

SE 3XA3  
REST Assured  
December 6, 2017

---

Test Report

---

Team 31

Dawson Myers	myersd1	400005616
Yang Liu	liuy136	400038517
Brandon Roberts	roberb1	400018117

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Project Drivers</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>General Information</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1	Purpose . . . . .	1
3.2	Naming Conventions and Terminology . . . . .	2
3.3	Overview of Document . . . . .	2
3.4	Software Description . . . . .	2
3.5	Test Team . . . . .	2
3.6	Automated Testing Approach . . . . .	2
3.7	Testing Tools . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>System Test Description</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Tests for Functional Requirements . . . . .	3
4.1.1	User Input . . . . .	3
4.1.2	Protocol Tests . . . . .	5
4.1.3	HTTP Communications . . . . .	5
4.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements . . . . .	5
4.2.1	Performance . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Tests for Proof of Concept</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	User Input . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>Comparison to Existing Implementation</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Unit Testing</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Trace to Requirements</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Trace to Modules</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Code Coverage Metrics</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>12</b>
11.1	Symbolic Parameters . . . . .	12

## List of Tables

1	Revision History . . . . .	1
2	Table of Definitions . . . . .	2
3	Trace Between Tests and Requirements . . . . .	9
December 6, 2017	REST Assured	i

## Test Report

---

4	Trace Between Tests and Modules . . . . .	10
5	<b>Table of Symbols</b> . . . . .	12
iiiiii	HEAD ===== llllllll e50dce788878d8d0b510ed27237c61e0c32419e8	

# 1 Revision History

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Developer(s)	Change	Revision
Nov 29, 2017	Dawson Myers, Yang Liu, Brandon Roberts	Revision 1 Draft	1
Dec 6, 2017	Dawson Myers, Yang Liu, Brandon Roberts	Revision 1 Completion	1

## 2 Project Drivers

## 3 General Information

### 3.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to outline the testing, validation, verification results that were carried out on the reconstruction of the Sails Live Chrome app, named the REST Assured Test Client. Through testing, the REST Assured team used rigorous unit testing as well as manual testing to improve the products correctness and build confidence. The testing helped provide proof that the project adheres to the requirements specified in the Software Requirements Specification document. Types of testing included structural, static and dynamic, functional and nonfunctional, manual and automated unit testing. Various testing tools were used to achieve these test results.

### 3.2 Naming Conventions and Terminology

Term	Definition
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol.
REST	Representational state transfer (REST) or RESTful web services is a way of providing interoperability between computer systems on the Internet.
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation. An open-standard file format that uses human-readable text to transmit data objects consisting of attribute-value pairs and array data types (or any other serializable value).
API	Application Program Interface. A document detailing the name of each function the client may call in their software and the purpose of those functions.
FR	Functional requirements that describes what the product will do.
User	A person who will be using the final product.
App	The application being designed; the system-to-be.

Table 2: Table of Definitions

### 3.3 Overview of Document

This document begins with a general overview of the application and tests, including sections on the software description, introduction of the test team and tools used for testing. Next, detailed system test results for [functional](#) and [nonfunctional](#) requirements, as well as [unit tests](#). After that, the [requirement](#) and [module](#) traceability matrices are displayed

### 3.4 Software Description

The REST Assured Test Client provides software developers with a tool for web API building and testing. The application provides tests endpoints and the capability to diagnose bugs in applications featuring RESTful interfaces.

### 3.5 Test Team

The REST Assured team members that were responsible for all testing procedures are Dawson Myers, Brandon Roberts, and Yang Liu. These responsibilities included test writing and execution for various types of testing outlined in this document.

### 3.6 Automated Testing Approach

Automated testing for the REST Assured Test Client was done in using Jasmine.

### 3.7 Testing Tools

The majority of the project code is JavaScript front-end code. The following testing tools were used:

- PhantomJS (UI Testing)
- Jasmine (Unit Testing)

## 4 System Test Description

The software will allow users to test their REST servers responses to GET/POST/PUT/DELETE requests. It will be implemented with common front end languages (HTML, javascript, css) and libraries (react, jQuery, bootstrap).

