Smartphone Market Insights Analysis Table Contents About Project Description Query No.1. Categorizes smartphones into distinct price ranges Query No.2. Calculates the average user ratings for each smartphone brand Query No.3. Evaluating Processor Types Based on User Ratings Query No.4. Analysis of Smartphone Price Segmentation by Memory Card Support Query No.5. Average Ratings and Battery Capacity by Processor Speed Range Query No.6. Top 5 Models with Above Average Ratings and Low Price Query No.7. Market Share Analysis by Brand Query No.8. Distribution of Display Resolutions Query No.9. Evolution of Camera Megapixel Trends Over Time Query No.10. Comparison of Memory Card Support Across Brands Query No.11. Analysis of Price and Ratings by Camera Setup Query No.12. Analysis of Smartphone Models with High Ratings and Low Price # importing libraries In [87]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns Using SQL Connector in the Project In this project, we use an SQL connector to interact with our database. The SQL connector allows us to establish a connection between our Python scripts and the database, execute SQL queries, and fetch results for analysis. This helps us efficiently retrieve and manipulate the data needed for our analysis of the smartphone market. In [88]: import mysql.connector mydb = mysql.connector.connect(host="localhost", user="root", password="Bhushan@148", database="project_02" In [89]: mydb <mysql.connector.connection_cext.CMySQLConnection at 0x19a4027ad50> Out[89]: In [90]: # Show All Tables in the Database df = pd.read_sql_query(show tables 0.00 , mydb) df Out[90]: Tables_in_project_02 0 smartphone In [91]: # Execute SQL query to describe the 'smartphone' table df = pd.read_sql_query(describe smartphone , mydb) df Type Null Key Default Extra Out[91]: Field Model text YES None 1 Sim text YES None 2 OS text YES None 3 Price int YES None Ratings double YES None 5 text YES Display None Card text YES None Processor_Type text YES None 8 Processor_Speed text YES None RAM(GB) None int YES 10 ROM(GB) int YES None int YES Battery(mAh) 11 None 12 Charging_Type text YES None 13 Front_Camera text YES None Rear Camera None Project Title: Smartphone Market Insights Analysis This project aims to provide a clear and detailed understanding of the smartphone market. We will analyze various aspects such as prices, features, user ratings, and technical specifications of different smartphone models using SQL queries. The dataset includes detailed information about each smartphone model, such as its price, battery life, camera quality, processor type, and more. By running these SQL queries, we will uncover important trends and patterns. This information can help consumers make better choices when buying a smartphone and assist manufacturers in developing better products. 1. Categorizes smartphones into distinct price ranges $df = pd.read_sql_query($ In [92]: **SELECT** WHEN price BETWEEN 0 AND 10000 THEN '0-10000' WHEN price BETWEEN 10001 AND 15000 THEN '10001-15000' WHEN price BETWEEN 15001 AND 20000 THEN '15001-20000' WHEN price BETWEEN 20001 AND 25000 THEN '20001-25000' WHEN price BETWEEN 25001 AND 30000 THEN '25001-30000' WHEN price BETWEEN 30001 AND 35000 THEN '30001-35000' WHEN price BETWEEN 35001 AND 40000 THEN '35001-40000' WHEN price BETWEEN 40001 AND 50000 THEN '40001-50000' WHEN price BETWEEN 50001 AND 100000 THEN '40001-50000 ELSE '100001+' END AS price_range, COUNT(*) AS no_of_phones smartphone GROUP BY price_range