SE 3XA3

REST Assured

December 6, 2017

Test Report

Team 31

| Dawson Myers | myersd1 | 400005616 |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|
| Yang Liu | liuy136 | 400038517 |
| Brandon Roberts | roberb1 | 400018117 |

| \mathbf{C} | ontents |
|---------------------------|--|
| 1 | Revision History |
| 2 | Project Drivers |
| 3 | General Information13.1 Purpose13.2 Scope13.3 Naming Conventions and Terminology13.4 Overview of Document1 |
| 4 | Plan4.1 Software Description24.2 Test Team24.3 Automated Testing Approach24.4 Testing Tools2 |
| 5 | System Test Description 3 5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements 3 5.1.1 User Input 3 5.1.2 Protocol Tests 4 5.1.3 HTTP Communications 4 5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements 5 5.2.1 Performance 5 |
| 6 | Tests for Proof of Concept 6.1 User Input |
| 7 | Comparison to Existing Implementation 5 |
| 8 | Unit Testing |
| 9 | Trace to Requirements |
| 10 | Trace to Modules |
| 11 | Code Coverage Metrics |
| 12 | Appendix1012.1 Symbolic Parameters10 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | st of Tables |
| | 1 Revision History |

REST Assured

December 6, 2017

| 4 | Trace Between Tests and Modules | G |
|---|---------------------------------|----|
| 5 | Table of Symbols | 10 |

1 Revision History

| Date | Version | Notes |
|------------|---------|------------|
| 6-Dec-2017 | 0.0 | Revision 1 |

Table 1: Revision History

2 Project Drivers

3 General Information

3.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to outline the testing, validation, verification procedures to be implemented for the reconstruction of the Sails Live Chrome app, named the REST Assured Test Client. Through testing, the REST Assured team aim to improve the products correctness and build confidence in the its functionality. The test plan helps provide proof that the project adheres to requirements specified in the Software Requirements Specification document. Types of testing will include structural, static and dynamic, functional and nonfunctional, manual and automated unit testing. Various testing tools will be used to achieve these tests. Fault testing will occur throughout the course of implementation of the project.

3.2 Scope

The scope of testing aims to cover the system fault conditions, These testing procedures may be complemented by design review and code review as a strategy to improve outcomes. The REST Assured team aims to test early and often to reduce faults with minimal expenditure of resources and to maximize correctness, quality, and reliability of software for users.

3.3 Naming Conventions and Terminology

3.4 Overview of Document

This document begins with a general overview of the plan, including sections on the soft-ware description, introduction of the test team and tools used for testing, and the Testing Schedule which will be followed. Next, detailed system test descriptions of functional and nonfunctional tests are presented, followed by tests for proof of concept. The document ends with the comparison to any existing implementation, and closing with unit testing plans.

| Term | Definition |
|------|--|
| HTTP | Hypertext Transfer Protocol. |
| REST | Representational state transfer (REST) or RESTful web services is a way of providing interoperability between computer systems on the Internet. |
| JSON | JavaScript Object Notation. An open-standard file format that uses human-readable text to transmit data objects consisting of attributevalue pairs and array data types (or any other serializable value). |
| API | Application Program Interface. A document detailing the name of each function the client may call in their software and the purpose of those functions. |
| FR | Functional requirements that describes what the product will do. |
| User | A person who will be using the final product. |
| App | The application being designed; the system-to-be. |

Table 2: Table of Definitions

4 Plan

4.1 Software Description

The REST Assured Test Client will provide software developers a tool in Web API building and testing. The application will provide tests endpoints and the capability to diagnose bugs in applications featuring RESTful interfaces.

4.2 Test Team

The REST Assured team members responsible for all testing procedures are Dawson Myers, Brandon Roberts, and Yang Liu. These responsibilities include test writing and execution for various types of testing outlined in this document.

4.3 Automated Testing Approach

Automated testing for the REST Assured Test Client will be done in Webstorm, which incorporates a wide array of test plugins which may be configured to benefit JavaScript debugging.

