CrossChain Security Review

Contents

1.	Intr	odu	duction3				
2.	Risk	clas	ssification	3			
2	2.1	Imp	pact	3			
2	2.2	Like	elihood	3			
2	2.3	Act	ion required for severity levels	3			
3.	Exe	cutiv	<i>r</i> e Summary	4			
4.	Find	dings	S	4			
4	1.1	Hig	h Risk	4			
	4.1.	1	sends eth to arbitrary user	4			
	4.1.2		has delegatecall inside a loop in a payable function	5			
	4.1.3		use msg.value in a loop	6			
	4.1.	4	IERC20 is re-used	6			
2	1.2	Me	dium Risk	7			
	4.2.1		divide before multiply	7			
	4.2.2		reentrancy vulnerabilities	7			
	4.2.3		unchecked low level calls	8			
	4.2.4		uninitialized local variables	9			
	4.2.	5	ignores return value	10			
2	1.3	Lov	v Risk	11			
	4.3.	1	missing zero address validation	11			
	4.3.	2	calls inside a loop	12			
	4.3.3		reentrancy vulnerabilities	12			

1. Introduction

CrossChain is a cross-chain bridge aggregation protocol that supports any-2-any swaps by aggregating bridges and connecting them to DEX aggregators.

Disclaimer: This security review does not guarantee against a hack. It is a snapshot in time of contracts according to the specific commit. Any modifications to the code will require a new security review.

2. Risk classification

Severity level	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: high	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: low	Medium	Low	Low

2.1 Impact

High - leads to a loss of a significant portion (>10%) of assets in the protocol, or significant harm to a majority of users.

Medium - global losses < 10% or losses to only a subset of users, but still unacceptable. Low - losses will be annoying but bearable--applies to things like griefing attacks that can be easily repaired or even gas inefficiencies.

2.2 Likelihood

High - almost certain to happen, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized Medium - only conditionally possible or incentivized, but still relatively likely Low - requires stars to align, or little-to-no incentive

2.3 Action required for severity levels

Critical - Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed)

High - Must fix (before deployment if not already deployed) Medium - Should fix Low - Could fix

3. Executive Summary

Summary

Project Name	CrossChain	
Type of Project	Bridge Aggregator, Bridge	
Audit Timeline	December 20, 2023 - January 2, 2024	
Methods	Manual Review	

Issues Found

Severity	Count
Critical Risk	0
High Risk	17
Medium Risk	29
Low Risk	28
Total	74

4. Findings

4.1 High Risk

4.1.1 sends eth to arbitrary user

Severity: High Risk

Context: AcrossFacet.sol#120-146, AmarokFacet.sol#124-160, ArbitrumBridgeFacet.sol#172-190, ArbitrumBridgeFacet.sol#192-209, CBridgeFacet.sol#159-193, HyphenFacet.sol#91-116, MultichainFacet.sol#207-249, NXTPFacet.sol#126-172, StargateFacet.sol#192-216,

TcrossFacet.sol#66-87, Tcross.sol#41-48

Description: Unprotected call to a function sending Ether to an arbitrary address.

Recommendation: Ensure that an arbitrary user cannot withdraw unauthorized funds.

CrossChain: the contracts act as a proxy, and it calls to the bridge contract directly, it will be safe

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.1.2 has delegatecall inside a loop in a payable function

Severity: High Risk
Context: Multicall.sol#58

Description: Detect the use of delegatecall inside a loop in a payable function.

```
/// @inheritdoc IMulticall
function multicall(bytes[] calldata data) public payable override refundExcessNative(
    results = new bytes[](data.length);
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < data.length; i++) {
        (bool success, bytes memory result) = address(this).delegatecall(data[i]);

        if (!success) {
            revertFromReturnedData(result);
        }

        results[i] = result;
    }
}</pre>
```

Recommendation: Carefully check that the function called by delegatecall is not payable/doesn't use msg.value.

CrossChain: the function called by delegatecall doesn't use msg.value

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.1.3 use msg.value in a loop

Severity: High Risk Context: Executor.sol#241-263 **Description:** Detect the use of msg.value inside a loop. function _fetchBalances(LibSwap.SwapData[] calldata _swapData) private view returns (uint256[] memory) uint256 numSwaps = _swapData.length; uint256[] memory balances = new uint256[](numSwaps); address asset; for (uint256 i = 0; i < numSwaps;) { asset = _swapData[i].receivingAssetId; balances[i] = LibAsset.getOwnBalance(asset); if (LibAsset.isNativeAsset(asset)) { balances[i] -= msg.value; unchecked { ++i; } return balances;

Recommendation: Provide an explicit array of amounts alongside the receivers array, and check that the sum of all amounts matches msq.value.

