

# **MATH 503: Mathematical Statistics**

## **Lecture 4: Properties of Point Estimators II**

**Reading: Sections 6.1-6.2, 7.3**

**Kimberly F. Sellers**

**Department of Mathematics & Statistics**

# ***Today's Topics***

- Recap: Sufficient statistics
- Uniform minimum variance unbiased estimators (UMVUEs)
  - Rao-Blackwell Theorem
  - Completeness
  - Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem
  - Uniqueness
- Exponential families
- Comments connecting Rao-Blackwell and Lehmann-Scheffé

# ***Sufficiency***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  denote a random sample of size  $n$  from a distribution that has pdf/pmf  $f(x; \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ . Let  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a statistic whose pdf/pmf is  $f_{Y_1}(y_1; \theta)$ . Then  $Y_1$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  iff.

$$\frac{f(x_1; \theta)f(x_2; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta)}{f_{Y_1}[u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n); \theta]} = H(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  does not depend on  $\theta \in \Omega$ .

# ***Neyman-Fisher Factorization Thm***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  denote a random sample from a distribution that has pdf/pmf  $f(x; \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ .

The statistic  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  iff. we can find two nonnegative functions,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , such that

$$f(x_1; \theta)f(x_2; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta) = k_1[u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n); \theta] \cdot k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

# ***Uniform Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimators (UMVUEs)***

- For a given positive integer  $n$ ,  $Y = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of the parameter  $\theta$ 
  - if  $Y$  is unbiased, and
  - if the variance of  $Y$  is less than or equal to the variance of every other unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

# ***Rao-Blackwell Theorem***

(Hogg, McKean, & Craig)



C.R. Rao



David Blackwell

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,  $n$  a fixed positive integer, denote a random sample from a distribution that has pdf/pmf  $f(x; \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ . Let  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and let  $Y_2 = u_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , not a function of  $Y_1$  alone, be an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . Then  $E(Y_2 \mid y_1) = \varphi(y_1)$  defines a statistic  $\varphi(Y_1)$ . This statistic  $\varphi(y_1)$  is a function of the sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ ; it is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ ; and its variance is less than that of  $Y_2$ .

# ***Rao-Blackwell Theorem***

(Casella & Berger)



C.R. Rao



David Blackwell

Let  $W$  be any unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , and let  $T$  be a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$ .

Define  $\phi(T) = E(W|T)$ . Then  $E_{\theta}\phi(T) = \tau(\theta)$  and  $\text{Var}_{\theta}\phi(T) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}W$  for all  $\theta$ , that is,  $\phi(T)$  is a uniformly better unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

# ***Notes re. Rao-Blackwell Thm.***

- If we know a sufficient statistic for the parameter exists, the MVUE will be a function of the sufficient statistic.
- This does not mean that we first need to find an unbiased statistic!
- Focus on functions of sufficient statistics



# ***Theorem***

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  denote a random sample from a distribution that has pdf/pmf  $f(x; \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ . If a sufficient statistic  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for  $\theta$  exists and if a MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$ , also exists uniquely, then  $\hat{\theta}$  is a function of  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .
- **The point:** MLEs are functions of sufficient statistics.

# ***Example***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  denote a random sample from a distribution that has pdf  $f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$ ,  $0 < x < \infty$ .

1. Find a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
2. Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .
3. Determine a MVUE of  $\theta$ .

# ***Completeness***

Let the random variable  $Z$  have a pdf/pmf that is one member of the family  $\{h(z; \theta): \theta \in \Omega\}$ . If the condition  $E[u(Z)] = 0$ , for every  $\theta \in \Omega$ , requires that  $u(z)$  be zero except on a set of points that has probability zero for each  $h(z; \theta): \theta \in \Omega$ , then the family  $\{h(z; \theta): \theta \in \Omega\}$  is called a complete family of pdfs/pmfs.

**Note:** One-to-one functions of complete sufficient statistics are themselves complete sufficient.

# ***Example 1***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$  iid.

1. Determine a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
2. What is the pdf associated with this statistic?
3. Show that this statistic is complete.

## ***Example 2***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$  iid,  $\theta > 0$ . Show  $X_{(n)}$  is complete sufficient for  $\theta$ .

## ***Example 3***

Let  $T \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . Show  $T$  is complete.