4.4 Testing Tools

The majority of the project code is JavaScript front-end code. The following testing tools will be used:

- Karma (Integration Tests)
- PhantomJS (UI Testing)

• Jasmine (Unit Testing)

5 System Test Description

The software will allow users to test their REST servers responses to GET/POST/PUT/DELETE requests. It will be implemented with common front end languages (HTML, javascript, css) and libraries (react, jQuery, bootstrap).

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

5.1.1 User Input

FRT-UI-1

| Type | Manual |
|--------------------------|---|
| $Type \ Initial \ State$ | Request form has input data, and response form has response information |
| Input | clear button clicked |
| Output | Request form and response form are cleared, leaving no characters in field |
| Procedure | The function clearing the request form and response form will run, the tester will manually |
| | verify if both forms have been cleared |
| Regult | Pace |

FRT-UI-2

| Type Initial State | Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc. |
|-----------------------|---|
| $Initial\ State$ | Input text fields empty |
| Input | clear button clicked |
| Output | Field remains cleared, no characters in field |
| $Pro\hat{c}edure$ | Manually perform test to verify if field has been cleared |
| Result | Pass |

FRT-UI-3

| Manual |
|--|
| Input text fields cleared by clear button |
| HŤTP POST/GET/DELĚTE/PUT requests to test url |
| HTTP request returns output fitting to request criteria |
| Manually perform test to verify whether field clearing action will interfere with HTTP request |
| functionalities Pass |
| |

FRT-UI-4

| $Type \\ Initial \ State$ | Manual |
|---------------------------|--|
| $Initial\ State$ | The selected test stub is open |
| Input | The user clicks another test stub in the test selection menu |
| Output | The test stub viewer will update to display information about the newly selected test stub |
| Procedure | The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the |
| | wanted behaviour is reflected |
| Result | Pass |

FRT-UI-5

| $Type \\ Initial \ State$ | Manual |
|---------------------------|---|
| $Initial\ State$ | Test stub view is displayed |
| Input | HTTP POST/GET/DELETE/PUT requests to test url |
| \hat{Output} | Test stub will change colour to corresponding request colour in the test selection menu |
| Procedure | The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP |
| | requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired |
| | behaviour is reflected |
| Result | Pass |

FRT-UI-6

| Type | Manual |
|--------------------------|--|
| $Type \ Initial \ State$ | Request form is awaiting input data |
| Input | User inputs request data into request form |
| Output | Program will format data for HTTP request with parameters, fit for browser entry |
| Procedure | The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the |
| Result | wanted behaviour is reflected Pass |

FRT-UI-7

Input

Manual Type

Initial State A valid request entry has been entered in the request form as input data

The save request entry button is clicked

OutputThe saved request entry is added to the list of saved entries and appears in the saved entry

Procedure

selection window The save request entry function will be called for the input request entry, the test will

manually verify that the input request is added to the saved list of requests and appears in

the saved entry selection window

Result

FRT-UI-8

Manual

 $\begin{array}{c} Type\\ Initial\ State \end{array}$ Request form has been cleared of input data

A previously saved request entry is selected, submit button is clicked Input

QutputRequest form has been loaded with the selected previously saved request entry as input data The load saved request entry function will be called for the selected request entry, the test *Procedure*

will manually verify that the request form has been populated with the selected request

Result

FRT-UI-9

TypeManual

 $\bar{Initial}$ State The program is open

The user clicks the new test button Input

A new test stub is created underneath the lowest test stub Output

ProcedureThe test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP

requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired

behaviour is reflected Pass

FRT-UI-10

Result

Manual Type

Initial State The program is open The program is open The program is open

InputOutput

The user clicks and drags a test stub
The test stub will follow the cursor users cursor until the let go by the user
The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP Procedure

requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired

behaviour is reflected Pass

Result

5.1.2 **Protocol Tests**

FRT-PT-1

Functional Type

Initial State At main window InputProperly formatted JSON

Output

ProcedureHow test will be performed: REST query string validator function is called with a JSON

request object

ResultPass

FRT-PT-2

Type Initial State Functional

At main window Improperly formatted JSON Input

OutputShould return false

How test will be performed: REST query string validator function is called with a JSON Procedure.