CrossChain: there will be only one swap with ETH in a transaction

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.1.4 IERC20 is re-used

Severity: High Risk Context: N/A

Description: If a codebase has two contracts the similar names, the compilation artifacts will not contain one of the contracts with the duplicate name.

```
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol";
import { IERC20 } from "@axelar-network/axelar-cgp-solidity/contracts/interfaces/IERC20.sol";
```

Recommendation: Rename the contract.

CrossChain: it's an interface contract imported by third-party libraries

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.2 Medium Risk

4.2.1 divide before multiply

Severity: Medium Risk

Context: LibBytes.sol#229, LibBytes.sol#196, LibBytes.sol#122-147, LibBytes.sol#511-584 **Description:** Solidity's integer division truncates. Thus, performing division before multiplication can lead to precision loss.

```
function concatStorage(bytes storage _preBytes, bytes memory _postBytes)
   internal
{
    assembly {
        ...
        mask := exp(0x100, sub(mc, end))
        sstore(sc, mul(div(mload(mc), mask), mask))
}
```

Recommendation: Consider ordering multiplication before division.

CrossChain: it's ok for the operation here.

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.2.2 reentrancy vulnerabilities

Severity: Medium Risk

Context: FeeCollector.sol#171-197, FeeCollector.sol#70-101

Description: Detection of the reentrancy bug. Do not report reentrancies that involve Ether.

```
function batchWithdrawIntegratorFees(address[] memory tokenAddresses,
   address[] memory toAddresses, uint256[] memory amounts)
   uint256 length = tokenAddresses.length;
   uint256 balance;
   for (uint256 i = 0; i < length; ) {
        balance = _balances[msg.sender][tokenAddresses[i]];
       require(amounts[i] <= balance, "Amount Exceeded!");</pre>
        if(LibAsset.NULL_ADDRESS == toAddresses[i]){
           toAddresses[i] = msg.sender;
        if(0 == amounts[i]){
           amounts[i] = balance;
        _balances[msg.sender][tokenAddresses[i]] -= amounts[i];
        LibAsset.transferAsset(
           tokenAddresses[i],
           payable(toAddresses[i]),
           amounts[i]
        );
        emit IntegratorFeesWithdrawn(tokenAddresses[i], toAddresses[i], amounts[i]);
        unchecked {
           ++i;
   }
```

Recommendation: use the Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern to avoid re-entrancy. **CrossChain:** it's in a loop to withdraw fee in batch, the function is nonReentrant.

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.2.3 unchecked low level calls

Severity: Medium Risk

Context: Receiver.sol#203-213, Receiver.sol#238, Receiver.sol#257 **Description:** The return value of a low-level call is not checked.

```
function _swapAndCompleteBridgeTokens(
   bytes32 _transactionId,
   LibSwap.SwapData[] memory _swapData,
   address assetId,
   address payable receiver,
   uint256 amount,
   bool reserveRecoverGas
) private {
   uint256 _recoverGas = reserveRecoverGas ? recoverGas : 0;
   if (LibAsset.isNativeAsset(assetId)) {
       // case 1: native asset
       if (reserveRecoverGas && gasleft() < _recoverGas) {</pre>
           // case 1a: not enough gas left to execute calls
           receiver.call{ value: amount }("");
            emit TransferRecovered(
               _transactionId,
               assetId,
               receiver,
               amount,
               block.timestamp
           );
            return;
```

Recommendation: Ensure that the return value of a low-level call is checked or logged..

CrossChain: send ETH here, will be reverted if not enough ETH.

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.2.4 uninitialized local variables

Severity: Medium Risk

Context: GenericSwapFacet.sol#50, SwapperV2.sol#206

Description: Uninitialized local variables.

```
function swapTokensGeneric(
   bytes32 _transactionId,
   string calldata _integrator,
   string calldata _referrer,
   address payable _receiver,
   uint256 _minAmount,
   uint256 _integratorFee,
   address _integratorAddress,
   LibSwap.SwapData[] calldata _swapData
) external payable nonReentrant {
   if (LibUtil.isZeroAddress(_receiver)) {
       revert InvalidReceiver();
   TmpVariables memory tmpVars;
   tmpVars.postSwapBalance = _depositAndSwap(
       _transactionId,
       _minAmount,
       _swapData,
        _receiver,
        true,
       _integratorFee,
       _integratorAddress
    tmpVars.receivingAssetId = _swapData[_swapData.length - 1]
        .receivingAssetId;
```

Recommendation: Initialize all the variables. If a variable is meant to be initialized to zero, explicitly set it to zero to improve code readability.

