

Factorization – a two year journey

Preview Release v0.202

Author: Essbee Vanhoutte
Date: June 2025

Preface

This paper is dedicated to my family and friends, among whom are my former managers who gave me the time and support to grow my interest in cryptography and eventually the math behind it. When I started this journey two years ago, as a high-school drop-out with limited math education, this seemed like an impossible mountain to scale. This paper documents my findings, and proposes an improvement to known factorization algorithms.

Chapters

- I. Integer to Quadratic (pg. 1)
- II. Fermat's factorization method (pg. 2)
- III. Quadratic to Quadratic congruence (pg. 3)
- IV. Combining modular linear coefficient solutions (pg. 4)
- V. Bridge to Quadratic Sieve (pg. 6)
- VI. Quadratic coefficients (pg 7.)
- VII. Bridge to Number Field Sieve (pg. 8)
- VIII. Conclusion (pg. 10)
- IX. References (pg. 10)

I. Integer to Quadratic

In attempting to break factorization, it is important to find the correct representation of the problem.

To describe a problem, one of your first steps will be to define some type of algebraic expression with variables representing the solutions you are trying to find.

The Number Field Sieve algorithm¹ is a great example of this, where the very beginning of the algorithm is the polynomial selection step.

I would like to preface this chapter, by giving a short review of the steps that led me to my representation of quadratics of the form:

$$x^2 + y_0x + N = 0 \text{ or } x^2 + y_1x - N = 0. \text{ (We ignore the quadratic term's coefficient until later)}$$

This entire paper is about semi-primes. A semi-prime is a composite number, which has exactly 2 unique prime factors (excluding itself and 1). For the security of the RSA algorithm, this is the only format that matters. Numbers with more factors than this will actually weaken the RSA algorithm² (since it reduces the possible search space for factors).

You will need to make your own generalizations if you want to apply this for composites with more factors.

One example of a semi-prime I've used often in the last two years is:

$$p = 41 \text{ and } q = 107 \Rightarrow N = 41 \times 107 = 4387 \text{ (Where semi-prime } N \text{ is the product of factors } p \text{ and } q)$$

One reason I would often use the same semi-prime while doing research, is that you eventually become so familiar with its structure, that any patterns immediately stand out. However, I always make sure my findings generalize to any other semi-prime as well. In this paper I will exclusively use $p = 41$ and $q = 107$. You can use my proof of concepts to verify these findings against arbitrary semi-primes. I am not an educated Mathematician, hence I will not even attempt to write a paper with algebraic letter-soup.

Around two years ago, one of the first things I very quickly started to zero in on, was using modular reduction on N . My initial thought process was that perhaps I could find some type of pattern in the remainders that would let me construct an algorithm.

For example if $N = 4387$:

4387	=	47	mod 70
4387	=	40	mod 69
4387	=	35	mod 68
4387	=	32	mod 67
4387	=	31	mod 66 (+/- \sqrt{N})
4387	=	32	mod 65
4387	=	35	mod 64
4387	=	40	mod 63
4387	=	47	mod 62

The rounded \sqrt{N} (square root of N) is a point of symmetry for the remainders.

In the above example, starting from mod 66, we get the following sequence as remainders in both directions if we increase or decrease the modulus:

$$31, 32, 35, 40, 47, \dots = 31 + \sum_{i=1}^N 2i-1$$

Any trained mathematician will immediately recognize this sequence as simply $31 +$ the squares. This is as expected, since we are modulo reducing a number close to its square root.

Now we can rephrase factorization as finding some modulus m , such that $N \equiv 0 \pmod m$ (aka m is a divisor of N). And we also know that the remainders can be calculate by simply adding squares to the initial remainder at the square root of N .

Around two years ago, I was maybe a couple of weeks in to my research, when I made these realizations and thought :

“Okay, I can do this, this can't be very hard if I know by how much the remainder increases or decreases with each increment or decrement of the modulus, hence it should be easy to figure out when it hits $0 \pmod m$!”.

Math is full of problems that are very deceptive. Intuitively it would seem like a straight forward mathematical tool to solve this should exist, but the reality of it is much more complicated. At the surface it looks like a shallow pond, but below the surface, are depths of complexity deeper then the deepest oceans.

Thus if we take $N \pmod m$, we need to figure out a way to adjust modulus m such that the remainder reaches $0 \pmod m$. At first I would mess around with some algebraic expressions which had an unknown variable in the modulus. Since to make the remainder change in value, we have to increment or decrement the modulus. However, soon after I was able to generalize it to this, removing any unknowns in the modulus:

$$x^2 + yx + N \equiv 0 \pmod m$$

The root, x , would represent the smallest factor. In this case 41. And we negate the x so it becomes negative: -41 . The coefficient y , would represent the sum of both factors, in this case $41 + 107$ or 148 .

$$\text{Thus we get: } (-41)^2 + 148 \times -41 + 4387 = 0$$

or simplified:

$$41^2 - 148 \times 41 + 4387 = 0$$

And of-course this will also equal 0 in any mod m .

Note: My motivation for making the root, x , negative sign, is that when we modulo reduce it, it represents the distance to the factor from mod m . This is related to how I came up originally to represent factorization this way. I went a little bit into the background on this in my original paper, but truth is, its not very relevant, it's simply one of many ways to represent this problem.