order by price_range , mydb) df price_range no_of_phones Out[92]: 0-10000 105 100001+ 29 **2** 10001-15000 194 **3** 15001-20000 170 4 20001-25000 97 **5** 25001-30000 81 **6** 30001-35000 47 **7** 35001-40000 36 **8** 40001-50000 118 In [93]: plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.barplot(x=df.price_range, y=df.no_of_phones, data=df) plt.xlabel('Price Range') plt.ylabel('Number of Phones') plt.title('Number of Phones by Price Range') plt.xticks(rotation=45) plt.show() Number of Phones by Price Range 200 175 150 Number of Phones 125 100 75 50 25 Price Range Insights: The data shows that smartphones priced between 10,001 and 15,000 rupees dominate the market, indicating strong consumer interest in this mid-range price segment. Higher-priced models above 40,000 rupees and lower-priced options below 10,000 rupees also attract distinct but smaller segments of the market. 2. Calculates the average user ratings for each smartphone brand df = pd.read_sql_query(with cte as (select *, left(Model, LOCATE(' ', model)) as Brand from smartphone) select Brand, round(avg(Ratings),1) as Avg_Ratings from cte group by Brand having count(*) > 10 order by Avg_Ratings desc; , mydb) df Out[94]: Brand Avg_Ratings **0** OnePlus 8.2 iQOO 8.2 2 Motorola 8.0 7.9 **3** Samsung 7.9 Xiaomi Oppo 7.9 6 Poco 7.9 7.8 Honor 8 Apple 7.7 Vivo 7.7 10 Google 7.7 Realme 7.6 11 7.6 12 Infinix 7.4 13 Tecno plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8)) colors = sns.color_palette('coolwarm', len(df)) plt.pie(df['Avg_Ratings'], labels=df['Brand'], autopct='%1.1f%%', startangle=140, colors=colors) plt.title('Proportion of Average Ratings by Brand') plt.show() Proportion of Average Ratings by Brand Infinix Realme Google Tecno 6.9% 6.9% Vivo OnePlus 7.0% 6.8% 7.0% 7.5% Apple 7.0% 7.5% iQOO 7.1% 7.3% Honor 7.2% 7.2% Motorola 7.2% 7.2% Poco Samsung Oppo Xiaomi Insights: OnePlus and iQOO lead with the highest average ratings of 8.2, suggesting strong customer satisfaction with their products. Brands like Motorola, Samsung, and Xiaomi closely follow with average ratings around 8.0, reflecting their competitive positions in the market. 3. Evaluating Processor Types Based on User Ratings In [96]: df = pd.read_sql_query(11 11 11 SELECT substring_index(Processor_Type, " ",1) as Processor_Type_, round(AVG(Ratings), 2) as Avg_Ratings FROM smartphone GROUP BY Processor_Type_ having count(*)>10 order by Avg_Ratings desc; , mydb) df Out[96]: Processor_Type_ Avg_Ratings Snapdragon 8.16 1 Dimensity 8.11 2 Exynos 8.06 3 Bionic 7.86 4 Helio 7.25 5 Octa 7.06 6 6.72 Tiger 6.55 Unisoc Insights: Processor types with more than 10 models are ranked by their average user ratings, highlighting which processors are generally preferred by users. 4. Analysis of Smartphone Price Segmentation by Memory Card Support df = pd.read_sql_query(In [97]: **SELECT** CASE WHEN Card = 'Memory Card Supported' THEN 'Memory Card Supported' ELSE 'No Memory Card Support' END AS Memory_Card_Support, COUNT(*) AS Model_Count, ROUND(AVG(Price), 2) AS Avg_Price, ROUND(AVG(Ratings), 2) AS Avg_Ratings FROM smartphone GROUP BY Memory_Card_Support ORDER BY Avg_Price DESC; , mydb) Memory_Card_Support Model_Count Avg_Price Avg_Ratings Out[97]: 77 17168.66 1 Memory Card Supported Insights: Models without memory card support are more expensive on average (average price of 30,632.26 rupees) and tend to have higher ratings (average rating of 7.86). In contrast, models with memory card support are more affordable (average price of 17,168.66 rupees) but have slightly lower ratings (average rating of 7.52). 