

**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC** 

MADE IN GERMANY

# Hippo Inu

# Audit

Security Assessment 21. June, 2022

For







| Disclaimer   | 3  |
|--|----|
| Description  | 5  |
| Project Engagement   | 5  |
| Logo   | 5  |
| Contract Link  | 5  |
| Methodology  | 7  |
| Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports) | 8  |
| Tested Contract Files  | 9  |
| Source Lines   | 10 |
| Risk Level   | 10 |
| Capabilities   | 11 |
| Inheritance Graph  | 12 |
| CallGraph  | 13 |
| Scope of Work/Verify Claims                                      | 14 |
| Modifiers and public functions                                   | 20 |
| Source Units in Scope  | 22 |
| Critical issues  | 23 |
| High issues  | 23 |
| Medium issues  | 23 |
| Low issues   | 23 |
| Informational issues   | 24 |
| Audit Comments   | 25 |
| SWC Attacks  | 26 |

#### **Disclaimer**

<u>SolidProof.io</u> reports are not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc'...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug- free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

| Version | Date          | Description   |
|---------|---------------|---|
| 1.0     | 21. June 2022 | <ul><li>Layout project</li><li>Automated-/Manual-Security Testing</li><li>Summary</li></ul> |

#### **Network**

Binance Smart Chain (BEP20)

#### Website

https://hippoinu.io/

### **Telegram**

https://t.me/hippoinuio

#### **Twitter**

https://twitter.com/hippo\_inu

## **Description**

TBA

## **Project Engagement**

During the 21st of June 2022, **Hippo Inu Team** engaged Solidproof.io to audit smart contracts that they created. The engagement was technical in nature and focused on identifying security flaws in the design and implementation of the contracts. They provided Solidproof.io with access to their code repository and whitepaper.



# Contract Link v1.0

https://bscscan.com/address/
 0x473f9e0d804338edbc2c5853a749d31121006592#code

## **Vulnerability & Risk Level**

Risk represents the probability that a certain source-threat will exploit vulnerability, and the impact of that event on the organization or system. Risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

| Level         | Value   | Vulnerability   | Risk (Required Action)  |
|---------------|---------|---|---|
| Critical      | 9 - 10  | A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.      | Immediate action to reduce risk level.                              |
| High          | 7 – 8.9 | A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way. | Implementation of corrective actions as soon aspossible.            |
| Medium        | 4 – 6.9 | A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.                                     | Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.           |
| Low           | 2 – 3.9 | A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.       | Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk. |
| Informational | 0 – 1.9 | A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.   | An observation that<br>does not determine a<br>level of risk        |

# Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied

Throughout the review process, care was taken to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. To do so, reviewed line-by-line by our team of expert pentesters and smart contract developers, documenting any issues as there were discovered.


## Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
  - i) Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
  - ii) Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-byline in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
  - iii) Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof describe.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
  - i) Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
  - ii) Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs causes each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

# **Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)**

#### Imported packages:



#### **Tested Contract Files**

This audit covered the following files listed below with a SHA-1 Hash.


A file with a different Hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. A different Hash could be (but not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of this review.

#### **v1.0**

| File Name              | SHA-1 Hash                               |
|------------------------|--|
| contracts/hippoinu.sol | c829e1475d6ec338e0a54dddcaad1b80ae11e91c |

## **Metrics**

# Source Lines v1.0



## **Capabilities**

### Components

| Version | Contracts | Libraries | Interfaces | Abstract |  |
|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|--|
| 1.0     | 5         | 4         | 8          | 1        |  |

## **Exposed Functions**

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

| Ve  | rsion | Public | Payable |  |
|-----|-------|--------|---------|--|
| 1.0 |       | 140    | 5       |  |

| Version External |    | Internal Private |   | Pure | View |  |
|------------------|----|------------------|---|------|------|--|
| 1.0              | 95 | 119              | 8 | 27   | 60   |  |

## **State Variables**

| Version | Total | Public |
|---------|-------|--------|
| 1.0     | 47    | 32     |

## **Capabilities**

| Version | Solidity<br>Versions<br>observed | Experim<br>ental<br>Features | Can<br>Receive<br>Funds | Uses<br>Assembl<br>Y | Has<br>Destroya<br>ble<br>Contract<br>s |
|---------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|
| 1.0     | ^0.8.0                           |                              | yes                     |                      |   |

| Version | Transfer<br>s ETH | Low-<br>Level<br>Calls | Deleg<br>ateCa<br>II | Uses<br>Hash<br>Function<br>s | EC<br>Rec<br>ove<br>r | New/<br>Create/<br>Create2 |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
|---------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|


| 1.0 | yes |  |  | yes | yes → NewC ontrac t:BUSD BackDi vidend Tracke r |
|-----|-----|--|--|-----|---|
|-----|-----|--|--|-----|---|

# Inheritance Graph v1.0



## CallGraph

## **v1.0**



## **Scope of Work/Verify Claims**

The above token Team provided us with the files that needs to be tested (Github, Bscscan, Etherscan, files, etc.). The scope of the audit is the main contract (usual the same name as team appended with .sol).