We can also flip the signs in the equation, but then the coefficient instead of being $p + q$ becomes $p - q$.

$$\text{If } p + q = 148 \text{ then } p - q = -66$$

$$\text{And we get: } 41^2 + 66 \times 41 - 4387 = 0$$

$$\text{Or combined: } 41^2 - 148 \times 41 + 4387 = 41^2 + 66 \times 41 - 4387$$

We have two unknowns, the roots and the linear coefficients (ignoring the quadratic term's coefficient for now). However, isolating the linear coefficient on both sides - which we can do if they share the same root - of the equation and squaring it mod N we get:

$$148^2 \equiv 66^2 \pmod{4387} \quad (\text{we can ignore the signs when squaring})$$

And because these two squares are congruent mod N , we can take the gcd (greatest common divisor) of their difference:

$$\text{gcd}(148 + 66, 4387) = 107$$

$$\text{gcd}(148 - 66, 4387) = 41$$

Note: Calculating the greatest common divisor can be done very quickly using the Euclidean algorithm³.

Because of this property, just finding the linear coefficients of a quadratic will result in the factorization of N . Hence:

$$x^2 + y_0x + N = x^2 + y_1x - N$$

We can factor N by finding y_0 and y_1 and taking the gcd of their difference. This is very similar to what Fermat's factorization method⁴ does.

II. Fermat's factorization method

I feel it is important to now explain Fermat's factorization method, so we can draw some parallels with my own findings, which accidentally ended up converging with Fermat's factorization method. But this just shows how fundamental this is to the factorization problem.

In its most basic form the procedure is as this:

1. Calculate the rounded \sqrt{N} .
2. Starting from $x = \sqrt{N}$, calculate $y = x^2 - N$ (do so in a loop while incrementing x).

3. If y is also a square, calculate the greatest common divisor (gcd) on the difference.

Taking 4387 as an example:

Step 1 (Calculate the \pm square root of N):

$$\sqrt{4387} = 66 \text{ (rounded)}$$

Step 2 (Starting from the square root calculate $y = x^2 - N$):

$$\begin{aligned} 66^2 - 4387 &= -31 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 67^2 - 4387 &= 102 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 68^2 - 4387 &= 237 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 69^2 - 4387 &= 374 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 70^2 - 4387 &= 513 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 71^2 - 4387 &= 654 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 72^2 - 4387 &= 797 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 73^2 - 4387 &= 942 \text{ (not a square)} \\ 74^2 - 4387 &= 1089 = 33^2 \text{ (square)} \end{aligned}$$

Step 3 (If y is also a square, calculate the gcd on the difference.):

$$74^2 = 33^2 \text{ mod } 4387$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(74 + 33, 4387) &= 107 \\ \gcd(74 - 33, 4387) &= 41 \end{aligned}$$

You may notice that 74 and 33 are simply 148 and 66 divided by 2.

This brings us to another important point. Many such square relations can be found mod N . What will be different is the amount of times N is in-between both squares.

$$\begin{aligned} 148^2 &= 66^2 + 4 \times 4387 \text{ (four times } N \text{ in between)} \\ 74^2 &= 33^2 + 4387 \text{ (one times } N \text{ in between)} \end{aligned}$$

This difference of N , is related to the coefficient of the quadratic term. More on this in chapter VI.

Do be aware, there are two types of square relations mod N . One which will yield a trivial factorization (1 or N) and one which will yield a non-trivial factorization (a prime factor of N). The square relations that will always yield a trivial factorization are of the form:

$$a^2 = (N - a)^2 \text{ mod } N$$

We are not interested in these square relations.

Both Quadratic Sieve⁵ and Number Field Sieve - the current fastest factorization algorithms - are more elaborate ways of finding these square relations mod N . Both algorithms were invented by Carl Pomerance⁶. These algorithms are now almost 40 years old, and not much progress aside from a handful of tweaks to these algorithms has been made since. In my opinion, this is not acceptable. A problem as important as factorization should not go without major progress for 40 years. And simply hoping Quantum computing will solve everything is foolish. Thinking as such is the same as thinking AI will replace everything. It is but an excuse to stop trying. We should never stop trying. The day we stop trying, we surrender ourselves to ignorance.

III. Quadratic to Quadratic congruence

Going back to representing factorization as the following Quadratic:

$$x^2 + yx + N = 0$$

Finding a root and (linear) coefficient solution in the integers to this is very hard.

A lot of my research efforts have gone into this.

One approach is to create "fragments" of a possible solution by reducing everything to mod $p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$ (where p is prime) and finding integer solutions mod $p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$ and then combining them. This in essence turns the problem into a subset sum⁷ type of problem, because then it becomes a matter of which "fragments", aka solutions mod p_i , to combine.

Lets dig in.

Our representation now becomes the same quadratic but with modular reduction:

$$x^2 + yx + N = 0 \text{ mod } m$$

Instead of finding solutions in the integers, we reduce the scope to mod m .

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= 4387 = 6 \text{ mod } 13 \\ p &= 41 = 2 \text{ mod } 13 \\ q &= 107 = 3 \text{ mod } 13 \end{aligned}$$

The residue of N mod 13 is the residue of pq mod 13 ($2 \times 3 = 6 \text{ mod } 13$).

The residue of $y \bmod 13$ is $p + q \bmod 13$ ($2 + 3 = 5 \bmod 13$).

If the coefficient, y , is $5 \bmod 13$ and we know our residue of $N \bmod 13$ is 6 then only $2 + 3$ and $3 + 2$ can be our two residues for p and $q \bmod 13$.