5. Average Ratings and Battery Capacity by Processor Speed Range df = pd.read_sql_query(In [98]: **SELECT** CASE WHEN Processor_Speed < 2.0 THEN '< 2.0 GHz' WHEN Processor_Speed BETWEEN 2.0 AND 2.5 THEN '2.0-2.5 GHz' WHEN Processor_Speed BETWEEN 2.5 AND 3.0 THEN '2.5-3.0 GHz' ELSE '> 3.0 GHz' END as Processor_Speed_Range, round(AVG(Ratings),1) as Avg_Ratings, concat("Rs."," ",round(AVG(price))) as avg_price FROM smartphone GROUP BY Processor_Speed_Range ORDER BY Processor_Speed_Range; , mydb) df Processor_Speed_Range Avg_Ratings avg_price Out[98]: < 2.0 GHz 7.6 Rs. 58156 > 3.0 GHz 7.1 Rs. 20486 2 2.0-2.5 GHz 8.1 Rs. 31946 Insights: Devices with slower processors (< 2.0 GHz) are priced higher on average (Rs. 58,156) and have a rating of 7.6. Faster processors (> 3.0 GHz) are more affordable (Rs. 20,486) but have a slightly lower rating of 7.1. Processors in the 2.0-2.5 GHz range are the highest-rated (8.1) and moderately priced (Rs. 31,946), offering good performance for their cost. 6. Top 5 Models with Above Average Ratings and Low Price In [99]: df = pd.read_sql_query(11 11 11 WITH Avg_Ratings AS (SELECT AVG(Ratings) as Overall_Avg_Ratings FROM smartphone Above_Avg_Ratings AS (SELECT * WHERE Ratings > (SELECT Overall_Avg_Ratings FROM Avg_Ratings) SELECT Model, Price, Ratings FROM Above_Avg_Ratings ORDER BY Price ASC LIMIT 5 , mydb) Out[99]: **0** Xiaomi Redmi Note 10 Lite (6GB RAM + 128GB) 11999 8.0 Xiaomi Redmi Note 11SE 11999 8.0 Xiaomi Redmi Note 10S (6GB RAM + 128GB) 11999 7.9 3 Xiaomi Redmi Note 11 (6GB RAM + 64GB) 12188 7.9 4 Realme 10s 12999 8.0 Conclusion: Top 5 budget-friendly smartphone models with ratings above 7.9 include Xiaomi Redmi Note 10 Lite, Xiaomi Redmi Note 11SE, Xiaomi Redmi Note 10S, Xiaomi Redmi Note 11, and Realme 10s. 7. Market Share Analysis by Brand In [100... df = pd.read_sql_query(SELECT LEFT(Model, LOCATE(' ', Model)) AS Brand, COUNT(*) AS Model_Count, ROUND(COUNT(*) * 100.0 / (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM smartphone), 2) AS Market_Share_Percentage FROM smartphone **GROUP BY Brand** ORDER BY Model_Count DESC; , mydb) Brand Model_Count Market_Share_Percentage Out[100]: Xiaomi 125 14.25 1 Samsung 116 13.23 Vivo 2 96 10.95 92 10.49 Realme Oppo 82 9.35 5.47 Motorola 48 6 43 4.90 Apple Poco 40 4.56 8 OnePlus 38 4.33 iQOO 32 3.65 10 31 3.53 Tecno 11 Infinix 28 3.19 12 12 1.37 Google 13 Honor 11 1.25 14 Huawei 10 1.14 15 Nokia 10 1.14 7 0.80 16 Sony 17 Asus 0.68 18 Nubia 6 0.68 19 Nothing 0.57 20 iKall 3 0.34 21 0.34 Lava 22 Redmi 3 0.34 23 Oukitel 0.34 24 Micromax 3 0.34 25 ZTE 0.23 2 26 Lenovo 0.23 27 0.23 2 0.23 28 Royole 29 Doogee 0.23 0.11 30 LeEco 1 31 Cola 0.11 0.11 32 1 Vertu 33 BLU 0.11 34 1 0.11 Tesla 35 Lyf 0.11 36 0.11 Jio 1 37 0.11 Letv 38 0.11 itel 1 39 Gionee 0.11 0.11 40 Leitz 1 41 TCL 0.11 42 0.11 Sharp 1 43 Blackview 0.11 Insights: Xiaomi leads the market with 14.25% share, followed closely by Samsung at 13.23%. Together, the top five brands (Xiaomi, Samsung, Vivo, Realme, Oppo) hold over half of the total market share, indicating strong competition among these players. 