# ***Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,  $n$  a fixed positive integer, denote a random sample from a distribution that has pdf/pmf  $f(x; \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ , let  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and let the family  $\{f_{Y_1}(y_1; \theta): \theta \in \Omega\}$  be complete. If there is a function of  $Y_1$  that is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , then this function of  $Y_1$  is the unique UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

# ***Uniqueness***

- In most instances, if there is one function  $\varphi(Y_1)$  that is unbiased, then it is the only unbiased estimator based on the sufficient statistic  $Y_1$
- Lehmann-Scheffe  $\Rightarrow$  unbiased estimators based on complete sufficient statistics are unique.



# ***How to Determine UMVUEs?***

- Expected value of complete sufficient statistic
- Conditional expectation of unbiased estimate given sufficient statistic

## ***Example 4***

Let a random sample of size  $n$  be taken from a distribution of the discrete type with pmf  $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$ ,  $x = 1, 2, \dots, \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is an unknown positive integer.

1. Show that the largest observation, say  $Y = X_{(n)}$ , of the sample is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
2. Prove that  $[Y^{n+1} - (Y - 1)^{n+1}] / [Y^n - (Y - 1)^n]$  is the unique UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

# ***Exponential Family/Class***

A pdf of the form

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)], x \in S^*$$

is said to be a member of the regular exponential class of probability density or mass functions if

1.  $S^*$ , the support of  $X$ , does not depend on  $\theta$
2.  $p(\theta)$  is a nontrivial continuous function of  $\theta \in \Omega$
3. Finally,
  - If  $X$  is a continuous rv then each of  $K'(x) \not\equiv 0$  and  $S(x)$  is a continuous function of  $x \in S^*$
  - If  $X$  is a discrete rv then  $K(x)$  is a nontrivial function of  $x \in S^*$

# ***Example 5***

Show that the  $\text{Normal}(0, \sigma^2 = \theta)$  distribution is a member of the regular exponential class.

# ***Example 6***

Is the  $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$  distribution a member of the regular exponential class?

***What about for a random sample?***

**Result:**  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

# ***Theorem***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , denote a random sample from a distribution that represents a regular case of the exponential class, with pdf/pmf given by

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp[p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)], x \in S^*$$

Consider the statistic  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i)$ . Then,

1. The pdf/pmf of  $Y_1$  has the form,

$$f_{Y_1}(y_1; \theta) = R(y_1) \exp[p(\theta)y_1 + nq(\theta)]$$

for  $y_1 \in S_{Y_1}^*$  and some function  $R(y_1)$ . Neither  $S_{Y_1}^*$  nor  $R(y_1)$  depend on  $\theta$ .

2.  $E(Y_1) = -nq'(\theta)/p'(\theta)$

3.  $\text{Var}(Y_1) = n[1/p'(\theta)]^3\{p''(\theta)q'(\theta) - q''(\theta)p'(\theta)\}$

# ***Example 7***

1. Consider  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ . Show that it is a member of the regular exponential class.
2. For a random sample,  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ , determine the sufficient statistic,  $Y_1$ .
3. Use the above theorem to verify  $E(Y_1)$  and  $V(Y_1)$ .



# ***Theorem***

Let  $f(x; \theta), \gamma < \theta < \delta$ , be a pdf/pmf of a rv  $X$  whose distribution is a regular case of the exponential class. Then if  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  (where  $n$  is a fixed positive integer) is a random sample from the distribution of  $X$ , the statistic  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and the family  $\{f_{Y_1}(y_1; \theta): \gamma < \theta < \delta\}$  of pdfs of  $Y_1$  is complete. That is,  $Y_1$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

**Implication:** After determining the sufficient statistic,  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ , we form a function,  $\varphi(Y_1)$ , so that  $E(\varphi(Y_1)) = \theta$  implies  $\varphi(Y_1)$  is unique and UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

## ***Example 8***

Consider  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Normal}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  iid,  $\sigma$  known.

Show that  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is complete sufficient.

Determine the unique UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

# ***Example 9***

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$  iid,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Find the UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

# ***Example 10***

Let a random sample of size  $n$ , i.e.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , be taken from a distribution that has the pdf  $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right) I_{(0, \infty)}(x)$ . Find the MLE and the UMVUE of  $P(X_1 \leq 2)$ .