Thus the root, x , is either -3 or -2 . (remember we negate the root)

Plugging in for $y = 5$ and $x = -3$ or -2 in mod 13:

$$\begin{aligned} -2^2 + 5 \times -2 + 4387 &= 0 \bmod 13 \\ \Rightarrow 4 - 10 + 6 &= 0 \bmod 13 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -3^2 + 5 \times -3 + 4387 &= 0 \bmod 13 \\ \Rightarrow 9 - 15 + 6 &= 0 \bmod 13 \end{aligned}$$

In real life we don't know y is 5 (since we do not know $p + q$).

We do know $N \bmod 13$ is 6.

Thus all possible coefficient solutions $y \bmod 13$ can be enumerated by summing up each of the two residues mod 13 that multiply to 6.

A coefficient solution y can also be told to exist, if for a given coefficient value y a root solution x exists. This can be trivially determined using the Legendre symbol⁸ without actually having to find roots.

All root x and coefficient y solutions mod 13 that solve the quadratic congruence:

$$\begin{aligned} y: 1 \ x: -5 &\Rightarrow -5^2 + 1 \times -5 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 1 \ x: -9 &\Rightarrow -9^2 + 1 \times -9 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 5 \ x: -2 &\Rightarrow -2^2 + 5 \times -2 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 5 \ x: -3 &\Rightarrow -3^2 + 5 \times -3 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 6 \ x: -7 &\Rightarrow -7^2 + 6 \times -7 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 6 \ x: -12 &\Rightarrow -12^2 + 6 \times -12 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 7 \ x: -1 &\Rightarrow -1^2 + 7 \times -1 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 7 \ x: -6 &\Rightarrow -6^2 + 7 \times -6 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 8 \ x: -10 &\Rightarrow -10^2 + 8 \times -10 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 8 \ x: -11 &\Rightarrow -11^2 + 8 \times -11 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 12 \ x: -4 &\Rightarrow -4^2 + 12 \times -4 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \\ y: 12 \ x: -8 &\Rightarrow -8^2 + 12 \times -8 + 4387 = 0 \bmod 13 \end{aligned}$$

IV. Combining modular linear coefficient solutions

Note: This is simply Chinese Remainder Theorem. I found this independently while messing around with inverses. Additionally all these modular inverse calculations can be compressed into just a single modular inverse, which I should have realized sooner. I probably could leave this chapter out of the paper, but I will leave it in here for historical purposes. In a way it is good that I am stumbling on existing tricks independently, albeit frustrating as it is.

Note to self: Once the paper is finished, I should perhaps refactor this chapter to show the simpler calculation using a single modular inverse... this chapter takes up too much space for what is in fact a more convoluted version of an existing trick. Not happy with it.

Now that we can find (linear) coefficient solutions mod p_i . Let us calculate coefficient solutions mod $p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$ now and combine the results into mod m (where $m = p_0 \times p_1 \times p_2 \times \dots \times p_{n-1}$)

$$\begin{aligned} y \bmod 3 &= \{ 1, 2 \} \\ y \bmod 5 &= \{ 2, 3 \} \\ y \bmod 7 &= \{ 0, 1, 6 \} \\ y \bmod 11 &= \{ 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 \} \\ y \bmod 13 &= \{ 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 \} \end{aligned}$$

These are the solutions mod 3,5,7,11,13 that solve the coefficient of the linear term in: $x^2 + yx + N = 0 \bmod m$

