

What does recent financial turbulence imply for near-term growth?

Turbulence in financial markets has raised concerns about the near-term outlook for real growth. Since early October, credit spreads have widened, implied volatility has spiked, and equity prices have stumbled. Heightened perceptions of risk, as indicated by credit spreads and implied volatility, threaten to slow investment spending, while the hit to equity wealth threatens to soften consumer confidence and, via wealth effects, spending. To quantify and track these risks, we have developed a small “macro-financial” dynamic factor model. This model suggests that financial stresses since early October, if not reversed, will subtract 0.8 percentage point from first-quarter GDP growth, an effect that is already reflected in our base forecast.

The model

The model is a smaller version of the dynamic factor model we use as part of our current-quarter GDP tracking. As a quick review, a dynamic factor model (DFM) is a statistical representation of a panel of data in which each data series is assumed to be a function of a relatively small number of latent common factors and an idiosyncratic error term. The dynamics of the system are captured primarily by the dynamics of the common factors, which are assumed to evolve as a vector autoregression. In our generalization of the canonical DFM, individual data series are allowed to respond to the common factors with a lag, and the idiosyncratic error terms are allowed to follow a moving-average error process.¹

Our macro-financial DFM (MFDFM) includes six variables, three that measure real output and three that measure financial conditions. The variables measuring output are the IHS Markit PMI for manufacturing output, manufacturing industrial production, and monthly GDP. Industrial production and monthly GDP enter the model as growth rates, while the PMI enters as a level.²

¹ We do not detail the set-up of the model here. Rather, we encourage our readers to review “[MA’s Second-Generation Dynamic Factor Model](#),” *Macroeconomic Advisers Macro Focus*, 27 June 2018 and “[MA’s Dynamic Factor Model](#),” *Macroeconomic Advisers Macro Focus*, 18 May 2017.

² The level of the PMI measures changes in activity from the prior month.

We include monthly GDP in the model because it is of primary interest; i.e., we are ultimately interested in how financial conditions impact the near-term outlook for GDP. We include the PMI and IP because they provide early indications of output and, hence, monthly GDP. Indeed, IP for a given month is typically reported two weeks prior to monthly GDP, and the “flash” read on the PMI is typically reported more than one month prior to monthly GDP.³

The three variables in the MFDFM measuring financial conditions are the S&P 500, the CBOE market volatility index (VIX), and the spread of Baa-rated corporate bond yields over the 20-year Treasury yield.⁴ All three are monthly averages of daily data. The S&P 500 en-

³ These three variables work well together as indicators of output, as their first principal component accounts for more than one-half of their total covariance.

⁴ These are not the only financial factors that could influence the macroeconomic outlook. Others include the level of risk-free interest rates, the trade-value of the dollar, and bank lending conditions, to name a few. Each of these is included in our quarterly macroeconomic model of the US economy. The variables we include in the MFDFM are indicators of risk, uncertainty, and stress that are available in real time and, as we demonstrate below, are associated with near-term (within three months) movements in output. We experimented with including the trade-value of the dollar but did not find a near-term association with output. We also experimented with the level of the 10-year Treasury yield, but decided this term needed more consideration before its inclusion in the model. Bank lending conditions, unfortunately, are available only quarterly and with a lag.

Please see the important disclaimer on the last page of this report.

Macro-Financial DFM: Estimated Equations

	State Equations		Observation Equations					
	Financial factor	Real factor	Baa Spread	VIX	S&P500	PMI	Mfg IP	Monthly GDP
Financial factor								
Lag 0			0.46	0.60	-0.60	-0.05	-0.15	-0.27
Lag 1	0.33	-0.11	0.20	-0.13		-0.12		0.20
Lag 2		-0.21				-0.04		
Lag 3		-0.20						
Real factor								
Lag 0			0.10	0.27	-0.09	0.26	0.77	0.54
Lag 1		0.15	0.09	-0.07	0.06	0.28	-0.14	-0.18
Lag 2		0.23				0.19	-0.08	-0.12
Lag 3		0.23						
MA(1)			0.16		0.27	0.48		-0.46
MA(2)						0.21		
R ²	0.11	0.55	0.71	0.86	0.80	0.85	0.75	0.50
DW	1.96	2.05	2.00	1.86	1.99	1.95	2.14	2.05

Notes: All equations estimated with ordinal least squares using the first and second principal components "as data." Sample for state equations is May '92 - Oct '18. Sample for Baa, VIX, and S&P equations is Mar '92 - Oct '18. Sample for PMI, IP, and Monthly GDP equations is Apr '92 - Oct '18. All estimated coefficients are significantly different from zero at 1%.

ters the model as a one-month percent change, while the VIX and the credit spread enter the model as one-month changes. We include these three variables because together they nicely summarize financial stress and perceptions of risk, which potentially have wide-reaching implications for the near-term health of the macro economy.⁵

Following the procedure outlined in the Macro Focus pieces cited above, we estimated the state and observation equations, the results of which appear in the nearby table.⁶ In this model, the two state equations correspond to the two common factors from the financial and real variables, respectively. A couple of points regarding the state equations are in order. First, the financial factor is essentially exogenous white noise. We say "exogenous" because lags of the real factor do not enter the financial state equation significantly. We say "white noise" because most of the variation in the

financial factor is accounted for by the error term (i.e., the r-squared is only 0.11).⁷ Second, the real factor is importantly influenced by lags of financial conditions as well as lags of itself. Taken together, these points suggest that, at least over short horizons, causation goes one way: financial shocks quickly feed into the real sector, but conditions in the real sector do not immediately feed back into financial conditions.