8. Distribution of Display Resolutions df = pd.read_sql_query(SELECT CASE WHEN Display LIKE '%1080%' THEN '1080p' WHEN Display LIKE '%1440%' THEN '1440p' ELSE 'Other' END AS Display_Resolution, COUNT(*) AS Model_Count FROM smartphone GROUP BY Display_Resolution; , mydb) df Display_Resolution Model_Count Out[101]: 0 1440p 36 1080p 594 2 Other 247 Insights: The majority of smartphones in the dataset feature a 1080p display resolution, with 594 models, while 36 models have a higher resolution of 1440p. Other resolutions collectively account for 247 models, indicating a significant preference for Full HD displays in the market. 9. Evolution of Camera Megapixel Trends Over Time df = pd.read_sql_query(In [102... SELECT SUBSTRING_INDEX(Model, ' ', 1) AS Model_Name, MAX(Rear_Camera) AS Max_Megapixels, MIN(Rear_Camera) AS Min_Megapixels FROM smartphone GROUP BY Model_Name ORDER BY Model_Name; , mydb) df Max_Megapixels Model_Name Min_Megapixels Out[102]: Apple Memory Card Not Supported 12MP 1 64MP + 16MP + 8MP Triple 50MP + 12MP Dual Asus Blackview 13MP Dual 13MP Dual 48MP Quad BLU 48MP Quad 4 Cola 50MP + 2MP Dual 50MP + 2MP Dual 108MP + 20MP + 16MP Triple 108MP + 64MP + 16MP Triple Doogee Gionee 16MP 16MP 50MP + 8MP Dual 12.2MP Google 108MP Quad 64MP Quad 108MP + 8MP + 2MP Triple Foldable Display 10 8MP Dual 11 Infinix 108MP + 13MP + 2MP Triple 12 64MP + 8MP + 2MP Triple iQOO 48MP + 13MP + 13MP Triple 8MP + 0.08MP Dual 13 itel 8MP + 0.08MP Dual 14 Jio 15 64MP Quad 13MP + 2MP Triple Lava 16 LeEco 13MP + Depth Sensor Dual 13MP + Depth Sensor Dual 17 47.2MP + 1.9MP Dual 47.2MP + 1.9MP Dual Leitz 18 Lenovo 64MP + 16MP Dual 64MP + 13MP Dual 19 8MP + Depth Sensor Dual 8MP + Depth Sensor Dual Letv 20 LG **Dual Display** 48MP + 8MP + 5MP Triple 13MP + 2MP Dual 21 Lyf 13MP + 2MP Dual 22 Micromax 13MP + 2MP Dual 23 108MP + 13MP + 2MP Triple 64MP Quad Motorola 24 Nokia 50MP + 5MP + 2MP Triple 108MP Quad 25 50MP + 12MP Dual Nothing 50MP + 50MP Dual 26 Nubia 64MP + 8MP + 2MP Triple 50MP + 8MP + 2MP Triple 27 OnePlus 64MP Quad 108MP + 2MP + 2MP Triple 108MP + 13MP + 2MP Triple 28 Foldable Display, Dual Display Oppo 29 16MP + 13MP + 13MP Triple Oukitel **Dual Display** 30 Poco 8MP Dual 108MP + 13MP + 2MP Triple 31 8MP 108MP + 2MP Dual Realme 108MP + 8MP + 2MP Triple 32 Redmi 50MP + Depth Sensor Dual 33 Foldable Display, Dual Display Foldable Display, Dual Display Royole Samsung Foldable Display, Dual Display 34 108MP Quad 35 Sharp 48MP + 12.2MP + 12.2MP Triple 48MP + 12.2MP + 12.2MP Triple 36 Sony 50MP + 2MP Dual 12MP + 12MP + 12MP Triple 37 TCL 13MP 13MP 38 64MP + 50MP + 2MP Triple 13MP + 2MP Dual Tecno 50MP + 50MP + 50MP Triple 39 50MP + 50MP + 50MP Triple Tesla 40 Vertu 13MP 13MP 41 Vivo 8MP 108MP + 13MP + 2MP Triple 108MP + 13MP + 5MP Triple 42 Xiaomi 8MP + 0.3MP Dual 64MP + 64MP + 64MP Triple 43 50MP Quad ZTE Insights: Camera resolutions among smartphone models have shown a significant evolution over time, with newer models featuring higher megapixel counts compared to older ones. The trend indicates a shift towards higher resolutions, such as 108MP and beyond, among leading brands and newer entries in the market, while older models typically range from 8MP to 64MP. 10. Comparison of Memory Card Support Across Brands In [103... | df = pd.read_sql_query(SELECT LEFT(Model, LOCATE(' ', Model)) AS Brand, WHEN Card = 'Memory Card Supported' THEN 'Supported' ELSE 'Not Supported' END AS Memory_Card_Support, COUNT(*) AS Model_Count FROM smartphone GROUP BY Brand, Memory_Card_Support ORDER BY Brand, Memory_Card_Support; , mydb) df Out[103]: Brand Memory_Card_Support Model_Count 0 Apple Not Supported 43 1 Not Supported 6 Asus 2 Blackview Supported 1 BLU Supported 1 4 Cola Not Supported 1 2 Doogee Not Supported 6 Gionee Not Supported 1 Google 12 Not Supported 8 Honor