We will verify the following claims:

- 1. Correct implementation of Token standard
- 2. Deployer cannot mint any new tokens
- 3. Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds
- 4. Deployer cannot pause the contract
- 5. Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

## Correct implementation of Token standard

|              | ERC20   |              |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Function     | Function Description  |              |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| TotalSupply  | Provides information about the total token supply                                 | <b>√</b>     | <b>√</b> | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| BalanceOf    | Provides account balance of the owner's account                                   | $\checkmark$ | <b>√</b> | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer     | Executes transfers of a specified number of tokens to a specified address         | <b>√</b>     | <b>√</b> | <b>√</b>     |  |  |  |  |  |
| TransferFrom | Executes transfers of a specified number of tokens from a specified address       | <b>√</b>     | <b>√</b> | <b>√</b>     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approve      | Allow a spender to withdraw a set<br>number of tokens from a specified<br>account | <b>√</b>     | <b>√</b> | <b>√</b>     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance    | Returns a set number of tokens from a spender to the owner                        | <b>√</b>     | <b>√</b> | <b>√</b>     |  |  |  |  |  |

# Write functions of contract v1.0

28. updateUniswapV2Router

| 1. Liquidity                    |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 2. approve                      |  |
| bclistAddress                   |  |
| J. claim                        |  |
| i. decreaseAllowance            |  |
| . excludeFromDividends          |  |
| excludeFromFees                 |  |
| excludeMultipleAccountsFromFees |  |
| increaseAllowance               |  |
| l. processDividendTracker       |  |
| renounceOwnership               |  |
| setAutomatedMarketMakerPair     |  |
| setBUSDRewardsFee               |  |
| setKillNum                      |  |
| setLiquiditFee                  |  |
| setMarketingFee                 |  |
| setMarketingWallet              |  |
| setMaxTxAndWalletAmount         |  |
| setShare                        |  |
| ). setTeamWallet                |  |
| . setVirusStatus                |  |
| transfer                        |  |
| . transferFrom                  |  |
| . transferOwnership             |  |
| 5. updateClaimWait              |  |
| 6. updateDividendTracker        |  |
| 7. updateGasForProcessing       |  |
|                                 |  |

## **Deployer cannot mint any new tokens**

| Name                 | Exist        | Tested   | Status   |
|----------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Deployer cannot mint | $\checkmark$ | <b>√</b> | <b>√</b> |
| Max / Total Supply   |              | 10000    | 000000   |

#### Comments:

#### **v1.0**

\_setBalance will mint new tokens

## Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds

| Name                 | Exist        | Tested   | Status |
|----------------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| Deployer cannot lock | $\checkmark$ | <b>√</b> | X      |
| Deployer cannot burn | <b>√</b>     | <b>√</b> | ✓      |

#### Comments:

#### **v1.0**

- · Owner can lock user funds by
  - blacklisting addresses
  - Setting max tx amount to 0
- \_setBalance will burn tokens

## **Deployer cannot pause the contract**

| Name                  | Exist | Tested | Status |
|-----------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Deployer cannot pause | -     | _      | -      |



## **Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)**




#### Legend

| Attribute                | Symbol       |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Verfified / Checked      | $\checkmark$ |
| Partly Verified          | P            |
| Unverified / Not checked | X            |
| Not available            | -            |

## **Modifiers and public functions**

#### **v1.0**



#### **Comments**

- · Deployer can set following state variables without any limitations
  - killNum
  - marketingFeeShare
  - teamFeeShare

- Team fee share has no functionality
- BUSDRewardsFee
- liquidityFee
- marketingFee
- totalFees
  - · If "totalFees" is set to 0 no one is able to transfer anymore
- \_maxTxAmount
- maxWalletAmount
- Deployer can enable/disable following state variables
  - excludedFromDividends
  - tokenHoldersMap
  - · isL
    - · Can be set once
  - lunachB
    - · Can be set once
  - virusStatus
  - \_isExcludedFromFees
  - automatedMarketMakerPairs
  - \_isbclisted
- Deployer can set following addresses
  - dividendTracker
  - uniswapV2Router
  - uniswapV2Pair
  - \_marketingWalletAddress
  - teamWalletAddress
- Existing Modifiers
  - onlyOwner
- · The liquidity address is a private wallet. Be aware of it.
- Addresses which are buying tokens from launch time + 3 will be automatically blacklisted by the contract

Please check if an OnlyOwner or similar restrictive modifier has been forgotten.

