

# Convex Programming

## Problem Set 5 – CS 6515/4540 (Fall 2025)

This problem set is due on **Tuesday November 4th**. Submission is via Gradescope. Your solution must be a typed pdf (e.g. via LaTeX) – no handwritten solutions.

### 18 Convex Functions

1. Given two convex functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is the sum  $f + g$  also convex? Either prove it or give a counterexample.
2. Given two convex functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is the product  $fg$  also convex? Either prove it or give a counterexample.
3. What about the convexity of the function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $h(x) = \max(f(x), g(x))$ ? Prove it or give a counterexample.
4. Show an  $\alpha$ -strongly convex function (defined in Pb 20)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f(x) = \Omega(x^2)$

### 19 Gradient Descent Failure

Suppose we run an unconstrained Gradient Descent on  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$  with some arbitrary step size. Give (and justify) an example consisting of

1. a step size  $\eta > 0$
2. an initial point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

such that  $t$ -th **average**  $\bar{x}_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i \leq t} x_i$  of an unconstrained Gradient Descent with the above parameters does not converge (e.g. it diverges) to the optimum as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 20 Gradient Descent for Strongly-Convex Functions

A differentiable function  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex for  $\alpha > 0$  if for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2.$$

Consider an  $\alpha$ -strongly convex differentiable function  $f$  with the 2-norm of its gradient always bounded by  $G$ . The goal is to minimize  $f$  and let  $x^*$  denote its minimum.

Show that the gradient descent algorithm with step size  $\frac{1}{\alpha(t+1)}$  satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_t x_t}{T}\right) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{G^2(1 + \log T)}{2\alpha T}.$$

Thus, strong-convexity allows us to get  $1/T$  dependency in regret instead of  $1/\sqrt{T}$  dependency for general convex functions.

(Hint: Change the potential function in the analysis from class to  $\Phi(t) = \frac{t\alpha}{2} \|x_t - x^*\|^2$ . Also, use that  $\sum_{t \in \{1, \dots, T\}} \frac{1}{t} \leq 1 + \log T$ .)

## 21 Non-Convex Function

In class we assumed function  $f$  is convex. We now want to consider the non-convex case. We want to show that for  $L$ -smooth  $f$ , after  $t$  iterations with step size  $\eta \leq 1/L$  we can find a point  $x'$  with

$$\|\nabla f(x')\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta \cdot t} (f(x^0) - f(x^*))}.$$

(Note that for a local optimum we have  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , so a small norm  $\|\nabla f(x')\|$  indicates that we are close to a local optimum or saddle point.)

Proving this from scratch is a bit tricky, so we provide the following subproblems to guide you to a proof. Each subproblem can be solved in a few lines of calculation/algebra.

**Problem:**

1. Show  $f(x^{t+1}) \leq f(x^t) - \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2$  (Hint: Check the proof from class for convex functions. Does it work for non-convex functions?)
2. Show  $\sum_{k=0}^t \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\eta} (f(x^0) - f(x^*))$  (Hint: 1. implies  $\frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 \leq \dots$ )
3. Show  $\min_{k=0 \dots t} \|\nabla f(x^k)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta \cdot t} (f(x^0) - f(x^*))}$ .

where  $x^*$  is the global optimum  $f(x^*) = \min_x f(x)$ .

You are allowed to use subproblems to solve later subproblems (e.g., use 1+2 to solve 3), even if you did not prove them.