## SE 3XA3

# REST Assured

December 6, 2017

# Test Report

## Team 31

Dawson Myers myersd1 400005616 Yang Liu liuy136 400038517 Brandon Roberts roberb1 400018117

## Test Report

C	Contents					
1	Revision History 1					
2	Project Drivers 1					
3	General Information       1         3.1 Purpose       1         3.2 Naming Conventions and Terminology       2         3.3 Overview of Document       2         3.4 Software Description       2         3.5 Test Team       2         3.6 Automated Testing Approach       2         3.7 Testing Tools       3					
4	System Test Description         3           4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements         3           4.1.1 User Input         3           4.1.2 Protocol Tests         4           4.1.3 HTTP Communications         5           4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements         5           4.2.1 Performance         5					
5	Tests for Proof of Concept 5.1 User Input					
6	Comparison to Existing Implementation 5					
7	Unit Testing 6					
8	Trace to Requirements 8					
9	Trace to Modules					
10	Code Coverage Metrics 9					
11	Appendix         11           11.1 Symbolic Parameters         11					
$\mathbf{L}$	ist of Tables					
	1       Revision History       1         2       Table of Definitions       2         3       Trace Between Tests and Requirements       8         4       Trace Between Tests and Modules       9					

5

## 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
6-Dec-2017	1	Revision 1

Table 1: Revision History

## 2 Project Drivers

## 3 General Information

## 3.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to outline the testing, validation, verification results that were carried out on the reconstruction of the Sails Live Chrome app, named the REST Assured Test Client. Through testing, the REST Assured team used rigorous unit testing as well as manual testing to improve the products correctness and build confidence. The testing helped provide proof that the project adheres to the requirements specified in the Software Requirements Specification document. Types of testing included structural, static and dynamic, functional and nonfunctional, manual and automated unit testing. Various testing tools were used to achieve these test results.

## 3.2 Naming Conventions and Terminology

Term	Definition
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol.
REST	Representational state transfer (REST) or RESTful web services is
	a way of providing interoperability between computer systems on the Internet.
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation. An open-standard file format that uses human-readable text to transmit data objects consisting of attributevalue pairs and array data types (or any other serializable value).
API	Application Program Interface. A document detailing the name of each function the client may call in their software and the purpose of those functions.
FR	Functional requirements that describes what the product will do.
User	A person who will be using the final product.
App	The application being designed; the system-to-be.

Table 2: Table of Definitions

## 3.3 Overview of Document

This document begins with a general overview of the application and tests, including sections on the software description, introduction of the test team and tools used for testing. Next, detailed system test results for functional and nonfunctional requirements, as well as unit tests. After that, the requirement and module traceability matrices are displayed

## 3.4 Software Description

The REST Assured Test Client provides software developers with a tool for web API building and testing. The application provides tests endpoints and the capability to diagnose bugs in applications featuring RESTful interfaces.

## 3.5 Test Team

The REST Assured team members that were responsible for all testing procedures are Dawson Myers, Brandon Roberts, and Yang Liu. These responsibilities included test writing and execution for various types of testing outlined in this document.

## 3.6 Automated Testing Approach

Automated testing for the REST Assured Test Client was done in using Jasmine.

#### 3.7 Testing Tools

The majority of the project code is JavaScript front-end code. The following testing tools were used:

- PhantomJS (UI Testing)
- Jasmine (Unit Testing)

#### System Test Description 4

The software will allow users to test their REST servers responses to GET/POST/PUT/DELETE requests. It will be implemented with common front end languages (HTML, javascript, css) and libraries (react, jQuery, bootstrap).

#### 4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

#### 4.1.1 User Input

FRT- $UI$ -1	
Type	Manual
Initial State	Request form has input data, and response form has response information
Input	clear button clicked
Output	Request form and response form are cleared, leaving no characters in field
Procedure	The function clearing the request form and response form will run, the tester will manually
1 /000000/0	verify if both forms have been cleared
Result	Pass
nesun	1 0.55
FRT- $UI$ - $2$	
1111-01-2	
Type	Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
$Initial\ State$	Input text fields empty

clear button clicked InputField remains cleared, no characters in field Qutput

Manually perform test to verify if field has been cleared ProcedureResult

## FRT-UI-3

Type Initial State Input text fields cleared by clear button HTTP POST/GET/DELETE/PUT requests to test url Input $\begin{array}{c} Output \\ Procedure \end{array}$ HTTP request returns output fitting to request criteria Manually perform test to verify whether field clearing action will interfere with HTTP request functionalities Result

## FRT-UI-4

Type Initial State The selected test stub is open The user clicks another test stub in the test selection menu InputThe test stub viewer will update to display information about the newly selected test stub OutputThe test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the Procedurewanted behaviour is reflected Pass Result