CrossChain: it's a temp struct-type variable, the member vars are initialized.

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.2.5 ignores return value

Severity: Medium Risk

Context: AmarokFacet.sol#124-160, AmarokFacet.sol#124-160, ArbitrumBridgeFacet.sol#172-190, ArbitrumBridgeFacet.sol#192-209, MultichainFacet.sol#207-249, NXTPFacet.sol#126-172, NXTPFacet.sol#126-172, StargateFacet.sol#169-185, TcrossFacet.sol#66-87, Axe larExecutor.sol#55-77, AxelarExecutor.sol#84-139, Executor.sol#141-213, FeeCollector.sol#70-101. Receiver.sol#167-197

Description: The return value of an external call is not stored in a local or state variable.

```
function _startBridge(
   BridgeData memory _bridgeData,
   AmarokData calldata _amarokData
) private {
    // give max approval for token to Amarok bridge, if not already
    LibAsset.maxApproveERC20(
        IERC20(_bridgeData.sendingAssetId),
       address(connextHandler),
       _bridgeData.preBridgeAmount
    );
    // initiate bridge transaction
    if (_amarokData.payFeeWithSendingAsset) {
        connextHandler.xcall(
           _amarokData.destChainDomainId,
           _amarokData.callTo,
           _bridgeData.sendingAssetId,
           amarokData.delegate,
            _bridgeData.preBridgeAmount - _amarokData.relayerFee,
            _amarokData.slippageTol,
            _amarokData.callData,
            _amarokData.relayerFee
        );
```

Recommendation: Ensure that all the return values of the function calls are used.

CrossChain: some external call from bridge contract doesn't return any value, it will be reverted when failed

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.3 Low Risk

4.3.1 missing zero address validation

Severity: Low Risk

Context: Tcross.sol#24, AcrossFacet.sol#43, TransferrableOwnership.sol#24, Executor.sol#70,

Receiver.sol#49. Receiver.sol#51. Receiver.sol#69. Receiver.sol#76. Receiver.sol#205

Description: Detect missing zero address validation.

```
function setAmarokRouter(address _amarokRouter) external onlyOwner {
   amarokRouter = _amarokRouter;
   emit AmarokRouterSet(_amarokRouter);
}
```

Recommendation: Check that the address is not zero.

CrossChain: the function is invoked in BO, and the arguments have been checked before.

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.3.2 calls inside a loop

Severity: Low Risk

Context: Multicall.sol#58

Description: Calls inside a loop might lead to a denial-of-service attack.

```
/// @inheritdoc IMulticall
function multicall(bytes[] calldata data) public payable override refundExcessNative(
    results = new bytes[](data.length);
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < data.length; i++) {
        (bool success, bytes memory result) = address(this).delegatecall(data[i]);

        if (!success) {
            revertFromReturnedData(result);
        }

        results[i] = result;
    }
}</pre>
```

Recommendation: Favor pull over push strategy for external calls.

CrossChain: it's multicall entry

Slither: Acknowledged.

4.3.3 reentrancy vulnerabilities

Severity: Low Risk

Context: SwapperV2.sol#141-184, SwapperV2.sol#192-235, WithdrawFacet.sol#79-87, W ithdrawFacet.sol#34-56, ServiceFeeCollector.sol#122-140, FeeCollector.sol#106-151, ServiceFeeCollector.sol#56-72, FeeCollector.sol#70-101, LibSwap.sol#32-86, CBridgeFacet.sol#124-151

Description: Detects reentrancies that allow manipulation of the order or value of events.

```
function _withdrawAsset(
    address _assetAddress,
    address _to,
    uint256 _amount
) internal {
    address sendTo = (LibUtil.isZeroAddress(_to)) ? msg.sender : _to;
    LibAsset.transferAsset(_assetAddress, payable(sendTo), _amount);
    emit LogWithdraw(_assetAddress, sendTo, _amount);
}
```

Recommendation: use the Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern to avoid re-entrancy.

CrossChain: the order of events does not affect the functionality of the business.

Slither: Acknowledged.