Not Supported 10 Supported 1 Honor 10 Huawei Not Supported 7 11 Huawei Supported 3 12 iKall Not Supported 3 13 Infinix 23 Not Supported 14 Infinix Supported 5 iQOO 32 15 Not Supported 16 itel Not Supported 1 17 Not Supported 1 Jio 18 Lava Not Supported 3 LeEco 1 19 Not Supported 20 Leitz Not Supported 1 21 Lenovo Not Supported 2 22 Letv Not Supported 1 23 2 LG Not Supported 24 Lyf Not Supported 1 Not Supported 25 Micromax 3 26 Motorola Not Supported 45 27 Supported 3 Motorola 28 Nokia Not Supported 7 29 3 Nokia Supported Not Supported 30 Nothing 5 31 Nubia Not Supported 6 32 OnePlus Not Supported 33 OnePlus 5 33 Supported 34 OPPO Not Supported 63 19 35 Oppo Supported 36 Oukitel Not Supported 1 Oukitel 2 37 Supported 38 Poco Not Supported 40 88 39 Realme Not Supported 40 Realme Supported 4 41 Redmi Not Supported 3 43 Samsung 113 Not Supported 44 Supported Samsung Not Supported 45 Sharp 1 46 Sony Not Supported 6 47 Supported Sony 48 TCL Not Supported 1 Not Supported 27 49 Tecno 50 Tecno Supported 51 Not Supported Tesla 1 52 Vertu Not Supported 1 53 Not Supported 78 Vivo 54 Vivo Supported 18 55 Xiaomi Not Supported 121 56 Xiaomi Supported 57 ZTE Not Supported 2 Insight: Among smartphone brands, the majority do not support external memory cards, with Xiaomi and Vivo leading in models that do support them, highlighting a preference for integrated storage solutions over expandable storage options across the market. 11. Analysis of Price and Ratings by Camera Setup In [104... df = pd.read_sql_query(**SELECT** WHEN Rear_Camera LIKE '%Triple%' THEN 'Triple Camera' WHEN Rear_Camera LIKE '%Quad%' THEN 'Quad Camera' ELSE 'Other' END AS Camera_Setup, ROUND(AVG(Price), 2) AS Avg_Price, ROUND(AVG(Ratings), 2) AS Avg_Ratings, COUNT(*) AS Model_Count FROM smartphone GROUP BY Camera_Setup ORDER BY Avg_Price DESC; 0.000, mydb) df Camera_Setup Avg_Price Avg_Ratings Model_Count Out[104]: Triple Camera 31473.18 8.05 506 242 Other 28323.55 7.23 **2** Quad Camera 23628.43 8.07 129 Insight: The analysis shows that smartphones with triple camera setups tend to have higher average prices and ratings compared to those with quad camera setups, despite the latter having a higher model count. 12. Analysis of Smartphone Models with High Ratings and Low Price df = pd.read_sql_query(In [105... WITH Avg_Ratings AS (SELECT AVG(Ratings) AS Overall_Avg_Ratings FROM smartphone Above_Avg_Ratings AS (SELECT Model, Price, Ratings FROM smartphone WHERE Ratings > (SELECT Overall_Avg_Ratings FROM Avg_Ratings) SELECT Model, Price, Ratings FROM Above_Avg_Ratings WHERE Price < (SELECT AVG(Price) FROM smartphone)</pre> ORDER BY Ratings DESC, Price ASC LIMIT 10; 0.00 , mydb) Model Price Ratings Out[105]: Xiaomi Redmi Note 12 Explorer 24999 0 8.9 1 Infinix Zero 20 17999 8.7 2 Motorola Edge 20 Fusion 5G 18999 8.7 3 Motorola Edge S 22490 8.7 Xiaomi Redmi Note 11 Pro Plus 5G (8GB RAM + 25... 22999 8.7 Samsung Galaxy M53 5G (8GB RAM + 128GB) 25289 8.7 6 Realme X50 Pro 5G (12GB RAM + 256GB) 8.7 Motorola Moto G82 (8GB RAM + 128GB) 20499 8.6 8 Samsung Galaxy A52 (8GB RAM + 128GB) 22494 8.6 Samsung Galaxy A71 22494 8.6 Insight: The listed smartphone models combine high ratings with relatively low prices, making them attractive options for consumers seeking value and performance. **See All about Project Material:** Smartphone Dataset in csv format See More Projects - SQL Connect With Me On Linkdin Profile GitHub Profile Email id Contact With Whatsapp Instagram id FaceBook id Address Google Resume