If we calculate the solution set mod 15015 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} y \bmod 15015 &= \{ 83, 97, 98, 112, 148, 188, 203, 287, 307, 343, 358, 398, 428, 463, 482, 512, 538, 617, 643, 658, 727, 742, 812, 827, 853, 937, 967, 1007, 1022, 1028, 1058, \\ &1072, 1112, 1253, 1267, 1282, 1288, 1358, 1373, 1442, 1457, 1462, 1483, 1513, 1553, 1567, 1568, 1618, 1637, 1652, 1813, 1828, 1847, 1897, 1912, 1982, 2003, 2008, 2023, \\ &2092, 2107, 2113, 2177, 2183, 2198, 2267, 2282, 2393, 2437, 2443, 2458, 2477, 2528, 2542, 2612, 2638, 2653, 2722, 2723, 2737, 2738, 2807, 2822, 2828, 2848, 2932, 2983, \\ &3002, 3023, 3037, 3067, 3158, 3178, 3262, 3268, 3277, 3283, 3353, 3368, 3437, 3452, 3478, 3548, 3563, 3613, 3632, 3647, 3697, 3752, 3808, 3823, 3892, 3893, 3907, 3947, \\ &3977, 3983, 3998, 4003, 4087, 4102, 4178, 4192, 4193, 4207, 4388, 4402, 4432, 4438, 4453, 4493, 4523, 4577, 4607, 4633, 4648, 4718, 4753, 4802, 4817, 4907, 4922, 4948, \\ &4978, 5032, 5062, 5102, 5117, 5153, 5257, 5312, 5348, 5362, 5363, 5377, 5468, 5543, 5557, 5572, 5578, 5608, 5648, 5663, 5732, 5747, 5803, 5818, 5858, 5908, 5923, 5942, \\ &5972, 6007, 6077, 6103, 6118, 6187, 6202, 6272, 6287, 6293, 6313, 6397, 6467, 6488, 6518, 6532, 6572, 6623, 6727, 6733, 6742, 6748, 6818, 6832, 6833, 6902, 6917, 6943, \\ &6973, 7013, 7027, 7028, 7078, 7097, 7112, 7118, 7162, 7273, 7288, 7357, 7372, 7442, 7448, 7463, 7468, 7547, 7552, 7567, 7573, 7643, 7658, 7727, 7742, 7853, 7897, 7903, \\ &7918, 7937, 7987, 7988, 8002, 8042, 8072, 8098, 8113, 8182, 8183, 8197, 8267, 8273, 8282, 8288, 8392, 8443, 8483, 8497, 8527, 8548, 8618, 8702, 8722, 8728, 8743, 8813, \\ &8828, 8897, 8912, 8938, 9008, 9043, 9073, 9092, 9107, 9157, 9197, 9212, 9268, 9283, 9352, 9367, 9407, 9437, 9443, 9458, 9472, 9547, 9638, 9652, 9653, 9667, 9703, 9758, \\ &9862, 9898, 9913, 9953, 9983, 10037, 10067, 10093, 10108, 10198, 10213, 10262, 10297, 10367, 10382, 10408, 10438, 10492, 10522, 10562, 10577, 10583, 10613, 10627, \\ &10808, 10822, 10823, 10837, 10913, 10928, 11012, 11017, 11032, 11038, 11068, 11108, 11122, 11123, 11192, 11207, 11263, 11318, 11368, 11383, 11402, 11452, 11467, 11537, \\ &11563, 11578, 11647, 11662, 11732, 11738, 11747, 11753, 11837, 11857, 11948, 11978, 11992, 12013, 12032, 12083, 12167, 12187, 12193, 12208, 12277, 12278, 12292, \\ &12293, 12362, 12377, 12403, 12473, 12487, 12538, 12557, 12572, 12578, 12622, 12733, 12748, 12817, 12832, 12838, 12902, 12908, 12923, 12992, 13007, 13012, 13033, \\ &13103, 13118, 13168, 13187, 13202, 13363, 13378, 13397, 13447, 13448, 13462, 13502, 13532, 13553, 13558, 13573, 13642, 13657, 13727, 13733, 13748, 13762, 13903, \\ &13943, 13957, 13987, 13993, 14008, 14048, 14078, 14162, 14188, 14203, 14273, 14288, 14357, 14372, 14398, 14477, 14503, 14533, 14552, 14587, 14617, 14657, 14672, \\ &14708, 14728, 14812, 14827, 14867, 14903, 14917, 14918, 14932 \} \end{aligned}$$

Each of these solutions mod 15015 represents a unique combination of solutions mod 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13 (Cartesian product).

There is a number theoretical trick we can use to make findings these solutions mod 15015 a lot easier.

Lets say that we want to calculate the following combination for mod 15015:

$$\begin{aligned}y &= 1 \bmod 3 \\y &= 3 \bmod 5 \\y &= 1 \bmod 7 \\y &= 5 \bmod 11 \\y &= 5 \bmod 13\end{aligned}$$

For each solutions mod p , we divide and then multiple by every other prime. Using the following procedure:

For 1 mod 3 we get:

Step 1: $5^{-1} = 2 \bmod 3$ (calculate the inverse of 5 mod 3)
Step 2: $1 \times 2 \times 5 \bmod 3 \times 5$ (multiply the solution mod 3, 1 by the inverse and 5, and multiply the modulus by 5)
Step 3: $7^{-1} = 13 \bmod 15$ (calculate the inverse of 7 mod 15)
Step 4: $10 \times 13 \times 7 \bmod 15 \times 7$ (From step 2, carry over the 10 and multiply by the inverse and 7 and multiply the modulus by 7)
Step 5: $11^{-1} = 86 \bmod 105$ (calculate the inverse of 11 mod 105)
Step 6: $70 \times 86 \times 11 \bmod 105 \times 11$ (From Step 4 we get 70 mod 105 and we multiply that by the inverse and 11 and multiply the modulus by 11)
Step 7: $13^{-1} = 622 \bmod 1155$ (calculate the inverse of 13 mod 1155)
Step 8: $385 \times 622 \times 13 \bmod 1155 \times 13$ (From Step 6 we get 385 mod 1155 and we multiply that by the inverse and 13 and multiply the modulus by 13)

This gives us 5005 mod 15015

$$5005 = 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times 13 \text{ and } 5005 \bmod 3 = 1$$

Hence this simply lifts 1 mod 3 to mod 15015 by adding multiples of mod 5,7,11,13 while keeping it congruent to 1 mod 3.

There probably is a much more straight forward way to calculate the above, but it works and since it isn't bottle-necking my algorithms, that is all I care about.

Now to do the rest:

For 3 mod 5 we get:

Step 1: $3^{-1} = 2 \bmod 5$
Step 2: $3 \times 2 \times 3 \bmod 5 \times 3$
Step 3: $7^{-1} = 13 \bmod 15$
Step 4: $3 \times 13 \times 7 \bmod 15 \times 7$
Step 5: $11^{-1} = 86 \bmod 105$
Step 6: $63 \times 86 \times 11 \bmod 105 \times 11$
Step 7: $13^{-1} = 622 \bmod 1155$
Step 8: $693 \times 622 \times 13 \bmod 1155 \times 13$

This gives us 3003 mod 15015

For 1 mod 7 we get:

Step 1: $3^{-1} = 5 \bmod 7$
Step 2: $1 \times 5 \times 3 \bmod 7 \times 3$
Step 3: $5^{-1} = 17 \bmod 21$
Step 4: $15 \times 17 \times 5 \bmod 21 \times 5$
Step 5: $11^{-1} = 86 \bmod 105$
Step 6: $15 \times 86 \times 11 \bmod 105 \times 11$
Step 7: $13^{-1} = 622 \bmod 1155$
Step 8: $330 \times 622 \times 13 \bmod 1155 \times 13$