request object

ResultPass

HTTP Communications 5.1.3

FRT-CM-1

Type Initial State Functional At main window JSON request object Input

Output

JSON response object containing the correct set of data from the resource URL Test is run that will call the sendMsg function with a JSON request object. The function Procedure

should return a JSON object with a data set from the server. The data will be validated to

verify it is correct

Result

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

5.2.1Performance

NRT-P-1

 \overline{Type} Initial State Functional

At main window

100,000 requests are enqueued InputQutputJSON responses

ProcedureA test will add 100,000 request objects to the send message queue. The app should be able

to process the responses without becoming unresponsive. The response text box should only

store the previous 1000 rows of text

Result

NRT-P-2

TypeFunctional Initial State

At main window JSON request for a very large data set Input

Output

A test will run that will make a request for a very large data set. The app should not become Procedure

unresponsive while processing the response

Result

Tests for Proof of Concept

6.1 User Input

PCT-UI-1

Type Initial State Functional

Main window waiting for request information User inputs request information Input

OutputThe program unfolds the request information into a JSON object

ProcedureThe test will manually be performed by a test member, and the program will pass the test

if the wanted behaviour is reflected

Result

PCT-UI-2

Type Initial State Functional

Request form has input data, and response form has response information Input

OutputThe Request form, and response form should be cleared of all information

ProcedureThe test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the

wanted behaviour is reflected Pass Result

Comparison to Existing Implementation

The existing project had very few test cases. Thus, the team has had to develop tests from scratch.

Unit Testing 8

Jasmine was used for test unit testing internal functions.

UT-1

 \overline{Type}_{Module} Automated JsonComparer

When comparing a JSON object with An Identical JSON object Suit

Casecompare returns true Expectation

compareExact returns true

compareWithTolerance returns true

Result

UT-2

 \overline{Type}_{Module} Automated JsonComparer

When comparing a JSON object with A JSON object with 1 difference SuitCase

Expectationcompare returns false

compareExact returns false

 $\verb|compareWithTolerance|(1) returns true|$

ResultPass

UT-3

 $Type\ Module$ Automated JsonComparer

When comparing a JSON object with A JSON object with 2 difference Suit

CaseExpectationcompare returns false

compareExact returns false

compareWithTolerance(2) returns false

Result

UT-4

 \overline{Type}_{Module} Automated $_{
m JsonComparer}$

When comparing a JSON object with A JSON object that is a subset Suit

CaseExpectation

compare returns true

compareExact returns false

compareWithTolerance(1) returns true

Result

UT-5

 $\begin{array}{c} Type\\ Module\\ Suit \end{array}$ Automated JsonParser None

When prettifying a JSON object Case

Expectation Result it becomes a string

UT-6

 $_{\substack{Module\\Suit}}^{Type}$ Automated JsonParser

CaseWhen paramaterizing a JSON object

Expectation Result It is accurate

Pass

UT-7

 \overline{Type}_{Module} Automated RestStub Suit

None When creating a RestStub Case

ExpectationIt should be empty

It should be a copy of data if entered

ResultDecember 6, 2017

REST Assured

UT-8

 $Type\ Module$ Automated RestStub None

Suit Case

When setting the request type It should accept GET/PUSH/POST/DELETE Expectation

It should not accept non GET/PUSH/POST/DELETE

Result

UT-9

 $Type \\ Module$ Automated RestChain SuitNone

When creating a RestChain Case

ExpectationIt should be empty

It should be a copy of data if entered

Result

UT-10

 $_{Suit}^{Type}$ Automated RestChain None

CaseExpectation

When modifying the list
You can add tests to the chain
You can remove tests from the chain
You can change positions of tests in the chain

Pass Result

UT-11

 $\overline{Type}_{\substack{Module}}$ Automated ProfileStore SuitNone

When creating a ProfileStore Case

Expectation It should be empty

ResultPass

UT-12

 $\overline{Type} \\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore None Suit Case

