

DP and Graph Algorithms

Problem Set 2 – CS 6515/4540 (Fall 2025)

Answers to problem set 2, question 6.

6 Knapsack and MDP

6.1 Knapsack

- Let \tilde{v}_i be the modified valuations defined as $\tilde{v}_i = K * \lfloor \frac{v_i}{K} \rfloor$. Then we know $\tilde{v}_i \geq (v_i - K)$. Let the optimal set of items chosen by the PTAS is \tilde{R} and the optimal pseudo-poly time algorithm gives us R . It is not necessary that R and \tilde{R} be the same, but we can say for sure that $\tilde{v}_i \leq v_j$ for some matching (i, j) pairs in \tilde{R} and R . If this were not the case then it would be possible to choose some other element $j = i$ in R such that $\tilde{v}_i \leq v_j$ and since both sets have to satisfy the same budget constraints we have found a better optimal solution, which would be a contradiction.

Moving forward with this result we get, $V' = \sum_{i \in R} v_i$ and $V = \sum_{i \in \tilde{R}} \tilde{v}_i$.

Since, $\tilde{v}_i \leq v_j$ for some matching (i, j) pairs in \tilde{R} and R , we get

$$V \leq V' \Rightarrow \frac{V}{V'} \leq 1$$

We also know,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \tilde{R}} \tilde{v}_i &\geq \sum_{i \in R} (v_i - K) \\ V &\geq V' - nK \\ \frac{V}{V'} &\geq \left(1 - \frac{nK}{V'}\right) \\ \frac{V}{V'} &\geq \left(1 - \frac{nK}{\max_{i \in R} v_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

More generally,

$$\frac{V}{V'} \geq \left(1 - \frac{nK}{\max v_i}\right)$$

2. With the above result, we can choose

$$(1 - \frac{nK}{\max v_i}) \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

$$\frac{nK}{\max v_i} \leq \epsilon$$

$$K \leq \frac{\epsilon * \max v_i}{n}$$

Let's choose $K = \frac{\epsilon * \max v_i}{n}$. The time complexity of the pseudo-polynomial algorithm is $O(n^2 \max v_i)$, in our case we run the PTAS for the modified values $\lfloor \frac{v_i}{K} \rfloor$, this gives us the time complexity,

$$O(n^2 \max \lfloor \frac{v_i}{K} \rfloor) \Rightarrow O(n^2 \frac{\max v_i}{K}) \Rightarrow O(\frac{n^3}{\epsilon})$$

Thus, with our constraint of a constant ϵ the above choice of K gives us a polynomial run time.

3. We have,

$$\frac{V}{V'} \geq (1 - \epsilon)$$

Now with the constraint on $\epsilon < \frac{1}{n \max v_i}$, we get

$$\frac{V}{V'} \geq (1 - \frac{1}{n \max v_i})$$

but the largest possible difference between V and V' is nK , so this implies $nK < 1$. Therefore, the approximation algorithm is computing the exact optimal result and we have managed to find a polynomial time solution for the original optimization Knapsack problem. If we can find the solution to the optimization variant in poly time then we can also find a solution for the decision variant in poly time. The decision variant of the Knapsack problem is considered an NP-Complete problem, by finding a polynomial time algorithm for an NP-Complete problem we have found polynomial time algorithms for all NP problems. Hence, we have proved $P = NP$!

6.2 MDP

We can solve this using the DP based algorithm discussed in class. Let's first define the base case.

- When $T = 0$ or 0 pulls left, irrespective of state we're in, the reward is always 0. This is because we can't take any actions now.
- When $T = 1$ or 1 pull left and given that any action from state S_1 or S_2 gives 0 reward. We define:
 - $R_{a_i}(S_k) = 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$
 - $R_{a_i}(S_3) = 10$
 - $R_{a_i}(S_4) = 100$

With the above base case, to solve for $T = 2$ we observe that it's only possible to reach S_4 in 2 steps, therefore we can never receive the reward $R_{a_i}(S_4)$. The other state that gives us some reward is S_3 , but we get this reward only if we reach S_3 with at least 1 time step remaining. So, the optimal policy is to pull action a_2 at starting state S_1 and reach S_3 (an absorbing state), then we can take any action a_i to receive a reward of $R_{a_i}(S_3) = 10$.