This give us 10725 mod 15015

For 5 mod 11 we get:

Step 1: $3^{-1} = 4 \bmod 11$
Step 2: $5 \times 4 \times 3 \bmod 11 \times 3$
Step 3: $5^{-1} = 20 \bmod 33$
Step 4: $27 \times 20 \times 5 \bmod 33 \times 5$
Step 5: $7^{-1} = 118 \bmod 165$
Step 6: $60 \times 118 \times 7 \bmod 165 \times 7$
Step 7: $13^{-1} = 622 \bmod 1155$
Step 8: $1050 \times 622 \times 13 \bmod 1155 \times 13$

This gives us 6825 mod 15015

For 5 mod 13 we get:

Step 1: $3^{-1} = 9 \bmod 13$
Step 2: $5 \times 9 \times 3 \bmod 13 \times 3$
Step 3: $5^{-1} = 8 \bmod 39$
Step 4: $18 \times 8 \times 5 \bmod 39 \times 5$

Step 5: $7^{-1} = 28 \bmod 195$
Step 6: $135 \times 28 \times 7 \bmod 195 \times 7$
Step 7: $11^{-1} = 1241 \bmod 1365$
Step 8: $525 \times 1241 \times 11 \bmod 15015$

This gives us 4620 mod 15015

Adding the results for mod 3,5,7,11,13 together: $5005 + 3003 + 10725 + 6825 + 4620 = 148 \bmod 15015$

In my original paper I would call these intermediate results, partial results. I think that is a fitting name. When we sum up these partial results, we get 148 mod 15015. This way we can reduce finding combinations modulo a composite number to summing together partial results constructed from the prime factors of the composite modulus.

Now what we could do is, calculate all the possible coefficient solutions mod 3,5,7,11,13 and then use the above calculations to create partial results from them mod 15015:

Before:

$y \bmod 3 = \{ 1, 2 \}$
 $y \bmod 5 = \{ 2, 3 \}$
 $y \bmod 7 = \{ 0, 1, 6 \}$
 $y \bmod 11 = \{ 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 \}$
 $y \bmod 13 = \{ 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 \}$

After:

$y \bmod 3 = \{ 5005, 10010 \}$
 $y \bmod 5 = \{ 12012, 3003 \}$
 $y \bmod 7 = \{ 0, 10725, 4290 \}$
 $y \bmod 11 = \{ 1365, 2730, 6825, 8190, 12285, 13650 \}$
 $y \bmod 13 = \{ 6930, 4620, 11550, 3465, 10395, 8085 \}$

One of my original research approaches was the insight that if we could growing the modulus by adding more and more primes, eventually $p + q$ will end up being the smallest solution mod m . The other solutions will keep growing to a number bigger then N .

So my initial idea is, if we generate these partial results, and we select one partial result from each prime modulus and sum them together mod m , how do we find the smallest sum mod m ? This however is a modular multiple-choice subset-sum problem. Not easily solve-able.

In my original paper I also discussed constructing partial results in mod m_0 and m_1 each from unique primes and as long as m_0 and m_1 are large enough, then we can use the intersection between both sets of solutions to further narrow down the possible set of solutions.

For example:

Mod $m_0 = 3 \times 7 \times 13 \times 19$ (5187)

$y \bmod 3 = \{ 1729, 3458 \}$
 $y \bmod 7 = \{ 0, 4446, 741 \}$
 $y \bmod 13 = \{ 1197, 798, 1995, 3192, 4389, 3990 \}$
 $y \bmod 19 = \{ 3003, 3822, 1638, 2457, 273, 4914, 2730, 3549, 1365, 2184 \}$

Mod $m_1 = 5 \times 11 \times 17 \times 23$ (21505)

$y \bmod 5 = \{ 8602, 12903 \}$
 $y \bmod 11 = \{ 13685, 5865, 3910, 17595, 15640, 7820 \}$
 $y \bmod 17 = \{ 0, 12650, 10120, 16445, 7590, 13915, 5060, 11385, 8855 \}$
 $y \bmod 23 = \{ 0, 18700, 7480, 1870, 17765, 14960, 6545, 3740, 19635, 14025, 2805 \}$

From m_0 we select: $1729 + 4446 + 798 + 3549 = 148 \bmod 5187$ and from m_1 we select: $12903 + 3910 + 11385 + 14960 = 148 \bmod 21505$

As you can see, 148 ($p + q$) can be found as a sum mod m_i , this will hold true for any modulus bigger then $p + q$. Hence by inspecting intersections between solution sets, we can quickly narrow it down to one single solution. But in practice, since we need a modulus bigger then $p + q$, the amount of possible sums, aka the order of the Cartesian product, quickly grows. Hence this is not feasible, but nonetheless, it is an interesting direction to approach this problem.

In my first paper I attempted to find these intersections using the LLL algorithm⁹. However many improvement can be made there, and would I write it today, there would be many things I would change and simplify further.

V. Bridge to Quadratic Sieve

After my attempt at finding intersections between solutions sets in mod m_i using LLL, I instead used these findings to generate smooth candidates for the Quadratic Sieve algorithm. I will now quickly describe the transformations I used to achieve this.

Let us factor 4387.