### 4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

#### 4.1.1 User Input

---

**FRT-UI-1**

Type	Manual
Initial State	Request form has input data, and response form has response information
Input	clear button clicked
Output	Request form and response form are cleared, leaving no characters in field
Procedure	The function clearing the request form and response form will run, the tester will manually verify if both forms have been cleared
Result	Pass

---

**FRT-UI-2**

Type	Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State	Input text fields empty
Input	clear button clicked
Output	Field remains cleared, no characters in field
Procedure	Manually perform test to verify if field has been cleared
Result	Pass

---

**FRT-UI-3**

Type	Manual
Initial State	Input text fields cleared by clear button
Input	HTTP POST/GET/DELETE/PUT requests to test url
Output	HTTP request returns output fitting to request criteria
Procedure	Manually perform test to verify whether field clearing action will interfere with HTTP request functionalities
Result	Pass

---

**FRT-UI-4**

Type	Manual
Initial State	The selected test stub is open
Input	The user clicks another test stub in the test selection menu
Output	The test stub viewer will update to display information about the newly selected test stub
Procedure	The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the wanted behaviour is reflected
Result	Pass

## Test Report

---

### ***FRT-UI-5***

---

<i>Type</i>	Manual
<i>Initial State</i>	Test stub view is displayed
<i>Input</i>	HTTP POST/GET/DELETE/PUT requests to test url
<i>Output</i>	Test stub will change colour to corresponding request colour in the test selection menu
<i>Procedure</i>	The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired behaviour is reflected
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***FRT-UI-6***

---

<i>Type</i>	Manual
<i>Initial State</i>	Request form is awaiting input data
<i>Input</i>	User inputs request data into request form
<i>Output</i>	Program will format data for HTTP request with parameters, fit for browser entry
<i>Procedure</i>	The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the wanted behaviour is reflected
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***FRT-UI-7***

---

<i>Type</i>	Manual
<i>Initial State</i>	A valid request entry has been entered in the request form as input data
<i>Input</i>	The save request entry button is clicked
<i>Output</i>	The saved request entry is added to the list of saved entries and appears in the saved entry selection window
<i>Procedure</i>	The save request entry function will be called for the input request entry, the test will manually verify that the input request is added to the saved list of requests and appears in the saved entry selection window
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***FRT-UI-8***

---

<i>Type</i>	Manual
<i>Initial State</i>	Request form has been cleared of input data
<i>Input</i>	A previously saved request entry is selected, submit button is clicked
<i>Output</i>	Request form has been loaded with the selected previously saved request entry as input data
<i>Procedure</i>	The load saved request entry function will be called for the selected request entry, the test will manually verify that the request form has been populated with the selected request
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***FRT-UI-9***

---

<i>Type</i>	Manual
<i>Initial State</i>	The program is open
<i>Input</i>	The user clicks the new test button
<i>Output</i>	A new test stub is created underneath the lowest test stub
<i>Procedure</i>	The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired behaviour is reflected
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***FRT-UI-10***

---

<i>Type</i>	Manual
<i>Initial State</i>	The program is openThe program is openThe program is open
<i>Input</i>	The user clicks and drags a test stub
<i>Output</i>	The test stub will follow the cursor users cursor until the let go by the user
<i>Procedure</i>	The test will manually be performed by a tester, the functions corresponding to the HTTP requests will be run, we check the response and the program will pass the test if the desired behaviour is reflected
<i>Result</i>	Pass

## Test Report

---

### 4.1.2 Protocol Tests

#### ***FRT-PT-1***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	At main window
<i>Input</i>	Properly formatted JSON
<i>Output</i>	Should return true
<i>Procedure</i>	How test will be performed: REST query string validator function is called with a JSON request object
<i>Result</i>	Pass

#### ***FRT-PT-2***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	At main window
<i>Input</i>	Improperly formatted JSON
<i>Output</i>	Should return false
<i>Procedure</i>	How test will be performed: REST query string validator function is called with a JSON request object
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### 4.1.3 HTTP Communications