### FRT-UI-5

Type Initial State Manual Test stub view is displayed HTTP POST/GET/DELETE/PUT requests to test url InputTest stub will change colour to corresponding request colour in the test selection menu OutputThe test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP Procedurerequests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired behaviour is reflected Pass Result

## Test Report

#### FRT-UI-6

TypeManual

Initial State Request form is awaiting input data Input

User inputs request data into request form
Program will format data for HTTP request with parameters, fit for browser entry
The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the Output

Procedure

wanted behaviour is reflected Pass Result

FRT-UI-7

Output

Manual Type

A valid request entry has been entered in the request form as input data. The save request entry button is clicked Initial State

Input

The saved request entry is added to the list of saved entries and appears in the saved entry

Procedure

selection window
The save request entry function will be called for the input request entry, the test will

manually verify that the input request is added to the saved list of requests and appears in

the saved entry selection window

Result

#### FRT-UI-8

Type Initial State Manual

Request form has been cleared of input data

Input

A previously saved request entry is selected, submit button is clicked Request form has been loaded with the selected previously saved request entry as input data The load saved request entry function will be called for the selected request entry, the test OutputProcedure

will manually verify that the request form has been populated with the selected request

Result

## FRT-UI-9

Manual

 $\begin{array}{c} Type \\ Initial \ State \end{array}$ The program is open

The user clicks the new test button Input

QutputA new test stub is created underneath the lowest test stub Procedure

The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP

requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired

behaviour is reflected

Result

### FRT-UI-10

Manual The program is openThe program is openThe program is open Initial State

InputThe user clicks and drags a test stub OutputThe test stub will follow the cursor users cursor until the let go by the user

The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP Procedure

requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired

behaviour is reflected Pass

Result

#### 4.1.2Protocol Tests

### FRT-PT-1

Type Initial State Functional

At main window Properly formatted JSON Input

Should return true Output

How test will be performed: REST query string validator function is called with a JSON Procedure

request object

ResultPass

### FRT-PT-2

TypeFunctional

Initial State At main window

Improperly formatted JSON Input

Should return false How test will be performed: REST query string validator function is called with a JSON Qutput $Pro\hat{c}edure$ 

request object

ResultDecember 6, 2017

REST Assured

#### **HTTP Communications** 4.1.3

#### FRT-CM-1

 $\overline{Type}_{\underline{Initial\ State}}$ Functional At main window

JSON response object containing the correct set of data from the resource URL Output

Test is run that will call the sendMsg function with a JSON request object. The function

should return a JSON object with a data set from the server. The data will be validated to

verify it is correct

Result

#### 4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

#### 4.2.1Performance

## NRT-P-1

Type Initial State Functional

At main window

100,000 requests are enqueued InputOutput

ProcedureA test will add 100,000 request objects to the send message queue. The app should be able

to process the responses without becoming unresponsive. The response text box should only

store the previous 1000 rows of text

Result

#### NRT-P-2

Type Initial State Functional

At main window

InputJSON request for a very large data set JŠON response Output

ProcedureA test will run that will make a request for a very large data set. The app should not become

unresponsive while processing the response

Result

## Tests for Proof of Concept

#### 5.1User Input

## PCT-UI-1

TypeFunctional

Initial State Main window waiting for request information InputUser inputs request information

The program unfolds the request information into a JSON object Qutput

The test will manually be performed by a test member, and the program will pass the test Procedure

if the wanted behaviour is reflected Pass

Result

## PCT-UI-2

TypeFunctional

Initial State Request form has input data, and response form has response information Input

User clicks the clear button
The Request form, and response form should be cleared of all information Qutput

The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the Procedure

wanted behaviour is reflected Pass

Result

## Comparison to Existing Implementation

The existing project had very few test cases. Thus, the team has had to develop tests from scratch.

## Unit Testing

Jasmine was used for test unit testing internal functions.

## UT-1

 $\overline{Type}_{Module}$ Automated JsonComparer

When comparing a JSON object with An Identical JSON object Suit

Casecompare returns true Expectation

compareExact returns true

compareWithTolerance returns true

Result

#### UT-2

 $\begin{array}{c} Type \\ Module \end{array}$ Automated JsonComparer

When comparing a JSON object with A JSON object with 1 difference compare returns false Suit