We set the factor base b to:

$b = \{ 3, 5, 7, 11, 13 \}$

Next using the quadratic formula: $x^2 + yx + N$ mod b_i we calculate all the possible coefficient solutions for each prime in the factor base:

$y \bmod 3 = \{ 1, 2 \}$
 $y \bmod 5 = \{ 2, 3 \}$
 $y \bmod 7 = \{ 0, 1, 6 \}$
 $y \bmod 11 = \{ 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 \}$

$$y \bmod 13 = \{ 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 \}$$

Next we create a hashmap and go over each coefficient and calculate the following linear congruence:

$$x \times N = y^2 \bmod b_i$$

For coefficient solution $y = 5 \bmod 11$ we would get:

$$x \times 4387 = 5^2 \bmod 11 \\ \Rightarrow x = 4$$

We save the x solution as key in the hashmap and the coefficient solution as value:

```
mod 3 = 1 : { 1, 2 }
mod 5 = 2 : { 2, 3 }
mod 7 = 0 : { 0 }, 3 : { 1, 6 }
mod 11 = 5 : { 1, 10 }, 9 : { 2, 9 }, 4 : { 5, 6 }
mod 13 = 11 : { 1, 12 }, 2 : { 5, 8 }, 6 : { 6, 7 }
```

Next we iterate sieve interval i from 0 to i_{n-1}

For example when $i = 97$ we check if $97 \bmod 3, 97 \bmod 5, \dots$ is a key in the hashmap and we collect the results.

```
97 mod 3 = 1 : { 1, 2 }
97 mod 5 = 2 : { 2, 3 }
97 mod 7 = /
97 mod 11 = 9 : { 2, 9 }
97 mod 13 = 6 : { 6, 7 }
```

At $i = 97$ we found results in 4 out 5 elements in the coefficient solution set for factor base b .
We multiply the moduli together for which we found a result:

$$3 \times 5 \times 11 \times 13 = 2145.$$

And if this is bigger then $\sqrt{i \times N}$ we continue.

Next we calculate the partial results for the coefficient solutions we just collected (Chapter IV):

```
y mod 3 = { 715, 1430 }
y mod 5 = { 1287, 858 }
y mod 11 = { 585, 1560 }
y mod 13 = { 825, 1320 }
```

Next we generate combinations mod 2145 choosing at most one partial result per modulus.

$$\text{For example: } 715 + 1287 + 585 + 825 = 1267 \bmod 2145$$

Lets call this the coefficient candidate y .

The useful thing about this setup is that if we now calculate $y^2 - i \times N$ we know the result will be divisible by the moduli from which we collected the partial results.

$$\text{Hence } 1267^2 - 97 \times 4387 = 1179750 \quad (2 \times 3 \times 5^3 \times 11^2 \times 13)$$

All but one of the factors are in the factor base (2) in this example.

The closer y^2 is to $i \times N$, the smaller the smooth candidate will be.

Once enough such smooth candidates are found, you finish the rest of the algorithm using the default Quadratic Sieve proceedings.
Which I won't reiterate as this is widely documented. But in short you would use Gaussian Elimination¹⁰ or Block Lanczos¹¹ to find a combination of smooths that can be multiplied together to form a square relation on both sides of the congruence mod N .

VI. Quadratic coefficients

In the above, we generate possible linear coefficients y and then square them. By subtracting $i \times N$, which I shall henceforth refer to as simply iN , we can then predict at-least some of the factors of the smooth candidates.

Let us explore how this iN value relates to the coefficient of the quadratic term, an important subject we have not touched on yet.

For example if we have $y_0 = 148$ we see that $148^2 - 4 \times 4387 = 66^2$ ($i = 4$ thus $iN = 4 \times 4387$) satisfies our square relation mod N .
Also note that $148^2 - 4 \times 4387 = 66^2$ is the formula for the quadratic discriminant, which makes sense.
We can see where $i = 4$ comes from when we subtract and add both linear coefficients from each-other and look at the factorization of the result:

$$148 - 66 = 82 \quad (41 \times 2) \\ 148 + 66 = 214 \quad (107 \times 2)$$

When subtracting we get 2 times the lower factor and when adding we get 2 times the upper factor.
We multiply the factors we found, excluding the factors of N and we get: $2 \times 2 = 4$.

Another example when $y_1 = 3$ and $y_0 = 1602$:

$$\begin{aligned}1602^2 &= 3^2 \bmod N \\1602 - 3 &= 1599 (41 \times 39) \\1602 + 3 &= 1605 (107 \times 15)\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $i = 39 \times 15 = 585$ and verifying this:

$$1602^2 - 4387 \times 585 = 3^2$$

And when $y_1 = 1$ and $y_0 = 534$:

$$\begin{aligned}1^2 &= 534^2 \bmod N \\534 - 1 &= 41 \times 13 \\534 + 1 &= 107 \times 5\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $i = 13 \times 5 = 65$ and verifying this:

$$534^2 - 4387 \times 65 = 1^2$$

This i value is actually the coefficient for the quadratic term (you may recognize this in the discriminant formula).

In the example that $y_1 = 1$ and $y_0 = 534$ we have a i value of 13×5 .

We know from the above explanation in the first chapters that the roots represent the factors of N .

We can see that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}13 \times (-41)^2 - 1 \times (-41) + 41 &= 0 \bmod 4387 \\5 \times (-107)^2 + 1 \times (-107) - 107 &= 0 \bmod 4387\end{aligned}$$

I will define the quadratic coefficient as z .

However, in the above example we note that if we have an odd linear coefficient we get quadratics of the shape: $zx^2 + yx + x$. Because the quadratic for even coefficients is simpler ($zx^2 + yx$) we shall restrict ourselves to these alone.