#### ***FRT-CM-1***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	At main window
<i>Input</i>	JSON request object
<i>Output</i>	JSON response object containing the correct set of data from the resource URL
<i>Procedure</i>	Test is run that will call the sendMsg function with a JSON request object. The function should return a JSON object with a data set from the server. The data will be validated to verify it is correct
<i>Result</i>	Pass

## 4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

### 4.2.1 Performance

#### ***NRT-P-1***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	At main window
<i>Input</i>	100,000 requests are enqueued
<i>Output</i>	JSON responses
<i>Procedure</i>	A test will add 100,000 request objects to the send message queue. The app should be able to process the responses without becoming unresponsive. The response text box should only store the previous 1000 rows of text
<i>Result</i>	Pass

#### ***NRT-P-2***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	At main window
<i>Input</i>	JSON request for a very large data set
<i>Output</i>	JSON response
<i>Procedure</i>	A test will run that will make a request for a very large data set. The app should not become unresponsive while processing the response
<i>Result</i>	Pass

## 5 Tests for Proof of Concept

### 5.1 User Input



## Test Report

---

### ***PCT-UI-1***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	Main window waiting for request information
<i>Input</i>	User inputs request information
<i>Output</i>	The program unfolds the request information into a JSON object
<i>Procedure</i>	The test will manually be performed by a test member, and the program will pass the test if the wanted behaviour is reflected
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***PCT-UI-2***

---

<i>Type</i>	Functional
<i>Initial State</i>	Request form has input data, and response form has response information
<i>Input</i>	User clicks the clear button
<i>Output</i>	The Request form, and response form should be cleared of all information
<i>Procedure</i>	The test will manually be performed by a tester, and the program will pass the test if the wanted behaviour is reflected
<i>Result</i>	Pass

## 6 Comparison to Existing Implementation

The existing project had very few test cases. Thus, the team has had to develop tests from scratch.

## 7 Unit Testing

Jasmine was used for test unit testing internal functions.

### ***UT-1***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	JsonComparer
<i>Suit</i>	When comparing a JSON object with
<i>Case</i>	An Identical JSON object
<i>Expectation</i>	compare returns true compareExact returns true compareWithTolerance returns true
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-2***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	JsonComparer
<i>Suit</i>	When comparing a JSON object with
<i>Case</i>	A JSON object with 1 difference
<i>Expectation</i>	compare returns false compareExact returns false compareWithTolerance(1) returns true
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-3***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	JsonComparer
<i>Suit</i>	When comparing a JSON object with
<i>Case</i>	A JSON object with 2 difference
<i>Expectation</i>	compare returns false compareExact returns false compareWithTolerance(2) returns false
<i>Result</i>	Pass

## Test Report

---

### **UT-4**

---

Type	Automated
Module	JsonComparer
Suit	When comparing a JSON object with
Case	A JSON object that is a subset
Expectation	compare returns true compareExact returns false compareWithTolerance(1) returns true
Result	Pass

### **UT-5**

---

Type	Automated
Module	JsonParser
Suit	None
Case	When prettifying a JSON object
Expectation	it becomes a string
Result	Pass

### **UT-6**

---

Type	Automated
Module	JsonParser
Suit	None
Case	When paramaterizing a JSON object
Expectation	It is accurate
Result	Pass

### **UT-7**

---

Type	Automated
Module	RestStub
Suit	None
Case	When creating a RestStub
Expectation	It should be empty It should be a copy of data if entered
Result	Pass

### **UT-8**

---

Type	Automated
Module	RestStub
Suit	None
Case	When setting the request type
Expectation	It should accept GET/PUSH/POST/DELETE It should not accept non GET/PUSH/POST/DELETE
Result	Pass

### **UT-9**

---

Type	Automated
Module	RestChain
Suit	None
Case	When creating a RestChain
Expectation	It should be empty It should be a copy of data if entered
Result	Pass