⁶ To summarize, we extracted the first two principal components from the normalized panel of six variables, which together accounted for about two-thirds of the total covariance in the panel. The principal components are consistent estimators of the latent common factors, so we used them "as data" to estimate the parameters of the state and observation equations. The first principal component corresponds to the financial factor because it is highly correlated (> 0.90) with the first principal component of the three financial variables. The second principal component corresponds to the real factor because it is highly correlated (> 0.90) with the first principal component of the three real variables.

⁷ When we estimate the state and observations equations, we include terms only when they are significantly different from zero.

⁵ These three variables work well together as indicators of financial conditions, as their first principal component accounts for nearly three-quarters of their total covariance.

Implications of recent financial stresses

To get a sense of the implications of recent financial stresses for the real outlook, we projected this model two different ways. In the first projection, we initialized the model with all data that were available as of November: the PMI and all financials through November, and IP and monthly GDP through October. In this run, the projection of monthly GDP through March yielded a first-quarter annualized growth rate of 1.8%, close to our just issued base forecast of 1.9%. That is, from the perspective of this simple model, our near-term base forecast adequately captures the estimated effects of financial stresses seen through November.

In the second projection, we initialized the model with all available real data, just as in the first projection, but we had the model project financial conditions from October forward. That is, we did not let the model update the financial factor to reflect financial stresses seen in October and November. In this counterfactual run, financial conditions were projected from October forward as favorable, and first-quarter GDP growth was projected at 2.6%. The difference between the first and second projection — 0.8 percentage point — reflects the model's estimate of the marginal impact of the degradation of financial conditions over the last two months.

What would it take to get to zero?

It is encouraging to know that the turbulence in financial markets we've seen so far would reduce growth by less than one percentage point (from 2.6% to 1.8%). That is, a financial shock, if the only source of slow-down, would need to be much larger to drive growth to zero, at least as estimated by this model. But we're not out of the woods yet. At the time of this writing, the S&P 500 (at 2657) was trading about 2% below the November average, and the VIX (at 24) was about 4 points above the November average.

How much worse would December financials need to be to drive first-quarter GDP growth to zero? With some experimentation with this model, we found that a 12% decline in the S&P 500, a 45 basis-point increase in the Baa spread (to 240 basis points), and a 12-point increase in the VIX (to 31) all in December would drive first-quarter GDP growth to zero. Other combinations

that would imply the same amount of financial stress (i.e., the same value for the financial factor) would also drive growth to zero. This, of course, puts all of the onus on financials. As is evident from the real state equation, less severe financials in combination with a negative real shock would also suffice.

Concluding Thoughts

Our MFDFM is a convenient way to roughly track the potential impact of current (and recent) financial conditions on the near-term outlook. Because we can track financials in real time, and because financial conditions are predictive for output growth, this will give us an early indication of potential trouble. Late in a given month, with nearly a full month of financials, we will get our first read on output for that month from the "flash" IHS Markit PMI. Then, in the middle of the following month, we get more information for the month from manufacturing IP along with more current financials. And finally, about two weeks later, we'll get a read on monthly GDP. The MFDFM will update the projection for GDP at every stage, incorporating marginal information from each of the financial and real indicators. If output growth is about to turn negative as a result of a financial shock, the MFDFM will likely give us the first indication.

This page left blank intentionally.

Disclaimer:

Copyright © 2018 Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, or transmitted without the prior written permission of the copyright owner. Your use is subject to licence and any unauthorised use, including but not limited to copying, distributing, transmitting or otherwise of any data appearing is not permitted without Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC prior consent. This e-mail (including any attachments) is intended exclusively for the individual(s) to which it is addressed, and may contain legally privileged, confidential, and/or proprietary information. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, copying, disclosure or distribution of all or any portion of this e-mail (including any attachments) is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete all copies of the email and any attachments, both in paper and electronic form. Disclaimer: All intellectual property and other proprietary rights comprised in the report and the forecasts referenced herein ("Materials") are and shall be the exclusive property of Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC or its third party providers. The opinions, analysis, and forecasts expressed in the Materials are made at a particular point in time and are subject to change without notice. Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC has no obligation to update, modify or amend the Materials or to otherwise notify a recipient thereof in the event that any matter or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate. The forecasts contained in the Materials are developed from models generally viewed in the industry as acceptable methods for producing economic forecasts; however, the forecasts are inherently uncertain because of events or combinations of events that cannot reasonably be foreseen including but not limited to the actions of government, individuals, third parties and competitors. The Materials do not purport to disclose any risks or benefits of entering into particular transactions and shall not to be construed as legal, tax, investment, financial or other advice or as an offer or recommendation to purchase or sell any security, class of securities, or other financial product, or to participate in any particular investment strategy and are provided for information purposes only. Neither Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC nor its third party providers (i) make any warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, non-infringement, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, completeness or timeliness of the data contained in the Materials nor as to the results to be obtained by recipients and (ii) shall be liable to any recipient of the Materials for any inaccuracies, errors or omissions in the Materials, regardless of cause, or for any damages (whether direct or indirect) resulting therefrom. The Materials are supplied without obligation and on the understanding that any person who acts upon the Materials or otherwise changes his/her position in reliance thereon does so entirely at his/her own risk. Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC share no responsibility or liability for any actions or inactions taken in reliance on the information contained in the Materials. Without limiting the foregoing, Macroeconomic Advisers, LLC or its third party provider shall have no liability whatsoever to any recipient of the Materials or any part thereof whether in contract (including under an indemnity), in tort (including negligence), under a warranty, under statute or otherwise, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by any recipient as a result of or in connection with any opinions, recommendations, forecasts, judgments, or any other conclusions, or any course of action determined, by any recipient or any third party, whether or not based on the content, information or materials contained in the Materials.