Thus working with even coefficient if we have $y_1 = 2$ and $y_0 = 1068$, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned}1068 - 2 &= 26 \times 41 \\1068 + 2 &= 10 \times 107\end{aligned}$$

Since we are now working with two even coefficients we get a quadratic of the shape: $zx^2 + yx$

This means the quadratic coefficients become $26/2$ and $10/2$ (since when we take the derivative, it gets multiplied by 2 from the quadratic exponent).

$$\begin{aligned}13 \times (-41)^2 - 2 \times (-41) &= 5 \times 4387 \text{ or } 0 \bmod 4387 \\5 \times (-107)^2 + 2 \times (-107) &= 13 \times 4387 \text{ or } 0 \bmod 4387\end{aligned}$$

And the derivative reveals the other linear coefficient:

$$26 \times (-41) - 2 = -1068$$

And the quadratic for $y_0 = 1068$:

$$\begin{aligned}13 \times (-41)^2 + 1068 \times (-41) &= -5 \times 4387 \text{ or } 0 \bmod 4387 \\5 \times (-107)^2 + 1068 \times (-107) &= -13 \times 4387 \text{ or } 0 \bmod 4387\end{aligned}$$

Our quadratic with the addition of quadratic coefficients is now: $zx^2 + y_0x = zx^2 + y_1x \bmod N$

VII. Bridge to number field sieve

Note to self: I need to rewrite this for the final version of the paper. The order things are explained isn't great.

At this point, parts of my work were very much starting to look like number field sieve.

Let us attempt to bridge our work from Quadratic Sieve to Number Field Sieve now, and in the process construct a superior version of number field sieve.

A. Square multiples of a smooth

Let us say, by performing standard Quadratic sieve we found the following smooth ($y_0 = 159$ and $z = 1$):

$$159^2 - 4387 \times 4 \times 1 = 7733 (11 \times 19 \times 37)$$

Because we know the factors we can also calculate every possible linear coefficient and quadratic coefficient mod 11, 19, 37 and combine them to generate new smooths that are a multiple of 7733.

We might find the following smooth ($y_0 = 3106$ and $z = 548$):

$$3106^2 - 4387 \times 4 \times 548 = 30932 (2 \times 2 \times 11 \times 19 \times 37)$$

Notice how it is a square multiple of our original smooth (4×7733).

If we find a square multiple, we can divide our coefficients. We divide the linear coefficient by the square root and the quadratic coefficient by the square.

$$1553^2 - 4387 \times 4 \times 137 = 7733 \text{ (} y_0 = 1553 \text{ and } z = 137 \text{):}$$

Now because we have a unique linear and quadratic coefficient generating the same smooth as our original smooth we can take the gcd of both linear coefficients:
 $\text{gcd}(159+1553, 4387) = 107$

Hence revealing a factor of N (4387).

This is cool. Seeing how we can manipulate these coefficients gets us one step closer.

B. Using the quadratic polynomial

We calculated our smooths using the discriminant formula. However, we can also calculate something similar using our quadratic polynomials.

Note: If we have a linear coefficient and a quadratic coefficient, we can simply factor the quadratic to get the root (see `get_root()` in the proof of concept code for details).

For $y_0 = 159$ and $z = 1$ we find that root $x = -3946$

$$1 \times -3946^2 + 159 \times -3946 + 4387 = 14947889 = 11 \times 19 \times 37 \times 1933$$

If we double the linear coefficient and multiple the quadratic coefficient by 4 we get:

For $y_0 = 318$ and $z = 4$ we find that root $x = -1973$

$$4 \times -1973^2 + 318 \times -1973 + 4387 = 14947889 = 11 \times 19 \times 37 \times 1933$$

We see that the same result is generated using the quadratic polynomial.

And the same thing happens with the other smooth we found earlier:

For $y_0 = 1553$ and $z = 137$ we find that root $x = -7654$

$$137 \times -7654^2 + 1553 \times -7654 + 4387 = 8014086817 = 11 \times 19 \times 37 \times 1036349$$

If we double the linear coefficient and multiple the quadratic coefficient by 4 we get:

For $y_0 = 3106$ and $z = 548$ we find that root $x = -3827$

$$548 \times -3827^2 + 3106 \times -3827 + 4387 = 8014086817 = 11 \times 19 \times 37 \times 1036349$$

Using the discriminant formula $y_0 = 159, z = 1$ and $y_0 = 1553, z = 137$ generate the same smooth.

And we also see that if we double both coefficients, they generate a smooth that is a square multiple, but generate the same number when the quadratic polynomial is calculated.

Also note that we divide the root by two. You actually need to multiply by the inverse of 2 mod m (7733 here). Once the root is not 0 modulo 2 anymore, the output of the quadratic becomes different in the integers, but remains the same modulo 7733. Let me quickly demonstrate:

So before we doubled $y_0 = 159$ and $z = 1$ to $y_0 = 318$ and $z = 4$.

Let us double it again to $y_0 = 636$ and $z = 16$.

Which produces the following quadratic:

$$16 \times -4853^2 + 636 \times -4853 + 4387 = 373743623 = 11 \times 17 \times 19 \times 37 \times 2843$$

Which different from the previous result: $4 \times -1973^2 + 318 \times -1973 + 4387 = 14947889 = 11 \times 19 \times 37 \times 1933$

This happens because the root was not divisible by 2 in the integers.

