod_{i,j} F(U_i \cap U_j)$$

Now finally we get back to the original example. The category of sheaves of abelian groups on a topological space form a abelian category. Additivity is natural due to the functorial nature of F . A slightly unsatisfying proof is due to ‘sheafification’, i.e. the left adjoint to the inclusion functor from sheaves into presheaves. Presheaves of abelian groups can be understood to have all the required properties to be an Abelian category due the functorial representation. Now due to the following result [Sta23] we can extend this notion to the sheaves via sheafification.

C.1.2 Important results

There are a few concepts and definitions relevant in the conversation of abelian categories which we will list out here for completeness. Firstly is the notion of **exact functors** the typical notion of a functor carrying forward exact sequences. With the prefix of left/right added to determine it carrying forward only left or right sides of the exact sequence.

Proposition C.3. *Given a pair of adjoint functors $F \dashv U$ between abelian categories $F : \mathbf{C} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{D} : U$ if the left adjoint F is exact, faithful and if \mathbf{D} has enough injectives also \mathbf{C} has enough injectives.*

Proof. content...

□

C.2 Derived categories

C.3 Exact categories

C.4 Triangulated categories

Add examples from Puppe sequence discussion from homological alg notes they form the triangulation in the case of the stable homotopy category.

References

- [Awo10] Steve Awodey. *Category Theory, 2nd Edition*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 2010.
- [Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra*. Springer, New York, NY, 2002.
- [Mat87] H. Matsumura. *Commutative Ring Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [MS74] J.W. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff. *Characteristic Classes*. Annals of mathematics studies. Princeton University Press, 1974.
- [Sta23] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.
- [Swa62] Richard G. Swan. Vector bundles and projective modules. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 105(2):264–277, 1962.

- [WS13] C.A. Weibel and American Mathematical Society. *The K-book: An Introduction to Algebraic K-theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2013.