

# MATH 503: Mathematical Statistics

## Dr. Kimberly F. Sellers, Instructor

### Homework 4 Solutions

1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  represent a random sample from the discrete distribution having the pmf

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta^x(1 - \theta)^{1-x} & x = 0, 1; 0 < \theta < 1 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Find the unique function of  $Y_1$  that is the UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x; \theta) &= \theta^x(1 - \theta)^{1-x} = \exp[\ln\{\theta^x(1 - \theta)^{1-x}\}] = \exp[x \ln \theta + (1 - x) \ln(1 - \theta)] \\ &= \exp\left[x \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}\right) + \ln(1 - \theta) + 0\right], \end{aligned}$$

so  $f(x)$  has the form of an exponential family, where  $k(x) = x \Rightarrow Y = \sum_{i=1}^n k(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is complete sufficient for  $\theta$ . Further,

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta = n\theta,$$

so  $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$  is unbiased for  $\theta \Rightarrow \bar{x}$  is a UMVUE for  $\theta$  by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem.

2. Show that the first order statistic  $X_{(1)}$  of a random sample of size  $n$  from the distribution having pdf  $f(x; \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$ ,  $\theta < x < \infty$ ,  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ , zero elsewhere, is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Find the unique function of this statistic which is the UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

Solution:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid with pdf  $f(x; \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)} \cdot I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x)$  and  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-(x-\theta)}$  for  $x > \theta$ .

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(x_i-\theta)} \cdot I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i) = e^{-\sum x_i + n\theta} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)}) = e^{-\sum x_i} e^{n\theta} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)}),$$

i.e. By the Neymann-Fisher Factorization Theorem (NFFT) with  $k_1(x_{(1)}; \theta) = e^{n\theta} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)})$  and  $k_2(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\sum x_i}$ ,  $Y = X_{(1)}$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$  whose density function is

$$f_{X_{(1)}}(x) = n[1 - (1 - e^{-(x-\theta)})]^{n-1} e^{-(x-\theta)} = ne^{-n(x-\theta)}, \quad x > \theta$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E(g(x_{(1)})) &= \int_{\theta}^{\infty} g(x) \cdot n e^{-n(x-\theta)} dx = n \int_{\theta}^{\infty} g(x) \cdot e^{-n(x-\theta)} dx \doteq 0 \\ &\int_{\theta}^{\infty} g(x) \cdot e^{-n(x-\theta)} dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Substituting  $y = x - \theta$  into Equation (??), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} g(y + \theta) \cdot e^{-ny} dy &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_0^{\infty} h(y) \cdot e^{-ny} dy &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

is the form of a LaPlace transform. The only function  $h(y)$  that satisfies the above function is  $h(y) = g(y + \theta) = 0$ . Therefore,  $Y = X_{(1)}$  is complete sufficient.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_{(1)}) &= \int_{\theta}^{\infty} x n e^{-n(x-\theta)} dx = n \int_{\theta}^{\infty} x e^{-n(x-\theta)} dx \\ &= n \int_0^{\infty} (y + \theta) e^{-ny} dy \quad (\text{letting } y = x - \theta) \\ &= n \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-ny} dy + n\theta \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ny} dy \\ &= n \left( \frac{\Gamma(2)}{n^2} \right) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{\Gamma(2)} y^{2-1} e^{-ny} dy + n\theta \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \int_0^{\infty} n e^{-ny} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{n} + \theta, \end{aligned}$$

so  $Y = X_{(1)} - \frac{1}{n}$  is the UMVUE for  $\theta$  by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem.

3. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  denote a random sample of size  $n$  from a distribution with pdf  $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ , zero elsewhere, and  $\theta > 0$ .
  - (a) Show that the geometric mean,  $(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n}$  of the sample is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
  - (b) Find the MLE of  $\theta$ . Note that it is a function of this geometric mean.

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x; \theta) &= \theta x^{\theta-1} = \exp[\ln(\theta x^{\theta-1})] = \exp[\ln(\theta) + (\theta - 1) \ln(x)] \\ &= \exp[\ln(\theta) + \theta \ln(x) - \ln(x)], \end{aligned}$$

is an exponential family, where  $q(\theta) = \ln(\theta)$ ,  $p(\theta) = \theta$ ,  $K(x) = \ln(x)$ , and  $S(x) = -\ln(x)$ . Thus,  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) = \ln(x_i)$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Noting that such estimates are not unique, however, we can represent the complete sufficient statistic as a function of  $Y$ , namely

$$Z = \exp\left(\frac{Y}{n}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i)\right) = \exp\left(\ln(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n}\right) = (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n},$$

thus  $Z = (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n}$  is also a complete sufficient statistic of  $\theta$ .