However, if we divide by the modulus and then modulo reduce it to mod m (7733) we get the same, since even if it was not divisible by 2 in the integers, we divided it by 2 modulo 7733:

$$373743623 / 7733 = 17 \times 2843 = 1933 \text{ mod } 7733$$

$$14947889 / 7733 = 1933 = 1933 \text{ mod } 7733$$

That somewhat describes all the moving pieces, how the roots and coefficients work together, get divided and multiplied.

C. Dividing coefficients

We have seen that both $y_0 = 1553$ and $z = 137$ and $y_0 = 159$ and $z = 1$ generate the same smooth, namely 7733.

Next observe what happens if we divide $y_0 = 1553$ and $z = 137$ so z becomes 1.

To achieve this we need to calculate the square root of 137 modulo 7733 (calculate it for each prime and apply Chinese Remainder Theorem). Since remember, the linear coefficient has to be divided by the square root of whatever we are dividing the quadratic coefficient by.

We will find that $3912^2 = 137 \text{ mod } 7733$

Modulo 7733 we will find a handful of square roots. However when we divide our linear coefficient by the square root and quadratic coefficient by the square, such that the quadratic coefficient is 1, then also, we know the residues we have to end up with mod 11, 19 and 37. For modulo 11, this is either 5 or 6. Since any other linear coefficient

modulo 11 will not produce a smooth candidate divisible by 11 if the quadratic coefficient is 1.

To divide 1553 by 3912, we find the inverse $3912^{-1} \bmod 7733$, which is 85.

$$1553 \times 85 = 544 \bmod 7733$$

We have now divided $y_0 = 1553$ and $z = 137$ to become $y_0 = 544$ and $z = 1$

If we now look at the residues modulo 11, 19 and 37 for $y_0 = 544$ and $y_0 = 159$, which are now both found at the same quadratic coefficient we get:

$y_0 = 544$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= 5 \bmod 11 \\ y_0 &= 12 \bmod 19 \\ y_0 &= 26 \bmod 37 \end{aligned}$$

$y_0 = 159$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= 5 \bmod 11 \\ y_0 &= 7 \bmod 19 \\ y_0 &= 11 \bmod 37 \end{aligned}$$

They have distinct residues, but produces the same squares modulo 11, 19 and 37 (remember that the square, of residue r , are congruent for $r^2 \bmod p$ and $-r^2 \bmod p$)
Now we are beginning to see a potential algorithm.

D. A better algorithm

Step 1. Find an initial smooth using default SIQS.

Step 2. Calculate all the possible linear coefficients for the quadratic coefficient the smooth was found at (in the above example. This set would include both the linear coefficient 159 and 544)

Step 3. Attempt to multiply the linear and quadratic coefficient so the discriminant formula generates the same smooth as the one found in step 1.

This way we don't have to enumerate linear coefficients at every possible quadratic coefficient, we use the quadratic coefficient at which our smooth was found as starting point, and then multiply both the linear and quadratic coefficient to achieve coefficients, distinct from those found in step 1 that generate the same smooth.

Figuring out by what value we have to multiply our coefficient by, is trivial. Let us go over a full example:

Given $y_0 = 544$ and $z = 1$, how can we multiply these coefficients such that the discriminant formula outputs 7733?

$$544^2 - 4387 \times 4 \times 1 = 278388 \quad (2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 11 \times 19 \times 37)$$

This example is fairly trivial by chance, since if we divide 278388 by 7733, we are left with 36, a square.

Hence as discussed earlier we simply divide the linear coefficient y_0 by the square root, 6 and the quadratic coefficient z by the square 36.

However, as you may notice, neither 544 or 1 is divisible by either of these.

We have to first calculate how many times we need to add N to 544 until it becomes divisible by 6.

We solve the following linear congruence for this:

$$544 + a \times N = 0 \bmod 6$$

We will find that $544 + 2 \times 4387 = 0 \bmod 6$

Thus our new linear coefficient is: $544 + 2 \times 4387 = 9318$

Now we can proceed to dividing by 6: $9318 / 6 = 1553$ and $\gcd(1553+159, 4387) = 107$.

However, what if we don't have a convenient square? Let us go over an example where this is not the case and step by step go through the entire algorithm.

To do: Finish this today... and the code either today or tomorrow...

VIII. Conclusion

Note to self: rewrite this chapter once paper is finished.

We have managed to make many reductions in complexity in the factorization problem. Where traditionally modern variants of Fermat's factorization method, which includes Number Field sieve and Quadratic sieve, had to find smooth numbers within a factor base, to then hopefully complete a square relation mod N . We have now found a way to instead enumerate the roots of the squares in this square relation by calculating coefficients of the linear term of a quadratic mod p_i . This is done in an attempt to break the almost 40-year stalemate in factorization algorithms. I would now encourage the reader, to continue this work, as this is merely the beginning, and many unknown lands of modular magic and polynomials lay ahead of us to explore.

IX. References

1. Number Field Sieve: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/General_number_field_sieve
2. RSA algorithm: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RSA_cryptosystem
3. Euclidean algorithm: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euclidean_algorithm
4. Fermat's factorization method: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat's_factorization_method
5. Quadratic sieve: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quadratic_sieve
6. Carl Pomerance: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl_Pomerance
7. Subset-sum problem: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Subset_sum_problem
8. Legendre Symbol: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legendre_symbol
9. LLL algorithm: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lenstra–Lenstra–Lovász_lattice_basis_reduction_algorithm
10. Gaussian Elimination: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian_elimination
11. Block Lanczos: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Block_Lanczos_algorithm