## **Source Units in Scope**

#### v1.0

| Туре              | File                   | Logic<br>Contracts | Interfaces | Lines | nLines | nSLOC | Comment<br>Lines | Complex.<br>Score | Capabilities                          |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>≥</b> ≥Q<br>•• | contracts/hippoinu.sol | 10                 | 8          | 1900  | 1524   | 810   | 513              | 847               | <u>\$</u> ♣ <b>⊞</b> 6<br><b>*</b> \$ |
| <b>≥</b> ≥Q<br>•  | Totals                 | 10                 | 8          | 1900  | 1524   | 810   | 513              | 847               | <b>Š ÷⊞</b> ⊚<br><b>☆</b> •3          |

#### Legend

| _                |   |
|------------------|---|
| Attribute        | Description   |
| Lines            | total lines of the source unit  |
| nLines           | normalized lines of the source unit (e.g. normalizes functions spanning multiple lines)   |
| nSLOC            | normalized source lines of code (only source-code lines; no comments, no blank lines)   |
| Comment Lines    | lines containing single or block comments   |
| Complexity Score | a custom complexity score derived from code statements that are known to introduce code complexity (branches, loops, calls, external interfaces,) |

## **Audit Results**

## **AUDIT PASSED**

#### **Critical issues**

#### No critical issues

## **High issues**

## No high issues

## **Medium issues**

#### No medium issues

## Low issues

| Issue | File | Type  | Line          | Description  |
|-------|------|---|---------------|--|
| #1    | Main | Contract doesn't import npm packages from source (like OpenZeppelin etc.) |               | We recommend to import all packages from npm directly without flatten the contract. Functions could be modified or can be susceptible to vulnerabilities |
| #2    | Main | A floating pragma is set  | 7             | The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"".   |
| #3    | Main | Missing Zero Address<br>Validation (missing-<br>zero-check)               | 659, 663, 641 | Check that the address is not zero   |

| #4 | Main | Missing Events<br>Arithmetic | 673<br>674<br>612<br>678<br>679<br>683<br>684<br>712<br>713 | Emit an event for critical parameter changes |
|----|------|------------------------------|---|--|
|    |      |                              | 668   |  |

## Informational issues

| Issue | File | Туре   | Line   | Description   |
|-------|------|--|--|---|
| #1    | Main | State variables that could be declared constant (constable-states) | 516, 492,<br>499, 519                                | Add the `constant` attributes to state variables that never change  |
| #2    | Main | Unused state variables   | 1832   | Remove unused state variables   |
| #3    | Main | Misspelling  | See<br>description                                   | Change following words:  - Allready I L606 - lunachB L608 - setLiquiditFee L677 - exlcude L525  Make sure to change it everywhere else as well. |
| #4    | Main | Error message is missing   | 1090, 1244,<br>SafemathIn<br>t require<br>statements | Provide an error message for require statement  |
| #5    | Main | NatSpec<br>documentation<br>missing                                |  | If you started to comment<br>your code, also comment all<br>other functions, variables etc.   |
| #6    | Main | Unused events  | 542  | Emit or remove event.   |
| #7    | Main | Deadwallet is not excluded   | 619  | Deadwallet is not excluded while updating dividend tracker as like in the constructor   |
| #8    | Main | Naming recommendation  | 695, 696,<br>607, 608,<br>803                        | We recommend you to use more meaningful names for functions and variables.  |

#### **Audit Comments**

We recommend you to use the special form of comments (NatSpec Format, Follow link for more information <a href="https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.5.10/natspec-format.html">https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.5.10/natspec-format.html</a>) for your contracts to provide rich documentation for functions, return variables and more. This helps investors to make clear what that variables, functions etc. do.