(b) The likelihood function is  $L(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\theta-1}$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}\ln L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) &= n \ln(\theta) + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Backsolving for  $\theta$  in Equation (2) yields  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i} = \frac{-1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i\right)^{-1} = (-\ln Z)^{-1}$ , thus  $\hat{\theta}$  is a function of the geometric mean,  $Z = (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^{1/n}$ .

4. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ ,  $n > 2$ , be a random sample from a binomial distribution  $b(1, \theta)$ .

- (a) Show that  $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- (b) Find the function  $\phi(Y_1)$  which is the UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x} = \exp[\ln\{\theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}\}] = \exp[x \ln \theta + (1 - x) \ln(1 - \theta)] \\ &= \exp\left[x \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}\right) + \ln(1 - \theta) + 0\right]\end{aligned}$$

is an exponential family where  $k(x) = x$ ,  $p(\theta) = \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}\right)$ ,  $q(\theta) = \ln(1 - \theta)$ , and  $s(x) = 0$ , thus  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n k(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is complete sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- (b)  $E(Y_1) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta = n\theta$ , therefore  $\varphi(Y_1) = \frac{Y_1}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \bar{X}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ . Thus,  $\phi(Y_1) = \frac{Y_1}{n}$  is the UMVUE of  $\theta$  by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem.

5. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  denote a random sample from a distribution that is  $N(0, \sigma^2 = \theta)$ .

- (a) Show that  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- (b) Find the UMVUE of  $\theta^2$ .

Solution:

(a) The normal density function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta}x^2} = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\theta) - \frac{1}{2\theta}x^2 + 0\right]$$

has an exponential family form where  $q(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\theta)$ ,  $p(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\theta}$ ,  $k(x) = x^2$ ,  $s(x) = 0$ , thus  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n k(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$  is complete sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- (b) To find the UMVUE of  $\theta^2$ , let's first consider  $E(Y) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2)$  where

$$E(X_i^2) = \text{Var}(X_i) + E^2(X_i) = \theta + 0^2 = \theta.$$

Next, we consider  $E(Y^2) = \text{Var}(Y) + E^2(Y)$ , where  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ .  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, \theta)$  iid implies that

$$\begin{aligned} X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n &\sim N(0, \theta) \text{ iid} \\ \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{\theta}}, \frac{X_2}{\sqrt{\theta}}, \dots, \frac{X_n}{\sqrt{\theta}} &\sim N(0, 1) \text{ iid} \\ \frac{X_1^2}{\theta}, \frac{X_2^2}{\theta}, \dots, \frac{X_n^2}{\theta} &\sim \chi_1^2 \text{ iid} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i^2}{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta} &\sim \chi_n^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

therefore  $E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta}E(Y) = n$  because of Equation (??), thus  $E(Y) = n\theta$ . Meanwhile,  $\text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}\text{Var}(Y) = 2n$  by Equation (??), therefore  $\text{Var}(Y) = 2n\theta$ . Thus,

$$E(Y^2) = 2n\theta^2 + (n\theta)^2 = 2n\theta^2 + n^2\theta^2,$$

so  $\frac{Y^2}{2n+n^2}$  is unbiased for  $\theta^2$  thus, by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem,  $\frac{Y^2}{2n+n^2}$  is UMVUE for  $\theta^2$ .

6. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid  $N(\mu, 1)$  random variables. Find the MVUE of  $\theta = \mu^2$ .

Solution: By definition, the  $X_i$ s have pdf  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 2\mu\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n\mu^2)} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}}_{k_2(\mathbf{x})} \cdot \underbrace{e^{n\bar{x}\mu - \frac{n}{2}\mu^2}}_{k_1(\bar{x};\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

where, by the Neymann-Fisher Factorization Theorem (NFFT), we find that  $Y = \bar{X}$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\mu$ . Further,

$$E(\bar{X}^2) = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + E^2(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} + \mu^2$$

therefore  $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$ . Thus, by the Rao-Blackwell Theorem,  $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$  is MVUE for  $\theta = \mu^2$ .

Alternatively, we can further note that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2} \\ &= \exp \left[ \ln \left( \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - \mu)]^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + 2(x_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - \mu)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x}^2 - 2\bar{x}\mu + \mu^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n\bar{x}^2 - 2n\bar{x}\mu + n\mu^2,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$L(\mu, x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) = \exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \frac{n}{2} \bar{x}^2 + n\bar{x}\mu - \frac{n}{2} \mu^2 \right]$$

has the form of an exponential family where  $s(x) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \frac{n}{2} \bar{x}^2$ ,  $k(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$ ,  $p(\mu) = n\mu$ ,  $q(\mu) = \frac{n}{2}\mu^2$  so  $Y = k(\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\mu$ . Since  $E(\bar{X}^2) = \frac{1}{n} + \mu^2$ , this implies that  $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  and thus, by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem,  $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$  is actually UMVUE for  $\theta = \mu^2$ .