CaseExpectation

compareExact returns false

 $\verb|compareWithTolerance|(1) returns true|$ 

ResultPass

### UT-3

 $\begin{array}{c} Type \\ Module \end{array}$ Automated JsonComparer

When comparing a JSON object with A JSON object with 2 difference Suit

CaseExpectationcompare returns false

compareExact returns false

compareWithTolerance(2) returns false

Result

### UT-4

 $\overline{Type}_{Module}$ Automated  $_{
m JsonComparer}$ 

When comparing a JSON object with A JSON object that is a subset Suit

Case

Expectationcompare returns true

compareExact returns false

compareWithTolerance(1) returns true

Result

### UT-5

 $\begin{array}{c} Type\\ Module\\ Suit \end{array}$ Automated JsonParser None

When prettifying a JSON object Case

Expectation Result it becomes a string

## UT-6

Automated JsonParser  $Type\ Module$ Suit

None When paramaterizing a JSON object Case

ExpectationIt is accurate

ResultPass

## UT-7

Result

Type Module Suit Automated RestStub None

When creating a RestStub Case

ExpectationIt should be empty

It should be a copy of data if entered

December 6, 2017

REST Assured

## Test Report

### UT-8

 $Type\ Module$ Automated RestStub

Suit Case

When setting the request type It should accept GET/PUSH/POST/DELETE Expectation

It should not accept non GET/PUSH/POST/DELETE

Result

#### UT-9

 $Type \\ Module$ Automated RestChain SuitNone

When creating a RestChain Case

ExpectationIt should be empty

It should be a copy of data if entered

Result

#### UT-10

 $\begin{array}{c} Type\\ Module\\ Suit \end{array}$ Automated RestChain None

CaseExpectation

When modifying the list
You can add tests to the chain
You can remove tests from the chain
You can change positions of tests in the chain

Pass Result

### UT-11

 $\overline{Type}_{\substack{Module}}$ Automated ProfileStore SuitNone

When creating a ProfileStore Case

Expectation It should be empty

ResultPass

### UT-12

 $\overline{Type} \\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore None Suit Case

After creating a RestChain and RestStub The RestStub can be copied

 $\begin{array}{c} Expectation \\ Result \end{array}$ 

Pass

## UT-13

 $Type\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore Suit Case None

After creating a RestChain and RestStub ExpectationIt can add RestStubs to the RestChain

ResultPass

## UT-14

 $Type\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore Suit None

After creating a RestChain and RestStub It can remove RestStubs from the RestChain CaseExpectation Result

Pass

## UT-15

 $Type\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore SuitNone

After creating a RestChain and RestStub The RestChain can be removed Case

Expectation

Result

## UT-16

Type Module Suit Case Expectation Result

Automated ProfileStore None After creating a RestChain and RestStub The RestChain can be copied

## Trace to Requirements 8

	ments		
Functional Requirements Testing			
FRT-UI-1 FR2			
FRT-UI-2 FR1, FI	R2		
FRT-UI-3 FR3			
FRT-UI-4 FR2, FI	R2		
FRT-UI-5 FR2			
FRT-UI-6 FR1			
FRT-UI-7 FR3			
FRT-UI-8 FR1, FI	R2		
FRT-UI-9 FR1			
FRT-UI-10 FR3			
FRT-PT-1 FR3			
FRT-PT-2 FR1, FI	R2, FR3		
Non-functional Requiremen	ts Testing		
NRT-P-1 NFR1, I	NFR3		
NRT-P-2 NFR7			
PCT-UI-1 NFR2, I	NR9		
PCT-UI-2 NFR2			
Automated Testing			
UT-1 NFR2			
UT-2 NFR4			
UT-3 NFR9			
UT-4 NFR1			
UT-5 NFR2			
UT-6 NFR6			
UT-7 NFR9			
UT-8 NFR8			
UT-9 NFR8			
UT-10 NFR6			
UT-11 NFR7			

Table 3: Trace Between Tests and Requirements

## 9 Trace to Modules

Test	Requirements			
Functional Requirements Testing				
FRT-UI-1	M3			
FRT-UI-2	M1			
FRT-UI-3	M3, M4, M7, M8			
FRT-UI-4	M7			
FRT-UI-5	M2, M7			
FRT-UI-6	M3, M8			
FRT-UI-7	M2			
FRT-UI-8	M6, M8			
FRT-UI-9	M3			
FRT-UI-10	M1			
FRT-PT-1	M6			
FRT-PT-2	M2, M3, M5, M7			
Non-functional Requirements Testing				
NRT-P-1	M8			
NRT-P-2	M2, M5, M8			
PCT-UI-1	M3			
PCT-UI-2	M5			
	Automated Testing			
UT-1	M3			
UT-2	M4, M8			
UT-3	M4, M7, M8			
UT-4	M3, M5			
UT-5	M2			
UT-6	M2			
UT-7	M1, M2			
UT-8	M5, M8			
UT-9	M2			
UT-10	M1, M2, M3, M5, M8			
UT-11	M5			

Table 4: Trace Between Tests and Modules

## 10 Code Coverage Metrics

The test for RestAssured have approximately covered 90% of the project code.

## References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. Comm. ACM, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE* '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984. None

## 11 Appendix

Additional information

## 11.1 Symbolic Parameters

Symbolic Parameters The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC\_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

Term	Definition
RESOURCE_ROOT_URL RESOURCE_POSTS RESOURCE_COMMENTS	https://jsonplaceholder.typicode.com/posts/comments

Table 5: Table of Symbols