

# Spanning Tree Algorithm


Kameswari Chebrolu

All the figures used as part of the slides are either self created or from the public domain with either 'creative commons' or 'public domain dedication' licensing. The public sites from which some of the figures have been picked include:

<http://commons.wikimedia.org> (Wikipedia, Wikimedia and workbooks); <http://www.sxc.hu> and <http://www.pixabay.com>


# Recap

- Bridges interconnect LANs to form extended LANs
- Forwarding based on learning
- Loops in topology can result in frames looping indefinitely
- How to solve looping?




# Spanning Tree


- Define a graph
  - Consider each bridge and each LAN-segment as a node
  - And each interface/port as a link
- Define a spanning tree in this graph
  - Need to span only those nodes that correspond to LAN segments
- Which spanning tree?




Graph




Spanning Tree




Topology



Graph



Spanning Tree





Topology

# Basic Idea


- Define a **root bridge**: smallest id
  - All of its interfaces are active
- Each bridge computes the **shortest path** to the root bridge, and notes this port (root port)
- For a LAN segment, determine which bridge provides **shortest path** to root (designated bridge)
  - Port connecting LAN segment to bridge (designated port)

# Basic Idea


- Shortest path: smaller-id breaks ties 
- Disable all ports that is not a root port or a designated port
  - Don't forward frames on it or act on frames received on it



Graph



Spanning Tree



Topology



A close-up, full-frame image of a deep red velvet curtain. The fabric is heavily draped, creating a series of vertical, wavy folds that catch the light, giving it a rich, textured appearance. The color is a vibrant, slightly dark red.

INTERMISSION


# Spanning Tree Algorithm

- Dynamic, distributed algorithm
- At beginning, each bridge thinks itself as root & sends configuration messages on all its interface
- Configuration messages: bridge's id (X), id of the node it considers to be the root (Y), and its distance from root(d) (Y, d, X)
- Each bridge stores the “best” configuration

- New configuration is “better” than stored if
  - It identifies a smaller root id, or
  - Same root id, but with a shorter distance to the root, or
  - Same root id and distance, but smaller sending bridge id
- Bridge stops generating configuration messages once it decides its not root (it still forwards after incrementing distance)
- Bridge stops sending configuration messages on a port if its not the designated bridge for that port
- Only **root** sends configuration messages periodically

# Example

- Focus on B4
- (B4,0,B4) send on all interfaces
- Receive (B1,1,B2) on F
  - B1 is root; RP: B4-F
  - F: B2 designated bridge, distance 1
  - E: B4 designated bridge, distance 2
  - C: B4 designated bridge, distance 2
  - (B1,2,B4) on E and C, All ports active




because 1.RP 2.DP

forwarding the message


# Example

- Receive (B1,1,B3) on C
  - B1 is root; RP: B4-F bcz B2 less id than B3
  - F: B2 designated bridge, distance 1
  - E: B4 designated bridge, distance 2
  - C: B3 designated bridge, distance 1
  - (B1,2,B4) on E
  - Ports to F and E are only active



# Example

- B4 Should not receive (B1,2,B5) on E at this time
  - When B5 receives (B1,2,B4) on E, it would conclude B4 is designated ~~port~~<sup>bridge</sup> for E and disable the port B5 to E.



# Bridge Failure

- Downstream nodes stop receiving ‘config’ messages and timeout and declare self as root.
- Spanning tree algorithm kicks in to elect new designated bridges

# Limitations

10,000 f  
t

MAC

Millions

- Not scalable

- Flat Addressing: address look-up cost can be significant
- Forwarding can result in flooding of message in entire extended lan sent on all ports
- Broadcast frames are sent everywhere
  - Example: ARP, DHCP
- Spanning tree can lead to inefficient paths, prevents load-balancing it leads to loops, but removing.... gives inefficient paths



# Limitations



- Can't handle heterogeneity
  - Networks should have same address format

# Summary

- Extended LANs can build relatively big networks
- Loops are unavoidable in such topologies
- Impose a tree (spanning) on the network graph to circumvent them
  - Plug-and-play solution
- Ahead: Network Layer Switching

# Algorhyme – Radia Perlman

I think that I shall never see  
A graph more lovely than a tree.  
A tree whose crucial property  
Is loop-free connectivity.

A tree that must be sure to span  
So packets can reach every LAN.  
First, the root must be selected.

By ID, it is elected.

Least-cost paths from root are traced.  
In the tree, these paths are placed.  
A mesh is made by folks like me,  
Then bridges find a spanning tree.