### **UT-10**

---

Type	Automated
Module	RestChain
Suit	None
Case	When modifying the list
Expectation	You can add tests to the chain You can remove tests from the chain You can change positions of tests in the chain
Result	Pass

## Test Report

---

### ***UT-11***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	ProfileStore
<i>Suit</i>	None
<i>Case</i>	When creating a ProfileStore
<i>Expectation</i>	It should be empty
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-12***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	ProfileStore
<i>Suit</i>	None
<i>Case</i>	After creating a RestChain and RestStub
<i>Expectation</i>	The RestStub can be copied
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-13***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	ProfileStore
<i>Suit</i>	None
<i>Case</i>	After creating a RestChain and RestStub
<i>Expectation</i>	It can add RestStubs to the RestChain
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-14***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	ProfileStore
<i>Suit</i>	None
<i>Case</i>	After creating a RestChain and RestStub
<i>Expectation</i>	It can remove RestStubs from the RestChain
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-15***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	ProfileStore
<i>Suit</i>	None
<i>Case</i>	After creating a RestChain and RestStub
<i>Expectation</i>	The RestChain can be removed
<i>Result</i>	Pass

### ***UT-16***

---

<i>Type</i>	Automated
<i>Module</i>	ProfileStore
<i>Suit</i>	None
<i>Case</i>	After creating a RestChain and RestStub
<i>Expectation</i>	The RestChain can be copied
<i>Result</i>	Pass

## 8 Trace to Requirements

Test	Requirements
Functional Requirements Testing	
FRT-UI-1	FR2
FRT-UI-2	FR1, FR2
FRT-UI-3	FR3
FRT-UI-4	FR2, FR2
FRT-UI-5	FR2
FRT-UI-6	FR1
FRT-UI-7	FR3
FRT-UI-8	FR1, FR2
FRT-UI-9	FR1
FRT-UI-10	FR3
FRT-PT-1	FR3
FRT-PT-2	FR1, FR2, FR3
Non-functional Requirements Testing	
NRT-P-1	NFR1, NFR3
NRT-P-2	NFR7
PCT-UI-1	NFR2, NR9
PCT-UI-2	NFR2
Automated Testing	
UT-1	NFR2
UT-2	NFR4
UT-3	NFR9
UT-4	NFR1
UT-5	NFR2
UT-6	NFR6
UT-7	NFR9
UT-8	NFR8
UT-9	NFR8
UT-10	NFR6
UT-11	NFR7

Table 3: Trace Between Tests and Requirements

## Test Report

### 9 Trace to Modules

Test	Requirements
Functional Requirements Testing	
FRT-UI-1	M3
FRT-UI-2	M1
FRT-UI-3	M3, M4, M7, M8
FRT-UI-4	M7
FRT-UI-5	M2, M7
FRT-UI-6	M3, M8
FRT-UI-7	M2
FRT-UI-8	M6, M8
FRT-UI-9	M3
FRT-UI-10	M1
FRT-PT-1	M6
FRT-PT-2	M2, M3, M5, M7
Non-functional Requirements Testing	
NRT-P-1	M8
NRT-P-2	M2, M5, M8
PCT-UI-1	M3
PCT-UI-2	M5
Automated Testing	
UT-1	M3
UT-2	M4, M8
UT-3	M4, M7, M8
UT-4	M3, M5
UT-5	M2
UT-6	M2
UT-7	M1, M2
UT-8	M5, M8
UT-9	M2
UT-10	M1, M2, M3, M5, M8
UT-11	M5

Table 4: Trace Between Tests and Modules

### 10 Code Coverage Metrics

The test for *RestAssured* have approximately covered 90% of the project code.

## Test Report

---

None

## 11 Appendix

Additional information

### 11.1 Symbolic Parameters

Symbolic Parameters The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC\_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

Term	Definition
RESOURCE_ROOT_URL	https://jsonplaceholder.typicode.com
RESOURCE_POSTS	/posts
RESOURCE_COMMENTS	/comments

Table 5: Table of Symbols