After creating a RestChain and RestStub The RestStub can be copied

 $\begin{array}{c} Expectation \\ Result \end{array}$

Pass

UT-13

 $Type\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore Suit Case None

After creating a RestChain and RestStub ExpectationIt can add RestStubs to the RestChain

ResultPass

UT-14

 $Type\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore Suit None

After creating a RestChain and RestStub It can remove RestStubs from the RestChain CaseExpectation Result

Pass

UT-15

 $Type\ Module$ Automated ProfileStore SuitNone

After creating a RestChain and RestStub The RestChain can be removed Case

Expectation

ResultDecember 6, 2017

UT-16

Type Module Suit Case Expectation Result

Automated ProfileStore None After creating a RestChain and RestStub The RestChain can be copied

Trace to Requirements 9

| Test Requirements | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Functional Requirements Testing | |
| FRT-UI-1 FR2 | |
| FRT-UI-2 FR1, FR2 | |
| FRT-UI-3 FR3 | |
| FRT-UI-4 FR2, FR2 | |
| FRT-UI-5 FR2 | |
| FRT-UI-6 FR1 | |
| FRT-UI-7 FR3 | |
| FRT-UI-8 FR1, FR2 | |
| FRT-UI-9 FR1 | |
| FRT-UI-10 FR3 | |
| FRT-PT-1 FR3 | |
| FRT-PT-2 FR1, FR2, FR3 | |
| Non-functional Requirements Testing | |
| NRT-P-1 NFR1, NFR3 | |
| NRT-P-2 NFR7 | |
| PCT-UI-1 NFR2, NR9 | |
| PCT-UI-2 NFR2 | |
| Automated Testing | |
| UT-1 NFR2 | |
| UT-2 NFR4 | |
| UT-3 NFR9 | |
| UT-4 NFR1 | |
| UT-5 NFR2 | |
| UT-6 NFR6 | |
| UT-7 NFR9 | |
| UT-8 NFR8 | |
| UT-9 NFR8 | |
| UT-10 NFR6 | |
| UT-11 NFR7 | |

Table 3: Trace Between Tests and Requirements

10 Trace to Modules

| Test | Requirements | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--|
| Fur | nctional Requirements Testing | |
| FRT-UI-1 | M3 | |
| FRT-UI-2 | M1 | |
| FRT-UI-3 | M3, M4, M7, M8 | |
| FRT-UI-4 | M7 | |
| FRT-UI-5 | M2, M7 | |
| FRT-UI-6 | M3, M8 | |
| FRT-UI-7 | M2 | |
| FRT-UI-8 | M6, M8 | |
| FRT-UI-9 | M3 | |
| FRT-UI-10 | M1 | |
| FRT-PT-1 | M6 | |
| FRT-PT-2 | M2, M3, M5, M7 | |
| Non-f | functional Requirements Testing | |
| NRT-P-1 | M8 | |
| NRT-P-2 | M2, M5, M8 | |
| PCT-UI-1 | M3 | |
| PCT-UI-2 | M5 | |
| | Automated Testing | |
| UT-1 | M3 | |
| UT-2 | M4, M8 | |
| UT-3 | M4, M7, M8 | |
| UT-4 | M3, M5 | |
| UT-5 | M2 | |
| UT-6 | M2 | |
| UT-7 | M1, M2 | |
| UT-8 | M5, M8 | |
| UT-9 | M2 | |
| UT-10 | M1, M2, M3, M5, M8 | |
| UT-11 | M5 | |
| | | |

Table 4: Trace Between Tests and Modules

11 Code Coverage Metrics

Code coverage meterics were not used due to None

12 Appendix

Additional information

12.1 Symbolic Parameters

Symbolic Parameters The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

| Term | Definition |
|--|---|
| RESOURCE_ROOT_URL RESOURCE_POSTS RESOURCE_COMMENTS | https://jsonplaceholder.typicode.com/posts/comments |

Table 5: Table of Symbols