### 21. June 2022:

· Read whole report and modifiers section for more information



## **SWC Attacks**

| ID                                   | Title  | Relationships  | Status        |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------|
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>36</u> | Unencrypted<br>Private Data<br>On-Chain                        | CWE-767: Access to Critical Private Variable via Public Method         | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>35</u> | Code With No<br>Effects  | CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code  | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>34</u> | Message call with hardcoded gas amount                         | CWE-655: Improper Initialization                                       | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>33</u> | Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments        | CWE-294: Authentication Bypass by Capture-replay                       | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>32</u> | Unexpected<br>Ether balance                                    | CWE-667: Improper Locking  | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>31</u> | Presence of unused variables                                   | CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code  | NOT<br>PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>30</u> | Right-To-Left-<br>Override<br>control<br>character<br>(U+202E) | CWE-451: User Interface (UI) Misrepresentation of Critical Information | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>29</u> | Typographical<br>Error   | CWE-480: Use of Incorrect Operator                                     | PASSED        |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>28</u> | DoS With<br>Block Gas<br>Limit                                 | CWE-400: Uncontrolled Resource Consumption                             | PASSED        |

| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>27</u> | Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable                   | CWE-695: Use of Low-Level Functionality                   | PASSED |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>25</u> | Incorrect<br>Inheritance<br>Order                            | CWE-696: Incorrect Behavior Order                         | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>24</u> | Write to<br>Arbitrary<br>Storage<br>Location                 | CWE-123: Write-what-where Condition                       | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>23</u> | Requirement<br>Violation                                     | CWE-573: Improper Following of Specification by Caller    | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>22</u> | Lack of Proper<br>Signature<br>Verification                  | CWE-345: Insufficient Verification of Data Authenticity   | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>21</u> | Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks          | CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature | PASSED |
| SW<br>C-1<br>20                      | Weak Sources<br>of<br>Randomness<br>from Chain<br>Attributes | CWE-330: Use of Insufficiently Random Values              | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>9</u> | Shadowing<br>State Variables                                 | CWE-710: Improper Adherence<br>to Coding Standards        | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>8</u> | Incorrect<br>Constructor<br>Name                             | CWE-665: Improper<br>Initialization                       | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>7</u> | Signature<br>Malleability                                    | CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature | PASSED |

| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>6</u> | Timestamp<br>Dependence                       | CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere                                    | PASSED |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------|
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>5</u> | Authorization<br>through<br>tx.origin         | CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function  | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>4</u> | Transaction<br>Order<br>Dependence            | CWE-362: Concurrent Execution using Shared Resource with Improper Synchronization ('Race Condition') | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>3</u> | DoS with<br>Failed Call                       | CWE-703: Improper Check or Handling of Exceptional Conditions  | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>2</u> | Delegatecall<br>to Untrusted<br>Callee        | CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere                                    | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>1</u> | Use of<br>Deprecated<br>Solidity<br>Functions | CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function  | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-11</u><br><u>O</u> | Assert<br>Violation                           | CWE-670: Always-Incorrect Control Flow Implementation  | PASSED |
| SW<br>C-1<br>09                      | Uninitialized<br>Storage<br>Pointer           | CWE-824: Access of Uninitialized Pointer   | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>08</u> | State Variable<br>Default<br>Visibility       | CWE-710: Improper Adherence<br>to Coding Standards   | PASSED |
| SW<br>C-1<br>07                      | Reentrancy                                    | CWE-841: Improper Enforcement of Behavioral Workflow   | PASSED |
| <u>SW</u><br><u>C-1</u><br><u>06</u> | Unprotected<br>SELFDESTRUC<br>T Instruction   | CWE-284: Improper Access Control   | PASSED |

| Unprotected<br>Ether<br>Withdrawal   | CWE-284: Improper Access Control  | PASSED   |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Unchecked<br>Call Return<br>Value    | CWE-252: Unchecked Return Value   | PASSED   |
| Floating<br>Pragma                   | CWE-664: Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime  | NOT<br>PASSED  |
| Outdated<br>Compiler<br>Version      | CWE-937: Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities  | PASSED   |
| Integer<br>Overflow and<br>Underflow | CWE-682: Incorrect Calculation  | PASSED   |
| Function<br>Default<br>Visibility    | CWE-710: Improper Adherence<br>to Coding Standards  | PASSED   |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      | Ether Withdrawal  Unchecked Call Return Value  Floating Pragma  Outdated Compiler Version  Integer Overflow and Underflow  Function Default | Ether Withdrawal  Unchecked Call Return Value  Floating Pragma  Outdated Compiler Version  Integer Overflow and Underflow  Function Default Visibility  CWE-252: Unchecked Return Value  CWE-664: Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime  CWE-937: Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities  CWE-682: Incorrect Calculation  CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards |







**Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC** 

MADE IN GERMANY